

To Evaluate the Clinic-Demographic and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of Lower Respiratory Tract Infections (LRTI) in Patients Admitted to Intensive Care Unit

Shikha Singh¹, Niraj Kumar², Rohit Kumar³, Raj Kumar Singh⁴

¹Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

²Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

³Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

⁴Senior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 1-02-2023 Revised: 24-03-2023 / Accepted: 01-04-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Niraj Kumar

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to assess the distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of lower respiratory tract infections over a six-month period in the medical and surgical intensive care units of a teaching hospital.

Methods: This was a retrospective study conducted at Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for the period of 6 months. This institution is an academic teaching hospital and is one of the local tertiary referral units. Total number of patients (medical and surgical admissions) seen at our Institute during the study period was 1200; out of whom, 200 patients required intensive care. One hundred patients were enrolled for the study after considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Results: A total of 100 patients were included in the study, out of which 70 (70%) were males. Amongst different age groups, maximum patients were above 60 y (30%) and the least were between 18–30 y (12%). The underlying major medical conditions of the LRTI were diabetes mellitus (30%), respiratory pathology (20%), nephrological pathology (18%) and malignancy (14%). The bacteria were isolated predominantly from the tracheal aspirate (85%), compared to broncho-alveolar lavage (15%) with a statistically significant difference between them. Out of all the isolated organisms, *A. baumannii* (n = 30; 30%), *P. aeruginosa* (n = 24; 24%) and *Klebsiella* (n = 22; 22%) were the most positive isolates, *S. aureus* and *Enterococcus* were equal (n = 4; 4%). In the gram-negative isolates, *A. baumannii* was most susceptible to colistin (93.34%) followed by minocycline (80%) and amikacin (66.66%). With regard to *P. aeruginosa*, it was observed that only around half of the isolates were susceptible to doripenem (62.5%) and it was also observed that most of the isolates were resistant to all the commonly used antibiotics. In the gram-positive isolates, *S. aureus* was equally susceptible to linezolid (75%) and vancomycin (75%); and 100% of the isolates of *Enterococcus* were susceptible to vancomycin.

Conclusion: Gram-negative pathogens were predominantly responsible for lower respiratory tract infections. Moreover, antimicrobial resistance rate was high with the most commonly used antibiotics and also to higher antibiotics such as carbapenems.

Keywords: Antimicrobial susceptibility; Intensive Care Unit; Lower Respiratory Tract Infection; Antibiotic; Resistance.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) are the infections of the trachea, bronchi and lungs. Though there is no uniform definition for LRTI, most of the definitions include pneumonia, influenza, bronchitis including acute exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and bronchiolitis in this broad term. [1,2] LRTI have been one of the major contributors of human morbidity and mortality. As per the Global Burden of Disease study, funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation, in the year 2016 nearly 2.38 million deaths were the result of LRTI, making it the sixth leading cause of death for all ages. [3] It has been estimated that LRTI account for 4.4% of all hospital admissions and 6% of all out-patient consultations. [4]

In addition, amongst the hospital admissions, managing LRTI in the intensive care units (ICUs) is challenging as the patients present with different diseases with varied epidemiological, clinical and microbiological aspects. Amongst hospitalized patients, the most common organisms causing LRTI are gram-negative bacteria such as *Klebsiella*, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (*A. baumannii*), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*), gram-positive organisms like *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) and occasionally fungi. [5,6] However, the microbiological etiology and susceptibility is variable depending on the geographical location.

The impact of antimicrobial-resistant organisms is more severe in low and medium-income countries. [7] Highly resistant strains of Gram-negative bacilli (GNB) continue to spread rapidly in hospitals causing therapeutic problems in many parts of the world, especially for developing countries because isolation facilities are not enough to admit all the

patients with infections due to resistant organisms. [8,9] Recent surveillance information from the national nosocomial infection surveillance system of the Centers for Disease Control of USA showed hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) or commonly known as 'nosocomial pneumonia' is the most typical infection within the ICUs. [10,11] Nosocomial bacteria are multi-drug resistant that are hard to eradicate by available antibiotics.

The aim of the present study was to assess the distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of lower respiratory tract infections over a six-month period in the medical and surgical intensive care units of a teaching hospital.

Materials and Methods

This was a retrospective study conducted at Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India for the period of 6 months. This institution is an academic teaching hospital and is one of the local tertiary referral units. Total number of patients (medical and surgical admissions) seen at our Institute during the study period was 1200; out of whom, 200 patients required intensive care. The study included all patients of either gender, aged above 18 y, admitted in the medical and surgical ICUs, whose cultures were positive for LRTI. The patients with negative cultures, the patients in whom more than one species of the same organism were isolated and patients with incomplete case records were excluded from the study. One hundred patients were enrolled for the study after considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Data and variables

The demographic data (gender and age) and the bacterial isolates were collected

from the medical records using a structured data collection tool. The age was stratified into five groups, e.g., 18–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60 and more than 60 y. The bacterial isolates were documented as per the results of the region of the lower respiratory tract from which the organism was isolated, gram stain, isolate's identity and antimicrobial susceptibility. As per the records, uniform procedures were followed for sample collection, culture and sensitivity testing.

Sample Collection

The samples were kept in Cary–Blair transport medium until processed for gram staining and culture. The samples were inoculated on blood agar (with 5% sheep blood) and MacConkey agar plates. Later, they were incubated aerobically at 35°C–37°C for 24–48 h. Aseptic precautions

were followed during these procedures. The identification and characterization of isolates were performed based upon gram staining and microscopic characteristics using standard microbiological methods.

Statistical analysis

For the descriptive analysis, frequency (n) and percentage (%) were used to express the qualitative variables. The data was compared for the type and the number of isolates. To test the statistically significance in differences, either the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was performed for the qualitative variables. When the p-value was inferior to the alpha error (5%) at 95% confidence interval, a statistical significance was considered. The data was analyzed using the Medcalc® software.

Results

Table 1: Demographic details

Variables	N%
Gender	
Male	70 (70)
Female	30 (30)
Age groups	
18-30 years	12 (12)
31-40 years	13 (13)
41-50 years	20 (20)
51-60 years	25 (25)
>60 years	30 (30)
Underlying medical conditions	
Diabetes Mellitus	30 (30)
Respiratory pathology	20 (20)
Nephrological pathology	18 (18)
Malignancy	14 (14)
Others	18 (18)

A total of 100 patients were included in the study, out of which 70 (70%) were males. Amongst different age groups, maximum patients were above 60 y (30%) and the least were between 18–30 y (12%). The underlying major medical conditions of the LRTI were diabetes mellitus (30%),

respiratory pathology (20%), nephrological pathology (18%) and malignancy (14%); while 18% of the patients had had other medical conditions, including electrolyte imbalance, hormonal imbalance, or miscellaneous causes such as poisoning.

Table 2: Bacteria isolated from the lower respiratory tract from ICU patients

Organism	Tracheal aspirate	Broncho-alveolar lavage	95% CI	P Value
A. baumannii	26 (86.66)	4 (13.34)	55.37-86.45	<0.001
P. aeruginosa	18 (75)	6 (25)	33.56-73.94	<0.001
Klebsiella	20 (90.90)	2 (9.10)	62.62-92.76	<0.001
E. Coli	10 (83.34)	2 (16.66)	26.80-83.55	<0.001
S. Aureus	4 (100)	0	NA	0.80
Enterococcus	4 (100)	0	NA	0.110
Pneumococci	1 (50)	1 (50)	-57.34-57.34	0.550
CONS	2 (100)	0	NA	0.660
Total	85 (85)	15 (15)	63.09-80.71	<0.001

The bacteria were isolated predominantly from the tracheal aspirate (85%), compared to broncho-alveolar lavage (15%) with a statistically significant difference between them. Out of all the isolated organisms, A. baumannii (n = 30; 30%), P. aeruginosa (n = 24; 24%) and

Klebsiella (n = 22; 22%) were the most positive isolates, S. aureus and Enterococcus were equal (n = 4; 4%). Other pathogenic bacteria were E. coli (n = 12; 12%) followed equal number of Pneumococcus and CONS (n = 2; 2%).

Table 3: Susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative isolates

Antibiotic	A baumannii n=34	P. aeruginosa n=24	Klebsiella 22	E. coli 12
Amikacin	20 (66.66)	6 (25)	6 (27.27)	3 (25)
Ampicillin	0	2 (8.34)	3 (13.64)	2 (16.66)
Aztreonam	14 (46.66)	0	0	3 (25)
Cefoperazone+Sulbactam	12 (40)	5 (20.83)	8 (36.36)	4 (33.34)
Cefepime	4 (13.34)	7 (29.16)	6 (27.27)	8 (66.66)
Ciprofloxacin	5 (16.66)	12 (50)	7 (31.82)	3 (25)
Colistin	28 (93.34)	10 (41.66)	20 (90.90)	11 (91.66)
Cotrimoxazole	2 (6.66)	6 (25)	7 (31.82)	6 (50)
Doripenem	7 (23.34)	15 (62.5)	16 (72.72)	9 (75)
Gentamicin	15 (50)	10 (41.66)	9 (40.90)	5 (41.66)
Imipenem	8 (26.66)	12 (50)	12 (54.54)	7 (58.33)
Levofloxacin	12 (40)	0	0	3 (25)
Meropenem	11 (36.66)	14 (58.34)	15 (68.18)	2 (16.66)
Minocycline	24 (80)	5 (20.84)	6 (27.27)	10 (83.34)
Piperacillin/Tazobactam	6 (20)	8 (33.34)	0	3 (25)
Tigecycline	29 (96.66)	6 (25)	14 (63.64)	10 (83.34)

There were different sets of antibiotics used for different organisms, and patterns of susceptibility were obtained for different pathogens. In the gram-negative isolates, A. baumannii was most susceptible to colistin (93.34%) followed by minocycline (80%) and amikacin (66.66%). With regard to P. aeruginosa, it

was observed that only around half of the isolates were susceptible to doripenem (62.5%) and it was also observed that most of the isolates were resistant to all the commonly used antibiotics. Whereas, Klebsiella showed maximum sensitivity to colistin (90.90%) followed by doripenem

(72.72%), meropenem (68.18%) and tigecycline (63.64%).

Table 4: Susceptibility pattern of Gram-positive isolates

Antibiotic	<i>S. aureus</i> n=4	<i>Enterococcus</i> n=4	<i>Pneumococci</i> n=2	CONS n=2
Amoxiclav	1 (25)	0	0	1 (50)
Cefazolin	2 (50)	2 (50)	0	1 (50)
Cefoxitin	0	2 (50)	1 (50)	1 (50)
Ciprofloxacin	0	1 (25)	1 (50)	1 (50)
Clindamycin	1 (25)	2 (50)	1 (50)	1 (50)
Cotrimoxazole	0	1 (25)	0	0
Erythromycin	1 (25)	0	0	1 (50)
Gentamicin	0	0	0	0
Linezolid	3 (75)	3 (75)	1 (50)	2 (100)
Rifampicin	2 (50)	2 (50)	1 (50)	1 (50)
Teicoplanin	2 (50)	3 (75)	1 (50)	2 (100)
Vancomycin	3 (75)	4 (100)	1 (50)	2 (100)

In the gram-positive isolates, *S. aureus* was equally susceptible to linezolid (75%) and vancomycin (75%); and 100% of the isolates of *Enterococcus* were susceptible to vancomycin.

Discussion

Lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) is common in an intensive care unit (ICU), with increased from 10% to 25%, and mortality from 22% to 71%. [12,13] Antibiotic resistance is a crucial public health issue. The antibiotic-resistant strains of bacteria are the major problem during infection control, especially for these places where considerable resources and costs are unavailable. [1,14] Recent reports have also described antimicrobial-resistant organisms as “nightmare” bacteria that result in excessive deaths and disastrous spending. [6]

In this study, LRTI were more common in males than in females. This could be due to the differences in lifestyle, and in anatomic, behavioral, and socioeconomic factors between the two, which include smoking, tobacco usage, alcohol intake, and environmental exposure etc., causing decreased local immunity in the respiratory tract due to defective mucociliary clearance, mucous plugging, collapse of the airway and weakness of the

respiratory muscle. [15,16] Similar results were observed in the study by Humphrey et al. [17] We observed that the elderly population was the most at risk of LRTI. Age distribution of bacteria isolates showed that patients aged more than 50 y were found to be highly susceptible to pathogenic bacteria. This could be attributed to the decreasing immunity and pulmonary defense mechanisms, underlying chronic diseases such as malnutrition, diabetes mellitus, emphysema, uremia etc. [16] In our study, the incidence of gram-negative organisms was 88%, while only 12% were gram-positive. The results are in accordance with the study of Khan et al. [18]

The results of these studies along with the current study demonstrate the increasing incidence of gram-negative pathogens causing LRTI in the ICUs. However, contrasting results were reported in a study done in Bangladesh in which it was observed that 89% were gram-positive isolates. [19] Among the gram-negative isolates, *A. baumannii* was the most common pathogen to be isolated, which was observed to be around 30% followed by *P. aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella* and *E. coli*. Similar results were observed in a study by Parajuli et al. who reported *A. baumannii*

was the most common respiratory pathogen in the ICU. [20] The most common isolate of our study *A. baumannii*, showed lower susceptibility to most of the antibiotics tested including carbapenems namely doripenem, imipenem and meropenem at 20.6%, 23.5% and 32.4% respectively. In the recent times, similar patterns of low susceptibility of *A. baumannii* to carbapenems have been observed globally. [21,22] However, majority of the multi-drug resistant isolates of *A. baumannii* were susceptible to colistin. *P. aeruginosa* isolates revealed resistance to commonly used antibiotics but showed highest susceptibility to doripenem at 51.7%. Other studies in India and globally have also reported similar patterns of resistance for *P. aeruginosa*. [23,24] Among other gram-negative bacteria, *Klebsiella* and *E. coli* showed the highest sensitivity with colistin. Altogether, lower susceptibility was observed towards aminoglycosides, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolone and penicillin group of antibiotics. This could be due to an extensive use of these drugs in the past few years and drug resistance mechanisms such as production of enzymes, decreased uptake of drugs and efflux pumps. [25] Among gram-positive bacteria, *S. aureus* and enterococci were the most common isolates. These isolates demonstrated maximum susceptibility to linezolid at 75%. Similar results were observed in a study by Singh et al. conducted in North India. [26,27]

Conclusion

This current study provides useful information regarding the microbiology of lower respiratory tract infections occurring in the ICUs and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns. We observed that gram-negative pathogens were predominantly responsible for LRTI. Antimicrobial resistance rate was high with the most commonly used antibiotics and also to newer antibiotics such as carbapenems. It is highly recommended

that large scale multi-center studies are done to collect country-level data to guide empirical therapy in this geographical area.

References

1. Greene G, Hood K, Little P, Verheij T, Goossens H, Coenen S, C Butler C. Towards clinical definitions of lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) for research and primary care practice in Europe: an international consensus study. *Primary Care Respiratory Journal*. 2011 Sep;20(3):299-306.
2. Feldman C, Shaddock E. Epidemiology of lower respiratory tract infections in adults. *Expert Rev Respir Med*. 2019 Jan;13(1):63-77.
3. GBD 2016 Lower Respiratory Infections Collaborators. Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of lower respiratory infections in 195 countries, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018 Nov;18(11):1191-1210.
4. Panda S, Nandini BP, Ramani TV. Lower respiratory tract infections-bacteriological profile and antibiogram pattern. *Int J Curr Res Rev*. 2012 Nov 1; 4:149-55.
5. Guzek A, Rybicki Z, Korzeniewski K, Mackiewicz K, Saks E, Chciałowski A, Zwolińska E. Etiological factors causing lower respiratory tract infections isolated from hospitalized patients. *Respiratory Infections*. 2015: 37-44.
6. Uzoamaka M, Ngozi O, Johnbull OS, Martin O. Bacterial etiology of lower respiratory tract infections and their antimicrobial susceptibility. *Am J Med Sci*. 2017 Nov;354(5):471-475.
7. Thu TA, Rahman M, Coffin S, Harun-Or-Rashid M, Sakamoto J, Hung NV. Antibiotic use in Vietnamese hospitals: A multicenter point prevalence study. *Am J Infect Control* 2012; 40(9): 840-844.

8. Navaneeth BV, Belwadi MR. Antibiotic resistance among gram-negative bacteria of lower respiratory tract secretions in hospitalized patients. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2002; 44(3): 173-176.
9. Pittet D. Nosocomial pneumonia: Incidence, morbidity and mortality in the intubated-ventilated patient. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 1994; 124 (6): 227-235.
10. Morehead RS, Pinto SJ. Review article. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; 160: 1926-1936.
11. Dicker RC, Burton AH, Dean JA, Dean AG. In: Epiinfoversion 5: a word processing database and statistics programme for epidemiology on microcomputers. Georgia: USD, Incorporated; 1990.
12. Guzek A, Rybicki Z, Korzeniewski K, Mackiewicz K, Saks E, Chciałowski A, Zwolińska E. Etiological factors causing lower respiratory tract infections isolated from hospitalized patients. *Respiratory Infections*. 2015:37-44.
13. Uzoamaka M, Ngozi O, Johnbull OS, Martin O. Bacterial etiology of lower respiratory tract infections and their antimicrobial susceptibility. *Am J Med Sci*. 2017 Nov;354(5):471-475.
14. Veena KHB, Nagarathna S, Chandramuki A. Antimicrobial resistance pattern among aerobic gram-negative bacilli of lower respiratory tract specimens of intensive care unit patients in a neurocentre. *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2007; 49(1): 19-22.
15. Falagas ME, Mourtzoukou EG, Vardakas KZ. Sex differences in the incidence and severity of respiratory tract infections. *Respir Med*. 2007 Sep; 101(9):1845-63.
16. Vijay S, Dalela G. Prevalence of LRTI in patients presenting with productive cough and their antibiotic resistance pattern. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2016 Jan; 10(1): DC09-12.
17. Humphreys H, Newcombe RG, Enstone J, Smyth ET, McIlvenny G, Davies E, et al. Four country healthcare-associated infection prevalence survey: pneumonia and lower respiratory tract infections. *J Hosp Infect*. 2010 Mar;74(3):266-70.
18. Khan S, Priti S, Ankit S. Bacteria etiological agents causing lower respiratory tract infections and their resistance patterns. *Iran Biomed J*. 2015;19(4):240-6.
19. Ullah B, Ahmed S, Shahariar M, Yesmine S. Current trend of antibiotic resistance in lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs): an experience in a teaching hospital in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Pharma J*. 2016 Aug 10;19(1):85-91.
20. Parajuli NP, Acharya SP, Mishra SK, Parajuli K, Rijal BP, Pokhrel BM. High burden of antimicrobial resistance among gram negative bacteria causing healthcare associated infections in a critical care unit of Nepal. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*. 2017 Dec;6(1):1-9.
21. Bhatta DR, Hamal D, Shrestha R, Supram HS, Joshi P, Nayak N, Gokhale S. Burden of multidrug resistant respiratory pathogens in intensive care units of tertiary care hospital. *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2019 Mar 1;10(2):14-9.
22. Hsu LY, Apisarnthanarak A, Khan E, Suwantararat N, Ghafur A, Tambyah PA. Carbapenem-resistant acinetobacter baumannii and enterobacteriaceae in South and Southeast Asia. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2017 Jan;30(1):1-22.
23. Gill JS, Arora S, Khanna SP, Kumar KH. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant, and pandrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from a tertiary level intensive care unit. *J Glob Infect Dis*. 2016 Oct;8(4):155-9.

24. Trinh TD, Zasowski EJ, Claeys KC, Lagnf AM, Kidambi S, Davis SL, Rybak MJ. Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lower respiratory tract infections in the intensive care unit: prevalence and risk factors. *Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease*. 2017 Sep 1;89(1):6 1-6.
25. Tenover FC. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. *The American Journal of Medicine*. 2006 Jun 1;119(6):S3-10.
26. Upadhyay V, Kumar A, Jayesh AK. A Study of microbial colonization and their antibiotic resistance pattern in endotracheal aspirate cultures in intensive care unit patients at a tertiary care hospital of Eastern UP, India. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci*. 2018;7(4): 2298–306.
27. Berthelot M., Rieker A., & Correia J. C. The difficulties experienced by patients with low back pain in France: a mixed methods study. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 2022; 5(6): 2039–2048.