e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2861-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(1); 16-22

Original Research Article

Single-Center Retrospective Assessment of Clinical Profile of Patients with Sudden Onset Sensorineural Hearing Loss

Raj Kumar Pathak¹, Shambhu Saran²

¹Senior Resident, Department of ENT, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India ²Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

Received: 20-03-2022 / Revised: 02-08-2022 / Accepted: 08-11-2022

Corresponding author: Dr. Raj Kumar Pathak

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to study clinical profile of patients with sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss at a tertiary hospital.

Methods: The Present study was single-center, retrospective study, conducted in Department of ENT, at Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India. We studied total 100 case records. Case records of patients with sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss examined from January 2018 to December 2018.

Results: All patients were compared according to recovery status. Recovery was noticed in 40 patients (40%) while no or less than 50 % recovery was noticed in 60 patients (60%). Majority of patients were from 41-60 years age group (43%) followed by from 21-40 years age group (30%). In patients with recovery, mean age was 41.87 ± 9.34 years as compared to patients without recovery as 50.51 ± 10.78 years and difference was statistically significant (p<0.05). Gender was comparable among total patients as well as patients with or without recovery and difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05). Hypertension (35%), diabetes (20%), dyslipidemia (20%) and thyroid disorder (8%) were common comorbidities noted among patients. Vertigo was significant in patients without recovery (62.50%) as compared to patients with recovery (37.50%) and difference was statistically significant (p<0.05). Tinnitus was comparable in patients with recovery (40%) as well as patients without recovery (60%) and difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05). Degree of hearing loss was mild (8%), moderate (10%), moderately severe (20%), severe (25%) and profound (37%). Incidence of patients without recovery was increased with increase in severity of hearing loss and difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

Conclusion: In patients with sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss age less than 40 years, no comorbidities, lesser degree of hearing loss, early (<14 days) initiation of treatment are factors associated with recovery.

Keywords: Sudden Onset Sensorineural Hearing Loss, Vertigo, Profound Hearing Loss, Prednisolone.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Idiopathic sudden sensory neural hearing loss (ISSNHL) is characterized as an abrupt hearing loss of more than 30dB in

three contiguous frequencies within 72 hours. [1] An earlier study reported that the incidence of ISSNHL in the Western

Pathak et al.

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research

countries' population was estimated to 5-20 per 100,000 inhabitants. [2] More recent investigations showed the annual incidence of SSNHL to be 27 per 100,000 in the United States and 2.4 per 100 000 in respectively. China, western Regarding age distribution. Rauch demonstrated that **ISSNHL** most frequently occurred in 43 to 53 years old patients. [5]

Regarding its etiopathogenesis exact cause is not known in most of the cases making "idiopathic" (ISSNHL) as usual prefix in diagnosis. However known local and systemic causes that can result SSNHL are always looked upon. Impaired cochlear blood circulation has been suggested to cause sudden hearing loss. [3,6] But the lack of clear relationships between SSHL and other vascular risk factors suggests multifactorial disease profile. [7,8] Also, hyper fbrinogenemia has been assumed as a risk factor to ISSNHL, and the relationship between hyperfbrinogenemia and ISSNHL has been emphasized in several clinical and animal experiments. [9,10]

Chronic sensorineural hearing (SNHL) accounts for roughly 90% of this sensory deficit and is likely caused by noise, chemical, viral, and aging insults potentially debilitating [11,12] In people with SNHL, audibility (loudness of sound) and intelligibility (clarity of words) deteriorate due to the aforementioned auditory insults. Recently, microcirculation disturbance has been hypothesized as the main etiology. Any disease interrupting the cochlear perfusion may eventually result in a reduction of the oxygen supply to cochlea and trigger ISSNHL. Cardiovascular and metabolic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM) and hyperlipidemia, reduces the elasticity of blood vessels and induce the formation of atherosclerosis, thus microangiopathy. causing [13,14]Audiological evaluation provides criterion for the diagnosis of ISSNHL; in

the case of retrocochlear lesions, further investigations like imaging studies are necessary to rule out other causes like vestibular Schwannoma, cerebro-vascular accidents.

The aim of the present study was to study clinical profile of patients with sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss at a tertiary hospital.

Materials and Methods

The Present study was single-center, conducted retrospective study, Department of ENT, at Darbhanga Medical College Hospital and Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India. We studied total 100 case records. Case records of patients with sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss examined from January 2018 to December 2018

The diagnosis of all the patients had been made by experienced Otolaryngologists. Patient's demographic data, onset, and duration of hearing loss, associated symptoms, presence of cardiovascular risk factors and other co-morbid factors, findings of clinical examination, initial diagnosis were noted. Findings of various blood investigations such as complete haemogram, serum electrolytes, thyroid function tests, findings of initial audiogram, treatment received were documented. As per standard medical treatment, **Tapering** dose prednisolone for fourteen days administered (60 mg/day for 5 days, followed by 50 mg/day for 3 days, followed by 40 mg for 2 day, followed by 30 mg for 1 day, followed by 20 mg for 1 day, followed by 10 mg for 1 day, and followed by 5 mg for 1 day), with oral pentoxifylline 400 mg twice per day. In all cases, the hearing assessment was done by pure tone audiometry on the day of presentation and weekly after treatment initiation until one month. The hearing improvement was evaluated based on the change in hearing threshold from the pretreatment to the 1-month follow-up

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

audiogram. Recovery was considered when post-treatment PTA that was $\geq 50\%$ of the reference hearing level.

Data was collected and compiled using Microsoft Excel, analysed using SPSS 23.0 version. Frequency, percentage, means and standard deviations (SD) was calculated for the continuous variables.

while ratios and proportions were calculated for the categorical variables. Difference of proportions between qualitative variables was tested using chi-square test or Fisher exact test as applicable. P value less than 0.5 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Table 1: General characteristics

Characteristics	Total (n=100)	With recovery	Without recovery	P
	n%	(n=40) n%	(n=60) n%	value
Age in years				
≤ 20	5 (5)	4 (80)	1 (20)	
21-40	30 (35)	11 (36.66)	19 (63.34)	
41-60	43 (43)	15 (34.88)	28 (65.12)	
>60	17 (17)	7 (41.17)	10 (58.83)	
Mean Age (years)	47.66 ± 13.54	41.87 ± 9.34	50.51 ± 10.78	< 0.05
Gender				
Male	48 (48)	18 (37.5)	30 (62.5)	>0.05
Female	52 (52)	20 (38.46)	32 (61.54)	
Comorbidity				
Hypertension	35 (35)	12 (34.28)	23 (65.72)	>0.05
Diabetes	15 (15)	6 (40)	9 (60)	>0.05
Dyslipidemia	20 (20)	6 (30)	14 (70)	>0.05
Thyroid disorder	8 (8)	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	>0.05
Autoimmune	1(1)	0	1 (100)	0.00
disease				

All patients were compared according to recovery status. Recovery was noticed in 40 patients (40%) while no or less than 50% recovery was noticed in 60 patients (60%). Majority of patients were from 41-60 years age group (43%) followed by from 21-40 years age group (30%). In patients with recovery, mean age was 41.87 ± 9.34 years as compared to patients without recovery as 50.51 ± 10.78 years and difference was statistically significant

(p<0.05). Gender was comparable among total patients as well as patients with or without recovery and difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05). Hypertension (35%), diabetes (20%), dyslipidemia (20%) and thyroid disorder (8%) were common comorbidities noted among patients. We compared comorbidities among patients with or without recovery and difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05).

Table 2: Clinical features

Clinical	Total (n=100)	With recovery	Without recovery	P
features	n%	(n=40) n%	(n=60) n%	value
Vertigo	40 (40)	15 (37.5)	25 (62.5)	< 0.05
Tinnitus	80 (80)	32 (40)	48 (60)	>0.05

Vertigo was significant in patients without recovery (62.50%) as compared to patients with recovery (37.50%) and difference was statistically significant (p<0.05). Tinnitus was

comparable in patients with recovery (40%) as well as patients without recovery (60%) and difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05).

Table 3: Audiogram curve

Audiogram curve	Total	With recovery	Without recovery	P
	(n=100) n%	(n=40) n%	(n=60) n%	value
Ascending	15 (15)	7 (46.66)	8 (53.34)	
Descending	40 (40)	16 (40)	24 (60)	0.016
Flat, U-shaped, reverse	45 (45)	20 (44.44)	25(55.55)	
Ushaped				

Audiogram curve was flat, U-shaped, reverse U-shaped (45%) in majority of patients followed by descending (40%) and ascending (15%).

Table 4: Degree of hearing loss

TWO IT I BEST OF THE WITTER TOO					
Degree of hearing	Total (n=100)	With recovery	Without recovery	P	
loss	n%	(n=40) n%	(n=60) n%	value	
Mild	8 (8)	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)		
Moderate	10 (10)	4 (40)	6 (60)		
Moderately Severe	20 (20)	8 (40)	12 (60)	0.012	
Severe	25 (25)	10 (40)	15 (60)		
Profound	37 (37)	15 (40.54)	22 (59.46)		

Degree of hearing loss was mild (8%), moderate (10%), moderately severe (20%), severe (25%) and profound (37%). Incidence of patients without recovery was increased with increase in severity of hearing loss and difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

Table 5: Time to initiate treatment

Time to initiate	Total	With recovery	Without recovery	P
treatment (days)	(n=100) n%	(n=40) n%	(n=60) n%	value
≤ 14	62 (62)	40 (64.51)	22 (35.49)	
15-30	25 (25)	10 (40)	15 (60)	0.009
>30	13 (13)	4 (30.76)	9 (69.24)	

Time to initiate treatment was \leq 14 days (62%) in majority of patients followed by 15-30 days (25%) and >30 days (13%). Recovery was noted in early initiation of treatment and difference was statistically significant (p<0.05).

Discussion

Sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) is an otologic emergency defined as sensorineural hearing loss 30 dB that affects at least 3 consecutive frequencies and occurs within a 72-hour window. The incidence of SSNHL is estimated at 5 to 27 per 100,000 people annually. [15] The physiopathologic mechanisms involved with SSNHL are

still under debate, as several theories have been proposed: circulatory disturbances, viral infections, autoimmune disorders, disruptions of inner ear membranes, cerebellopontine angle tumors, or a combination of processes. [16]

The etiology of ISSNHL remains unknown. Its pathogenesis is most often suggested to be due to a disturbed microcirculation and infection. Purushothaman G et al., [17] studied 122 patients, 58% had complete recovery and 28% had partial recovery. The average pre-treatment PTA was 78.3 ± 16.9 dB whereas post-treatment average was 47.0 ± 20.8 dB, showing statistically

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

significant improvement (t=24.89, $P \le 0.001$). The factors such as presence of tinnitus (P=0.005) and initial milder hearing loss (P=0.005) were found to be significant predictors for hearing recovery. Conventional steroid regimes produced a recovery rate in ISSNHL, which exceeds the spontaneous recovery rate. Adriana P et al., [18] studied idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSNHL) among 186 patients, majority patients were between 41 and 60 years of age. Univariate analysis revealed that vertigo; presence of severe or profound initial hearing loss; flat, U-shaped, and descending audiogram curves; and initiating treatment after 15 days were correlated with worse hearing recovery. However, the multivariate logistic model revealed that only the presence of severe or profound hearing loss (odds ratio, 6.634; 95% CI, 2.714-16.216; P=.001) and initiating treatment after 15 days (odds ratio, 0.250; 95% CI, 0.102- 0.610; P= .008) were independent risk factors for worse hearing recovery prognosis.

In an updated Cochrane systematic review based on 3 randomized controlled trials, as well as another recent review, both concluded that the importance of steroids in the treatment of ISSNHL remains unclear. [19,20] Even though, inconsistent results regarding the treatment success have been reported, steroid treatment is one of the treatment options that has shown efficacy In study by Lee HS et al., [21] starting treatment after 14 days of hearing loss onset was an independent factor for worse hearing recovery. Many preceding reports described a delayed start to treatment as a negative prognostic factor. [22] This finding may be explained by the possible modification of the inflammatory cell death cascade in SSNHL with the use of corticosteroids, as well as the suggestion that corticosteroids offer the most remarkable recovery in the first 2 weeks. The theory of blood circulation disturbance might be the

etiology of some cases of ISSHL. A transient reduction in blood pressure values, commonly occurs in young subjects without vascular risk factors, which may cause cochlear ischemia and impairment, reversible hearing restoration. [22] A prolonged period of unilateral hearing or pseudo hearing can lead to hearing deterioration in the better To avoid the same. cochlear implantation has to be considered over other management options in asymmetrical or unilateral hearing loss cases. [23]

Conclusion

In patients with sudden onset sensorineural hearing loss age less than 40 years, no comorbidities, lesser degree of hearing loss, early (<14 days) initiation of treatment are factors associated with recovery.

References

- 1. Stachler, R. J. et al. Clinical practice guideline: sudden hearing loss. Otolaryngology-head and neck surgery: facial journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.
- 2. Byl Jr FM. Sudden hearing loss: eight years' experience and suggested prognostic table. The Laryngoscope. 1984 May;94(5):647-61.
- 3. Yimtae K, Srirompotong S and Kraitrakul S. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. J Med Assoc Thai. 2001 Jan;84(1):1139.
- 4. Zhang X, Xu X, Ma W, Zhang Q, Tong B, Yu H, Xu M, Ren T, Rosenhall U, Anniko M, Duan M. A clinical study of sudden deafness. Acta Oto-Laryngologica. 2015 Oct 3;135 (10):1030-5.
- 5. Rauch SD. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. New England Journal of Medicine. 2008 Aug 21;359(8):833-40.
- 6. Xenellis J, Karapatsas I, Papadimitriou N, Nikolopoulos T, Maragoudakis P, Tzagkaroulakis M, Ferekidis E.

- Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: prognostic factors. The Journal of Laryngology & Otology. 2006 Sep;120(9):718-24.
- 7. Ballesteros F, Alobid I, Tassies D, Reverter JC, Scharf RE, Guilemany JM, Bernal-Sprekelsen M. Is there an overlap between sudden neurosensorial hearing loss and cardiovascular risk factors?. Audiology and Neurotology. 2009;14(3):139-45.
- 8. Hesse G, Hesch RD. Evaluation of risk factors in various forms of inner ear hearing loss. Hno. 1986 Dec 1;34(12): 503-7.
- 9. Suckfüll M, Wimmer C, Reichel O, Mees K, Schorn K. Hyperfibrinogenemia as a risk factor for sudden hearing loss. Otology & neurotology. 2002 May 1;23(3):309-11.
- 10. Ihler F, Strieth S, Pieri N, Göhring P, Canis M. Acute hyperfibrinogenemia impairs cochlear blood flow and hearing function in guinea pigs in vivo. International journal of audiology. 2012 Mar 1;51(3):210-5.
- 11. Li L, Chao T, Brant J, O'Malley Jr B, Tsourkas A, Li D. Advances in nanobased inner ear delivery systems for the treatment of sensorineural hearing loss. Advanced drug delivery reviews. 2015 Jan 1; 108:2-12.
- 12. Basner M, Brink M, Bristow A, De Kluizenaar Y, Finegold L, Hong J, Janssen SA, Klaeboe R, Leroux T, Liebl A, Matsui T. ICBEN review of research on the biological effects of noise 2011-2014. Noise & health. 2015 Mar;17(75):57.
- 13. Fasano T, Pertinhez TA, Tribi L, Lasagni D, Pilia A, Vecchia L, Baricchi R, Bianchin G. Laboratory assessment of sudden sensorineural hearing loss: A case-control study. The Laryngoscope. 2016 Oct;127(10):237 5-81.
- 14. Koo M, Hwang JH. Risk of sudden sensorineural hearing loss in patients with common preexisting sensorineural

- hearing impairment: a population-based study in Taiwan. PloS one. 2015 Mar 27;10(3): e0121190.
- 15. Chandrasekhar SS, Tsai Do BS, Schwartz SR, et al. Clinical practice guideline: sudden hearing loss (update). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;161(1): S1-S45.
- 16. Bullo F, Tzamtzis S, Tirelli G. Update on the sudden hearing loss. Indian J Otol. 2013;19(3):95-99.
- 17. Ganesan P, Kothandaraman PP, Swapna S, Manchaiah V. A Retrospective Study of the Clinical Characteristics and Post-treatment Hearing Outcome in Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss. Audiol Res. 2011 Feb 15;7(1):168.
- 18. Perez Ferreira Neto A, da Costa Monsanto R, Dore Saint Jean L, Sonzzini Ribeiro de Souza L, de Oliveira Penido N. Clinical Profile of Patients with Unilateral Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss: Correlation with Hearing Prognosis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011 Oct; 165(4):563-570.
- 19. Wei BP, Stathopoulos D, O'Leary S. Steroids for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 20 13(7).
- 20. Maldonado Fernández M, Kornetsky S, Rubio Rodriguez L. Ethics of placebo control in trials for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery. 2016 Jul;155(1):8-12.
- 21. Lee HS, Lee YJ, Kang BS, Lee BD, Lee JS. A clinical analysis of sudden sensorineural hearing loss cases. Korean journal of audiology. 2014 Sep;18(2):69.
- 22. Pirodda A, Ferri GG, Modugno GC, Borghi C. Systemic hypotension and the development of acute sensorineural hearing loss in young healthy subjects. Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery. 2001 Sep 1;127(9):104 9-52.

23. Abdulhadi Z. T., & Muhsin, Z. Y. Footprints to achieve digital smile design and esthetic: Narrative review.

Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2023;6(2):2430–2440.