

## A Hospital Based Analytical Assessment of Sexual Dysfunction in Male Patients with Alcohol Dependence Syndrome

Takum Mokholee<sup>1</sup>, Amardeep Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Kumar Satyadarshee<sup>3</sup>, Karnik Kishore<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Psychiatry, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College & Hospital, Gaya, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Junior Resident-3, Department of Psychiatry, Patna Medical College & Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>3</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Psychiatry, Jannayak Karpoori Thakur Medical College & Hospital, Madhepura, Bihar, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor Department of Psychiatry, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

---

Received: 10-12-2022 / Revised: 21-01-2023 / Accepted: 16-02-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Karnik Kishore

Conflict of interest: Nil

---

### Abstract

**Aim:** The aim was to estimate the prevalence and correlates of sexual dysfunction in alcohol-dependent patients and to explore the association between sexual dysfunction and various alcohol-related variables.

**Methods:** The case-control study was conducted in the inpatient of the department of psychiatry in Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College & Hospital, Gaya, Bihar India for one year. The study sample consisted of two groups, recruited through convenience sampling: 100 in-patients with an ICD-10 (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision) diagnosis of alcohol dependence and 50 controls enrolled from medical wards admitted for management of transient febrile illness.

**Results:** Men with alcohol dependence were different from controls with regard to socio-demographic data of age, domicile, religion, and family type. They were older than controls. The majority of the controls were from rural, joint, Islamic families compared to cases. There were no differences between them in education, occupation, and socio-economic status (SES). 75% of the patients in the case group initiated drinking alcohol before 25 years of age, with 31% of them started before 18 years of age. However, only 6% developed dependence before 25 years of age. The quantity of alcohol consumed per day was 14.9 ( $\pm$  7.33) standard drinks (6-48 drinks per day). The prominent SD among men with alcohol dependence was low desire followed by premature ejaculation. Low sexual desire was reported by 14% and premature ejaculation by 11%. Every aspect of sexual functioning was disturbed in men with alcohol dependence. 12% reported more than one sexual dysfunction. Premature ejaculation was a prominent type of dysfunction among controls.

**Conclusion:** The study highlights the global nature of sexual dysfunction in men with alcohol dependence. It emphasizes the need for clinicians to routinely assess the sexual problems in their alcohol drinking patients, especially those with liver disease.

**Keywords:** Sexual Experiences Scale, Severity of Alcohol Dependence Questionnaire, Sexual Dysfunction in Alcohol Dependence Syndrome.

---

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

---

## Introduction

Alcohol and sexuality have been paired throughout history, poetry, prose, and brief. Although alcohol may foster the initiation of sexual activity by relieving anxiety and inhibitions, [1] persistent and chronic use of alcohol is known to induce sexual dysfunction. [2] In spite of evidence to the contrary, people often continue to believe that alcohol improves their quality of sexual function. Proper sexual functioning provides a sense of psychological, physical, and social well-being and is one of the most important elements of quality of life. [3] Dissatisfaction in sexual life is often associated with anger, increased rates of marital violence, less warmth, and unity in relationships, breakups – all of which may in turn worsen the alcohol consumption.

Of the various mechanisms postulated to explain alcohol-induced sexual dysfunction, some of them are inhibition of hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone and/or pituitary luteinizing hormone, [4,5] thereby altering the hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal and the hypothalamo–pituitary–gonadal axis, reduction in plasma testosterone levels, [6] increasing the inhibitory activity of gamma-amino butyric acid receptor and decreasing the excitatory activity of glutamate receptor in central nervous system (CNS), [7] psychological factors such as lack of arousability and disinterest in sex in partners – due to aversion, rejection, retaliation for her husband's undesirable drinking behavior, and psychiatric comorbidities such as anxiety and depression as well as those induced by psychotropic medications.

However, it appears alcohol consumption is related to sexual function in a J-shape manner, with moderate consumption conferring the highest protection and higher use conferring fewer benefits. Chronic cytotoxic effects of alcohol on general health, endocrine, and hepatic

function might be a mediator between the association of high alcohol consumption and SD. [8] Advancing age, education level, unemployment, and cigarette use may be the other correlates of SD in men with alcohol dependence. [9]

There was limited number of studies that have evaluated the SD in patients with alcohol dependence. There are only a few studies reported from India. A cross-sectional study by Benegal and Arackal at NIMHANS, Karnataka, South India using sexual dysfunction checklist found that 72% of men with alcohol dependence had one or more sexual dysfunctions, most common being premature ejaculation, low sexual desire and erectile dysfunction. [10] SD was present in 37% of the study population in a similar study using Arizona Sexual Experience Scale (ASEX) in Kerala, another state in South India. [11] These studies are limited by lack of controls, and having a non-drinking control sample, would lend a greater depth of the above findings. A case-control study from north India reported the presence of ASEX defined overall sexual dysfunction in 59% of men with alcohol dependence. The dysfunction varied among different domains, with prevalence rates between 35-58%, which were significantly higher than those seen in the control group except for the domain of ejaculation/ability to reach orgasm. [12]

The aim was to estimate the prevalence and correlates of sexual dysfunction in alcohol-dependent patients and to explore the association between sexual dysfunction and various alcohol-related variables.

## Materials and Methods

The cross-sectional, case-control study was conducted in the inpatient of the department of psychiatry in Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College & Hospital, Gaya, Bihar India for one year. The study sample consisted of two groups,

recruited through convenience sampling: 100 in-patients with an ICD-10 (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision) diagnosis of alcohol dependence and 50 controls enrolled from medical wards admitted for management of transient febrile illness. The study was approved by the institutional ethics board.

#### **Inclusion criteria**

1. Married men (currently having a stable heterosexual sexual partner).
2. Age: 25 - 60 years.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

1. A history of primary sexual dysfunction.
2. Co-morbid physical or psychiatric disorder/s or on medications that can potentially cause SD.
3. Dependence on substance/s other than alcohol except for tobacco.

#### **Tools used in the study**

1. ICD 10 for the diagnosis of ADS[13]
2. ICD 10 AM Symptom Checklist and Modules [14]
3. Intake Proforma: A specific proforma designed for the study to evaluate socio-demographic, and alcohol-related variables (age of initiation, onset and duration of dependence, amount and preferred drink, complications, nicotine use and family history of alcohol use).
4. Sexual Dysfunction Checklist: The checklist is used to find out the presence and the type of sexual dysfunction. It contains items corresponding to 12 areas of sexual dysfunction described in the Diagnostic Criteria for Research, ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders. [10,13]

#### **Procedure**

After explaining the purpose and design of the study, written informed consent was obtained for participation from all the

patients and controls recruited for the study. The socio-demographic and clinical variables were recorded in a specific form prepared for the clinical study. All the patients and controls were asked for a complete treatment history. They underwent a thorough clinical examination and blood investigations to rule out any medical disorders that can impair sexual functioning. They were further administered the ICD-10-AM (International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM) Symptom Checklist for mental disorders screener by a trained clinician. Those who required further examination was administered the appropriate modules of the ICD-10-AM to rule out psychiatric disorders that can impair sexual functioning. If any control found to have alcohol dependence while assessment, he was enrolled as a case to avoid selection bias. Sexual dysfunction checklist was administered on the patients, during the 3rd week of admission when their withdrawal symptoms got completely subsided. The controls were assessed for the same during their discharge.

#### **Statistics**

The results were analysed using SPSS version 25. Data were analysed in the form of mean and standard deviation for the continuous variables and frequency and percentage for the categorical variables. Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test were used to comparing categorical variables between cases and controls. Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test was used for controlling confounding (unmatched) variables. Unconditional logistic regression analysis was done to assess the predictors of sexual dysfunction among socio-demographic and alcohol-related variables. Statistical significance was assumed at a p-value <0.05.

#### **Results**

**Table 1: Comparison of Socio-demographic data between cases and controls**

Socio-demographic variables		Cases (N=100)	Controls (N=50)	Chi-Square test
Age	25 - 30 years	10	11	P<0.02
	31 – 40	35	22	
	41 – 50	35	11	
	50 - 60 years	20	6	
Religion	Hindu	75	28	P<0.00
	Muslim	5	12	
	Christian	18	10	
Education	Illiterate	5	5	NS
	Up to 10 <sup>th</sup> grade	80	42	
	Above 10 <sup>th</sup> grade	15	3	
Occupation	Unemployed	2	0	NS
	Unskilled	45	28	
	Skilled	25	15	
	Farm owners	25	7	
	Professional	3	0	
Income (per month)	<7,500	40	20	NS
	7,500-15,000	34	12	
	>15,000	26	18	
Domicile	Urban	30	5	P<0.005
	Rural	70	45	
Family	Nuclear	58	20	P<0.05
	Joint	42	30	
Socioeconomic Status (SES)	Upper	20	8	NS
	Middle	38	18	
	Lower	42	24	

Men with alcohol dependence were different from controls with regard to socio-demographic data of age, domicile, religion, and family type. They were older than controls. The majority of the controls were from rural, joint, Islamic families compared to cases. There were no differences between them in education, occupation, and socio-economic status (SES).

**Table 2: Clinical variables of men with alcohol dependence**

Cases with Alcohol Dependence (N=100)				
Clinical variables		With Sexual Dysfunction (N= 25)	Without Sexual Dysfunction (N=75)	Total
Age of initiation of alcohol use	< 18 years	7 (28%)	24 (32%)	31
	18 - 24 years	12 (48%)	34 (45.34%)	44
	≥25 years	6 (24%)	17 (22.66%)	23
Age of onset of regular use	< 18 years	2 (8%)	4 (5.34%)	06
	18 - 24 years	4 (16%)	14 (18.66%)	16
	25 - 34 years	15 (60%)	41 (54.66%)	56
	≥ 35 years	4 (16%)	16 (21.34%)	20
Age of onset of	< 25 years	2 (8%)	5 (6.66%)	07

dependence	> 25 years	23 (92%)	70 (93.34%)	93
Duration of dependence	≤ 1 year	4 (16%)	5 (6.66%)	09
	1 - 5 years	10 (40%)	38 (50.66%)	48
	6 - 10 years	5 (20%)	20 (26.66%)	25
	>10years	6 (24%)	12 (16%)	18
Quantity (SD)	6 - 10	8 (32%)	22 (29.34%)	30
	11 - 15	6 (24%)	18 (24%)	24
	16 – 20	6 (24%)	20 (26.66%)	26
	>20	5 (20%)	15 (20%)	20
Drink type	Hard drinks (Brandy, Whisky, Rum, Vodka)	25 (100%)	74 (98.66%)	99
Nicotine use Complications of alcohol	Beer	0	1 (1.34%)	01
Nicotine use	Use	10 (40%)	57 (77%)	67
	Absent	15 (60%)	17 (23%)	32
Complications of alcohol	Liver Disease [Cirrhosis]	17 (68%) [07]	37 (49.34%) [01]	54 [08]
	Others	5 (20%)	14 (18.66%)	19
	None	4 (16%)	23 (30.66%)	27
	Dependence	11 (44%)	28 (37.34%)	39
Family history of Alcohol Use	Use	7 (28%)	29 (38.66%)	36
	Absent	8 (32%)	17 (22.66%)	25
Family history of Psychiatric disorders		2 (08%)	4 (5.34%)	06
Family history of medical illness		16 (64%)	42 (56%)	58

75% of the patients in the case group initiated drinking alcohol before 25 years of age, with 31% of them started before 18 years of age. However, only 6% developed dependence before 25 years of age. The quantity of alcohol consumed per day was 14.9 ( $\pm$  7.33) standard drinks (6-48 drinks

per day). 67% of patients were having nicotine use compared to 26% in controls with significant difference ( $p=0.00$ ). 3/4th of the patients in the case group had a family history of alcohol use, with half of them amounting to dependence.

**Table 3: Comparison of sexual dysfunction between cases and controls**

Sexual Dysfunction		Chi-Square test	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	
Cases (N=100)	Controls (N=50)			Lower	Upper
25	5	5.20, $p=0.023$	3.16	1.13	8.83
CMH test adjusted for age		3.54, $p=0.06$	3.09	1.062	9.003
CMH test adjusted for nicotine use		9.56, $p=0.002$	5.37	1.784	16.163

The difference was statistically significant, with an odds ratio of 3.16 (95% CI of 1.13 – 8.83). Common odds ratio estimates using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test adjusted for age and nicotine use were estimated. The OR adjusted for

age was 3.54 (95% CI of 1.06-9.00; Cochran's statistic = 0.033; Mantel-Haenszel statistic = 0.06) and that adjusted for nicotine use was 5.37 (95% CI of 1.78 – 16.16; Mantel- Haenszel statistic = 0.002).

**Table 4: Comparison of domains of sexual dysfunction checklist between cases and controls**

Sexual Dysfunction Checklist	Global		Significance Chi-Square/ Fisher's Exact test	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	
	Cases (N=100)	Controls (N=50)			Lower	Upper
Low sexual desire	14	1	0.02	7.98	1.02	62.52
Difficulty achieving	3	1	1	1.52	0.15	14.95
Difficulty maintaining	5	1	0.66	2.58	0.29	22.69
Premature ejaculation	11	3	0.39	1.94	0.52	7.28
Delayed/ Inhibited	5	0	0.16	-	-	-
Orgasm with flaccid	0	0	-	-	-	-
Anorgasmia	1	1	1	0.50	0.03	8.08
Pain coitus	0	0	-	-	-	-
Dissatisfaction with frequency of	7	0	0.096	-	-	-
Dissatisfaction of sexual relation	2	0	0.55	-	-	-
Dissatisfaction with own sexual	5	0	0.17	-	-	-

The prominent SD among men with alcohol dependence was low desire followed by premature ejaculation. Low sexual desire was reported by 14% and premature ejaculation by 11%. Every aspect of sexual functioning was disturbed in men with alcohol dependence. 12% reported more than one sexual dysfunction. Premature ejaculation was a prominent

type of dysfunction among controls. On the comparison between the patient and control groups, there was a significant difference with regards to low desire (p=0.02; OR- 7.98; 95% CI:1. 02-62.52) Frequency of intercourse dissatisfaction approached marginally outside the level of significance.

**Table 5: Predictors of sexual dysfunction among socio-demographic and clinical variables**

Socio-demographic and Clinical variables	Logistic regression analysis	
	Exp (B) value	P-value
Age in years	1.016	0.606
Duration of dependence	1.030	0.464
Amount of drinks	0.999	0.977
Alcoholic liver disease	1.783	0.229

Unconditional logistic regression analysis was done to assess the predictors of sexual

dysfunction among socio- demographic and clinical variables. None of the

variables added significantly to the prediction.

## Discussion

Sex is perhaps the most unique aspect of life on planet earth which makes it heavenly and is a beautiful process of expressing desire, affection, trust and mutual warmth, and experiencing the ultimate bliss of "Tanmayam." Alcohol increases sexual urge by decreasing the inhibitions; at the same time, it is found to cause impairment in sexual functioning through various mechanisms. Alcohol abuse/dependence is known to lead to sexual dysfunction. [15] In clinical populations, the relationship between alcohol and sexual dysfunction has been studied from the following points of view: prevalence and correlates of sexual dysfunction in patients seeking treatment for alcohol problems, prevalence of alcohol use/abuse/dependence in patients seeking treatment for sexual dysfunction and effect of alcohol on various mechanisms involved in sexual functioning.

In this study, the exclusive focus on males with alcoholism is entailed by the fact that the frequency of alcohol use by females in India, and concurrent alcohol dependence is exceedingly low. [10] Co-morbid nicotine use is not excluded in the present study as it is widely prevalent in most of the patients with alcohol dependence. [16] The socio-demographic profile of the sample is similar to previous studies done in the same region. [17,18] Controls are drawn from the hospital population; however, they are not matched with regard to the number or characteristics of the cases. There is a significant difference between the two groups with regards to age, domicile, religion, and family type. The majority of the men in the case group belong to the 4th and 5th decades (mean  $42.44 \pm 8.82$ ), while most of the controls are in their 4th decade. Therefore drug abuse in India as an exclusively urban phenomenon is a myth as told by a

National survey on the extent, pattern, and trends of drug abuse in India. [19] Muslims are predominant in the control group rather than the case group, maybe because of religious restrictions in substance use. [20]

About three-fourths of the patients in the case group have started alcohol use before 25 years, with nearly one-third before 18 years. Though they started early, only 7% developed dependence patterns before 25 years. Thus, the majority (more than 90%) has Cloninger type 1 (milieu limited) alcohol dependence, which means the addiction is less hereditary and more influenced by the environment. [21] These findings are similar to study in Bangalore, which showed the mean age of onset of initiation was  $21.39 \pm 5.34$  years, and the mean age of onset of dependence was  $27.8 \pm 5.7$  years. [12] The mean quantity of alcohol consumption per day was  $14.74 (\pm 7.22)$  standard units of drinks per day and preferred drink being whisky. The amount is smaller compared to other studies from the same region ( $20.6 \pm 9.07$  units in Bangalore's study and  $21.23 \pm 10.10$  units in Kerala's study). [10,11]

A significant difference exists between men with and without alcohol dependence concerning nicotine use and family history of alcohol use. This difference signifies nicotine use and family history of alcohol are widely prevalent in most of the men with alcohol dependence. [11,12] The deficiency of matching between cases and controls had been overcome by statistical analysis using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test which controlled confounding variables like age and nicotine use. Thus, the difference in SD between cases and controls wasn't due to these factors. 25% of men with alcohol dependence complain of one or more problems with sexual functioning. This finding is similar to results reported in earlier studies. The rates of SD in these studies have ranged 8-95.2%. [9]

The most common SD reported by men with alcohol dependence in our study is low sexual desire followed by premature ejaculation. Different types of SDs were reported as the commonest in men with alcohol dependence in the earlier studies. They include erectile dysfunction, premature ejaculation, delayed ejaculation, and decreased sexual desire.<sup>3</sup> Studies each by Akhtar, Jensen, and Vijayaseenan reported low sexual desire as the most frequent problem similar to our study. [22-24] The finding is further confirmed in our research when men with alcohol dependence having SD compared with healthy controls on each domain of sexual dysfunction. Low sexual desire is significantly prominent in men with alcohol dependence. Various co-existing dysfunctions are seen in the case sample. Therefore the number of SD complaints on the sexual dysfunction checklist is counted. The number of complaints is significantly higher than controls, indicating alcohol induces dysfunctions in multiple sexual domains. These findings are similar to previous studies. [23-25]

The present study was one of the few case-control studies comparing sexual dysfunction in alcohol dependence with controls. It excluded confounding variables like the use of other substances except for nicotine, comorbidities, and co-administered medications. It also assessed the correlation of sexual dysfunction with alcohol-related variables. [26]

### Conclusion

The study emphasized the need for clinicians to routinely assess the risk of sexual problems, which is often missed, unexplored, however, very important for the management of addiction to alcohol.

### References

1. Ruiz P. Comprehensive textbook of psychiatry. Sadock BJ, Sadock VA, editors. Philadelphia: lippincott Williams & wilkins; 2000.

2. Graham CA, Bancroft J. The sexual dysfunction. In: Gelder MG, Andreasen CN, Lopez-Ibor JJ, Geddes JR, editors. New Oxford Textbook of Psychiatry. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2009. pp. 821–32.
3. Bancroft J, editor. In: Human Sexuality and Its Problems. 2nd ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1983. Sexual problems; pp. 360–411.
4. Heinz A, Rommelspacher H, Gräf KJ, Kürten I, Otto M, Baumgartner A. Hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, prolactin, and cortisol in alcoholics during withdrawal and after three weeks of abstinence: comparison with healthy control subjects. Psychiatry research. 1995 Jan 31;56(1):81-95.
5. Hiney JK, Dees WL. Ethanol inhibits luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone release from the median eminence of prepubertal female rats in vitro: investigation of its actions on norepinephrine and prostaglandin-E<sub>2</sub>. Endocrinology. 1991 Mar 1;128(3): 14 04-8.
6. Gordon GG, Altman K, Southren AL, Rubin E, Lieber CS. Effect of alcohol (ethanol) administration on sex-hormone metabolism in normal men. New England Journal of Medicine. 1976 Oct 7;295(15):793-7.
7. Fernandes A, De Sousa A. Alcohol dependence and sexual dysfunction: A clinical review. Paripex Indian J Res. 2014; 3:187–90.
8. Cheng JY, Ng EM, Chen RY, Ko JS. Alcohol consumption and erectile dysfunction: meta-analysis of population-based studies. Int J Impot Res 2007;19(4):343-52.
9. Grover S, Mattoo SK, Pendharkar S, Kandappan V. Sexual Dysfunction in Patients with Alcohol and Opioid Dependence. Indian J Psychol Med 2014;36(4):355-65.
10. Benegal V, Arackal BS, Prevalence of sexual dysfunction in male subjects



- with alcohol dependence. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2007;49(2):109–12.
11. Prabhakaran DK, Nisha A, Varghese PJ. Prevalence and correlates of sexual dysfunction in male patients with alcohol dependence syndrome: A cross-sectional study. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2018; 60:71-7.
  12. Pendharkar S, Mattoo SK, Grover S. Sexual dysfunctions in alcohol-dependent men: A study from north India. *Indian J Med Res* 2016; 144(3) :393–9.
  13. The International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision, Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders. World Health Organization. Geneva.1992.
  14. National Centre for Classification in Health. The international statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision, Australian modification (ICD-10-AM). Sydney: National Centre for Classification in Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, 1998.
  15. Grover S, Mattoo SK, Pendharkar S, Kandappan V. Sexual dysfunction in patients with alcohol and opioid dependence. *Indian journal of psychological medicine*. 2014 Oct;36 (4):355-65.
  16. Gulliver SB, Rohsenow DJ, Colby SM. Interrelationship of smoking and alcohol dependence, use and urges to use. *J Stud Alcohol*. 1995; 56:202–6.
  17. Kumar N, Kanchan T, Unnikrishnan B, Thapar R, Mithra P. Profile of Substance Use among Patients Attending De-Addiction Centres in a Coastal City of Southern India. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8(2):e57824.
  18. Johnson PR, Banu S, Ashok MV. Severity of alcoholism in Indian males: Correlation with age of onset and family history of alcoholism. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2010;52(3):243-9.
  19. Ray R, editor. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India and United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. The extent, pattern and trends of drug abuse in India- National survey. 2004.
  20. Amy Adamczyk. The Indirect Result of Religious norms and Practices: Explaining Islam’s Role in Limiting the Spread of HIV/AIDS. Religion and Social Problems. (Ed) Titus Hjelm. Taylor and Francis: New York, USA. 2011; 18-19.
  21. Cloninger C. R., Bohman M., Sigvardsson S. Inheritance of alcohol abuse. Crossfostering analysis of adopted men. *Arch Gen Psychiat* 1981; 38:861-8.
  22. Akhtar JJ. Sexual Disorders in Male Alcoholics. In: Alcoholism and drug dependence: A multidisciplinary approach. (Ed) Madden JS, Walker R and Kenyon WH. Plenum Press: New York; 1977;3-12.
  23. Jensen SB. Sexual customs and dysfunction in alcoholics. *Br J Sex Med*. 1979; 6:30–4.
  24. Vijayasenan ME. Alcohol and sex. *N Z Med J* 1981; 93:18–20.
  25. Grinshpoon A, Margolis A, Weizman and its effect on A, Ponizovsky AM. Sildenafil citrate in the treatment of sexual dysfunction quality of life in alcohol dependent men: preliminary findings. *Alcohol Alcoholism* 2007;42 (4):340–6.
  26. Alexander C. A., Wang L., & Shah V. Vaccine Hesitancy, Vaccine Acceptance, and Recommendations about the COVID-19 Vaccines. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 2021;4(12): 1599–1609.