e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2961-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(11); 763-767

Original Research Article

Hospital Based Prospective Observational Assessment of the Role of Intra-Abdominal Pressure Monitoring in the Management of Patients with Blunt Injury Abdomen

Ajeet Kumar[1], Bhartendu Kumar[2], Mahima Srivastava[3]

[1]Smo, Department of General Surgery, Skmch, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

[2]Prof & Hod, Department of General Surgery, Skmch, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

[3]Junior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Skmch, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

Received: 10-09-2023 / Revised: 13-10-2023 /Accepted: 15-11-2023
Corresponding Author: Dr. Ajeet Kumar
Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to assess the role of intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) monitoring in the management of patients with blunt injury abdomen.

Methods: The Hospital based prospective observational study was conducted in the Department of General Surgery in SKMCH, MUZAFFARPUR, Bihar, India with blunt injury abdomen, over a period of 12 months and 50 patients were included in the study.

Results: Out of 50 patients, 29 were treated conservatively, 21 required surgical intervention. 8 patients required ventilator support in our study. 2 patients expired. Out of 50 patients, 42 were male (84%), 8 were female (16%). Overall hospital stay (considering both conservatively managed and surgically intervened patients) increased significantly as IAP increases at 0, 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96 hours, except at 12 hours. Hospital stay decreased as IAP increases in surgically intervened group because IAP returned to normal after surgical decompression, but this finding was statistically significant only at 72 hours (p value was 0.0001) in our study.

Conclusion: There was no significant correlation regarding hospital stay and increased IAP in our study as hospital stay increased both in conservatively managed and surgically intervened patients except at 72 hours in surgically intervened group. Before development of IAH and ACS, the potential candidates should be offered surgical decompression at proper time.

Keywords: Intra-abdominal pressure, Intra-abdominal hypertension, Abdominal compartment syndrome

Introduction

Since 19th century, intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH) and abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) have been recognized. ACS has been indicated as a complication in serious blunt abdominal trauma (BAT) for more than 50 years. It develops as a consequence of increased intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) not only in abdominal trauma, but also in intestinal obstructions with serous edema of the bowels or a chronically growing ascites. [1,2] IAH and ACS are major factors responsible for significant morbidity and mortality among the critically ill patients and their role has been appreciated in last 15 years. [3-5]

The incidence of IAH in critical care patients is reported to be 50 %, of these 50 %, 32.1 % develop IAH and 4.2 % develop ACS within their first day of ICU. [6,7] Historically physical observation and measurement of abdominal girth were used to determine the presence of IAH. This method of measurement is inaccurate due to a high risk of variability and low reliability. A range of approaches to measure IAP include intra gastric, intra rectal, inferior vena cava and via a urinary indwelling catheter pressure monitoring systems. [5,8-11] Continuous bladder IAP measurements are more reliable to intermittent measurements. This technique was first described by Kron et al. which involves placing a Foley catheter in the urinary bladder. It is considered as the 'gold standard' for indirect clinical measurement of IAP. [12-15]

Abdominal trauma continues to account for a large number of trauma-related injuries and deaths. Blunt injury to the abdomen can also occur as a result of fall from height, assault with blunt objects, sports injuries, and bomb blasts. [16] Unnecessary deaths and complications can be minimized by improved resuscitation, evaluation, and treatment. Rapid

resuscitation is necessary to save the unstable but salvageable patient with abdominal trauma. [17]

Abdominal trauma can result in the increase of IAP for a variety of reasons including the accumulation of blood or free fluid in the peritoneal cavity, oedema of the intestinal wall, retroperitoneal hematoma or abdominal packing for haemorrhage control. Therefore the continuing hepatic haemorrhage and increasing amounts of bloody ascites found in failed non operative management can lead to an elevation in IAP. Evaluation of a patient with abdominal trauma can be a most challenging task that a surgeon may be called upon to deal with. Investigative modality can only supplement the clinical evaluation and cannot replace it in the diagnosis of blunt abdominal trauma. [18]

The aim of the present study was to assess the role of intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) monitoring in the management of patients with blunt injury abdomen.

Materials and Methods

The Hospital based prospective observational study was conducted in the Department of General Surgery in SKMCH, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India with blunt injury abdomen, over a period of 12 months and 50 patients were included in the study.

Inclusion Criteria

Age ≥ 18 years, patients with acute blunt injury abdomen were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with penetrating injuries, polytrauma, bladder injury, pathology and urological problems, with intra- abdominal mass and pregnant females were excluded from the study.

The study included patients admitted with blunt injury abdomen in our hospital. Institutional ethics committee approval was obtained before starting the study. Patients/patient attendants who were willing to give informed consent were included in study. IAP was measured in emergency medicine department and ICU at presentation, that is, 0 hours, 3 hours, 6 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours and 96 hours.

Duration of ICU and hospital stay, occurrence of intraabdominal hypertension, new organ function damage, need for 764achypnea764 support and mortality in patients of blunt trauma abdomen were noted as outcomes.

Parameters noted were blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation (SpO2), urine output, blood urea, serum creatinine, IAP, time of presentation to hospital after injury, duration of ICU and hospital stay, need for 764achypnea764 support, morbidity (new organ- system dysfunction) and mortality.

IAP was measured indirectly by estimating intra vesical pressure through a Foley's catheter. The whole procedure was carried out under aseptic precautions. In already inserted per-urethral Foleys catheter (assuming and assuring empty urinary bladder, 25 ml of normal saline (NS) instilled into bladder, sterile transparent tubing attached to it and held vertically at 90° at pubic symphysis. The length of vertical normal saline column was measured when steady. It is calculated as intra vesicle pressure in terms of cm of water and was calculated in terms of mm of Hg with help of following formula, 1 cm of water=0.736 mm of Hg.

After completion of this procedure, Foleys catheter was reconnected to urobag. Blunt injury abdomen patients were managed as per advanced trauma life support (ATLS) guidelines in our study. Patients who were in need of assisted ventilation, were managed with mechanical ventilator. Post-operative clinical outcome was measured in terms of survival and mortality. Patients which showed impending signs and sequels of raised IAP, early surgical decompression of abdomen was performed in the form of DCS. Any of the clinical signs like tachycardia, drop in blood pressure or urine output, 764achypnea, distention of abdomen and increase in IAP were considered as signs of impending IAH.[19]

Patients who required surgical decompression (on basis of 2 consecutive findings of raised IAP in case of solid organ injury and all cases with hollow organ perforation, IAP >20 mm of Hg were considered for surgical intervention and inspite of IAP <20 mm of Hg if vital parameters were deranged were also considered for surgical decompression of abdomen.), underwent emergency exploratory laparotomy. In patients with ACS, the decision to proceed with decompressive laparotomy was decided by primary surgeon in-charge of the patient, who deteriorated upon a trial of non-operative management, after taking in to above clinical and laboratory parameters.[20]

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistical analysis is carried out in this study to explore the distributions of several characteristics of the cases studied. Results on categorical data are shown as N (% of cases) and the results on quantitative variables are shown as mean standard±deviation across two surgical groups. The statistical significance of difference of various qualitative responses between two surgical groups is tested using Chi square test for independence of attributes. For comparing quantitative variables across two surgical groups, independent sample t test and Pearson correlation is used after confirming the underlying normality assumption. P values less

than 0.05 would be considered to be statistically significant. The entire statistical analysis is performed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS ver 17, Inc. Chicago, USA) for MS windows. The technical details on the sample size calculation and the statistical formulae used are given in 10.2 appendix- statistical analysis.

Results

Table 1: Conservative/surgical management

Management	**Frequency**	**Percent**
Conservative	29	59
Surgery	21	42
Total	50	100
Ventilatory support		
Yes	8	16
No	42	84
Outcome of study population (survival/expired)		
Yes	48	96
No	2	4
Gender		
Male	42	84
Female	8	16
Mean age	34.46±10.54	
Time of presentation	7.3±3.37	
Hospital stay	8.032±3.02	

Out of 50 patients, 29 were treated conservatively, 21 required surgical intervention. 8 patients required ventilator support in our study. 2 patients expired. Out of 50 patients, 42 were male (84%), 8 were female (16%).

Table 2: Observation between IAP and hospital stay in surgically intervened patients

Time		**Mean**	**Standard deviation**	**Correlation**	**P value**
0	IAP	10.6	3.036	-0.316	0.075
	Hospital stay	10.786	1.68		
3	IAP	12.32	4.86	-0.268	0.136
	Hospital stay	10.782	1.6790		
6	IAP	12.08	4.96	-0.190	0.270
	Hospital stay	10.775	1.6785		
12	IAP	17.13	24.66	-0.120	0.530
	Hospital stay	10.778	1.68		
24	IAP	16.74	7.43	-0.284	0.129
	Hospital stay	10.780	1.68		
48	IAP	12.66	5.5	0.226	0.220
	Hospital stay	10.781	1.69		
72	IAP	11.9	6.4	0.610	0.0001
	Hospital stay	10.784	1.68		
96	IAP	8.075932	.8510932	0.482	0.009
	Hospital stay	10.784	1.6790		

Table 3: Observation between IAP and hospital stay in conservatively treated patients

Time		**Mean**	**Standard deviation**	**Correlation**	**P value**
0	IAP	9.56	2.58	0.634	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.184	1.9205		
3	IAP	9.61	2.25	0.743	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.184	1.94		
6	IAP	9.34	2.36	0.773	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.185	1.9206		
12	IAP	9.18	2.128	0.810	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.183	1.9203		
24	IAP	8.64	1.833	0.783	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.184	1.9204		

48	IAP	8.24	1.524	0.778	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.182	1.92		
72	IAP	7.955	0.23	0.732	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.182	1.9202		
96	IAP	7.41	1.1	0.644	0.0001
	Hospital stay	6.182	1.9202		

Overall hospital stay (considering both conservatively managed and surgically intervened patients) increased significantly as IAP increases at 0, 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96 hours, except at 12 hours. Hospital stay decreased as IAP increases in surgically intervened group because IAP returned to normal after surgical decompression, but this finding was statistically significant only at 72 hours (p value was 0.0001) in our study.

Discussion

Trauma has been called the neglected disease of modern society, despite its close companionship with man. Trauma is the leading cause of death and disability in developing countries and the most common cause of death under 45 years of age. [21] Trauma is the second largest cause of disease accounting for 16% of global burden. The WHO estimates that by 2020, trauma will be the first or second leading cause of years of productive life lost for the entire world population.2 Pre-hospital transportation, initial assessment, thorough resuscitative measures and correct diagnosis are of utmost importance in trauma management. [22] World over injury is the 7th cause of mortality and abdomen is the third most common injured organ. Abdominal injuries require surgery in about 25% of cases. 85% of abdominal traumas are of blunt character. [23]

Out of 50 patients, 29 were treated conservatively, 21 required surgical intervention. 8 patients required ventilator support in our study. 2 patients expired. Out of 50 patients, 42 were male (84%), 8 were female (16%). Similar finding was observed in study conducted by Mehta et al [22], Bhoir et al. [19] Overall hospital stay (considering both conservatively managed and surgically intervened patients) increased significantly as IAP increases at 0, 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96 hours, except at 12 hours. Bhoir et al [19] R. R. increased significantly as IAP increases at 0, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours of hospital admission. Hospital stay decreased as IAP increases in surgically intervened group because IAP returned to normal after surgical decompression, but this finding was statistically significant only at 72 hours (p value was 0.0001) in our study.

In our present study considering both conservatively managed and surgically intervened patients overall hospital stay increased significantly as IAP increases at 0, 3, 6, 24, 48, 72, 96 hours except at 12 hours. This was in contrast to study conducted by Khan et al [24], in which there was no significant correlation between IAP and duration of hospital stay. Hospital stay decreased as IAP increases in surgically intervened patients because IAP returned to normal after surgical decompression, but this finding was statistically significant only at 72 hours (p value was 0.0001) in our study. As IAP increases hospital stay increased in conservatively managed patients as IAP took longer time to become normal in contrast to surgically managed patients, this finding was statistically significant at 0, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96 hours (p value was 0.0001). One patient succumbed to death at 9 hours of presentation to hospital in emergency department due to massive retroperitoneal hematoma (detected on CECT abdomen IV contrast) in spite of prompt resuscitative measures.

Conclusion

There was no significant correlation regarding hospital stay and increased IAP in our study as hospital stay increased both in conservatively managed and surgically intervened patients except at 72 hours in surgically intervened group. Before development of IAH and ACS, the potential candidates should be offered surgical decompression at proper time.

References

1. Coombs HC. The mechanism of the regulation of intra-abdominal pressure. American Journal of Physiology-Legacy Content. 1922 Jun 1;61 (1):159-70.
2. Ivatury RR, Porter JM, Simon RJ, Islam S, John R, Stahl WM. Intra-abdominal hypertension after life-threatening penetrating abdominal trauma: prophylaxis, incidence, and clinical relevance to gastric mucosal pH and abdominal compartment syndrome. J Trauma. 1998 Jun;44(6):1016-21; discussion 1021-3.
3. Hunt L, Frost SA, Hillman K, Newton PJ, Davidson PM. Management of intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome: a review. J Trauma Manag Outcomes. 2014 Feb 5;8(1):2.
4. Cheatham ML, Safcsak K. Is the evolving management of intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome improving survival? Crit Care Med. 2010 Feb; 38(2):402-7.
5. Cheatham ML. Abdominal compartment syndrome. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2009 Apr;15 (2):154-62.

6. Malbrain ML, Cheatham ML, Kirkpatrick A, Sugrue M, De Waele J, Ivatury R. Abdominal compartment syndrome: it's time to pay attention! Intensive Care Med. 2006 Nov;32 (11):1912-4.
7. Kim I, Prowle J, Baldwin I, Bellomo R. Incidence, risk factors and outcome associations of intra-abdominal hypertension in critically ill patients. Anaesth Intensive Care. 2012;40(1):79–89.
8. Malbrain MLNG, Cheatham ML, Kirkpatrick A, Sugrue M, Parr M, De Waele J, et al. Results from the international conference of experts on intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome. I. definitions. Intensive Care Med. 2006;32(11): 1722–32.
9. Cheatham ML. Intra-abdominal pressure monitoring during fluid resuscitation. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2008;14(3):327–33.
10. Malbrain M, Jones F. Intra-abdominal pressure measurement techniques. In: Ivatury R, Cheatham M, Malbrain M, Sugrue M, editors. Abdominal compartment syndrome. Texas: Landes Bioscience; 2006.
11. 11. Sugrue M, Bauman A, Jones F, Bishop G, Flabouris A, Parr M. Clinical examination is an inaccurate predictor of intra-abdominal pressure. World J Surg. 2002;26(12):1428–31.
12. Malbrain M, De Laet I, Viaene D, Schoonheydt K, Dits H. In vitro validation of a novel method for continuous intra-abdominal pressure monitoring. Intensive Care Med. 2008 ;34(4):740–5.
13. Kron IL, Harman PK, Nolan SP. The measurement of intra-abdominal pressure as a criterion for abdominal re-exploration. Ann Surg. 1984;199(1):28–30.
14. Malbrain M. Abdominal compartment syndrome. F1000 Med Reports. 2009;1:86.
15. Wendon J, Biancofiore G, Auzinger G. Intra-abdominal hypertension and the liver. In: Ivatury RR, Cheatham ML, Malbrain M, Sugrue M, editors. Abdominal Compartment Syndrome. Georgetown, TX: Landis Bioscience; 2006. pp. 138–43.
16. Townsend CM. Sabiston Textbook of Surgery. 19th ed., Philadelphia, PA: Saunders 2012;19: 455-9.
17. Meyer AA, Crass RA. Abdominal trauma. Surg Clin North Am 1982;62:105-11.
18. Bains L, Lal P, Mishra A, Gupta A, Gautam KK, Kaur D. Abdominal Compartment Syndrome: A Comprehensive Pathophysiolog - ical Review. MAMC J Med Sci 2019;5:47 56.
19. Bhoir LN, Hukeri A. Role of intra vesicle pressure monitoring in patients of blunt traumatic acute abdomen: a study of 52 cases. Ann Surg Int. 2016;2(4):1-7.
20. Tiwari AR, Pandya JS. Study of the occurrence of intra-abdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome in patients of blunt abdominal trauma and its correlation with the clinical outcome in the above patients. World Journal of Emergency Surgery. 2016 Dec;11:1-7.
21. Vlies CHVD, Olthof DC, Gaakeer M, Ponsen KJ, Delden OMV, Goslings JC. Changing patterns in diagnostic strategies and the treatment of blunt injury to solid abdominal organs. Int J Emerg Med. 2011;4:47.
22. Mehta N, Babu S, Venugopal K. An experience with blunt abdominal trauma: evaluation, management and outcome. Clin Pract. 2014;4(2):599.
23. Karamercan A, Yilmaz TU, Karamercan MA, Aytac B. Blunt abdominal trauma: evaluation of diagnostic options and surgical outcomes. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg. 2008;14(3): 205-10.
24. Khan S, Verma AK, Ahmad SM, Ahmad R. Analyzing intra-abdominal pressures and outcomes in patients undergoing emergency laparotomy. J Emerg Trauma Shock. 2010;3(4) :318-25.