Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(11); 74-78

Original Research Article

A Hospital-Based Study Assessing the Efficacy of Amantadine in Improving Cognitive Dysfunction in Patients with Severe Traumatic Brain Injury

Sachin Kumar Singh¹, Gaurav Batra², Girish K.M³

¹Post MCh Senior Resident, Department of Neurosurgery, Govt. T.D. Medical College & Hospital, Alappuzha, Kerala, India.

²Post MCh Senior Resident, Department of Neurosurgery, Govt. T.D. Medical College & Hospital, Alappuzha, Kerala, India.

³Professor and HOD, Department of Neurosurgery, Govt. T.D. Medical College & Hospital, Alappuzha,

Kerala, India.

Received: 14-05-2023 Revised: 20-06-2023 / Accepted: 26-07-2023 Corresponding author: Dr. Gaurav Batra Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to study the efficacy of amantadine in improving cognitive dysfunction in patients with severe traumatic brain injury.

Methods: We conducted a single institution-based observational study at Department of Neurosurgery. We obtained informed consent from the legal representative or next of kin/relative for each patient to be enrolled and have their data published. 70 patients were included in the study. The patients who survived severe TBI were observed for 2 months with Full Outline of Unresponsiveness (FOUR) score.

Results: The cognitive function improved progressively during the 4-week treatment interval as shown by significant improvement on FOUR score, DRS, and GOS. The adverse effects included spasticity, agitation, vomiting, rash, restlessness, diarrhoea, elevated liver function tests, generalised tonic-clonic seizures (GTCS), constipation, focal convulsions, and nausea.

Conclusion: Administration of amantadine is safe and associated with rapid cognitive improvement in patients with static or declining cognitive function occurring after severe TBI, which is the foundation for functional independence.

Keywords: Amantadine, Cognitive Dysfunction, Severe Traumatic Brain Injury.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major cause of mortality and morbidity [1] and even immediate survivors have significant risk of morbidity and mortality due to subsequent secondary pulmonary complications. [2] Moreover, brain injuries are considered one of the leading causes of disability worldwide [3] as about half of survivors of TBI especially those who developed severe sequel [4] will continue to suffer chronic severe disability despite of receiving an appropriate rehabilitation program [5] and so, represent socioeconomic burden. [6] Consciousness includes two main components; the alertness or arousal and conscious perception or awareness. [7] Anatomically, the level of arousal is mainly supported by the brainstem and the thalami and awareness is underpinned by cerebral cortex and through the fronto-parietal network. [8] Clinically, alertness can be observed as the presence of eye opening and awareness is mostly inferred by command and can be further divided into awareness of self and environment. [9]

Amantadine hydrochloride is an FDA-approved medication for the treatment of dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease [10] and influenza prophylaxis. [11] It acts as a N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist and dopamine agonist via dopamine release and dopamine reuptake inhibition. Its mechanism of action seems to support the current hypothesis that disruption in the dopaminergic and glutamatergic pathways are responsible for cognitive deficits in TBI. [12] Therefore, there has been growing interest in exploring the potential use of amantadine for cognitive recovery in this clinical condition. Despite promising results in animal models [13-15], the effect of amantadine in treating cognitive deficits after TBI remains controversial. In 2018,

the AAN recommended the use of amantadine to hasten the rate of cognitive recovery in disorders of consciousness. [16]

Kraus and colleagues [17] found a positive effect of amantadine on executive function, but not attention and memory, in an open-label, prospective study of 22 individuals with chronic TBI receiving 400mg of amantadine daily over 12 weeks. A retrospective study by Reddy and colleagues [18] studied amantadine use in 25 adolescents whose cognitive function failed to return to baseline after 21 days of rest after sports-related concussion. This cohort who received amantadine 100mg twice-daily was compared to a cohort (matched on age, sex, and concussion history) who were not treated with pharmacological agents. This comparison found superior pre- to post-test improvements in concussion symptoms (total score on 22- item selfreport symptom inventory), verbal memory, and reaction time for the amantadine group, but no between-group differences for visual memory and visual motor processing speed.

The aim of the present study was to study the efficacy of amantadine in improving cognitive dysfunction in patients with severe traumatic brain injury.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a single institution-based observational study at department of neurosurgery, Govt. T.D. Medical College & Hospital, Alappuzha, Kerala, India for one year. We obtained informed consent from the legal representative or next of kin/relative for each patient to be enrolled and have their data published. 70 patients were included in the study. The patients who survived severe TBI were observed for 2 months with Full Outline of Unresponsiveness (FOUR) score. We used the FOUR score as it has an advantage over Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) to assess nonverbal signs of consciousness in intubated patients and in whom all components of GCS cannot be performed. Furthermore, FOUR score can be performed in later course to compare the cognitive and functional status of the patient. Those patients, who either did not improve from the day of trauma or those patients who had stopped improving after a certain number of days and were fulfilling the inclusion/exclusion criteria were considered and enrolled for the study. We enrolled a total of fifty patients who received amantadine 200 mg/day (100 mg twice a day) orally or through enteral feeding tube for duration of 4 weeks.

While recruiting, we excluded patients with known comorbid conditions as previous studies have reported occurrence and exacerbation of adverse effects in patients with preexisting disease. During the study, we monitored the patients for occurrence of any adverse effects. The functional assessment done using FOUR score, Disability Rating Scale (DRS), and Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) at enrollment, 1 and 4 weeks of treatment, and 2 weeks posttreatment was compared.

Results

FOUR score	Ν	Median±IQR	Minimum	Maximum	P Value
At enrollment	70	$11.00{\pm}2.28$	7.00	11.00	
At 1 week	65	13.00 ± 2.00	8.00	13.00	< 0.001
At 4 weeks	68	15.00 ± 2.01	9.00	16.00	< 0.001
At 6 weeks	68	15.00±2.01	9.00	16.00	< 0.001

The cognition improved rapidly during 4 weeks of treatment as shown in improvement on FOUR score.

Table 2: Disability rating score values for functional	assessment
--	------------

Disability rating score	Ν	Median±IQR	Minimum	Maximum	P Value
At enrollment	70	21.45±5.00	17.00	28.00	
At 1 week	65	17.00±3.00	12.00	26.00	< 0.001
At 4 weeks	68	14.00±6.54	8.00	24.00	< 0.001
At 6 weeks	68	14.00±6.55	8.00	24.00	< 0.001

The cognition improved rapidly during 4 weeks of treatment as shown in improvement on Disability rating score.

Table 3: Glasgow	Outcome S	core values	for function	al assessment

Glasgow Outcome score	Ν	Median±IQR	Minimum	Maximum	P Value
At enrollment	70	3.00±1.00	2.00	3.00	
At 1 week	65	3.00±0.00	2.00	4.00	< 0.001
At 4 weeks	68	3.00±1.00	2.00	4.00	< 0.001
At 6 weeks	68	3.00±1.00	2.00	4.00	< 0.001

The cognition improved rapidly during 4 weeks of treatment as shown in improvement on Glasgow Outcome Score.

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research

Table 4: Adverse events				
Adverse events	N%			
Nausea	1 (1.42)			
Constipation	2 (2.85)			
Diarrhea	4 (5.71)			
Elevated LFT	4 (5.71)			
Focal convulsions	4 (5.71)			
Rash	6 (8.57)			
Restlessness	6 (8.57)			
GTCS	7 (10)			
Vomiting	10 (14.28)			
Agitation	12 (17.14)			
Spasticity	14 (20)			

The adverse effects included spasticity, agitation, vomiting, rash, restlessness, diarrhea, elevated liver function tests, generalised tonic clonic seizures (GTCS), constipation, focal convulsions, and nausea.

Discussion

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) constitutes a major public health problem. [19] The estimated prevalence of patients with TBI in India is 9.7 million, and approximately 16% sustain severe TBI. [20] Most road traffic accident victims are in the 20-40-year age group, the economically productive years, and are many times the main bread earners of the family, putting the whole family below the poverty line in many cases while depriving society of vital drivers of economy as in many cases these are entrepreneurs or professionals. With advances in the management of head trauma, an increasing number of patients are surviving with residual neurological impairments causing significant morbidity. As the treatment for cognitive dysfunction in severe TBI is relatively limited, pharmacological treatments to enhance neurobehavior have been tried and tested, on the premise that TBI-induced derangements in dopaminergic neurotransmitter systems may improve through supplementation. Administration of amantadine promotes dopaminergic activity and hence is a proposed therapeutic option to improve cognition. [21]

The cognitive function improved progressively during the 4-week treatment interval as shown by significant improvement on FOUR score, DRS, and GOS. The adverse effects included spasticity, agitation, vomiting, rash, restlessness, diarrhea, elevated liver function tests, generalised tonic clonic seizures (GTCS), constipation, focal convulsions, and nausea. Our findings were consistent with observational reports suggesting acceleration of cognitive recovery in severe TBI patients receiving amantadine but differed with those suggesting loss of achieved recovery after discontinuation of the drug. [22-25] On contrary to the obtained results and that previously documented in literature, Hammond et al [26] reported non-significant differences on cognitive functions between amantadine and placebo. However, Hammond's study [26] included individuals with chronic complicated mild-tosevere TBI since more than 4 months, while the current study included patients with acute TBI. Also, Hammond's study included 119 individuals divided into two groups, so sample size was small to get a final conclusion. Finally, Hammond et al [26] concluded that the effect-size was small suggesting that changes observed across assessments may not have functional significance. In trial to explain the beneficial effect of amantadine, Tan et al [27] detected, in rat model of TBI, decreased dopamine concentration in the degeneration and striatum, apoptosis of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra with depression-like behavior and found these effects were reversed by amantadine therapy and attributed its anti-depression effect to these actions.

In the available literature, amantadine has been mainly tested in patients who suffered from severe TBI. Although the definition of severe TBI is well described and established, this term subtends a wide spectrum of diverse clinical presentations and different potentials for recovery. Recently, the discovery of covert consciousness in the early stage of brain injury has been shown to have prognostic significance. Specifically, among other studies, Claassen et al. meticulously studied 104 unresponsive brain injured patients admitted to the intensive care unit and demonstrated early brain processed activation on machine-learning electroencephalogram (EEG) in 15 % of the cohort, defining it as cognitive-motor dissociation (CMD). [28] At 12 months after injury, 44 % of patients with CMD had a GOS-E level of 4 or higher, compared to only 14 % of patients without early brain activation. Presence of CMD seems to be associated with a better potential for long-term cognitive recovery. Thus, this could be a group of patients that potentially merits targeted treatment in future clinical trials. Cognitive outcomes were

greatly diverse across the studies. DRS and GOS are currently the most commonly utilized scales to assess outcome in TBI. Although these scales are widespread, objective, and represent a simple evaluation of independence in daily activities, they might miss important endpoints related to cognitive capacities, quality of life and more broadly patientoutcomes. Therefore, centered more а comprehensive cognitive evaluation through batteries of neuropsychological testing, like the one proposed in the work of Sneider et al [29], might enhance the testing accuracy for cognitive recovery.

Conclusion

Administration of amantadine is safe and associated with rapid cognitive improvement in patients with static or declining cognitive function occurring after severe TBI, which is the foundation for functional independence. The study was conducted to check correct operation, reliability, and validity of the result; identify adverse effects caused and effectiveness of actions to reduce them; examine feasibility of large-scale study; enhance data integrity, opportunity to develop consistent practices such as source documentation, informed consent procedures, data collection tools, and regulatory reporting procedures; and examine feasibility of adverse event reporting system.

References

- 1. Mckee AC, Daneshvar DH. The neuropathology of traumatic brain injury. Handbook of clinical neurology. 2015 Jan 1;12 7:45-66.
- 2. Hu PJ, Pittet JF, Kerby JD, Bosarge PL, Wagener BM. Acute brain trauma, lung injury, and pneumonia: more than just altered mental status and decreased airway protection. American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology. 2017 Jul 1;313(1): L1-5.
- Carrillo-Mora P, Alcantar-Shramm JM, Almaguer-Benavides KM, Macías-Gallardo JJ, Fuentes-Bello A, Rodríguez-Barragán MA. Pharmacological stimulation of neuronal plasticity in acquired brain injury. Clinical Neuropharmacology. 2017 May 1;40(3):131-9.
- Ding Q, Wang Z, Shen M, Su Z, Shen L. Acute alcohol exposure and risk of mortality of patients with traumatic brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Alcoholism: clinical and experimental research .2017 Sep;41(9):1532-40.
- Schiff ND. Central thalamic contributions to arousal regulation and neurological disorders of consciousness. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2008 May;1129(1):105-18.
- 6. Botella-López A, Garcia-Lopez R, Pombero A, Martinez S. Radial glia fibers translate Fgf8

morphogenetic signals to generate a thalamic nuclear complex protomap in the mantle layer. Brain Structure and Function. 2019 Mar 4;22 4:661-79.

- Långsjö JW, Lehtimäki K, Ruohonen J, Sajanti A, Sandell S, Heikkilä HT, Brander A, Saarinen K, Herrala L. Critical neural targets for (the level of) human consciousness: arousal arrest and unconsciousness after sumatriptan administration. Brain injury. 2016 Dec 5;30 (13-14):1731-6.
- 8. Aoki FY, Sitar DS. Clinical pharmacokinetics of amantadine hydrochloride. Clinical pharmacokinetics. 1988 Jan; 14:35-51.
- 9. Chang C, Ramphul K: Amantadine. StatPearls [Internet].
- Elkurd MT, Bahroo LB, Pahwa R. The role of extended-release amantadine for the treatment of dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease patients. Neurodegenerative disease management. 2018 Apr;8(2):73-80.
- 11. Galvão MG, Santos MA, da Cunha AJ. Amantadine and rimantadine for influenza A in children and the elderly. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2014(11).
- 12. Kornhuber J, Weller M. Psychotogenicity and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonism: implications for neuroprotective pharmacotherapy. Biological psychiatry. 1997 Jan 15;41(2):135-44.
- Wang T, Huang XJ, Van KC, Went GT, Nguyen JT, Lyeth BG. Amantadine improves cognitive outcome and increases neuronal survival after fluid percussion traumatic brain injury in rats. Journal of neurotrauma. 2014 Feb 15;31(4):370-7.
- 14. Bleimeister IH, Wolff M, Lam TR, Brooks DM, Patel R, Cheng JP, Bondi CO, Kline AE. Environmental enrichment and amantadine confer individual but nonadditive enhancements in motor and spatial learning after controlled cortical impact injury. Brain research. 2019 Jul 1; 1714:227-33.
- Okigbo AA, Helkowski MS, Royes BJ, Bleimeister IH, Lam TR, Bao GC, Cheng JP, Bondi CO, Kline AE. Dose-dependent neurorestorative effects of amantadine after cortical impact injury. Neuroscience letters. 2019 Feb 16; 694:69-73.
- 16. Giacino, J.T., Katz, D.I., Schiff, N.D., Whyte, J., Ashman, E.J., Ashwal, S., Barbano, R., Hammond, F.M., Laureys, S., Ling, G.S. and Nakase-Richardson, R., 2018. Practice guideline update recommendations summary: disorders of consciousness: report of the Guideline Development, Dissemination, and Implementation Subcommittee of the of Neurology; American Academy the of American Congress Rehabilitation Medicine; and the National Institute on

Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation, 99(9), pp.1699-1709.

- 17. Kraus MF, Smith GS, Butters M, Donnell AJ, Dixon E, Yilong C, Marion D. Effects of the dopaminergic agent and NMDA receptor antagonist amantadine on cognitive function, cerebral glucose metabolism and D2 receptor availability in chronic traumatic brain injury: a study using positron emission tomography (PET). Brain Injury. 2005 Jul 1;19(7):471-9.
- Reddy CC, Collins M, Lovell M, Kontos AP. Efficacy of amantadine treatment on symptoms and neurocognitive performance among adolescents following sports-related concussion. The Journal of head trauma rehabilitation. 2013 Jul 1;28(4):260-5.
- 19. Ghajar J. Traumatic brain injury. The Lancet. 2000 Sep 9;356(9233):923-9.
- 20. Gururaj G. Epidemiology of traumatic brain injuries: Indian scenario. Neurological research .2002 Jan 1;24(1):24-8.
- 21. KARLI DC, BURKE DT, KIM HJ, CALVANIO R, FITZPATRICK M, TEMPLE D, MACNEIL M, PESEZ K, LEPAK P. Case study: effects of dopaminergic combination therapy for frontal lobe dysfunction in traumatic brain injury rehabilitation. Brain Injury. 1999 Jan 1;13(1):63-8.
- 22. Giacino JT, Whyte J, Bagiella E, Kalmar K, Childs N, Khademi A, Eifert B, Long D, Katz DI, Cho S, Yablon SA. Placebo-controlled trial of amantadine for severe traumatic brain injury. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012 Mar 1;366(9):819-26.
- 23. Schneider, Jessie Drew-Cates, Tony M. Wong, Mary L. Dombovy WN. Cognitive and behavioural efficacy of amantadine in acute

traumatic brain injury: an initial double-blind placebo-controlled study. Brain Injury. 1999 Jan 1;13(11):863-72.

- 24. Meythaler JM, Brunner RC, Johnson A, Novack TA. Amantadine to improve neurorecovery in traumatic brain injury– associated diffuse axonal injury: a pilot double-blind randomized trial. The Journal of head trauma rehabilitation. 2002 Aug 1;17(4): 300-13.
- Nickels JL, Schneider WN, Dombovy ML, Wong TM. Clinical use of amantadine in brain injury rehabilitation. Brain Injury. 1994 Jan 1;8(8):709-18.
- 26. Hammond FM, Sherer M, Malec JF, Zafonte RD, Dikmen S, Bogner J, Bell KR, Barber J, Temkin N. Amantadine did not positively impact cognition in chronic traumatic brain injury: a multi-site, randomized, controlled trial. Journal of neurotrauma. 2018 Oct 1;35 (19):2298-305.
- 27. Tan L, Ge H, Tang J, Fu C, Duanmu W, Chen Y, Hu R, Sui J, Liu X, Feng H. Amantadine preserves dopamine level and attenuates depression-like behavior induced by traumatic brain injury in rats. Behavioural brain research. 2015 Feb 15;279:274-82.
- Claassen J, Doyle K, Matory A, Couch C, Burger KM, Velazquez A, Okonkwo JU, King JR, Park S, Agarwal S, Roh D. Detection of brain activation in unresponsive patients with acute brain injury. New England Journal of Medicine. 2019 Jun 27;380(26):2497-505.
- 29. Schneider, Jessie Drew-Cates, Tony M. Wong, Mary L. Dombovy WN. Cognitive and behavioural efficacy of amantadine in acute traumatic brain injury: an initial double-blind placebo-controlled study. Brain Injury. 1999 Jan 1;13(11):863-72.