

A Study to Determine the Magnitude and Factors Associated with Inter-Hospital Outcome Variations for out of Hospital Cardiac ArrestsPooja Batra¹, Priyadarshini Pal Singh²¹Emergency Medicine, Assistant Head Emergency Department, Indraprasth Apollo Hospital, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi, India²Director, Department of Accident and Emergency, Indraprasth Apollo Hospital, Sarita Vihar, New Delhi, India

Received: 16-08-2023 Revised: 15-09-2023 / Accepted: 25-10-2023

Corresponding Author: Dr. Pooja Batra

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:**Aim:** The aim of the present study was to determine the magnitude and factors associated with inter-hospital outcome variations for out of hospital cardiac arrests.**Methods:** This was a prospective, multi-centre cohort study of consecutive out of hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA) cases presenting at 5 restructured hospitals. 30 patients were selected in the study.**Results:** Out of a total of 30 patients, 20 (66.66%) were male, and had ages ranging from 22 to 90, with a median age of 64.2 years. In terms of cause of arrest, the most common was cardiac, followed by respiratory and traumatic with frequencies of 21 (70%), 2 (6.66%), and 1 (3.33%) respectively. The most common first arrest rhythm was asystole, followed by PEA, VF, and then VT, with frequencies of 16 (53.33%), 8 (26.66%), 5 (16.66%), and 1 (3.33%) respectively. In terms of pre-hospital management, 17 (56.66%) were witnessed arrests, and 11 (36.66%) received bystander CPR. TTM and PCI usage varied significantly ($P < 0.001$). Hospitals B, C, D had a lower survival to discharge or 30 days post arrest. Hospitals B, D had lower survival to discharge with good neurological function. After subsequent multivariate analysis to eliminate known confounders, it was noted that as compared to Hospital E the study benchmark, Hospitals B, C, and D had a lower survival to discharge or 30 days post-arrest. Survival to ED admission also varied from 15.0% to 23.8% with Hospitals B, C, D, and E experiencing lower survival to ED admission.**Conclusion:** TTM and PCI usage, and OHCA outcomes vary between hospitals. This is associated with teaching status, bed number, and post-resuscitation care.**Keywords:** Out-of-hospital cardiac arrests; percutaneous coronary intervention; survival; post-resuscitation care.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Cardiac arrest is loss of mechanical activity of the heart confirmed by the absence of signs of circulation. [1] One third of cases occur without any prior recognized heart disease; half occur without any prodromal symptoms. [2] Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a global public health issue with large variations in incidence and disappointing survival and neurological outcomes across countries. [3] Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) have notoriously been a medical issue with high morbidity and mortality. [4] It is a multifaceted problem with a multitude of aetiologies, [5] and as such various factors influence the outcome of OHCA patients. According to the 2020 report of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR), the incidence of emergency medical service (EMS)-treated OHCA ranged from 30.0 to 97.1 per 100000 population, with survival to hospital

discharge varying between 3.1% and 20.4% across the world. [6]

Survival increases significantly if the OHCA is quickly recognized and responded to with prompt activation of 9-1-1, bystander-initiated cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), bystander and/or basic first responder application of an automated external defibrillator (AED) before arrival of other emergency medical services (EMS) providers on scene, advanced life support, and post-resuscitation care. [7] The American Heart Association (AHA) previously recommended implementation of cardiac resuscitation systems of care that consist of interconnected community, EMS, and hospital efforts to measure and improve the process and outcome of care for patients with cardiac arrest. [8]

Recent studies comparing inter-hospital OHCA outcomes have also highlighted several hospital-based factors—percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) capability [whether specified as 24-hour emergency PCI [9,10], OHCA patient volume, [11] and expertise and ability. [12] Several other factors have also been associated with inter-regional variations in OHCA survival. [13,14] These include regional differences in OHCA risk, population density, socioeconomic and racial characteristics, bystander response, emergency cardiac care and importantly, post-resuscitation care [14,15,16] Developments in post-resuscitation care, including targeted temperature management (TTM) and early PCI, [17,18] are also key elements behind recent improvements in OHCA survival in the early 2000s, compared to the lack of significant survival improvement several decades earlier. [19]

The aim of the present study was to determine the magnitude and factors associated with inter-hospital outcome variations for out of hospital cardiac arrests.

Materials and Methods

This was a prospective, multi-centre cohort study of consecutive out of hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA) cases presenting at 5 restructured hospitals. 30 patients were selected in the study. Out of hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA) was determined by these criteria: absence of pulse, unresponsiveness, and apnea, regardless of aetiology and method of arrival. We excluded patients who are immediately pronounced dead and for whom resuscitation is not attempted.

In this study, all Singapore OHCA cases were extracted from the Pan-Asian Resuscitation Outcomes Study Clinical Research Network (PAROS CRN), an international prospective registry of OHCA cases in the Asia-Pacific region. Relevant data collection processes for Singapore were reviewed and approved by Singhealth Centralised Institutional Review Boards (IRB) and National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Boards (DSRB) with waiver of informed consent. A data sharing agreement within PAROS CRN has also been put in place to protect the confidentiality of the study population. The primary outcome was survival to discharge or 30

days post-arrest, if not discharged. Secondary outcomes included survival to Emergency Department (ED) admission and survival with favourable neurological outcome, defined as a Glasgow-Pittsburgh Cerebral Performance Categories (CPC) ≤ 2 at hospital discharge, or 30 days post OHCA if not discharged. CPC are classified as follows: (I) good cerebral performance where patient is conscious and able to work and lead a normal life; (II) moderate cerebral disability where patient is conscious and able to work part-time in sheltered environments; (III) severe cerebral disability where patient is conscious but dependent on others for daily support; (IV) coma; (V) death by traditional criteria or certified brain dead. CPC grading was done through in-person assessments, clinical records, and via the telephone by trained personnel not directly involved in subject care or in this study.

Analysis

The effects of hospital-based resuscitative interventions and admitting hospital on outcome were compared using Chi-squared tests and multivariate logistic regression models respectively, using IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Hospitals were benchmarked against Hospital F, the oldest and largest restructured hospital in Singapore. The parameters eventually selected for the multivariate logistic regression models are known to current literature, and were first investigated using univariate logistic regression. These include age, gender, cause of arrest (cardiac/respiratory/traumatic/others), witnessed arrest, bystander CPR, and first arrest rhythm [asystole/pulseless electrical activity (PEA)/ventricular tachycardia (VT)/ventricular fibrillation (VF)/other]. We subsequently attempted to explain any dissimilarities in outcomes via Chi-squared tests on inter-hospital factors both proven in literature: PCI capability and OHCA patient volume, and otherwise: years in operation, teaching status, and bed number. In an attempt to explain variations in OHCA outcomes, five inter-hospital factors were analysed: PCI capability, years in operation, teaching status, bed number, and OHCA patient volume.

Results

Table 1: Patient demographics and OHCA characteristics

Variables	Total
Mean age in years	64.2 (16.0)
Male (%)	20 (66.66)
Cause of arrest (%)	
Presumed Cardiac	21 (70)
Respiratory	2 (6.66)
Trauma	1 (3.33)
Witness arrest (%)	17 (56.66)
Bystander CPR (%)	11 (36.66)

First arrest rhythm (%)	
Asystole	16 (53.33)
PEA	8 (26.66)
VF	5 (16.66)
VT	1 (3.33)

Out of a total of 30 patients, 20 (66.66%) were male, and had ages ranging from 22 to 90, with a median age of 64.2 years. In terms of cause of arrest, the most common was cardiac, followed by respiratory and traumatic with frequencies of 21 (70%), 2 (6.66%), and 1 (3.33%) respectively. The most common first arrest rhythm was asystole,

followed by PEA, VF, and then VT, with frequencies of 16 (53.33%), 8 (26.66%), 5 (16.66%), and 1 (3.33%) respectively. In terms of pre-hospital management, 17 (56.66%) were witnessed arrests, and 11 (36.66%) received bystander CPR.

Table 2: Post-resuscitation interventions and OHCA outcomes

Variables	Total (n=30)	Hospital A (n=6)	Hospital B (n=6)	Hospital C (n=6)	Hospital D (n=6)	Hospital E (n=6)
Procedures (%)						
PCI	12	1	3	2	4	1
TTM	9	2	3	1	2	1
ED ROSC	8	3	1	1	1	2
Survival to admission (%)	5	1	1	1	1	1
Discharged alive	2	1	-	-	-	1
Remained alive at 30 days	1	-	-	-	1	-
Died	5	1	1	1	1	1
30-day mortality (%)	27	10	5	5	4	3
CPC (%)	1	-	-	1	-	-
≤2	2	1	-	-	1	-
>2	1	-	1	-	-	-

TTM and PCI usage varied significantly ($P < 0.001$). Hospitals B, C, D had a lower survival to discharge or 30 days postarrest. Hospitals B, D had lower survival to discharge with good neurological function.

Table 3: Inter-hospital variability in OHCA outcomes

Outcomes	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI) [‡]	P value
Survival to discharge or 30 days			
Hospital A	0.720 (0.293–1.759)	0.485 (0.180–1.293)	0.152
Hospital B	0.560 (0.374–0.849)	0.394 (0.229–0.671)	0.0007
Hospital C	0.944 (0.651–1.378)	0.494 (0.298–0.837)	0.009
Hospital D	0.962 (0.684–1.359)	0.497 (0.304–0.805)	0.004
Hospital E	1.058 (0.757–1.473)	0.656 (0.407–1.064)	0.080
Survival to admission			
Hospital A	1.176 (0.868–1.602)	0.792 (0.549–1.136)	0.207
Hospital B	0.816 (0.701–0.945)	0.587 (0.462–0.733)	<0.0001
Hospital C	0.945 (0.808–1.102)	0.600 (0.474–0.759)	<0.0001
Hospital D	1.096 (0.956–1.264)	0.676 (0.542–0.847)	0.0007
Hospital E	0.874 (0.755–1.008)	0.622 (0.494–0.777)	<0.0001
Survival to discharge with CPC ≤2			
Hospital A	0.788 (0.288–2.136)	0.626 (0.206–1.914)	0.414
Hospital B	0.534 (0.330–0.873)	0.392 (0.206–0.738)	0.005
Hospital C	1.044 (0.682–1.591)	0.572 (0.316–1.031)	0.068
Hospital D	0.864 (0.571–1.300)	0.447 (0.249–0.791)	0.007
Hospital E	1.075 (0.730–1.577)	0.725 (0.415–1.271)	0.268

After subsequent multivariate analysis to eliminate known confounders, it was noted that as compared to Hospital E the study benchmark, Hospitals B, C, and D had a lower survival to discharge or 30 days post-arrest. Survival to ED admission also varied

from 15.0% to 23.8% with Hospitals B, C, D, and E experiencing lower survival to ED admission.

Discussion

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCAs) are a global health concern and remain a leading cause of death. [20] Various demographic, etiological and

pre-hospital factors are well known to affect outcomes. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) survival is often poor (<10%), [21] however, there is large variation in survival after OHCA between communities. [22,23] Some of these differences reflect differences in the structure and function of emergency medical services (EMS); others reflect differences in the method of measuring process and outcome. The Utstein template, which includes patient and EMS factors, was developed and promulgated to improve the comparability of intra- and inter-site reports of outcomes after OHCA. [24] Its components have been selected and refined through expert consensus but the template has undergone limited empiric validation. Therefore it is incompletely understood how accurately and completely the Utstein factors explain the variation in survival between communities.

Out of a total of 30 patients, 20 (66.66%) were male, and had ages ranging from 22 to 90, with a median age of 64.2 years. In terms of cause of arrest, the most common was cardiac, followed by respiratory and traumatic with frequencies of 21 (70%), 2 (6.66%), and 1 (3.33%) respectively. The most common first arrest rhythm was asystole, followed by PEA, VF, and then VT, with frequencies of 16 (53.33%), 8 (26.66%), 5 (16.66%), and 1 (3.33%) respectively. In terms of pre-hospital management, 17 (56.66%) were witnessed arrests, and 11 (36.66%) received bystander CPR. TTM and PCI usage varied significantly ($P < 0.001$). Hospitals B, C, D had a lower survival to discharge or 30 days post arrest. Academic hospitals overseas have been reported to have a lower mortality rate from its medical and surgical interventions. [25] Academic hospitals were more likely to utilise contemporary technologies. [26] Hospitals without an academic status might have been less likely to offer the same level of guideline-directed care. [27] ED care in academic hospitals was associated with more effective CPR and earlier PCI commencement, which could have contributed to improved survival. [28]

Hospitals B, D had lower survival to discharge with good neurological function. After subsequent multivariate analysis to eliminate known confounders, it was noted that as compared to Hospital E the study benchmark, Hospitals B, C, and D had a lower survival to discharge or 30 days post-arrest. Survival to ED admission also varied from 15.0% to 23.8% with Hospitals B, C, D, and E experiencing lower survival to ED admission.

Conclusion

TTM and PCI usage, and OHCA outcomes vary between hospitals. This is associated with teaching status, bed number, and post-resuscitation care.

References

1. Jacobs I, Nadkarni V, Bahr J, Berg RA, Billi JE, Bossaert L, Cassan P, Coovadia A, D'este K, Finn J, Halperin H. Update and simplification of the Utstein templates for resuscitation registries: A statement for healthcare professionals from a task force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2004;63(3):233-49.
2. Müller D, Agrawal R, Arntz HR. How sudden is sudden cardiac death? *Circulation*. 2006 Sep 12;114(11):1146-50.
3. Myat A, Song KJ, Rea T. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: current concepts. *The Lancet*. 2008 Mar 10;391(10124):970-9.
4. Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. *Resuscitation*. 2010 Nov 1;81(11):1479-87.
5. Sharabi AF, Singh A. Cardiopulmonary Arrest In Adults.
6. Kiguchi T, Okubo M, Nishiyama C, Maconochie I, Ong ME, Kern KB, Wyckoff MH, McNally B, Christensen EF, Tjelmeland I, Herlitz J. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest across the World: First report from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR). *Resuscitation*. 2020 Jul 1;152:39-49.
7. Abrams HC, McNally B, Ong M, Moyer PH, Dyer KS. A composite model of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using the Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival (CARES). *Resuscitation*. 2013 Aug 1;84(8):1093-8.
8. Nichol G, Aufderheide TP, Eigel B, Neumar RW, Lurie KG, Bufalino VJ, Callaway CW, Menon V, Bass RR, Abella BS, Sayre M. Regional systems of care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010 Feb 9;121(5):709-29.
9. Tranberg T, Lippert FK, Christensen EF, Stengaard C, Hjort J, Lassen JF, Petersen F, Jensen JS, Bäck C, Jensen LO, Ravkilde J. Distance to invasive heart centre, performance of acute coronary angiography, and angioplasty and associated outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide study. *European heart journal*. 2017 Jun 1;38(21):1645-52.
10. Stub D, Smith K, Bray JE, Bernard S, Duffy SJ, Kaye DM. Hospital characteristics are associated with patient outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Heart*. 2011 Sep 15;97(18):1489-94.
11. Carr BG, Kahn JM, Merchant RM, Kramer AA, Neumar RW. Inter-hospital variability in post-cardiac arrest mortality. *Resuscitation*. 2009 Jan 1;80(1):30-4.

12. Wnent J, Seewald S, Heringlake M, Lemke H, Brauer K, Lefering R, Fischer M, Jantzen T, Bein B, Messelken M, Gräsner JT. Choice of hospital after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest—a decision with far-reaching consequences: a study in a large German city. *Critical Care*. 2012 Oct;16(5):1-9.
13. Girotra S, Van Diepen S, Nallamothu BK, Carrel M, Vellano K, Anderson ML, McNally B, Abella BS, Sasson C, Chan PS. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival in the United States. *Circulation*. 2016 May 31;133(22):2159-68.
14. Tranberg T, Lippert FK, Christensen EF, Stengaard C, Hjort J, Lassen JF, Petersen F, Jensen JS, Bäck C, Jensen LO, Ravkilde J. Distance to invasive heart centre, performance of acute coronary angiography, and angioplasty and associated outcome in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a nationwide study. *European heart journal*. 2017 Jun 1;38(21):1645-52.
15. Kajino K, Iwami T, Daya M, Nishiuchi T, Hayashi Y, Kitamura T, Irisawa T, Sakai T, Kuwagata Y, Hiraide A, Kishi M. Impact of transport to critical care medical centers on outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2010 May 1;81(5):549-54.
16. Engdahl J, Abrahamsson P, Bång A, Lindqvist J, Karlsson T, Herlitz J. Is hospital care of major importance for outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest?: Experience acquired from patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitated by the same Emergency Medical Service and admitted to one of two hospitals over a 16-year period in the municipality of Göteborg. *Resuscitation*. 2000 Feb 1;43(3):201-11.
17. Spaulding CM, Joly LM, Rosenberg A, Monchi M, Weber SN, Dhainaut JF, Carli P. Immediate coronary angiography in survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1997 Jun 5;336(23):1629-33.
18. Dalby M, Bouzamondo A, Lechat P, Montalescot G. Transfer for primary angioplasty versus immediate thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *Circulation*. 2003 Oct 14;108(15):1809-14.
19. Tan TX, Hao Y, Ho AF, Shahidah N, Yap S, Ng YY, Doctor N, Leong BS, Gan HN, Mao DR, Chia MY. Inter-hospital variations in resuscitation processes and outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in Singapore. *J Emerg Crit Care Med*. 2019;3:21.
20. Daya MR, Schmicker RH, Zive DM, Rea TD, Nichol G, Buick JE, Brooks S, Christenson J, MacPhee R, Craig A, Rittenberger JC. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival improving over time: results from the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (ROC). *Resuscitation*. 2015 Jun 1;91:108-15.
21. Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2010 Jan;3(1):63-81.
22. Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, Hedges J, Powell JL, Aufderheide TP, Rea T, Lowe R, Brown T, Dreyer J, Davis D. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. *Jama*. 2008 Sep 24;300(12):1423-31.
23. Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. *Resuscitation*. 2010 Nov 1;81(11):1479-87.
24. Cummins, R.O., Chamberlain, D., Hazinski, M.F., Nadkarni, V., Kloeck, W., Kramer, E., Becker, L., Robertson, C., Koster, R., Zaritsky, A. and Bossaert, L., 1997. Recommended Guidelines for Reviewing, Reporting, and Conducting Research on In-Hospital Resuscitation: The In-Hospital 'Utstein Style' A Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, the Australian Resuscitation Council, and the Resuscitation Councils of Southern Africa. *Circulation*, 95(8), pp.2213-2239.
25. Burke LG, Frakt AB, Khullar D, Orav EJ, Jha AK. Association between teaching status and mortality in US hospitals. *Jama*. 2017 May 23;317(20):2105-13.
26. Shahian DM, Nordberg P, Meyer GS, Blanchfield BB, Mort EA, Torchiana DF, Normand SL. Contemporary performance of US teaching and nonteaching hospitals. *Academic Medicine*. 2012 Jun 1;87(6):701-8.
27. Patel DB, Shah RM, Bhatt DL, Liang L, Schulte PJ, DeVore AD, Hernandez AF, Heidenreich PA, Yancy CW, Fonarow GC. Guideline-appropriate care and in-hospital outcomes in patients with heart failure in teaching and nonteaching hospitals: Findings from get with the guidelines—heart failure. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2016 Nov;9(6):757-66.
28. Czarnecki A, Qiu F, Koh M, Cheskes S, Dorian P, Scales DC, Ko DT. Association between hospital teaching status and outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2019 Dec;12(12):e005349.