e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2861-6042

### Available online on <a href="http://www.ijcpr.com/">http://www.ijcpr.com/</a>

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(4); 17-24

**Original Research Article** 

# A Double-Blind Randomized Assessment of Analgesic Efficacy of Bilateral Ilioinguinal and Iliohypogastric Nerve Block for Post Caesareans Delivery under Spinal Anaesthesia

Rohit Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Rishabh Ravi<sup>2</sup>, Niraj Kumar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, ESIC MCH, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology ESIC MCH, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>3</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, PMCH, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 05-02-2023 Revised: 20-03-2023 / Accepted: 04-04-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Rishabh Ravi

**Conflict of interest: Nil** 

### **Abstract**

Aim: The aim of the present study was to assess the analgesic efficacy of bilateral ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block for post caesareans delivery under spinal anaesthesia.

**Methods:** The present study was conducted in the department of Anesthesiology ESIC MCH, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India from August 2021 to July 2022. 100 ASA I and II parturients who underwent non emergent caesarean delivery requiring spinal anaesthesia were included in this study. Parturients with severe preeclampsia, eclampsia, history of substance abuse, infection at needle insertion site, or allergy to local anaesthetics and declined to participate were excluded from the study.

**Results:** The two groups were not statistically different regarding demographic variables. There were 60% and 56% nulliparous in group B and group C. In the present study, 70% and 72% had caesarean delivery once in group B and group C respectively. The two groups were not statistically different regarding parity and number of caesarean delivery. According to independent sample t-test, in both groups, mean changes in SBP, DBP and h were not significantly different (P>0.05). Pain severity scores were similar on arrival in the ward in both groups but were significantly decreased at 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h in II-IH block group compared to a control group both at rest and on movement (P<0.001).

**Conclusion:** Compared to no intervention, bilateral II-IH blocks in patients undergoing caesarean delivery with Pfannenstiel incision had significantly improved pain relief at rest and with movement and resulted in significantly less tramadol consumption in the first 24 h after surgery. These results support the use of bilateral II-IH blocks as part of a multimodal analgesic regimen.

**Keywords:** Cesarean delivery; Ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve block; Postoperative pain; Postoperative total analgesia consumption

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

### Introduction

The ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric (IIIH) block can be used as part of a multimodal analgesic regimen for postoperative pain in

patients undergoing lower abdominal and inguinal surgeries [1-3] including caesarean delivery. [4,5] Real-time ultrasound (US)

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

guidance allows for direct visualization of the needle and deposition of local anesthetic in close proximity to the nerves which, compared to the blind technique, can increase block success rate, require less local anesthetic, and reduce complications. [2,6]

Pain management is crucially important in the postoperative period as it increases patient comfort and satisfaction. [7] Caesarean delivery (CD) has been one of the most frequently performed major surgical interventions and causes severe postoperative pain. [8] Caesarean delivery and subsequent manipulation performed incision through Pfannenstiel associated commonly with a significant degree of pain in the postoperative period; 79% of women experience pain at the incision site that can last for up to 2 months. [4]

Inadequate postoperative analgesia is one of the most common causes for poor patient satisfaction following caesarean delivery. [4,9] Childbirth is an emotionfilled event, and the mother wants to bond with her newborn as early as possible. Inadequate postoperative pain relief after CD can negatively impact ambulation, breastfeeding, and even maternal bonding. Poor pain control in the postoperative period can lead to chronic pain syndromes and poor quality of life. [8,10] The provision of effective postoperative analgesia is a key to facilitate early mobilization of the mother, infant care, and prevention of postoperative morbidity. Improvement in postoperative analgesia may not only increase patient satisfaction but also diminish the duration of hospital stay and reduce the risk of complications. [11,12]

The ideal form of postoperative analgesia is unknown, but many procedures are carried out under spinal anesthesia, and currently, opioids are commonly used for relief of postoperative pain after caesarean section, either by intrathecal administration prior to section or by postoperative parenteral administration as

a component of multimodal analgesia during the postoperative period. [11,13] An ideal method of pain relief after caesarean delivery should be cost-effective, safe for the mother, require minimal monitoring, and use drugs that are not secreted into breast milk. Moreover, the mother should not be sedated by the drugs that prevent her from moving freely and caring for the newborn. Minor side effects, such as pruritus and shivering, may interfere with care of the newborn, leading to less maternal satisfaction. Drug availability, conditions. maternal health preferences, and availability of medical expertise and trained support staff also play a role in choice of the analgesic method.

The aim of the present study was to assess the analgesic efficacy of bilateral ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block for post caesareans delivery under spinal anaesthesia.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was conducted in the department of Anesthesiology ESIC MCH, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India from August 2021 to July 2022. 100 ASA I and II parturients who underwent non emergent caesarean delivery requiring spinal anaesthesia were included in this study. Parturients with severe preeclampsia, eclampsia, history of substance abuse, infection at needle insertion site, or allergy to local anaesthetics and declined to participate were excluded from the study.

### **Operational definition**

Verbal numerical rating scale: A pain assessment tool in which the number assigned from 0-10 to represent severity of pain. 0=no pain, 1-3=mild pain, 4-6=moderate pain, 7-10=severe pain. Total opioid analgesic consumption: The amount of opioid analgesic drugs given for the patient in the first 24 h. Non urgent caesarean delivery: A caesarean delivery in which, there are no maternal and fetal compromises existed, like fetal distress,

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

cord prolapse, uterine rupture, obstructed labour Hypotension: If SBP <20% of the preoperative value.

Bradycardia: Defined as a heart rate less than 50 beats/min

Parity: Number of births she has given to a fetus with a gestational age of >24 weeks regardless of whether the child was born alive or was stillborn.

Nulliparous: Has not given birth previously.

Primiparous: Has given birth once.

Multiparous woman: Has given birth more than once.

# **Data collection procedures**

All voluntary parturients who underwent non urgent caesarean delivery Pfannenstiel incision under anaesthesia were included in the study. All parturients were given cimetidine 200 mg IV and metoclopramide 10 mg 10-20 min before the operation according to the institution protocol and written informed consent was obtained just before anaesthesia given by the investigator. After obtaining written informed consent, standard monitoring like NIBP, pulse oximeter, electrocardiogram(ECG) were attached, while coloading with 10-15 mg/kg of crystalloid, each patient received spinal anesthesia between L3-L4 level with 2-2.5 ml of 0.5% heavy bupivacaine (according to the height of the patient) using 22-26-Gauge spinal needle. After the spinal needle withdrawn the patients were repositioned in supine position with slight elevation of the head for comfort and level of sensory block was assessed and tested using pinprick sensation at 5 min intervals by one of the investigators who were unaware of the group allocation. The maximum level of sensory block was the highest level of disappearance of pinprick sensation and operations were started when the spinal block with sensory level reaches at T4. Maternal blood pressure was measured every 1 min for the first 15

min and every 2-5 min throughout the procedure.

After the spinal anaesthesia given, the study population were randomly assigned into two groups by using lottery method, Group B: those who received ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block with 0.25% bupivacaine (Block group) and Group C: those who not received the block (control group). In the study group, the nerve block was performed by one of the investigator using the technique described by bell et al.4 immediately after skin closure while they were screened with drape. In the control groups, the block was not performed. After the procedure all patient were transferred to the ward. All participants received diclofenac sodium 75 mg IM 8 hourly and the first dose was given at the end of operation. Patients and personnel who were involved in the data collection were not informed on the group type of patients.

Sociodemographic variables were filled by one of the investigator and the remaining postoperative outcome variables hemodynamic variables were filled by the data collectors who were unaware of the allocation. After the patient transferred to the ward, the data collectors were assessed the pain intensity within the given time interval using NRS. Assessment of the presence and intensity of pain was done immediately after transfer to the ward (0 h) and at 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after surgery both at rest and with movement (turning from side to side) by using verbally administered Numerical rating scale pain assessment tools.

At the same time the HR and BP were also assessed and the patients' opioid consumption was recorded. Each participant was treated for pain according to the pain management protocol. All data were coded by the investigator to identify patients on the questionnaire and the completed questionnaires were kept in a secured location.

## Statistical analysis

Data were cleaned and checked for completeness before entered in to database by the Investigator. The data were entered to SPSS version 20.0 statistical package. Distribution of data was checked using Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Normally distributed demographic data were analyzed using independent student t-test. Not —normally distributed data were

analyzed using Mann whinny U test. Means was compared by using Student's t or Mann Whitney U test. Normally distributed data were presented as mean  $\pm$  Standard deviation. Not normally distributed data were presented as median (IQR). A p value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

### Results

**Table 1: Demographic details** 

Demographic data	Group B(n=50)	Group C(n=50)	P-value
Age (year)	$29.71 \pm 5.55$	$27.83 \pm 5.36$	P=0.430
Weight (kg)	$65.35 \pm 6.34$	$64.26 \pm 5.02$	P=0.920
Height (cm)	$159.21 \pm 2.48$	$159.81 \pm 2.90$	P=0.310
BMI (kg/m)	$25.45 \pm 2.70$	$26.14 \pm 1.96$	P=0.215
Duration of surgery	$60.3860 \pm 10.02$	$62.4370 \pm 9.56$	P=0.359

100 participants were recruited in this study 50 in Group B and 50 in Group C. The two groups were not statistically different regarding demographic variables.

Table 2: Data on parity and number of previous caesarean delivery

Data	Group B(n=50)	Group C(n=50)	
Parity=n (%)			
Nulliparous	30 (60)	28 (56)	
Primiparous	15 (30)	14 (28)	
Multiparous	5 (10)	8 (16)	
Number of previous CD=n (	%)		
1	35 (70)	36 (72)	
2	13 (26)	13 (26)	
3	2 (4)	1 (2)	

There were 60% and 56% nulliparous in group B and group C. In the present study, 70% and 72% had caesarean delivery once in group B and group C respectively. The two groups were not statistically different regarding parity and number of caesarean delivery.

Table 3: Mean systolic, diastolic blood pressure and Heart Rate at various time intervals

Group	Mean SBP at various time intervals (mmHg)									
	Time	4 h	6 h	8 h	12 h	24 h				
Group B	Mean ±	$112.92 \pm$	$114.89 \pm$	$113.23 \pm$	$113.35 \pm$	$114.53 \pm$				
	SD	11.31	10.98	11.54	9.47	8.39				
Group C	Mean ±	$115.02 \pm$	$115.12 \pm$	$113.02 \pm$	$114.95 \pm$	$114.19 \pm$				
	SD	13.09	10.09	9.45	9.71	8.35				
Group	Mean d	Mean diastolic blood pressure at various time intervals (mmHg)								
	Time	4 h	6 h	8 h	12 h	24 h				
	intervals									
Group B	Mean $\pm$ SD	70.00 ±	$70.49$ $\pm$	$70.08$ $\pm$	$70.33 \pm$	70.74 ±				
		8.14	5.95	6.37	6.075	5.91				

Group	$Mean \pm SD$	70.70	$\pm$	72.12	±	69.20	±	70.12	±	71.51	±
C		8.72		7.66		5.92		7.08		6.15	
Group	Mean HR at various time intervals(bpm)										
	Time	4 h		6 h		8 h		12 h		24 h	
Group B	Mean $\pm$ SD	87.58	±	86.82	<b>±</b>	87.53	±	87.56	±	79.02	±
_		7.48		7.63		7.47		7.47		4.96	
Group	Mean $\pm$ SD	86.24	±	86.29	<b>±</b>	86.00	±	85.80	±	80.43	±
C		8.38		8.53		8.14		8.01		5.80	

According to independent sample t-test, in both groups, mean changes in SBP, DBP and h were not significantly different (P>0.05).

Table 4: Postoperative pain severity using numeric rating scale at rest and on movement

Median numeric rating scale at rest									
Time intervals	0 h	4 h	6 h	8 h	12 h	24 h			
Group B	0 (0)	1(1)	2(1)	2(1)	2 (2)	2(1)			
Group C	0 (0)	3 (2	4(2)	3 (1)	4 (0)	4 (0)			
Median numeric rating scale on movement									
Time intervals	0 h	4 h	6 h	8 h	12 h	24 h			
Group B	0 (0)	1(1)	2(1)	2(1)	2 (2)	2(1)			
Group C	0 (0)	5 (3)	6(1)	5 (2)	6(1)	5 (1)			

Pain severity scores were similar on arrival in the ward in both groups but were significantly decreased at 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h in II-IH block group compared to a control group both at rest and on movement (P<0.001).

### **Discussion**

Caesarean delivery via a Pfannenstiel incision is one of the main surgical approaches. [9,14] Caesarean delivery (CD) and subsequent manipulation performed through Pfannenstiel incision is commonly associated with severe pain in the postoperative period that may last for several months. [4] Under treatment of postoperative pain is the main reason for patient dissatisfaction. [4,15]

The ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric block (IIIH) is commonly used as a part of multimodal analgesia for lower abdominal, inguinal, and pediatric surgeries. [3] Aasbø et al. [1] found that an ilioinguinal field block is superior to general anesthesia for inguinal hernia repair regarding postoperative pain scores, analgesic consumption, postoperative mobilization,

time to discharge readiness, and patient satisfaction. Traditionally, the IIIH block has been performed using a blind technique that relies on anatomical landmarks and subtle tactile sensations of fascial "clicks" or "pops" during the procedure to determine correct block placement. However, disadvantages of using this blind technique include a block failure rate of 10-25% secondary to difficulty in approximating the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves and increased possibility of major vessel, peritoneal, and bowel puncture. [3,16] US allows for realtime guidance and direct visualization of the needle. [5]

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

In this study, hemodynamic parameters such as heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure were comparable between the two groups. We have found that II-IH nerve block with intramuscular diclofenac remarkably decreased the severity of pain both at rest and on movement, delayed postoperative first opioid analgesia request time and decreased total postoperative tramadol consumption during the first 24 h

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

of operation in parturients underwent CD under spinal anaesthesia. A previous study revealed a good analgesic effect of II-IH nerve block for parturients undergoing cesarean delivery under spinal [4,17,18] or general anaesthesia. [9,19,20] In our study, the pain was assessed using numeric rating scale and additional tramadol on request was given when the NRS  $\geq$  4. The median NRS was low in the II-IH block group than the control group at all time intervals both at rest and on movement. The median NRS was found to be highly significant at all estimated time interval except at 0 h (P<0.001).

The NRS pain severity scores were similar between II-IH block group and the control group immediately after the patient transferred to the ward. This could attribute to prolonged analgesic effects of spinal anaesthesia. Even though, pain severity in the II-IH block group and the control group were different at 4hr and 8 hour at rest, it was not clinically significant. This finding was supported a study carried out by Sakallı et al. the mean VAS was remarkably decreased in the intervention group than the control group at 6 h, 8 h, 12 h, 16 h and 24 h. [9] However, in their study, there was no difference in the mean VAS score at 0 h. 2 h. This could be due to the procedure was performed under GA and in fact the block may take time to produce analgesia.

Similarly, a study done in Jordan showed a significantly reduced mean VAS score by this nerve block using local anaesthetics when compared with placebo group in parturients underwent caesarean delivery under general anaesthesia. [20] Moreover, our finding was also consistent with a study conducted by Bunting et al. Bell et al. and Ganta et al., where the mean VAS score was low in those who received II-IH block compared with the placebo group in parturients underwent caesarean delivery. [19,21] In addition, in another study, VAS pain scores were decreased both at rest and upon coughing in block group compared to

the placebo group.4 These might be due to the use of the same dose of local anaesthetics and techniques employed. Our finding was comparable with trials conducted by Yucel E et al. and Naghshineh et al., where postoperative analgesics consumption was significantly lower in the nerve block group compared with the control group. [22-24] This might be because Pfannenstiel incision is principally conducted by L1 and L2 dermatomes and depositing a local anaesthetic on the target nerves gives prolonged pain relief.

Furthermore, Sakallı et al. reported that, there was a significantly reduced pain score and amount of PCA tramadol ilioinguinalconsumption by the iliohypogastric nerve block group during the 24 h following caesarean delivery when performed after wound closure (P<0.05).9 In addition, Bell et al. found that pain score and PCA morphine use were significantly lower in the intervention group than the placebo group within 24 h of postnatal period when its performed after surgical intervention (P<0.05). [4] In this study, the median first analgesia request time was significantly delayed in the block group than the control group (P<0.0001). This finding was accordance with a study conducted by Yucel et al. that in II-IH block group, the first analgesia request time was longer than the counter parts. [23,25]

### Conclusion

Compared to no intervention, bilateral II-IH blocks in patients undergoing caesarean delivery with Pfannenstiel incision had significantly improved pain relief at rest and with movement and resulted in significantly less tramadol consumption in the first 24 h after surgery. These results support the use of bilateral II-IH blocks as part of a multimodal analgesic regimen.

#### References

1. Aasbø V, Thuen A, Raeder J. Improved long-lasting postoperative

- analgesia, recovery function and patient satisfaction after inguinal hernia repair with inguinal field block compared with general anesthesia. Acta anaesthesiologica scandinavica. 2002 Jul;46(6):674-8.
- 2. Carney J, McDonnell JG, Ochana A, Bhinder R, Laffey JG. The transversus abdominis plane block provides effective postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2008 Dec 1;107(6):2056-60.
- 3. Hu P, Harmon D, Frizelle H. Ultrasound guidance for ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve block: a pilot study. Irish journal of medical science. 2007 Jun; 176:111-5.
- 4. Bell EA, Jones BP, Olufolabi AJ, Dexter F, Phillips-Bute B, Greengrass RA, Penning DH, Reynolds JD. Iliohypogastric-ilioinguinal peripheral nerve block for post-Cesarean delivery analgesia decreases morphine use but not opioid-related side effects. Canadian journal of anesthesia. 2002 Aug 1;49(7):694.
- 5. Gucev G, Yasui GM, Chang TY, Lee J. Bilateral ultrasound-guided continuous ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric block for pain relief after cesarean delivery. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2008 Apr 1;106(4):1220-2.
- 6. El-Dawlatly AA, Turkistani A, Kettner SC, Machata AM, Delvi MB, Thallaj A, Kapral S, Marhofer P. Ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block: description of a new technique and comparison with conventional systemic analgesia during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. British journal of anaesthesia. 2009 Jun 1;102(6):763-7.
- 7. Eslamian L, KABIRI NM, AGHA HM, Azimaraghi O, Barzin G, Movafegh A. Adding sufentanil to TAP block hyperbaric bupivacaine decreases post-cesarean delivery morphine consumption.
- 8. Sakalli M, Ceyhan A, Uysal HY, Yazici I, Başar H. The efficacy of

- ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block for postoperative pain after caesarean section. Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. 2010 Jan;15(1):6.
- 9. Mishriky BM, George RB, Habib AS. Transversus abdominis plane block for analgesia after Cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstetric Anesthesia Digest. 2013 Sep 1;33(3):173-4.
- 10. Abdallah FW, Halpern SH, Margarido CB. Transversus abdominis plane block for postoperative analgesia after Caesarean delivery performed under spinal anaesthesia? A systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of anaesthesia. 2012 Nov 1;109(5):679-87.
- 11. Nguyen NK, Landais A, Barbaryan A, M'barek MA, Benbaghdad Y, McGee K, Lanba P. Analgesic efficacy of pfannenstiel incision infiltration with ropivacaine 7.5 mg/mL for caesarean section. Anesthesiology research and practice. 2010 Oct;2010.
- 12. Buhagiar L, Cassar OA, Brincat MP, Buttigieg GG, Inglott AS, Adami MZ, Azzopardi LM. Predictors of post-caesarean section pain and analgesic consumption. Journal of anaesthesiol ogy, clinical pharmacology. 2011 Apr;27 (2):185
- 13. Li X, Zhou M, Shi X, Yang H, Li Y, Li J, Yang M, Yuan H. Local anaesthetic wound infiltration used for caesarean section pain relief: a meta-analysis. International journal of clinical and experimental medicine. 2015;8(6):102 13.
- 14. Wehbe SA, Ghulmiyyah LM, Dominique EK, Hosford SL, Ehleben Saltzman SL, Sills CM, ES. Prospective randomized trial of iliohypogastric-ilioinguinal nerve block on post-operative morphine use after inpatient surgery of the female reproductive tract. Journal of Negative

- Results in BioMedicine. 2008 Dec;7:1-6.
- 15. Fusco P, Scimia P, Paladini G, Fiorenzi M, Petrucci E, Pozone T, Vacca F, Behr A, Micaglio M, Danelli G, Cofini V. Transversus abdominis plane block for analgesia after Cesarean delivery. A systematic review. Minerva anestesiologica. 2015; 81(2):195-204.
- 16. Gofeld M, Christakis M. Sonographically guided ilioinguinal nerve block. Journal of ultrasound in medicine. 2006 Dec;25(12):1571-5.
- 17. Murray AA, Retief FW. Acute postoperative pain in 1 231 patients at a developing country referral hospital: incidence and risk factors. Southern African Journal of Anaesthesia and Analgesia. 2016 Apr 8;22(1):26-31.
- 18. Wolfson A, Lee AJ, Wong RP, Arheart KL, Penning DH. Bilateral multi-injection iliohypogastric-ilioinguinal nerve block in conjunction with neuraxial morphine is superior to neuraxial morphine alone for postcesarean analgesia. Journal of clinical anesthesia. 2012 Jun 1;24(4): 298-303.
- 19. Bunting P, McConachie I. Ilioinguinal nerve blockade for analgesia after caesarean section. British Journal of Anaesthesia. 1988 Dec 1;61(6):773-5.
- 20. Ghazi AD, Murad AM. Ilioinguinal-Iliohypogastric peripheral nerve block for analgesia after caesarean section.

- 21. Ganta R, Samra SK, Maddineni VR, Furness G. Comparison of the effectiveness of bilateral ilioinguinal nerve block and wound infiltration for postoperative analgesia after caesarean section. BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia. 1994 Feb 1;72(2):229-30.
- 22. Naghshineh E, Shiari S, Jabalameli M. Preventive effect of ilioinguinal nerve block on postoperative pain after cesarean section. Advanced biomedical research. 2015;4.
- 23. Yucel E, Kol IO, Duger C, Kaygusuz K, Gursoy S, Mimaroglu C. Ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block with intravenous dexketoprofen improves postoperative analgesia in abdominal hysterectomies. Revista Brasileira de Anestesiologia. 2013; 63: 334-9.
- 24. Bessmertnyj AE, Antipin EE, Uvarov DN, Sedyh SV, Nedashkovsky EV. Comparison of the effectiveness of ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric blockade and transversus abdominis plane block for analgesia after cesarean section. Anesteziologiia i Reanimatologiia. 2015 Mar 1;60(2):51-4.
- 25. Diane S., Baldé A. K., Inapogui, C. B., Kéita A., & Diawara M. Functional results of cataract surgery using the phacoemulsification technique "The CADES/O experience. Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2022; 5(9): 2256–2263.