Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(3); 07-14

Original Research Article

A Prospective Observational Study to Assess the Prevalence and Associated Factors of Anemia in Pregnant Women

Fauzia Perveen¹, C.P. Jaiswal²

¹Tutor, Department of Pathology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

²Associate Professor and HOD, Department of Pathology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 04-12-2022 / Revised: 05-01-2023 / Accepted: 25-02-2023
Corresponding author: Dr. C.P. Jaiswal
Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: This study was aimed to determine the prevalence and associated factors of anemia in pregnant women in Bihar.

Material & Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted in Department of pathology, Nalanda Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for one year. A sample size of 223 pregnant women was estimated based on the prevalence of anemia of 59% among pregnant women (National Family Health Survey [NFHS]-3 survey) with 95% confidence level and with an absolute precision of 5%, including a dropout rate of 20%.

Results: A total of 223 pregnant women were involved in the study. Most of the pregnant women were within the age group of 18-25 years with mean age of 22.94 years (\pm 2.39year). Among all study participants, 35(15.9%) were illiterate. Prevalence and severity of anemia: Two hundred twenty-three pregnant women, 39.94 (95% CI: 34.7% - 45.2%), were anemic. The mean Hb concentration was 11.55 \pm 2.97 g/dl with a range of 5.4 to 18.7g/dl. Among anemic pregnant women, 84(37.50%) had mild anemia, 139(62.50%) had moderate anemia, and 14(9.66%) had severe anemia. From a total of anemic pregnant women, 139(62.5%), 56(25%) and 28(12.5%) had normocytic normochromic, microcytic hypochromic and macrocytic anemia, respectively. Among 223 study participants, 18 (8.07%) were anemic (Hgb: < 11 g/dl). The general distribution of anemia was 15 (62.5%) microcytic hypochromic, 6 (25.0%) normo- cytic hypochromic and 3 (12.5%) macrocytic hypochromic based on the morphology of red blood cells. Entamoeba histolytica 18 (8.07%), Giardia lamblia and both Entamoeba histolytica/dispar and Giardia lamblia 12 (5.3%) were among intestinal parasites detected from stool of the pregnant women. The majority of anemic cases 66.66% (12/28) showed mild type of anemia.

Conclusion: This study revealed that the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women was relatively low. Rural residence, history of abortion, current blood loss and third trimester gestational age was statistical significant associated factors with anemia in this study.

Keywords: Anemia, Factors, Pregnant women.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Anemia in pregnancy is a major public health problem, especially in developing countries. It affects 41.8% of pregnant women globally, with the highest prevalence in Africa (57.1%) which corresponds to 17.2 million. [1] Anemia in

Perveen et al.

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research

pregnant women has severe consequences on health. social. and economic development. [1,2] Anemic pregnant women will be at risk of low physical activity, increased maternal morbidity and mortality, especially those with severe anemia. [1,3] In addition, both pregnant women and their neonates encounter negative consequence including fetal anemia, low birth weight (LBW), preterm delivery, intrauterine growth restriction and perinatal Mortality. [3,4-9]

In most of the cases, anemia is largely preventable and easily treatable if detected in time. Effective management of anemia includes treatment of the underlying causes, restoration of the hemoglobin concentration to normal levels, and prevention and treatment of complications. Despite this fact, anemia still continues to be a common cause of mortality and morbidity among pregnant women [10] and data on relative contributions of associated factors are limited which makes it difficult to effectively address the problem.

Anemia is a global public health problem. reproductive Women of age are particularly at risk. [11,12] Anemia can be caused by both nutritional and nonnutritional factors, with iron deficiency being the most common cause. [13] Previous studies reported that anemia affected about 40% of pregnant women and more than 20% of non-pregnant women. [11] Due to the increased demand for iron, normal diet cannot meet the demand of some pregnant women for iron, especially women with an already established iron deficiency. [14] Anemia not only can increase the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes [15-17], but may reduce cognitive function [18], and reduce work efficiency. [19] In 2011, World Health Organization (WHO) reported that the global prevalence of anemia for all women of reproductive age was 29.4%, with 38.2% in pregnant women and 29.0% in non-pregnant women. [20]

This study was aimed to determine the prevalence and associated factors of anemia in pregnant women in Patna.

Materials & Methods

A prospective observational study was conducted in Department of pathology. Medical College and Nalanda Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for one year. A sample size of 223 pregnant women was estimated based on the prevalence of anemia of 59% among pregnant women (National Family Health Survey [NFHS]-3 survey) with 95% confidence level and with an absolute precision of 5%, including a dropout rate of 20%. Ethical clearance was obtained. Permission was obtained from Medical director office before data collection. Written informed consent was obtained from each pregnant woman prior to enrollment in the study. Individual level medical information obtained from the pregnant women was kept strictly confidential, and the participants were assured that only aggregate data will be reported

The pregnant woman was examined by the OBG consultant, and postgraduates and the data were collected. The hemoglobin (HB) of the pregnant women was measured at the first visit and repeated at every 3rd month. The HB measurements of the pregnant woman were measured using HemoCue analyzer (HemoCue Hb 301). Anemia was classified based on the WHO criteria; HB concentration of <11gm/dl was considered as Anaemia. HB concentration of 10–10.9 g/dl, 7–9.9 g/dl, and <7gm/dl was considered as mild, moderate, and severe anemia, respectively.

Statistical Analysis:

The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics V22.0 (IBM United States). The quantitative measures are presented by mean and standard deviation and qualitative variables by proportions. Chi-square test, correlation coefficient, and logistic regression were used for testing

Perveen et al.

significance. $P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 223 pregnant women were involved in the study. Most of the pregnant women were within the age group of 18-25 years with mean age of 22.94 years (± 2.39 year). Among all study participants, 35(15.9%) were illiterate. (Table 1).

Prevalence and severity of anemia: Two hundred twenty-three pregnant women, 39.94 (95% CI: 34.7% - 45.2%), were anemic. The mean Hb concentration was 11.55 ± 2.97 g/dl with a range of 5.4 to 18.7g/dl. Among anemic pregnant women, 84(37.50%) had mild anemia, 139(62.50%) had moderate anemia, and 14(9.66%) had severe anemia. From a total of anemic pregnant women, 139(62.5%), 56(25%) and 28(12.5%) had normocytic normochromic, microcytic hypochromic and macrocytic anemia, respectively.

 Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of participants and outcome of different factors on the prevalence of anemia in pregnant women

			e of anemia in pre	Ť		r
Variables	Frequency N (%)	Anemia prevalen ce Positive	COR (95% CI)	p- value	AOR (95% CI)	p- value
		(%)				
Age (in years)						
18–25	140 (62)	5.39	1			
26–34	73 (33)	12.26	0.44(0.2,1.2)	0.100		
> 34	10 (5)	13.47	0.26(0.06,1.1)	0.070		
Educational						
level						
Illiterate	35 (15.9)	46.75	0.87(0.7,2.3)	0.700		
1-8	49 (21.9)	5.45	0.50 (0.9, 3.5)	0.350		
9–12	127 (56.8)	1.98	0.40 (0.061, 5.03)	0.900		
> 12	12 (5.4)	_	1			
Residence						
Urban	167 (75.1)	3.75	1		1	
Rural	56 (24.9)	17.58	8.70 (2.01, 38.4)	0.004	6.00 (1.34,27.6)	0.019
Occupation						
Housewife	172 (77.3)	7.65	0.39(0.051,3.04)	0.370		
Self employed	29 (12.8)	7.69	1.30 (0.08, 21.8)	0.850		
Governmental	22 (9.9)	10.00	1			
Pregnancy gap (1	ast and curren	t pregnancy)			
1 year	27 (12.2)	32.43	0.02(0.005,0.08)	0.000	0.19 (0.02,1.7)	0.138
2 years	31 (13.8)	7.14	1.13 (0.12, 11.3)	0.900		
3 years	31 (13.8)	7.14	0.50 (0.09, 3.5)	0.500		
> 3 years	58 (23.7)	4.17	1.90 (0.19, 18)	0.600		
First pregnancy	76 (36.5)	2.70	1		1	
Iron	, <i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>					
supplements						

Perveen et al.

Yes	139 (62.5)	_	1		1	
No	84 (37.5)	7.90	0.08 (0.02, 0.31)	0.000	0.06	0.089
			····(···)···)		(0.01,1.22)	
Presence of curre	ent blood loss			1		•
Yes	31 (14.1)	41.86	5.90 (2.17, 16.2)	0.001	3.40	0.026
					(1.16, 10.2)	
No	192 (85.9)	2.29	1		1	
Trimester						
(weeks)						
1	44 (19.7)	—	1		1	
2	70 (31.6)	3.12	2.00 (0.79,12)	0.005	0.14	0.070
					(0.65, 9.12)	
3	109 (48.7)	14.18	5.00(1.49,17.67)	0.010	4.90	0.013
					(1.39, 17.6)	
Recent history of	abortion			-		-
Yes	65(29)	17.04	9.60 (2.76,33.2)	0.000	7.90	0.001
					(2.23, 28.1)	
No	158 (71)	4.17	1		1	
				-		-
History of						
coffee (the last						
3 months)						
Yes	155 (69.7)	8.01	0.12(0.014,0.81)	0.030	0.09	0.054
					(0.010,	
					1.84)	
No	68 (30.3)	7.61	1			
Home delivery (p					1	•
Yes	31 (14.1)	6.97	0.05(0.02,0.13)	0.000	0.26	0.145
105	51 (14.1)	0.12				
No	192 (85.9)	8.01	1		(0.04, 1.60)	

COR, crude odds ratio, CI, confidence interval, AOR, adjusted odds ratio); 1 (referent); -

Table 2: Laboratory finding of the pregnant women attending

Number of cases (%)
18 (8.07)
205 (91.9)
12 (62.5)
5 (25)
3 (12.5)
18 (8.07)
12 (5.3)
12 (5.3)
185 (82.95)

Among 223 study participants, 18 (8.07%) were anemic (Hgb: < 11 g/dl). The general distribution of anemia was 15 (62.5%) microcytic hypochromic, 6 (25.0%) normo- cytic hypochromic and 3 (12.5%) macrocytic hypochromic based on the morphology of red blood

⁽no)

cells. Entamoeba histolytica 18 (8.07%), Giardia lamblia and both Entamoeba histolytica/dispar and Giardia lamblia 12 (5.3%) were among intestinal parasites detected from stool of the pregnant women.

Table 5: Prevalence of anenna				
Prevalence of anemia	N%			
Mild (10-10.9 g/dl)	12 (66.66)			
Moderate (7-9.9 g/dl)	6 (33.34)			
Severe (<7 g/dl)	0			

Table 3: Prevalence of anemia

The majority of anemic cases 66.66% (12/28) showed mild type of anemia.

Discussion

Anemia is one of the most common nutritional deficiency disorders affecting the pregnant women; the prevalence in developed countries is 14%, in developing countries 51%, and in India, it varies from 65% to 75%. [21,22] Anemia is also an established risk factor for intrauterine growth retardation, leading on to poor neonatal health and perinatal death. [23-25]

The prevalence of anemia in the present study was 8.07%. This was in line with previous studies conducted in Debre Berhan, 9.7% [26], Sudan, 10% [27], Addis Ababa, 11.6% [28] and Iran, 13.6%. [29] In this study, the majority of anemic cases, 66.66% (12/18) were mild type followed by 33.34% (6/18) moderate cases of anemia. A similar provision was reported in Kenya (62.5% and 37.5%) [30] and Nepal (67.1%, and 28.6%) [31] in which majority of the cases was mild anemia followed by moderate anemia respectively. In contrast to this report, study performed in Kenya (70.7% and 26.3%) [32] and southern Ethiopia (60% and 34.3%) [33] majority of the anemia case was moderate followed by mild correspondingly.

Moreover, elevated anemia was found with rural residence and third trimester of gestational age. The pregnant women of rural residence were about 6 times high likely to be anemic than the urban dwellers. The risk of getting anemia was also 4.9 times higher among women in the third trimester of gestational age. This study was parallel with the report in Pakistan [34], India [35], Libya [36], Nepal [37], eastern Ethiopia [38] and northwest Ethiopia. [39] In contrast to this study; as reported in western Nepal [31] and Nigeria [40], the distribution of anemia was higher in second trimester of gestational age.

In this study, anemia among pregnant women was significantly associated with presence of clinical illness. Pregnant women who had clinical illness and intestinal parasitic infection were more likely to be anemic than pregnant women who did not have clinical illness and intestinal parasitic infections. Similar findings were obtained from Nigeria2 and Ethiopia. [41] In the present study, anemia was more prevalent at the third trimester. This might be due the fact that increase in trimester may cause reduction in maternal iron reserves. [42] There was also a statistically significant association between anemia and history of excess menstrual bleeding (usage of more than two sanitary pads within a day during menstruation). These might be due to the fact that increase in number of sanitary pad usage per day reflects increase in the amount of blood flow-one of the predisposing factors occurrence anemia. for the of Undernourished pregnant women who had low BMI<18.5 kg/m2 were more likely to have anemia. This might be due to the fact that anemia is one of the most common nutritional deficiency disorders. Because the study was cross-sectional in design, it did not show which preceded, anemia or risk factors. Additionally, Micronutrient (serum iron, folate and vit-B12) levels, which might be root causes of anemia, were not assessed.

Conclusion

This study revealed that the prevalence of anemia among pregnant women was relatively low. Rural residence, history of abortion, current blood loss and third trimester gestational age was statistical significant associated factors with anemia in this study. Therefore, further large scale longitudinal studies should be done in respect to the importance of regular visit to maternal care centers and health education promotion programs regarding the cause and prevention of anemia among pregnant women by assessing micronutrients and other causal related factors for anemia.

References

- Benoist B, McLean E, Cogswell M, Egli I, Wojdyla D. Worldwide prevalence of anemia, WHO Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System, 1993-2005. Public Health Nutr, Geneva 2008; 12(4): 444-454.
- 2. Uneke CJ, Duhlinska DD, Igbinedion EB. Prevalence and public-health significance of HIV infection and anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in southeastern Nigeria. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2007 Sep; 25 (3):328.
- 3. Allen LH. Anemia and iron deficiency: effects on pregnancy outcome. Am J Clin Nutr 2000; 71(5):1280S-4S.
- 4. Haggaz AD, Radi EA, Adam I. Anemia and low birth weight in western Sudan. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, 2010; 104(3):234-6.
- Lone FW, Qureshi RN, Emmanuel F. Maternal anemia and its impact on perinatal outcome in a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan. East Mediter Health J, 2004; 10(6):801–7.
- Melku M, Addis Z, Alem M, Enawgaw B. Prevalence and Predictors of Maternal Anemia during Pregnancy in

Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia: An Institutional Based Cross-Sectional Study. Anemia, 2014; 2014: 108593.

- Msolla MJ, Kinabo JL. Prevalence of anemia in pregnant women during the last trimester. Int J Food Sci Nutr, 1997; 48(4):265–70.
- Kidanto HL, Mogren I, Lindmark G, Massawe S, Nystrom L. Risks for preterm delivery and low birth weight are independently increased by severity of maternal anemia. S Afr Med J, 2009; 99(2):98–102.
- 9. Iron deficiency anemia: assessment, prevention, and control. A guide for programme managers. Geneva, WHO 2001.
- WHO. Hemoglobin Concentrations for the Diagnosis of Anemia and Assessment of Severity, Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. 2011.
- 11. Camaschella C. Iron-Deficiency Anemia. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372(19): 1832–43.
- 12. Stevens GA, FinucaneMM, De-Regil LM, Paciorek CJ, Flaxman SR, Branca F, Pena- Rosas JP, Bhutta ZA, Ezzati M. Global, regional, and national trends in haemoglobin concentration and prevalence of total and severe anaemia in children and pregnant and non-pregnant women for 1995–2011: a systematic analysis of populationrepresentative data. Lancet Global Health. 2013;1(1): e16-25.
- Lopez A, Cacoub P, Macdougall IC, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Iron deficiency anaemia. Lancet. 2016;387(10021):90 7–16.
- 14. Goonewardene M, Shehata M, Hamad A. Anaemia in pregnancy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2012;26 (1):3–24.
- Percy L, Mansour D, Fraser I. Iron deficiency and iron deficiency anaemia in women. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2017; 40:55–67.
- 16. Mirza FG, Abdul-Kadir R, Breymann C, Fraser IS, Taher A. Impact and

management of iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia in women's health. Expert Rev Hematol. 2018;11 (9):727–36.

- 17. Daru J, Zamora J, Fernández-Félix BM, Vogel J, Oladapo OT, Morisaki N, Tunçalp Ö, Torloni MR, Mittal S, Jayaratne K, et al. Risk of maternal mortality in women with severe anaemia during pregnancy and post partum: a multilevel analysis. Lancet Global Health. 2018;6(5): e548-54.
- 18. Cook RL, O'Dwyer NJ, Parker HM, Donges CE, Cheng HL, Steinbeck KS, Cox EP, Franklin JL, Garg ML, Rooney KB, et al. Iron Deficiency Anemia, Not Iron Deficiency, Is Associated with Reduced Attention in Healthy Young Women. Nutrients. 2017;9(11):1216.
- 19. Kamruzzaman M, Rabbani MG, Saw A, Sayem MA, Hossain MG. Differentials in the prevalence of anemia among non-pregnant, evermarried women in Bangladesh: multilevel logistic regression analysis of data from the 2011 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey. BMC Womens Health. 2015; 15:54.
- 20. Organization WH. The global prevalence of anaemia in 2011. Geneva Switzerland WHO. 2011;126(11):540 9–18.
- 21. Marahatta R. Study of anaemia in pregnancy and its outcome in Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. Nepal Med Coll J. 2007 Dec 1;9(4):270-4.
- 22. Kalaivani K. Prevalence and consequences of anaemia in pregnancy. Indian J Med Res. 2009; 130: 627-33.
- 23. Sangeetha VB, Pushpalatha S. Severe maternal anemia and neonatal outcome. Sch J Appl Med Sci. 2014; 2(1C):303-9.
- 24. Gautam VP, Bansal Y, Taneja OK, Saha R. Prevalence of anaemia amongst pregnant women and its socio-demographic associates in a rural

area of Delhi. Indian Journal of Community Medicine. 2002 Oct 1;27 (4):157.

- 25. Iyengar K. Early postpartum maternal morbidity among rural women of Rajasthan, India: a community-based study. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition. 2012 Jun 1:213-25.
- 26. Ayenew F, Abere Y, Timerga GJ. Pregnancy anaemia prevalence and associated factors among women attending ante Natal Care in north Shoa zone, Ethiopia. Reproductive System & Sexual Disorders. 2014;3(135):1-7.
- 27. Abdelgader EA, Diab TA, Kordofani AA, Abdalla SA. Hemoglobin level, RBCs Indices, and iron status in pregnant females in Sudan. Basic Res J Med Clin Sci. 2014;3(2):8–13.
- 28. Gebreweld A, Tsegay A. Prevalence and factors associated with anemia among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Adv Hematol. 2018; 2018:3942301.
- 29. Barooti M, Rezazadehkermani B, Sadeghirad S, Motaghipisheh S, Arabi M. Prevalence of iron deficiency anemia among Iranian pregnant women; a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Reprod Fertil. 2010; 11(1):17–24.
- 30. Siteti M, Namasaka SD, Ariya OP, Injete SD, Wanyonyi WA. Anaemia in pregnancy: prevalence and possible risk factors in Kakamega County, Kenya. SJPH. 2014;2(3):216–22.
- Singh P, Khan S, Mittal RK. Anemia during pregnancy in the women of western Nepal. BMJ. 2013;2(1):14–6.
- 32. Tekeste O. Prevalence and factors associated with anaemia among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in the second and third trimesters at Pumwani maternity Hospital, Nairobi, 2015.
- 33. Gedefaw L, Ayele A, Asres Y, MossieA. Anaemia and associated factors among pregnant women attending

antenatal care clinic in Walayita Sodo town, Southern Ethiopia. Ethiopian journal of health sciences. 2015;25(2): 155-64.

- 34. Ullah I, Zahid M, Khan MI, Shah M. Prevalence of anemia in preg- nant women in district Karak, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. IJB. 2013; 3(11):1–7.
- 35. Suryanarayana R, Santhuram AN, Chandrappa M, Shivajirao P, Rangappa SS. Prevalence of anemia among pregnant women in rural population of Kolar district. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2016; 5:3.
- 36. Elzahaf R, Omar M. Prevalence of anaemia among pregnant women in Derna city, Libya. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2016;3(7):1915– 20.
- 37. Rayamajhi N, Mishra SK, Gautam N, Doshi NR. Prevalence of anemia in pregnant women attending a tertiary level hospital in western region, Nepal. JUCMS. 2016;4(2):14.
- 38. Bereka SG, Gudeta AN, Reta MA, Ayana LA. Prevalence and associated risk factors of anemia among pregnant

women in rural part of JigJiga City, Eastern Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. J Preg Child Health. 2017; 4:337.

- 39. Asri F. Prevalence of anemia and its associated factors among pregnant women receiving antenatal care at Aymiba Health Center, northwest Ethiopia. J Blood Med. 2017; 8:35–40.
- 40. Dattijo LM, Daru PH, Umar NI. Anaemia in pregnancy: prevalence and associated factors in Azare, North-East Nigeria. IJTD. 2016;11(1):1–9.
- 41. Getachew M, Yewhalaw D, Tafess K, Getachew Y, Zeynudin A. Anemia and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Gilgel Gibe dam area, Southwest Ethiopia. Parasites & amp; vectors, 2012; 5(1):296.
- 42. Lechheb Khadija, Berdi Fadoua, Ennafah Wafaa, & Lamsaouru Jamal. Analyse Des Risques a Priori En Unite De Retrocession Au Sein De L'unite De Gestion Des Produits a Statuts Particuliers a L'hmimv De Rabat: Processus De Dispensation. Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2022;5(10): 2307–2316.