e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2861-6042

## Available online on <a href="http://www.ijcpr.com/">http://www.ijcpr.com/</a>

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(4); 240-247

**Original Research Article** 

# A Hospital-Based Study to Examine Socio-Demographic, Behavioural, and Clinical Risk Factors for Diabetic Foot and Patient Response to Therapy

# Nitish Prabhakar

Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Bettiah, Bihar, India

Received: 03-02-2023 / Revised: 21-03-2023 / Accepted: 16-04-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Nitish Prabhakar

**Conflict of interest: Nil** 

#### **Abstract**

**Aim:** The objective of the present study was to assess association between sociodemographic, behavioural and clinical risk factors of diabetic foot and response of patients to various treatment modalities.

**Methods:** A prospective study was conducted in the Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Bettiah, Bihar, India for 12 months. 100 patients were included in the study. All patients who presented to surgical outpatient department or were admitted via emergency to the surgical wards with signs and symptoms of diabetic foot during the 12 months period were included. The study was conducted after obtaining approval from institutional ethics committee.

Results: Out of 100 patients in study, 42 (42%) were in the age group of 51-60 years followed by 26 (26%) in the age group 61-70 years. There was a male preponderance in the study with 70 (70%) patients out of 100 being males. Majority of the study subjects were literate with overall literacy rate being 60%. 96% study subjects belonged to low class. Among the 100 patients, 25 (25%) were unmarried showing lack of support and care and rest (75%) were married. Labourers accounted for 35% of study participants, farmers constituted 30%, businessmen 17% and others 18% of study subjects. Among the 100 study participants, 44 (44%) either smoked or chewed tobacco and 36 (36%) consumed alcohol. Majority (90%) of the patients were sedentary, 5% performed light physical activity while the remaining 5% performed moderate physical activity. Most of the participants had type II diabetes for >10 years. 80% of study participants had family history of diabetes. 92% of study participants were overweight (BMI 25-29.9) and remaining 8% were obese (BMI ≥30). Hypertension was present in 75% of study participants, ischemic heart disease in 60% and hypercholesterolemia in 70%.

Conclusion: Diabetic foot is a common complication of long-standing diabetes. Several socio-demographic factors like advancing age, low socio-economic status, lack of family support, occupations involving risk of trauma to foot contribute to the risk of developing diabetic foot in diabetics. Hence it is essential to educate all the diabetic patients at risk about good glycemic control, risk factors, proper foot care, periodic foot examination and neurological examination of lower limbs, prompt treatment of foot lesions and regular follow-up.

Keywords: Diabetic foot, Socio-demographic factors, Wagner's classification

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

### e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

### Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a major public health problem worldwide and is considered one of the main global health emergencies of the 21st century. [1] The prevalence of DM is increasing in both developed and developing countries, recent estimates indicate that there were 463 million adults living with diabetes in 2019 which is projected to increase to 642 million in 2040. [2,3]

As the prevalence of diabetes increases, the prevalence of long-term diabetesrelated complications is also likely to increase. Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is a common and major complication of diabetes, representing a major healthcare burden with significant morbidity. [4] Diabetic foot is defined as the presence of infection, ulceration and/or destruction of deep tissues associated with neurological abnormalities and various degrees of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in the lower limb in patients with diabetes. [5] It is a significant cause of morbidity and can lead to prolonged hospital stays, which is evidenced by the fact that ~20% of diabetes-related hospitalisations are related to DFU. [6] The mortality rate in patients with DFU is also high and approximately twice that of the patients without ulceration. [7]

Diabetic foot is one of the most significant and devastating complication of diabetes and is defined as a group of syndromes in which neuropathy, ischemia and infection lead to tissue breakdown, and possible amputation. [8] Around 15% of diabetic patients will develop foot ulcers in their life time and this is known to precede amputation in 85% of the cases. [9] Every 20 seconds a lower limb is lost to diabetes in the world and it is the most common cause of non-traumatic lower limb amputation. [10] It is estimated that approximately 45,000 lower limbs are amputated every year in India and the vast majority of these are probably preventable. [9] Prevention of diabetic foot ulceration is critical in order to reduce the associated high morbidity and mortality rates, and the danger of amputation. A number of contributory factors work together to cause foot ulceration in patients with diabetes. These include peripheral neuropathy; mechanical stress and peripheral vascular disease.11 Regular comprehensive foot examination, patient education on foot care like simple hygienic practices, provision of appropriate footwear, and prompt treatment of minor injuries and a multidisciplinary team approach can decrease ulcer occurrence by 50% and amputations by up to 85%. [12,13]

The objective of the present study was to assess association between socio-demographic, behavioural and clinical risk factors of diabetic foot and response of patients to various treatment modalities.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A prospective study was conducted in the Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Bettiah, Bihar, India for 12 months. 100 patients were included in the study. All patients who presented to surgical outpatient department or were admitted to the surgical wards with signs and symptoms of diabetic foot during the 12 months period were included.

#### Method of collection of data

100 patients of diabetic foot were selected randomly and studied in detail after obtaining written informed consent. Data was collected by meticulous history, clinical examination, routine appropriate radiological investigations, investigation and relevant special investigations. A predesigned proforma was used to collect socio-demographic data such as age, sex, socio-economic status, literacy, occupation, behavioural factors such as tobacco and alcohol use, physical activity and clinical data such as

random blood sugar, HbA1c test, Urine analysis: albumin, sugar, microscopy and renal function tests.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

# Radiological investigation

It included X-ray foot and colour doppler of lower limbs.

# **Specific investigation**

Culture and sensitivity test of the ulcer discharge.

#### **Treatment**

The participants were treated with one or more of the following modalities of treatment:

# A. Conservative management

Insulin/oral hypoglycaemic drugs/both-depending on the blood sugar levels, appropriate antibiotics for infected ulcers and foot care.

## B. Surgical management

Depending on the degree of foot lesions-Incision and drainage of foot abscess, wound debridement, disarticulation, Amputation-1. Ray's amputation,

- 2. Trans-metatarsal,
- 3. Below knee and
- 4. Above knee.

# Statistical analysis

Data was analysed by descriptive statistics and results presented as frequency and percentages appropriately.

#### Results

duration and type of diabetes, body mass index, current diabetic treatment, ulcer site and discharge. Further these patients were clinically examined thoroughly and the findings were recorded. Vascular and neurological examination was performed to detect peripheral vascular disease and neuropathy. Ulcer discharge was sent for culture and sensitivity and appropriate antibiotics were selected accordingly. Radiological investigation was done to detect osteomyelitis. The details of management of each patient and the response to treatment were recorded.

## **Inclusion criteria**

Patients with signs and symptoms of diabetic foot of all age groups and both the sexes were included in study.

## **Exclusion criteria**

Foot ulcers, swelling and discoloration of toes due to non-diabetic causes were excluded from the study.

# Categorization of diabetic foot

Diabetic foot ulcers were categorized by Wagner's classification as follows- Grade 0- No obvious ulcer, but skin changes like hyperkeratosis, Grade 1-Localized, superficial ulcer, Grade 2-Deep ulcer to bone, ligament, or joint, Grade 3-Deep abscess, osteomyelitis, Grade 4-Gangrene of toes, forefoot and Grade 5-Gangrene of entire foot.

# **Investigations**

## **Routine investigations**

It included-complete blood counts (CBC), blood sugar test: Fasting blood sugar and

**Table 1: Socio-demographic factors** 

Variables	Number ofpatients	Percentage(%)
Age (years)		
31-40	5	5
41-50	10	10
51-60	42	42
61-70	26	26
71-80	14	14
81-90	3	3

Gender					
Male	70	70			
Female	30	30			
Literacy	Literacy				
Illiterate	40	40			
Literate	60	60			
Socioeconomic status					
High	0	0			
Middle	4	4			
Low	96	96			
Marital status					
Married	75	75			
Unmarried	25	25			
Occupation					
Laborer	35	35			
Farmers	30	30			
Businessmen	17	17			
Others	18	18			

Out of 100 patients in this study, 42 (42%) were in the age group of 51-60 years followed by 26 (26%) in the age group 61-70 years. There was a male preponderance with 70 (70%) patients out of 100 being males. Majority of the study subjects were literate with overall literacy rate being 60%. 96% study subjects belonged to low

class. Among the 100 patients, 25 (25%) were unmarried showing lack of support and care and rest (75%) were married. Labourers accounted for 35% of study participants, farmers constituted 30%, businessmen 17% and others 18% of study subjects.

**Table 2: Behavioural factors** 

Variables	Number of patients	Percentage(%)
Smoking/tobaccochewing	44	44
Alcohol use	36	36
Physical activity	•	
Sedentary	90	90
Light	5	5
Moderate	5	5

Among the 100 study participants, 44 (44%) either smoked or chewed tobacco and 36 (36%) consumed alcohol. Majority (90%) of the patients were sedentary, 5% performed light physical activity while the remaining 5% performed moderate physical activity.

**Table 3: Clinical Parameters** 

Tubic of Chimical Landinevers				
Variables	Number of patien	ts Percentage(%)		
Duration of diabetes (years)				
0-5	0	0		
5-10	10	10		
>10	90	90		
Family history of	diabetes	<u>.</u>		
Present	80	80		
Absent	20	20		

Prabhakar

BMI (kg/m²)			
Underweight (<18.5)	0	0	
Normal (18.5-24.9)	0	0	
Overweight (25-29.9)	92	92	
Obese (≥30)	8	8	
Co-morbidities			
Hypertension	75	75	
Ischemic heart disease	60	60	
Hypercholesterolemia	70	70	

Most of the participants had type II diabetes for >10 years. 80% of study participants had family history of diabetes. 92% of study participants were overweight (BMI 25-29.9) and remaining 8% were obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30). Hypertension was present in 75% of study participants, ischemic heart disease in 60% and hypercholesterolemia in 70%.

**Table 4: Clinical presentation** 

Variables No. of patients Percentage(%)				
Mode of presentation	140. 01 patients	1 er centage (70)		
	100	100		
Skin changes	100	100		
Gangrene	20	20		
Discharge with foul smell	80	80		
Ulcer	100	100		
Site of lesion				
Toes	60	60		
Dorsum of foot	13	13		
Plantar	17	17		
Multiple ulcer	5	5		
Lateral aspect of foot	1	1		
Dorsum and toes	3	3		
Whole foot	1	1		
Ulcer category (Wagner's classification)				
Grade 0	0	0		
Grade 1	15	15		
Grade 2	20	20		
Grade 3	22	22		
Grade 4	40	40		
Grade 5	3	3		
History of trauma				
Present	82	82		
Absent	18	18		
Pathology				
Neuropathy	84	84		
Peripheral vascular disease (Vasculopathy)	42	42		
Both	20	20		

All study participants had skin changes showing discolouration on the foot and 20% of them showed gangrenous change. Ulcer was present in all study subjects and it was associated with foul smelling

discharge in 80% of them. Toes were the commonest site of lesion seen in 60% of study participants followed by plantar aspect in 17%. Majority (40%) of study participants had Grade 4 ulcer followed by

Grade 3 ulcer (20%). History of trauma was present in 82 (82%) of study participants. Neuropathy was present in 84% of study participants while peripheral vascular disease was seen in 42% and 20% had both these phenomena.

## **Discussion**

Diabetes mellitus is the commonest chronic non-communicable disease in India which affects nearly 7% of adults. [14] The triad of foot ulceration, sepsis, and amputation are the most feared complications of diabetes. Chronic nonhealing ulcers of the foot are known to increase the morbidity of these patients. The most significant and devastating complication of diabetes is believed to be diabetic foot and it is estimated that 15% of all diabetics have a lifetime risk of developing it. [15] The WHO definition of diabetic foot is "the foot of patients with develops diabetes which ulceration. infection and / or deep tissues destruction, accompanied by neurological abnormalities and various grades of peripheral vascular disease in the lower limb". [16] Diabetic foot syndrome is defined as a group of syndromes in which neuropathy, ischemia and infection lead to breakdown. and possible amputation.8 It is essential to identify the "foot at risk", through careful inspection and physical examination of the foot followed by neurological and vascular tests.

Out of 100 patients in this study, 42 (42%) were in the age group of 51-60 years followed by 26 (26%) in the age group 61-70 years. This observation is similar to the findings of study by Al-Mahroos et al. [17] There was a male preponderance in this study with 70 (70%) patients out of 100 being males. This is similar to the observation in a study done by Navarro-Peternella et al. [18] Among the 100 study participants, 44 (44%) either smoked or chewed tobacco and 36 (36%) consumed alcohol. Majority (90%) of the patients were sedentary, 5% performed light

physical activity while the remaining 5% performed moderate physical activity. Similar findings were observed by Navarro-Peternella et al. [18] Tobacco use and sedentary life style have been identified as a risk factor for diabetic foot in various studies. [19]

Most of the participants had type II diabetes for >10 years. 80% of study participants had family history of diabetes. 92% of study participants were overweight (BMI 25-29.9) and remaining 8% were obese (BMI ≥30). Longer duration of diabetes was reported as a risk factor for diabetic foot by Shahi et al. [19] Majority of participants were overweight (90%) and rest were obese in our study. Elevated BMI was associated with higher risk of developing diabetic foot in studies by Zantour et al and Sohn et al. [20,21] All study participants had skin changes showing discolouration on the foot and 20% of them showed gangrenous change. Ulcer was present in all study subjects and it was associated with foul smelling discharge in 80% of them. This was similar to the study of Apelquist et al. [22] Majority (40%) of study participants had Grade 4 ulcer followed by Grade 3 ulcer (20%) which was similar to the study by Mehraj et al. [23] History of trauma was present in 82 (82%) of study participants which was comparable to the findings of study by Reiber et al. [24] Neuropathy was present in 84% of study participants while peripheral vascular disease was seen in 42% and 20% had both these phenomena. Similar findings were reported by Khan et al. [25,26]

#### Conclusion

Diabetic foot is a common complication of long-standing diabetes. Several socio-demographic factors like advancing age, low socio-economic status, lack of family support, occupations involving risk of trauma to foot contribute to the risk of developing diabetic foot in diabetics. Tobacco use, sedentary life style, longer duration of diabetes, family history of

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

diabetes, higher body mass index and uncontrolled diabetes are the behavioural and clinical risk factors for diabetic foot. Peripheral neuropathy is also an important factor in the development of foot lesions. Hence it is essential to educate all the diabetic patients at risk about good glycemic control, risk factors, proper foot care, periodic foot examination and neurological examination of lower limbs, prompt treatment of foot lesions and regular follow-up. It can therefore be concluded that screening for complications should start at the time of diagnosis of diabetes and integrated with sustainable patient education at primary care level by training of health care providers at primary care level.

## References

- 1. Tabish SA. Is diabetes becoming the biggest epidemic of the twenty-first century? Int J Health Sci. 2007;1(2): V–VIII.
- 2. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 9th. IDF; 2019.
- 3. Ogurtsova K, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Huang Y, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2017; 128:40–50.
- 4. Karthikesalingam A, Holt PJ, Moxey P, Jones KG, Thompson MM, Hinchliffe RJ. A systematic review of scoring systems for diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetic Medicine. 2010 May; 27(5):544-9.
- 5. Eleftheriadou I, Tsapogas P, Tentolouris A, et al. Atlas of the diabetic foot. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell, 2019.
- 6. Snyder RJ, Hanft JR. Diabetic foot ulcers--effects on QOL, costs, and mortality and the role of standard wound care and advanced-care therapies.

  Ostomy/wound management. 2009 Nov 1;55(11):28-38.
- 7. Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, Smith DG, Davignon D. Increased mortality

- associated with diabetic foot ulcer. Diabetic medicine. 1996 Nov;13(11): 967-72.
- 8. Forlee M. What is the diabetic foot? CME: Your SA Journal of CPD. 2010 Apr 1;28(4):152-6.
- 9. Jain AK, Viswanath S. Studying major amputations in a developing country using Amit Jain's typing and scoring system for diabetic foot complicationstime for standardization of diabetic foot practice. International Surgery Journal. 2015;2(1):26-30.
- 10. The International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot 2017.
- 11. Katsilambros N, Dounis E, Makrilakis K, Tentolouris N, Tsapogas P. Atlas of the diabetic foot. John Wiley & Sons; 2010 Jan 21.
- 12. Bakkar K, Foster A, Houtum WV, Riley P. Diabetes and Foot Care: Time to act. 4th edition. Netherlands: 2005.
- 13. Alexiadou K, Doupis J. Management of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Therapy. 2012 Dec; 3:1-5.
- 14. Pendsey SP. Understanding diabetic foot. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2010; 30(2):75-9.
- 15. Palumbo PJ, Melton LJ. Peripheral vascular disease and diabetes. In: Harris MI, Hamman RF, editors. Diabetes in America. Washington: US Government Printing Office. 1985;16-21.
- 16. Shankdhar KLK, Shankdhar U, Shankdhar S. Diabetic foot problems in India: An overview and potential simple approaches in developing country. Current diabetes rep. 2008; 8:452-457.
- 17. Al-Mahroos F, Al-Roomi K. Diabetic neuropathy, foot ulceration, peripheral vascular disease and potential risk factors among patients with diabetes in Bahrain: a nationwide primary care diabetes clinic-based study. Annals of Saudi medicine. 2007 Jan;27(1):25-31.
- 18. Navarro-Peternella FM, Lopes AP, de Arruda GO, Teston EF, Marcon SS. Differences between genders in

- relation to factors associated with risk of diabetic foot in elderly persons: A cross-sectional trial. Journal of clinical & translational endocrinology. 2016 Dec 1; 6:30-6.
- 19. Shahi SK, Kumar A, Kumar S, Singh SK, Gupta SK, Singh TB. Prevalence of diabetic foot ulcer and associated risk factors in diabetic patients from North India. The journal of diabetic foot complications. 2012;4(3):83-91.
- 20. Zantour B, Bouchareb S, El Ati Z, Boubaker F, Alaya W, Kossomtini W, Sfar MH. Risk assessment for foot ulcers among Tunisian subjects with diabetes: A cross sectional outpatient study. BMC Endocrine Disorders. 2020 Dec; 20:1-8.
- 21. Sohn MW, Budiman-Mak E, Lee TA, Oh E, Stuck RM. Significant J-shaped association between body mass index (BMI) and diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews. 2011 May;27(4):402-9.

- 22. Apelquist J, Castenfors J, Larsson J, Stenström A, Agardh CD. Wound classification is more important than site of ulceration in the outcome of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabet Med. 1989;6(6):526-30.
- 23. Mehraj M, Shah I. A review of Wagner classification and current concepts in management of diabetic foot. Int J Orthop Sci. 2018; 4(1): 933-935.
- 24. Reiber GE, Lipsky BA, Gibbons GW. The burden of diabetic foot ulcers. Am J Surg. 1998;176(2):5-10.
- 25. Khan AA, Singh S, Singh V, Khan S. Diabetic foot ulcer: a clinical study. International Surgery Journal. 2016 Dec 10;3(4):2098-103.
- 26. Demir H., & Bozyel E. Investigation of the Relationship between Mindful Eating Behavior and Anthropometric Measurements of Individuals Applying to a Nutrition and Diet Policlinic. Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2022; 5(1): 1636–1646.