#### Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(2); 203-210

**Original Research Article** 

# Outcome Assessment of Short Segment Added Injury Level Pedicle Screw Fixation and Conventional Short Segment Pedicle Screw Fixation in Thoraco-Lumbar Spine Fractures: A Comparative Study

# Arnab Sinha<sup>1</sup>, Santosh Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar, India
<sup>2</sup> Professor and HOD, Department of Orthopaedics, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar, India
Received: 14-1-2022 Revised: 10-02-2023 / Accepted: 27-02-2023
Corresponding author: Dr. Arnab Sinha
Conflict of interest: Nil

#### Abstract

**Aim:** The aim of the present study was to evaluate the outcome of short segment added injury level pedicle screw fixation and conventional short segment pedicle screw fixation in thoraco-lumbar spine fractures.

**Methods:** The present study was conducted at department of Orthopaedics, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar, India and 100 patients of thoracolumbar fractures mainly wedge compression & burst fracture without neurological deficits were selected in the study. The study was conducted from July 2016-June 2017. With 50 randomly selected cases in each group and were managed either by using CPSF or short segment injury level pedicle screw fixation (SSIPSF). **Results:** In our study group of 100 patients (80 males & 20 females), most common mode of injury was fall from height (70%); RTA (20%); other causes (10%). Most common vertebra involved was L1 (50%), followed by T12 (40%), L2 (10%). In our series, Denis classification was used. Most common type was wedge compression fractures (60%) and burst fracture (40%). In SSIPSF the average pre-op Sagittal cobb's angle was 25.65±4.32°, the immediate post-op 12.38±2.28° & at final follow-up the correction was 11.19±1.18°. In CPSF the average pre-op Sagittal cobb's angle was 28.02±8.32, the immediate post-op 16.34±6.04& at final follow-up the correction was 15.45±4.52, the immediate post-op 25.75±4.06 & at final follow-up the correction was 23.17±4.08.

**Conclusion:** In Open short segment Injury Level pedicle screw fixation (SSIPSF) inclusion of the fracture level into the construct offers a better kyphosis correction, in addition to no instrument failures, without additional complications had better and more rigid construct than conventional open short segment four pedicle screw fixation(CPSF).

**Keywords:** Thoracolumbar fractures, Pedicle screw, Conventional pedicle screw fixation (CPSF), Short segment injury level pedicle screw fixation (SSIPSF)

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

#### Introduction

Improved knowledge of the anatomic, morphometric and biomechanical features of thoracolumbar (TL) vertebrae allowed progress and improvement of management of unstable TL fractures that remained and still controversial. [1] Controversy exists about the best treatment of unstable TL burst fractures. Conservative treatment is

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research

usually the method of choice if there is little kyphotic deformity, no neurological deficit, or no unstable fracture pattern. **Kyphosis** correction and canal decompression in case of a neurological deficit are recognized treatment objectives, and surgical strategies have been proposed. [2] Surgical treatment of spine fractures aims to achieve bony union and restore spinal anatomy. A number of techniques have been described for the management of spinal fractures. Surgical treatment of spine fractures may associate, as needed, decompression, reduction, and graft and/or internal fixation. using a posterior. anterior, or combined approach. [3] Approach for surgical correction is still a point of debate; anterior, posterior, or combined anterior and posterior procedures have been advocated and show various degrees of success. [4]

Minimizing the number of vertebral levels involved in fusion of a spine fracture is a common goal of internal fixation. This is achievable by utilizing traditional shortsegment posterior fixation; however, a 54% incidence of instrument failure or unfavorable clinical outcome was encountered with traditional short-segment posterior fixation. [5] Short-segment posterior fixation with pedicle fixation at the level of the fracture (short samesegment fixation) suggests biomechanical advantages toward maintenance of kyphosis correction and reducing failure rates. [6] Thoracolumbar fractures are the most common spinal injuries. [7] Although treatment remains controversial in some fracture types, posterior fixation is the most frequently used surgical technique to restore vertebral body height, correct kyphotic deformity, restore spinal stability and indirectly decompress the spinal canal. [8] A biomechanical study in 1994 by Dick et al. first reported the posterior short-segment fixation combined with two additional screws at the fracture level (6 screw construct). [9] Since this method was first introduced, the technique has

evolved. A series of biomechanical studies showed that the addition of screws at the fracture level could significantly increase spinal stability, provide stronger fixation and decrease the stress in the pedicle screws in the nonfractured vertebra. [10-12]

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the Outcome of short segment added injury level pedicle screw fixation and conventional short segment pedicle screw fixation in thoraco-lumbar spine fractures.

# Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted at department of Orthopaedics, IGIMS, Patna, Bihar, India and 100 patients of thoracolumbar fractures mainly wedge compression & burst fracture without neurological deficits were selected in the study. The study was conducted from July 2016-June 2017. With 50 randomly selected cases in each group and were managed either by using CPSF or short segment injury level pedicle screw fixation (SSIPSF).

Inclusion criteria: All closed Thoracolumbar vertebral (D11-L4) fracture with intact both of Pedicle of fractured vertebrae, age > 18 years, Duration of injures 1 to 7 days, AO spine TLICS classification Type A1, A2, A3, A4, B1, as ASIA grade D and E only, General condition of patient normal, No/minor Associated injuries.

Exclusion criteria: Multilevel spinal injuries, Poor anesthetic or general risk patient, Thoracolumbar vertebral fracture with fracture pedicles, AO spine TLICS classification Type B2, B3, C, Neurological injury ASIA grade A,B,C.

Pre-op workup: Detailed history and physical examination. X-ray in A/P & lateral And MRI & CT scan was elicited in all cases. Surgical Technique CPSF: With help of image intensifier to determine appropriate site of skin incision. The subcutaneous issues, erector spine muscle were infiltrated with adrenaline solution (1:500,000 dilution). A posterior midline approach was used. After superficial and deep dissection using an image in image intensifier, the pedicles of vertebrae above and below the involved segment were identified. Blunt Kirschner wires were inserted into the vertebral bodies through the pedicles and their position was confirmed fluoroscopically. Pedicle was probed in all four quadrants to be sure that a solid tube of bone exists. Screw up was introduced to cut the threads in created tract. A rod of the appropriate size was selected and contoured to maintain normal lumbar lordosis. A screw of appropriate length was selected Reduction was achieved by distraction technique (ligamentotaxis) and assembly were fully tightened and then the wound was closed

in layers. Surgical Technique SSIPSF: it is almost same as CPSF the only difference is pedicle screw also inserted an injured pedicle too.

### Post-operative protocol

Postoperative i.v. antibiotics were given for 5 day, taking care about bed sores, neurological status daily till discharge, Sitting with brace support was permitted as soon as second post op day. Follow up with Analysis: The analysis was made on, the intra-operative and post-operative volume of blood loss, operation time, and the anterior and posterior vertebral body height, vertebral body angle and kyphotic angle at preoperative and postoperative. Patients were followed up every month for first six and at one year.

#### Results

Gender	N%	
Male	80 (80)	
Female	20 (20)	
Mode of injury		
Fall from height	140 (70)	
RTA	40 (20)	
Other	20 (10)	
Vertebrae involved		
T12	80 (40)	
L1	100 (50)	
L2	20 (10)	
Denis classification		
Wedge compression fractures	120 (60)	
Burst fracture	80 (40)	

## **Table 1: Patient details**

In our study group of 100 patients (80 males & 20 females), most common mode of injury was fall from height (70%); RTA (20%); other causes (10%). Most common vertebra involved was L1 (50%), followed

by T12 (40%), L2 (10%). In our series, Denis classification was used. Most common type was wedge compression fractures (60%) and burst fracture (40%).

sagittal cobb's angle (°)				
			Р	
	Open short segme	-	_	
	injury level pedi		Value	
	screw fixation (SSIPS			
Pre-op	25.65±4.32	28.02±8.32	>0.05	
Post-op	12.38±2.28	16.34±6.04	< 0.01	
Final follow up	$14.46 \pm 2.58$	22.28±6.24	< 0.01	
Changes	11.19±1.18	5.77±2.08	< 0.01	
	Fractured body angle	(°) (FBA)		
Pre-op	15.45±4.52	16.40±7.23	0.120	
Post-op	25.75±4.06	28.02±5.80	0.110	
Final follow up	23.17±4.08	24.82±6.34	0.236	
Changes	7.72±0.47	8.42±0.89	0.425	
Anterior vertebral body height (ABH)				
Pre-op	1.66±0.24	1.72±0.34	0.342	
Post-op	2.46±0.32	2.56±0.26	0.169	
Final follow up	2.26±0.22	2.26±0.28	0.856	
Changes	0.60±0.02	0.54±0.06	0.224	
Posterior vertebral body height (PBH)				
Pre-op	2.52±0.24	2.36±0.24	0.22	
Post-op	2.84±0.16	2.72±0.14	0.24	
Final follow up	2.72±0.18	2.64±0.28	0.16	
Changes	$0.20{\pm}0.06$	0.28±0.04	0.25	
	Correction rate			
Sagittal cobb's	46.52±8.34	26.24±10.10	0.000	
angle			0	
FBA	58.32±26.64	46.54±26.44	0.12	
ABH	38.72±14.26	34.46±18.32	0.32	
PBH	9.01±7.43	12.48±8.40	0.18	

**Table 2: Comparison between SSIPF and CPSF** 

In SSIPSF the average pre-op Sagittal cobb's angle was  $25.65\pm4.32^{\circ}$ , the immediate post-op 12.38±2.28° & at final follow-up the correction was  $11.19\pm1.18^{\circ}$ . In CPSF the average pre-op Sagittal angle cobb's was  $28.02 \pm 8.32$ , the immediate post-op 16.34±6.04& at final follow-up the correction was  $14.46\pm2.58$ . Fractured vertebral body angle in SSIPSF group the average pre-op fractured vertebral body angle was 15.45±4.52, the immediate post-op 25.75±4.06 & at final follow-up the correction was  $23.17 \pm 4.08$ . In CPSF group the average pre-op fractured vertebral body angle was 28.02±8.32, the immediate post-op

28.02±5.80 & at final follow-up the correction was 24.82±6.34. In CPSF group the average pre-op Anterior vertebral body height was 1.66±0.24, the immediate postop 2.46±0.32 cm & at final follow-up the average correction was 2.26±0.22 cm. Posterior vertebral body height in SSIPSF the average pre-op Posterior vertebral body height was 2.50±0.21 cm, the immediate post-op 2.82±0.14 cm & at final follow-up the average correction was  $2.74\pm0.15$  cm. In CPSF group the average pre-op Posterior vertebral body height was immediate  $2.36\pm0.24$ , the post-op 2.72±0.14 cm & at final follow-up the average correction was 2.64±0.28 cm.

Final outcome	SSIPSF	CPSF	
Excellent	22	20	
Good	24	22	
Fair	4	8	

 Table 3: Final outcome

It was assessed using Modified Macnab criteria. In SSIPSF group, 22 patients had excellent result 24 had Good result & 4 had fair outcome. In CPSF group, 20 patients had excellent result 22 patients had good result & 8 had fair outcome.

## Discussion

It still remains controversial about the optimal management strategy for thoracolumbar/lumbar burst fracture. [13-Surgical treatment is 15] generally recommended for patients with neurologic deficits or in those with severe instability. Currently, posterior short segment pedicle screw internal fixation is one of the most common operative approaches to treat thoracolumbar/lumbar unstable burst fracture. Although the clinical results of this surgery are usually satisfactory, progressive kyphosis and a high rate of hardware failure remain a concern. Lack of the anterior column supporting is the main cause of hardware failure. [16,17] Burst fractures of thoracolumbar/lumbar spine can cause neurologic complications and kyphotic deformity, [18-20] which may have a great impact on the patients' life quality. Disputes about the best treatment for spine fracture among doctors as there are, it has come to a consensus among most scholars that operation is needed to treat unstable thoracolumbar/lumbar burst fractures and fractures with existing or potential nerve handicap. Biomechanical and clinical studies have shown that when there is loss of more than 50% of the vertebral body height or more than 25° angulation deformity of the injured segment, acute spinal instability results, and the spinal segment will eventually fail with weight-bearing. [21]

No ideal surgical approach exists at present. By anterior approach we can decompress nerves sufficiently and provide reliable anterior column support. However, this approach requires longer operation duration and the rate of approach related complication and the death rate is posterior significantly higher than approach. Although the combination of anterior and posterior approach can provide the most stable biomechanical repair, the operation time, complication and morbidity rate might be apparently higher than that of the single approach. Traditionally the standalone posterior approach is relatively an easy procedure but can only indirectly reduce a fractured vertebral body, and the means of anterior column augmenting the are limited. [22,23]

In our study group of 100 patients (80 males & 20 females), most common mode of injury was fall from height (70%); RTA (20%); other causes (10%). Most common vertebra involved was L1 (50%), followed by T12 (40%), L2 (10%). In our series, Denis classification was used. Most common type was wedge compression fractures (60%) and burst fracture (40%). In SSIPSF the average pre-op Sagittal cobb's angle was  $25.65\pm4.32^{\circ}$ , the immediate post-op 12.38±2.28° & at final follow-up the correction was  $11.19\pm1.18^{\circ}$ . In CPSF the average pre-op Sagittal cobb's angle  $28.02 \pm 8.32$ , was the immediate post-op 16.34±6.04& at final follow-up the correction was 14.46±2.58. Fractured vertebral body angle in SSIPSF group the average pre-op fractured vertebral body angle was 15.45±4.52, the immediate post-op 25.75±4.06 & at final follow-up the correction was  $23.17 \pm 4.08$ . Posterior approach instrumentation can be

devided into long-segment fixation (involving more than two upper and lower neighboring levels), short-segment fixation (involving one level above and one below the fractured level) and mono-segment fixation. Nowadays short-segment pedicle screw instrumentation is a well described and popular technique to reduce and stabilize thoracic and lumbar spine fractures. [24] Short-segment fixation offers the advantage of saving motion segments when compared with longer instrumentations. On the contrary, investigators in recent studies have reported earlier implant failure and correction loss as the most important disadvantages of this method. [25,26] Controversy still exists about whether pedicle short-segment screw instrumentation is a suitable method for thoracolumbar/lumbar unstable burst fracture.

It was assessed using Modified Macnab criteria. In SSIPSF group, 22 patients had excellent result 24 had Good result & 4 had fair outcome. In CPSF group, 20 patients had excellent result 22 patients had good result & 8 had fair outcome.

## Conclusion

In Open short segment Injury Level pedicle screw fixation (SSIPSF) inclusion of the fracture level into the construct offers a better kyphosis correction, in addition to no instrument failures, without additional complications had better and more rigid construct than conventional open short segment four pedicle screw fixation(CPSF).

## References

1. Malhotra D, Kalb S, Rodriguez-Martinez N, Hem DD, Perez-Orribo L, Crawford NR, Sonntag VK. Instrumentation of the posterior thoracolumbar spine: from wires to pedicle screws. Operative Neurosurgery. 2014 Dec 1;10(4):497-505.

- 2. Payer M. Unstable burst fractures of the thoraco-lumbar junction: treatment by posterior bisegmental correction/fixation and staged anterior corpectomy and titanium cage implantation. Acta neurochirurgica. 2006 Mar; 148:299-306.
- Allain J. Anterior spine surgery in recent thoracolumbar fractures: an update. Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research. 2011 Sep 1;97 (5):541-54.
- 4. Cui H, Guo J, Yang L, Guo Y, Guo M. Comparison of therapeutic effects of anterior decompression and posterior decompression on thoracolumbar spine fracture complicated with spinal nerve injury. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2015 Mar;31(2):346.
- Eno JJ, Chen JL, Mitsunaga MM. Short same-segment fixation of thoracolumbar burst fractures. Hawai'i journal of medicine & public health: a journal of Asia Pacific Medicine & Public Health. 2012 Jan;71(1):19.
- 6. Kose KC, Inanmaz ME, Isik C, Basar H, Caliskan I, Bal E. Short segment pedicle screw instrumentation with an index level screw and cantilevered hyperlordotic reduction in the treatment of type-A fractures of the thoracolumbar spine. The bone & joint journal. 2014 Apr;96(4):541-7.
- Lyu J, Chen K, Tang Z, Chen Y, Li M, Zhang Q. A comparison of three different surgical procedures in the treatment of type A thoracolumbar fractures: a randomized controlled trial. International orthopaedics. 2016 Jun; 40:1233-8.
- Mohanty SP, Bhat SN, Ishwara-Keerthi C. The effect of posterior instrumentation of the spine on canal dimensions and neurological recovery in thoracolumbar and lumbar burst fractures. Musculoskeletal surgery. 2011 Aug; 95:101-6.
- 9. Dick JC, Jones MP, Zdeblick TA, Kunz DN, Horton WC. A biomechanical comparison evaluating

the use of intermediate screws and cross-linkage in lumbar pedicle fixation. Clinical Spine Surgery. 1994 Oct 1;7(5):402-7.

- Norton RP, Milne EL, Kaimrajh DN, Eismont FJ, Latta LL, Williams SK. Biomechanical analysis of four-versus six-screw constructs for short-segment pedicle screw and rod instrumentation of unstable thoracolumbar fractures. The Spine Journal. 2014 Aug 1;14 (8): 1734-9.
- 11. Baaj AA, Reyes PM, Yaqoobi AS, Uribe JS, Vale FL, Theodore N, Sonntag VK. Crawford NR. Biomechanical advantage of the indexlevel pedicle screw in unstable thoracolumbar junction fractures: Presented at the 2010 Joint Spine Section Meeting. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine. 2011 Feb 1;14(2) :192-7.
- 12. Bolesta MJ, Caron T, Chinthakunta SR, Vazifeh PN, Khalil S. Pedicle screw instrumentation of thoracolumbar burst fractures: biomechanical evaluation of screw configuration with pedicle screws at the level of the fracture. International Journal of Spine Surgery. 2012 Jan 1; 6:200-5.
- Dai LY, Jiang SD, Wang XY, Jiang LS. A review of the management of thoracolumbar burst fractures. Surg Neurol. 2007 Mar;67(3):221-31; discussion 231.
- 14. Hwang JH, Modi HN, Yang JH, Kim SJ, Lee SH. Short segment pedicle screw fixation for unstable T11-L2 fractures: with or without fusion? A three-year follow-up study. Acta Orthop Belg. 2009 Dec;75(6):822-7.
- 15. Modi HN, Chung KJ, Seo IW, Yoon HS, Hwang JH, Kim HK, Noh KC, Yoo JH. Two levels above and one level below pedicle screw fixation for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar fracture with partial or intact neurology. Journal of

orthopaedic surgery and research. 2009 Dec;4(1):1-6.

- 16. Gurwitz GS, Dawson JM, McNamara MJ, Federspiel CF, Spengler DM. Biomechanical analysis of three surgical approaches for lumbar burst fractures using short-segment instrumentation. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1993 Jun 15;18(8):977-82.
- 17. Kramer DL, Rodgers WB, Mansfield FL. Transpedicular instrumentation and short-segment fusion of thoracolumbar fractures: a prospective study using a single instrumentation system. J Orthop Trauma. 1995;9(6): 499-506.
- Denis F. The three-column spine and its significance in the classification of acute thoracolumbar spinal injuries. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1983 Nov-Dec; 8(8):817-31.
- Gertzbein SD. Scoliosis Research Society. Multicenter spine fracture study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1992 May;17(5):528-40.
- 20. Nagel DA, Koogle TA, Piziali RL, Perkash I. Stability of the upper lumbar spine following progressive disruptions and the application of individual internal and external fixation devices. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1981 Jan;63(1) :62-70.
- 21. Verlaan JJ, Diekerhof CH, Buskens E, van der Tweel I, Verbout AJ, Dhert WJ, Oner FC. Surgical treatment of traumatic fractures of the thoracic and lumbar spine: a systematic review of the literature on techniques, complications, and outcome. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2004 Apr 1;29(7): 803-14.
- 22. Been HD, Bouma GJ: Comparison of two types of surgery for thoracolumbar burst fractures: combined anterior and posterior stabilisation vs. Posterior instrumentation only. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 1999, 141: 349-357.
- 23. Hitchon PW, Torner J, Eichholz KM, Beeler SN. Comparison of anterolateral and posterior approaches

in the management of thoracolumbar burst fractures. J Neurosurg Spine. 2006 Aug;5(2):117-25.

- 24. Gelb D, Ludwig S, Karp JE, Chung EH, Werner C, Kim T, Poelstra K. Successful treatment of thoracolumbar fractures with short-segment pedicle instrumentation. J Spinal Disord Tech. 2010 Jul;23(5):293-301.
- 25. Speth MJ, Oner FC, Kadic MA, de Klerk LW, Verbout AJ. Recurrent kyphosis after posterior stabilization of

thoracolumbar fractures. 24 cases treated with a Dick internal fixator followed for 1.5-4 years. Acta Orthop Scand. 1995 Oct;66(5):406-10.

26. Müller U, Berlemann U, Sledge J, Schwarzenbach O. Treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures without neurologic deficit by indirect reduction and posterior instrumentation: bisegmental stabilization with monosegmental fusion. Eur Spine J. 1999;8(4):284-9.