Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(12); 223-226

Original Research Article

Pattern of Use of Antibiotic Prophylaxis in Caesarean Section and Hysterectomy

Jaya Roy¹, Rohit Kumar Singh²

¹Tutor, Department of Pharmacology, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya, Bihar, India

²Associate Professor and HOD, Department of Pharmacology, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya, Bihar, India

Received: 03-09-2023 Revised: 15-10-2023 / Accepted: 10-11-2023 Corresponding author: Dr. Jaya Roy Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the choice of antibiotics in caesarean section and hysterectomy. **Methods:** The present study was conducted at hospital, A Data were collected retrospectively from patients' medical records. The subjects were all patients in the obstetrics and gynecology department who underwent caesarean section and hysterectomy.

Results: The mean age of participants was 25 years. Most of the subjects in the study group belonged to upper lower socioeconomic status by modified Kuppuswami scale. Most of the women were second gravida. The study involved 100 women who underwent Hysterectomy and Cesarean Section. Overall, 100 of the 93 patients were given prophylactic antibiotics. The most commonly used antibiotics are ceftriaxone and ceftazidime. Around 70% women received the prophylactic antibiotic in the prescribed timing of 30-60 minutes before the skin incision. The only factor found associated with patient not receiving antibiotics within the prescribed time limit was delay during shifting of patient and availability of operation theatre.

Conclusion: This retrospective study reveals that the most widely prescribed antibiotic prophylactic was ceftriaxone and ceftazidime instead of first-generation cephalosporin. On quantitative measurement, the highest antibiotic used was ceftriaxone followed by ceftazidime and cefotaxime.

Keywords: hysterectomy, caesarean section, antibiotics

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Bacterial infections around the time of childbirth are among the leading causes of maternal mortality worldwide and account for about one-tenth of the global burden of maternal death. [1,2] Several factors have been associated with increased risk of maternal peripartum infections, including preexisting maternal conditions and conditions during labour and childbirth. Caesarean section is the most important risk factor for maternal infection in the immediate postpartum period. [3] One of the main strategies to prevent post-caesarean infections includes use of prophylactic antibiotics. [4] The panel WHO guideline made strong recommendations regarding the administration of prophylactic antibiotics 30-60 minutes before skin incision for women undergoing elective or emergency caesarean section. [5]

Many studies have been published in recent years stressing the need for antimicrobial prophylaxis in hysterectomy. Women undergoing elective cesarean section are considered low risk population. [6,7] Although serious infectious complications are uncommon in this group, a literature survey demonstrated that prophylactic antibiotics significantly reduced the risk of endometritis and wound infection. [8,9] Hysterectomy is performed through a contaminated field and it is the mixed aerobic / anaerobic bacterial flora of the lower genital tract that serves as a reservoir for most of the pathogens associated with postoperative sepsis. The use of prophylactic antibiotics in hysterectomy and cesarean section in our hospital is not standardized and is determined by the consultant in charge of the case. The most commonly used regimen is to give three doses of cefazolin intravenously.

Evidence suggests that a single dose of firstgeneration cephalosporin or penicillin should be used in preference to other classes of antibiotics, particularly because these are broad spectrum antibiotics and widely available in all settings. However, the global use of prophylactic antibiotics for caesarean births varies largely between hospitals, in part because of lack of institutional protocols and uncertainties about the antibiotic regimen of choice and correct timing of administration.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the choice of antibiotics in caesarean section and hysterectomy.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted at hospital, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College and Hospital, Gaya Bihar, India for one year. Data were collected retrospectively from patients' medical records. The subjects were all patients in the obstetrics and gynecology department who underwent caesarean section and hysterectomy. The study involved 100 women who underwent Hysterectomy and Cesarean Section.

Data analysis was carried out quantitatively using the ATC/DDD method, namely a drug classification system based on ATC (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical). The use of the ATC (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical) method will classify drugs based on therapeutic purposes, pharmacology, and chemical structure of drugs. DDD (Defined Daily Dose) is the assumption of the average maintenance dose per day in adult patients with certain indications. Measurement of drug use using Defined Daily Dose based on guidelines issued by the WHO Collaborating Center for Drug Statistics Methodology with units of DDD/100 patients/day. The ATC code and standard antibiotic DDD (grams) from the World Health Organization (WHO) index are recorded, then the number of antibiotics used by the patient (grams) is calculated which is obtained from calculating the dose multiplied by the number antibiotic unit. Then calculated the number of Length of Stay (LOS) obtained from the total days of care for each patient. From these data, a description of the use of antibiotics is calculated using DDD/100 patient-days units by dividing the number of grams of antibiotics used by the patient per WHO standard DDD multiplied by 100 per total LOS.

Qualitative analysis was carried out by assessing the selection of antibiotics based on the guidelines of The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG): Antibiotic Prophylaxis for Gynecologic Procedures and The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP): Clinical Practice Guidelines for Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Surgery.

Results

Age (years)	25.68±2.56			
Socioeconomic class				
Lower	26			
Upper lower	53			
Lower middle	13			
Upper middle	8			
Upper	0			
Parity				
Primigravida	35			
2 nd gravida	45			
3 rd gravida	17			
>3rd gravid	3			

Table 1: Demographic and clinical details

The mean age of participants was 25 years. Most of the subjects in the study group belonged to upper lower socioeconomic status by modified Kuppuswami scale. Most of the women were second gravida.

No. of patient	With antibiotic (%)	Without antibiotic (%)
100 patients	93 patients (93)	7 patients (7)
Procedures		
Hysterectomy	35 patients (35)	
Caesarean Section	58 patients (58)	7 patients (7)

Table 2: Number of patients over the 6 months

The study involved 100 women who underwent Hysterectomy and Cesarean Section. Overall, 100 of the 93 patients were given prophylactic antibiotics.

Procedure	Antibiotics				
	Ceftriaxone	Ceftazidime	Cefotaxime	Cefazolin	Total
Hysterectomy	20	10	4	1	35
Cesarean Section	21	24	12	1	58

 Table 3: Number of patients who attained antibiotic prophylactic in Caesarean Section and Hysterectomy procedure

The most commonly used antibiotics are ceftriaxone and ceftazidime.

Timing of prophylactic antibiotic			
Within 30-60 mins of skinincision	70%		
More than 60 mins before skin incision	30%		
Duration of antibiotic usage inpost-operative period			
Less than 48 hours	82%		
48-72 hours	10%		
More than 72 hours	8%		
Combination of antibiotics used			
Regimen 1:			
Injection monocef 1 gm IV BDInjection gentamycin 80 mg IV			
BD Injection metronidazole 100 cc IV TDS	90%		
Regimen 2:			
Injection ampicillin 500 mg IVQID			
Injection gentamycin 80 mg IVBD	10%		
Injection metronidazole 100 CC IV TDS			

Around 70% women received the prophylactic antibiotic in the prescribed timing of 30-60 minutes before the skin incision. The only factor found associated with patient not receiving antibiotics within the prescribed time limit was delay during shifting of patient and availability of operation theatre.

Discussion

Suitable of antibiotic prophylactic have presented to be fairly effective in diminishing the occurrence of Surgical Site Infections (SSIs). [10] Antibiotic prophylaxis is aimed to drop amount of bacteria contamination which are cause infections during surgery. [11] However, inappropriate use of prophylactic antibiotics will lead to an increase in the rate of antibiotic resistance [12] and ultimately SSI. [10] The international guidelines recommend a single dose of antibiotic usage in developing countries like India. [13]

The mean age of participants was 25 years. Most of the subjects in the study group belonged to upper lower socioeconomic status by modified Kuppuswami scale. Most of the women were second gravida. The study involved 100 women who underwent Hysterectomy and Cesarean Section. Overall, 100 of the 93 patients were given prophylactic antibiotics. The most commonly used antibiotics are ceftriaxone and ceftazidime. On Cochrane's study which involved more than 13,000 mothers resulted antibiotic prophylaxis reduced occurance of endometritis, complication of serious maternal infection, wound infection and fever on mother who undergoing obstetrics and gynecology procedures. [8]

Around 70% women received the prophylactic antibiotic in the prescribed timing of 30-60 minutes before the skin incision. The only factor found associated with patient not receiving antibiotics within the prescribed time limit was delay during shifting of patient and availability of operation theatre. The most frequent microbes isolated from endometrial cultures of women with post-caesarean wound infections are staphylococci, enterococci, anaerobes and ureaplasmas. [14] Furthermore, when specifically identified, urea plasma (or Mycoplasma genus) is the most common organism isolated from the amniotic fluid and chorioamnion at caesarean delivery, and is associated with a 3- to 8-fold increased risk of post-caesarean endometritis or wound infection. [15,16] Bacterial vaginosis is also associated with an increased risk of post-caesarean endometritis. Therefore, the recommended regimen of ceftriaxone alone does not cover frequent isolates or risk factors of such infection. The use of firstgeneration cephalosporins such as cefazolin provides antibiotic activity against species of Urea plasma and Mycoplasma but may cause an increase in resistant organisms like anaerobes. Hence, there is a rationale for adding agents such as metronidazole, clindamycin or azithromycin to extend the cover.

Recently, another aspect of prophylaxis is to shorten the duration of antibiotic use. For most hysterectomies, a single dose of antibiotic is sufficient.¹⁶ Current information suggests that additional dose should be given at intervals of twice the half-life of the antibiotic to maintain adequate levels throughout the procedure. [17]

Conclusion

Antibiotic prophylaxis is a pace to prevent postoperative infection and also to diminish bacteria resistant. Before using antibiotic prophylaxis, we should consider and concern of its risks and benefits covering level of bacterial resistance, infectioncausing bacteria, timing and antibiotic spectrum. This retrospective study reveals that the most widely prescribed antibiotic prophylactic was ceftriaxone and ceftazidime instead of first-generation cephalosporin. On quantitative measurement, the highest antibiotic used was ceftriaxone followed by ceftazidime and cefotaxime.

References

- Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tunçalp Ö, Moller AB, Daniels J, Gülmezoglu AM, Temmerman M, Alkema L. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. The Lancet global health. 2014 Jun 1;2(6): e32 3-33.
- Khan KS, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gülmezoglu AM, Van Look PF. WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic review. The lancet. 2006 Apr 1;367(9516):1066-74.
- GIBBS RS. Clinical risk factors for puerperal infection. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 1980 Mar 1;55(3):178S-83S.
- Bastu E, Gulmezoglu AM. Antibiotic prophylaxis versus no prophylaxis for preventing infection after caesarean section: RHL commentary. The WHO Reproductive Health Library; Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 5. WHO Recommendations for Prevention and Treatment of Maternal Peripartum Infections. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2015.
- Hemsell DL. Prophylactic antibiotics in gynecologic and obstetric surgery. Reviews of infectious diseases. 1991 Sep 1;13 (Supple ment_10):S821-41.

- Jamie W, Duff P. Preventing infections during elective C/S and abdominal hysterectomy. CONTEMPORARY OB GYN. 2003 Jan 1;4 8(1):60-9.
- Smaill F, Hofmeyr GJ. Antibiotic prophylaxis for cesarean section. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002:CD000933.
- Chelmow D, Marder AL, Boggess KA. Should patients undergoing low-risk C/S receive antibiotic prophylaxis?. Contemporary OB/ GYN. 2006 Aug 1;51(8):57-62.
- Hawn MT, Richman JS, Vick CC, Deierhoi RJ, Graham LA, Henderson WG, Itani KM. Timing of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis and the risk of surgical site infection. JAMA surgery. 2013 Jul 1;148 (7):649-57.
- 11. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins– Gynecology. ACOG practice bulletin No. 104: antibiotic prophylaxis for gynecologic procedures. Obstet Gynecol. 2009;113 (5):11 80-9.
- 12. Zulfa IM. The Qualitative Evaluation Of Antibiotics Used In Pediatric Respiratory Tract Infection Treatments At A Primary Hospital In Surabaya. PHARMACY: Jurnal Farmasi Indonesia (Pharmaceutical Journal of Indone sia). 2018 Dec 1; 15 (2):135-47.
- Bastu E, Gulmezoglu A M. Antibiotic prophylaxis versus no prophylaxis for preventing infection after caesarean section: RHL commentary. The WHO Reproductive Health Library; Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Emmons SL, Krohn M, Jackson M, Eschenbach DA. Development of wound infections among women undergoing cesarean section. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 1988 Oct 1;72(4):559-64.
- Roberts S, MACCATO M, FARO S, PINELL P. The microbiology of post-cesarean wound morbidity. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 1993 Mar 1; 81(3):383-6.
- 16. Andrews WW, Shah SR, Goldenberg RL, Cliver SP, Hauth JC, Cassell GH. Association of post-cesarean delivery endometritis with colonization of the chorioamnion by Ureaplas maurealyticum.Obstetrics& Gynecology. 1995 Apr 1;85(4):509-14.
- 17. Duff P. Prophylactic antibiotics for hysterectomy. Protocol for infectious disease in obstetrics and gynecology. 2nded. Massac husetts: Blackwell Science. 2000:476-81.