

A Hospital Based Clinico-Demographic Assessment of Non Proteinuric Kidney Disease in Type 2 Diabetic PatientsGopal Prasad¹, Kumar Abhinav², Sujay Ranjan³¹Assistant Professor, Department of Nephrology, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India²Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India³Junior Resident, Department of Medicine, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 01-05-2023 Revised: 19-06-2023 / Accepted: 16-07-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Gopal Prasad

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract**Aim:** This study aimed to evaluate the demographic and clinical characteristics of non proteinuric kidney disease in type 2 diabetic patients in Bihar region.**Material & methods:** This study was a single-center, prospective cohort study conducted in the Department of Nephrology for the period of 2 years. Written and informed consent were taken from all participants. In this single-center, prospective observational study, 500 consecutive type 2 diabetic patients with either overt proteinuria (>500 mg/day) and/or renal dysfunction (eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²) were recruited.**Results:** Both the groups were similar in terms of gender, duration of diabetes, comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure control, and glycemic control. The nonproteinuric group was older, had lesser prevalence of diabetic retinopathy (P < 0.001), higher hemoglobin levels and higher cholesterol levels. We studied the predictors of progression of renal dysfunction, but no variable was found to be significantly associated with renal dysfunction. We applied logistic regression analysis to find predictors of nonproteinuric kidney disease and found that the absence of retinopathy and presence of higher hemoglobin predicted non proteinuric phenotype.**Conclusion:** Most patients with diabetic kidney disease (DKD) have proteinuria, but approximately 20% have chronic kidney disease (CKD) without proteinuria. Simultaneous assessment of both albuminuria and eGFR is required in all diabetic patients. Studies are required to understand the utility of newer markers either alone or in combination with proteinuria to define nonproteinuric DKD.**Keywords:** Diabetic nephropathy, nonproteinuric kidney disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus.This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.**Introduction**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is the commonest cause of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) worldwide. Approximately 40% of all patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) eventually develop diabetic kidney disease (DKD); this condition may lead to end-stage renal failure (ESRF), cardiovascular disease and premature death. [1] Early detection of diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is of paramount importance to slow the rate of progression. Albuminuria is widely regarded as the earliest marker of DKD and is used as a screening test. [2] Albuminuria followed by a decline in glomerular filtration rate (GFR), has been long considered to be the pathognomonic sign of DKD. [3-7] Proteinuria, or macroalbuminuria, has been considered to be the clinical hallmark of diabetic kidney disease and an independent risk factor for ESKD. [8,9] Patients with diabetic kidney disease are believed to

develop proteinuria prior to renal function loss. [10]

This phenotype of diabetic kidney disease suggests that there is an association between renal function loss and level of albuminuria in patients with diabetes and highlight the need for broader understanding of renal function loss apart from those related to an increase in albuminuria. The decline in GFR can occur in the absence of proteinuria. The development of advanced CKD classically follows overt proteinuria. [11] The renal dysfunction in NP-DKD is explained by the presence of adequate tubular function which reabsorbs albumin and/or the occurrence of macroangiopathic lesions in the kidneys. [12] DN was initially considered to begin with proteinuria preceding the progression of renal insufficiency [estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 mL/min/1.73 m²]. The natural history was divided

into normoalbuminuria (urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio [UACR] <30 mg/g), microalbuminuria (UACR 30–300 mg/g), and macroalbuminuria (UACR >300 mg/g), which was mainly based on the typical progression course of type 1 DM. [13]

However, this concept of the clinical paradigm has changed over the last decades, and it has been noted that DM patients without proteinuria could also have progressive renal insufficiency. Therefore, the latest diagnostic criteria for diabetic kidney disease (DKD) include low eGFR or the persistent presence of elevated urinary albumin excretion (albuminuria). [14] Nonproteinuric DKD was defined as an eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² with a UACR <300 mg/g. [15] As a diagnosis term, DKD covered both clinical diagnosis and histological diagnosis (DN).

In spite of this high prevalence, data regarding the clinical characteristics of these patients are lacking. This leads to insufficient knowledge about treating this subgroup of patients as they are often excluded from the classic DKD trials. The very existence, prevalence, and clinical profile of this non proteinuric kidney disease phenotype are not well defined, more so in the population of Bihar region.

Hence the aim of study was to evaluate the demographic and clinical characteristics of non proteinuric kidney disease in type 2 diabetic patient of Bihar region.

Material & Methods

This study was a single-center, prospective cohort study conducted in the Department of Nephrology at Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for a period of 2 years. Written and informed consent was sought from all participants. In this single-center, prospective observational study, 500 consecutive type 2 diabetic patients with either overt proteinuria (>500 mg/day) and/or renal dysfunction eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² were recruited. Patients requiring renal replacement therapy (RRT) at presentation or having associated kidney disease other than diabetes causing proteinuria and/or renal dysfunction were excluded.

Demographic data including age, gender, duration of diabetes, and treatment history and clinical data including weight, height, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, and fundus examination for diabetic retinopathy were collected. Biochemical investigations at baseline and imaging features (if required) on ultrasound were documented. Spot urine protein creatinine ratio (uPCR) or 24-h urine protein was used to assess the degree of proteinuria and labeled “proteinuric” if uPCR was >0.5 or 24-h proteinuria was >500 mg/day. According to this definition, patients with eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m² (not all had eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m²) or proteinuria greater than 500 mg/day were divided into two groups: proteinuric and non proteinuric. Renal biopsy was done as per clinician’s advice only if there was suspicion of nondiabetic kidney disease (NDKD). After an initial recruitment period of 3 months, patients were followed up for the next 1 year (at 6 months and 1 year) and their serum creatinine and proteinuria were recorded. All patients were on Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) or Angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) therapy. eGFR was calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation. Change in proteinuria and progression of renal dysfunction in terms of decline in eGFR were studied and compared between the two groups. The effect of ACE-i/ARB on proteinuria and hyperkalemia was also studied. Approval for the study was taken from the Institute Ethics Committee.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies, continuous variables as mean values with standard deviation, and ordinal variables as median values with interquartile ranges. Groups with normally distributed variables were compared using t-test. Wilcoxon test was used for non-normally distributed variables, and the Chi-squared test for categorical variables. Spearman correlation was used for univariate analysis. Multivariate analysis was performed with logistic regression analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with the STATA, version. 17.0. For all comparisons, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1: Baseline demographic and clinical parameters of the study population

Parameter	All patients (n=500)	Nonproteinuric (n=100)	Proteinuric (n=400)	P
Age (in years) (mean± SD)	54.16±12.48	55.45±12.08	53.57±11.66	0.0132
Males, n (%)	400 (80%)	80 (80%)	320 (80%)	0.832
Duration of diabetes mellitus (years), median (range)	11 (5-15)	8.86±7.08	10.18±5.65	0.5335
Hypertension, n (%)	375 (75%)	65 (65%)	310 (77.50%)	0.120
CAD, n (%)	75 (15.5%)	22 (22%)	53 (13.25%)	0.122
CVA, n (%)	5 (1%)	1 (1%)	4 (1%)	0.165
BMI (kg/m ²) (mean±SD)	25.35±4.32	25.75±4.64	25.24±4.24	0.175
Blood pressure control (<140/90)	100 (20.85%)	15 (15%)	85 (21.25%)	0.068

mmHg), n (%)				
Diabetic retinopathy, n (%)	350 (67.25%)	48 (48%)	302 (75.50%)	<0.001
Hemoglobin (g/dl) (mean±SD)	10.4±3	11.33±1.91	10.49±2.05	<0.001
Serum albumin (g/dl) (mean±SD)	3.84±0.76	3.98±0.82	3.78±0.72	0.0626
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²) (mean±SD)	40.22±22.78	42.88±19.41	40.6±23.77	0.3324
Serum potassium (mEq/dl) (mean±SD)	4.8±0.71	4.79±0.71	4.69±0.71	0.245
Serum cholesterol (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	162±54.75	168.22±43.27	157.3±58.12	0.0226
Poor glycemic control, n (%)	300 (60%)	55 (55%)	245 (61.25%)	0.628
Serum albumin (g/dl) (mean±SD)	3.88±0.75	3.99±0.81	3.8±0.75	0.0627

Both the groups were similar in terms of gender, duration of diabetes, comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure control, and glycemic control. The nonproteinuric group was older, had lesser prevalence of diabetic retinopathy ($P < 0.001$), higher hemoglobin levels and higher cholesterol levels.

Table 2: Predictors of progression of renal dysfunction (doubling of serum creatinine or requirement of dialysis)

Parameter	Outcome absent	Outcome present	P
Age (years) (mean±SD)	54.66±11.72	52.28±11.88	0.07
Females, n (%)	78 (78%)	22 (22%)	0.832
Males, n (%)	320 (80%)	80 (20%)	
Duration of diabetes mellitus (years) (mean±SD)	10.09±6.76	9.91±6.64	0.844
Hypertension, n (%)	250 (66.66%)	125 (33.34%)	0.244
CAD, n (%)	60 (80%)	15 (20%)	0.246
BMI (kg/m ²) (mean±SD)	25.37±4.22	25.81±4.93	0.46
Diabetic retinopathy, n (%)	300 (85.72%)	50 (14.28%)	0.290
Cholesterol (mg/dl) (mean±SD)	161±55.23	151±53.24	0.387
Poor glycemic control, n (%) (>130/180 mg/dl)	180 (60%)	120 (40%)	0.59

We studied the predictors of progression of renal dysfunction, but no variable was found to be significantly associated with renal dysfunction.

Table 3: Predictors of the nonproteinuric phenotype by logistic regression

Parameter	Unadjusted OR	P	Adjusted OR	P
Age (years)	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.184	1.0 (0.99-1.03)	0.212
Females, n (%)	1.05 (0.60-1.83)	0.832	1.21 (0.65-2.26)	0.513
Duration of T2DM	0.99 (0.96-1.0)	0.636	0.99 (0.96-1.03)	0.920
Hypertension	0.80 (0.41-1.5)	0.520	0.99 (0.46-2.1)	0.942
CAD	1.51 (0.67-3.3)	0.316	1.82 (0.74-4.5)	0.190
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.03 (0.98-1.08)	0.196	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	0.314
Retinopathy	0.29 (0.18-0.47)	<0.001	0.285 (0.17-0.46)	<0.001
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	1.0 (0.99-1.0)	0.052	1.0 (0.99-1.0)	0.082
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	1.22 (1.09-1.37)	<0.001	1.2 (1.06-1.36)	0.002

We applied logistic regression analysis to find predictors of nonproteinuric DKD and found that the absence of retinopathy and presence of higher hemoglobin predicted nonproteinuric phenotype.

Discussion

Kidney disease in diabetes has been classified in stages defined by increasing proteinuria and decreasing glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Classically, the development of macroalbuminuria or overt proteinuria precedes a faster decline in GFR. However, some studies have described progressive decline of GFR without significant proteinuria, i.e. nonproteinuric DKD (NP-DKD). [16,17] The understanding and growing evidence about NP-DKD led to the change in recommendation for screening of DKD based on the albumin excretion rate (AER) and estimated

GFR (eGFR). Also, albuminuria has some limitation because of its inpatient variability and the possibility of spontaneous regression, particularly in the lower level of albuminuria. [16,18] There is a continuous relationship between the level of albuminuria and the decline of GFR and cardiovascular (CV) risk. [18,19] eGFR is comparatively less variable and easily assessed in the outpatient setting.

Both the groups were similar in terms of gender, duration of diabetes, comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure control, and glycemic control. The nonproteinuric group was older, had lesser prevalence of diabetic retinopathy ($P < 0.001$), higher hemoglobin levels and higher cholesterol levels. The study by Laranjinha et al [20] used 300 mg albumin excretion per day as the

cutoff for proteinuria. Nearly half of their study cohort (46.6%) with DKD had nonproteinuric CKD and it was more common in elderly female patients. Other studies found a prevalence of 13%–69.4% of nonproteinuric DKD. [21] There are no such data available for Indian diabetic patients. We had used a cutoff of 500 mg for proteinuria to detect proteinuric DKD (P-DKD), as this cutoff more likely reflects proteinuric disease and also is clinically significant.

We studied the predictors of progression of renal dysfunction, but no variable was found to be significantly associated with renal dysfunction. We applied logistic regression analysis to find predictors of nonproteinuric DKD and found that the absence of retinopathy and presence of higher hemoglobin predicted nonproteinuric phenotype. In the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS-74), during 15 years of follow-up in 4,006 patients with type 2 diabetes, 1,132 (28.3%) developed renal impairment. Of the latter, 575 (50.8%) patients were classified as nonproteinuric DKD. [22] We have noted that all the patients in the current study underwent renal biopsy, which was not highly recommended in nonproteinuric DKD patients unless they were suspected of having either superimposed non-diabetic kidney disease or de novo non-diabetic kidney disease. [23] The relatively lower prevalence of nonproteinuric DN patients in the current study might be associated with the lower rate of renal biopsy in this subgroup of patients. In summary, the prevalence of nonproteinuric DKD is not low. The traditional nonproteinuric DKD should also be paid attention and concern on, mainly due to lower eGFR and renal insufficiency.

Retinopathy has been shown to be a risk marker for albuminuria, but not for decreased eGFR. Penno et al [24] demonstrated that the majority of patients with type 2 DM with diabetic retinopathy and DKD had increased albuminuria, irrespective of decreased eGFR. In our study also, the majority of patients (67.25%) had diabetic retinopathy. More patients, 218 (74.1%), in the P-DKD group had diabetic retinopathy compared to 49 (46.2%) patients in the NP-DKD group ($P < 0.001$). Hence, patients with NP-DKD may be missed as retinopathy may also be absent in this subset of population. Hypertensive retinopathy was noted in 2.38% versus 3.77% patients, respectively. In the landmark Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study, even though macroalbuminuria was the strongest predictor of worsening eGFR, screening DKD by albuminuria alone would have missed 24% of the cases with normoalbuminuric DKD. [25] Even in patients with type 1 diabetes, NP-DKD has been shown to be predictive of CV morbidity and mortality. [26,27]

Conclusion

Prasad *et al.*

Most patients with DKD had proteinuria, but approximately 20% had CKD without proteinuria. Simultaneous assessment of both albuminuria and eGFR is required in all diabetic patients. Studies are required to understand the utility of newer markers either alone or in combination with proteinuria to define nonproteinuric DKD.

References

1. Denny PC, Ball WD, Redman RS. Salivary glands: a paradigm for diversity of gland development. *Critical reviews in oral biology & medicine*. 1997 Jan;8(1):51-75.
2. KDOQI. KDOQI clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2007;49(Suppl 2):S12–154.
3. Deng L, Li W, Xu G. Update on pathogenesis and diagnosis flow of normoalbuminuric diabetes with renal insufficiency. *European journal of medical research*. 2021 Dec;26(1):1-4.
4. Ito H, Antoku S, Izutsu T, Kusano E, Matsumoto S, Yamasaki T, Mori T, Togane M. The prognosis of subjects showing a reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate without albuminuria in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes: a cohort study for diabetic kidney disease. *Clinical and Experimental Nephrology*. 2020 Nov; 24:1033-43.
5. Jin Q, Luk AO, Lau ES, Tam CH, Ozaki R, Lim CK, Wu H, Jiang G, Chow EY, Ng JK, Kong AP. Nonalbuminuric diabetic kidney disease and risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular and kidney outcomes in type 2 diabetes: findings from the Hong Kong Diabetes Biobank. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2022 Aug 1;80(2):196-206.
6. Kopel J, Pena-Hernandez C, Nugent K (2019) Evolving spectrum of diabetic nephropathy. *World J Diabetes* 10: 269-279.
7. Drury PL, Ting R, Zannino D, Ehnholm C, Flack J, Whiting M, Fassett R, Ansquer JC, Dixon P, Davis TM, Pardy C. Estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria are independent predictors of cardiovascular events and death in type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study. *Diabetologia*. 2011 Jan; 54:32-43.
8. De Boer IH, Afkarian M, Rue TC, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Molitch ME, Steffes MW, Sun W, Zinman B. Renal outcomes in patients with type 1 diabetes and macroalbuminuria. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology: JASN*. 2014 Oct;25(10):2342.
9. Chakdoui S, Moumen A, & Guerboub A. (2023). Dyslipidemia and Diabetic Retinopathy in Moroccans Type 2 Diabetics Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Journal of*

- Medical Research and Health Sciences, 6(3), 2471–2479.
<https://doi.org/10.52845/JMRHS/2023-6-3-1>
10. Adler AI, Stevens RJ, Manley SE, Bilous RW, Cull CA, Holman RR, UKPDS Group. Development and progression of nephropathy in type 2 diabetes: the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 64). *Kidney international*. 2003 Jan 1;63(1):225-32.
 11. Mogensen CE, Christensen CK, Vittinghus E. The stages in diabetic renal disease: with emphasis on the stage of incipient diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes*. 1983 Jun 1;32 (Supplement 2):64-78.
 12. Weil EJ, Fufaa G, Jones LI, Lovato T, Lemley KV, Hanson RL, et al. Effect of losartan on prevention and progression of early diabetic nephropathy in American Indians with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2013;62:3224–31
 13. Chawla V, Roshan B. Non-proteinuric diabetic nephropathy. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2014;14:529
 14. Garber AJ, Campese VM, Franz MJ, Hoogwerf B, Levy J, Mitch W, Moore MA, Steffes MW, Bertani T, Meyer T, Parving HH. Consensus development conference on the diagnosis and management of nephropathy in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 1994 Nov;17(11):1357-61.
 15. American Diabetes A. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2019 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. *Clin Diabetes*. 2019; 37(1): 11–34.
 16. Chang DY, Li MR, Yu XJ, Wang SX, Chen M, Zhao MH. Clinical and pathological characteristics of patients with nonproteinuric diabetic nephropathy. *Frontiers in endocrinology*. 2021 Oct 26; 12:761386.
 17. Herman WH, Zimmet P. Type 2 diabetes: an epidemic requiring global attention and urgent action. *Diabetes Care*. 2012 May;35(5):943-4.
 18. Halimi JM. The emerging concept of chronic kidney disease without clinical proteinuria in diabetic patients. *Diabetes Metab*. 2012 Oct; 38(4):291-7.
 19. Perkins BA, Ficociello LH, Silva KH, Finkelstein DM, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. Regression of microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Jun 5;348 (23):2285-93.
 20. Gall MA, Nielsen FS, Smidt UM, Parving HH. The course of kidney function in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetologia*. 1993 Oct; 36(10):1071-8.
 21. Laranjinha I, Matias P, Mateus S, Aguiar F, Pereira P, Pernetta Santos M, Costa R, Lourenço A, Guia J, Barata JD, Campos L. Diabetic kidney disease: Is there a non-albuminuric phenotype in type 2 diabetic patients? *Nefrologia*. 2016 Sep-Oct;36(5):503-509.
 22. Yamanouchi M, Furuichi K, Hoshino J, Ubara Y, Wada T. Nonproteinuric diabetic kidney disease. *Clin Exp Nephrol*. 2020 Jul;24(7):573-581.
 23. Retnakaran R, Cull CA, Thorne KI, Adler AI, Holman RR, Group US. Risk Factors for Renal Dysfunction in Type 2 Diabetes: U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study 74. *Diabetes* (2006) 55(6):1832–9.
 24. National Kidney F. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guideline for Diabetes and CKD: 2012 Update. *Am J Kidney Dis* (2012) 60(5):850–86.
 25. Penno G, Solini A, Zoppini G, Orsi E, Zerbini G, Trevisan R, Gruden G, Cavalot F, Laviola L, Morano S, Nicolucci A, Pugliese G; Renal Insufficiency And Cardiovascular Events (RIACE) Study Group. Rate and determinants of association between advanced retinopathy and chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: the Renal Insufficiency and Cardiovascular Events (RIACE) Italian multicenter study. *Diabetes Care*. 2012 Nov;35 (11):2317-23.
 26. Molitch ME, Steffes M, Sun W, Rutledge B, Cleary P, de Boer IH, Zinman B, Lachin J; Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study Group. Development and progression of renal insufficiency with and without albuminuria in adults with type 1 diabetes in the diabetes control and complications trial and the epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study. *Diabetes Care*. 2010 Jul;33(7):1536-43.
 27. Thorn LM, Gordin D, Harjutsalo V, Hägg S, Masar R, Saraheimo M, Tolonen N, Wadén J, Groop PH, Forsblom CM; FinnDiane Study Group. The Presence and Consequence of Nonalbuminuric Chronic Kidney Disease in Patients with Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2015 Nov;38(11):2128-33.