e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2861-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(5); 20-27

Original Research Article

A Hospital Based Randomized Comparative Assessment of the Effect of Fentanyl and Tramadol as an Adjuvant to Ropivacaine in Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block

Soumya Singh¹, Amit Kumar Singh², Uma Shanker Singh³

¹Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, AIIMS, Patna, Bihar, India ²Senior Resident, Department of General Medicine, AIIMS, Patna, Bihar, India ³Associate professor, Department of Pathology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand, India

Received: 05-02-2023 / Revised: 07-03-2023 / Accepted: 28-03-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Amit Kumar Singh

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to compare the effect of fentanyl and tramadol as an adjuvant to ropivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block.

Methods: This prospective, randomized double-blind study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology at AIIMS, Patna, Bihar, India for six months. After obtaining approval from the ethical committee of the Institute, an informed written consent was obtained from all the patients undergoing the study. 100 patients of either sex aged 20-60 years, belonging to ASA physical status I or II undergoing upper-arm surgery were recruited for this study.

Results: There was no statistically significant difference among the two groups in demographic characteristics. Majority of study participants were males but there is no statistical difference among two groups. The demographic status and data before the block were comparable among two groups (P > 0.05). Mean \pm standard deviation for onset of complete sensory block prolonged from group RT (17.59 \pm 1.07 min) and to Group RF (20.14 \pm 1.50min). There was statistically significant difference in onset of complete sensory block among the groups RF p < 0.001. Mean \pm standard deviation for onset of complete motor block prolonged from Group RT (18.6 \pm 1.86min) to group RF (n ½ 21, 25 \pm 1.50min). **Conclusion:** In conclusion, tramadol when used as adjuvant with local anaesthetic in peripheral nerve block provides better surgical anaesthesia and analgesia. Therefore, its use should be promoted for routine addition to local anaesthetics in peripheral nerve blocks.

Keywords: Supraclavicular block, Ultrasound guidance, Time of onset of block, Rescue analgesia, Tramadol, Fentanyl.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Brachial plexus block have evolved as a safe alternative to general anesthesia (GA) for upper limb procedures. [1] Axillary brachial plexus block is widely used technique for perioperative anesthesia and analgesia for elbow, forearm, and hand surgery and also provides reliable

cutaneous anesthesia of the inner upper arm including the medial cutaneous nerve of arm and intercostobrachial nerve, areas often missed with other approaches. [2]

Opioid drugs exert their analgesic activity directly in the central nervous system; [3] however, peripheral co-administration of narcotic drugs and local anaesthetic solutions has been reported to improve the onset time, quality, and duration of peripheral nerve. [4–6] Stein and colleagues [7,8] suggested that peripheral antinociceptive effects of exogenous opioids can be particularly enhanced under inflammatory conditions by the peripheral expression of opioid receptors, which has been actually demonstrated on primary afferent neurons. [9,10]

Tramadol is a synthetic analgesic drug that antagonized by α 2-adrenoceptor antagonists as well as opioid antagonists. [9] Ketamine, a dissociative anesthetic Nmethyl-Daspartate (NMDA) antagonist, abolishes peripheral afferent noxious stimulation. [10] The effects of different doses of tramadol, ranging between 40 and 200mg, and ketamine, ranging between 1 with different local 1.5 mg/kganesthetics, have been reported in several studies. However, there is no study that addresses the minimal dose required to prolong the duration of motor block, sensorial block, and analgesia without increasing adverse effects. [11,12]

Ropivacaine is widely used in clinical practice, but little is known about the effects on its nerve block characteristics by adding a small dose of fentanyl for brachial plexus anaesthesia. Peripheral administration of an opioid agonist can theoretically inhibit the propagation of action potentials or the release of excitatory transmitters in primary afferent fibres, [15,16] since opioid receptors have been demonstrated on primary afferent neurons. This peripheral antinociceptive effect of exogenous opioids should be particularly enhanced under inflammatory conditions. [13,14]

The aim of the present study was to compare the effect of fentanyl and tramadol as an adjuvant to ropivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block.

Materials and Methods

This prospective, randomized double-blind study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology at AIIMS, Patna, Bihar, India for six months. After obtaining approval from the ethical committee of the Institute, an informed written consent was obtained from all the patients undergoing the study. 100 patients of either sex aged 20-60 years, belonging to ASA physical status I or II undergoing upper-arm surgery were recruited for this study.

Pre-operative visit were performed one day prior to surgery. All the patients were assessed, clinically evaluated investigated as per proforma. All patients were kept NPO for 8 hrs. On arrival to the operation theatre, i/v line was established with 20 Gauge cannula. All patients received Midazolam 1 mg iv premedication before performance of block. Standard anaesthesia monitoring was done (ECG, blood pressure, pulse oximetry). Drug solution was prepared by an anaesthetist not involved in the performance of the block. The patients were randomly allocated into 2 groups of 50 patients each.

Group RT: Patients were given 0.5% Ropivacaine 30ml + tramadol 50mg [1ml]. Group RF: Patients were given 0.5% Ropivacaine 30ml + fentanyl 50mcg [1ml].

Under all aseptic precautions (UAAP) supraclavicular was performed by 100mm locoplex needle under USG guidance. Intraoperatively onset of block was assessed by the time between drug injection and complete loss of pin-prick sensation in C4-C5 dermatome. Sensory block was quantified as per visual analogue scale (VAS) every 5 minutes for 30 minutes after injection intraoperatively. Visual analogue scale (VAS) (0= No pain, 1-3= Mild pain, 4-6= Moderate pain, 7-10= Severe pain. Onset of Motor block was defined as reduction of muscle power to grade 3 or less. When surgical anaesthesia will not be achieved in a patient even after 30 min from the

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2861-6042

anaesthetic injection, the case was considered as failed block and the operation was then performed under general anaesthesia. Sedation score was evaluated every 5 minutes after injection till 30 minutes intraoperatively as per standard sedation scale (awake, alert=score 1, Sedated and responds to verbal stimulus = Score 2, Sedated and responding to mild physical stimulus= Score 3, Sedated and responding to moderate and strong physical stimulus= Score 4, Not aroused= Score 5. Post-operatively an observer unaware of patient groups assessed the following variables. (i) Pain score (VAS) every 3 hourly till 24 hours, (ii) Duration of analgesia, defined as time elapsed from performance of block to appearance of pain in operated limb. (iii) Requirement of rescue analgesia doses in first 24 hours. Rescue analgesia will be given by injection

paracetamol 15mg/kg when VAS is >4m, and, (iv) Incidence of nausea, vomiting, pruritus or any other complication.

Statistical analysis

The recorded data was compiled and entered in a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel) and then exported to data editor of SPSS Version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Continuous variables expressed as MeanSD and categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages. Student's independent ttest was employed for comparing continuous variables. Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, whichever appropriate, was applied for comparing categorical variables. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All Pvalues were two tailed.

Results

Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics

| | Group RT | Group RF | P value |
|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 39 ± 1.0 | 41 ± 5.00 | 0.450 |
| BMI (kg/m2) | 20.55 ± 1.60814 | 21.41 ± 1.48 | 0.220 |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 45 | 40 | 0.678 |
| Female | 5 | 10 | |
| ASA | | | |
| ASA I | 48 | 46 | 0.750 |
| ASA II | 2 | 4 | |
| Baseline Spo2 (%) | 98 (97-99) | 97 (96-99) | 0.190 |
| Baseline PR (bpm) | 95 (86-98.5) | 96 (86-99) | 0.390 |
| Baseline SBP (mmHg) | 130 (126-133) | 129 (128-131) | 0.550 |
| Baseline DBP (mmHg) | 71.76 ± 6.75 | 71.86 ± 7.72 | 0.750 |
| Baseline MAP (mmHg) | 90.5 ± 4.11 | 91 ± 5.2 | 0.920 |
| Surgical duration (mins) | 137.14 ± 16.70 | 140.09 ± 18.43 | 0.851 |
| Time to skin incision (mins) | 31 (30-34) | 36 (34-38) | < 0.001 |

There was no statistically significant difference among the two groups in demographic characteristics. Majority of study participants were males but there is no statistical difference among two groups. The demographic status and data before the block were comparable among two groups (P > 0.05).

Table 2: Comparison of time to onset of complete and duration of sensory and motor block among groups

| Time to onset | Group RT | Group RF | P value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Sensory block (mins) | 17.59 ± 1.07 | 14.75 ± 1.09 | < 0.001 |
| Motor block (mins) | | | |
| Grade I | 18 (18-19.5) | 16 (15-17) | < 0.001 |
| Grade II | 18.6 ± 1.86 | 25 ± 1.50 | < 0.001 |
| Duration in hours | | | |
| Sensory block (hrs) | 12.11 ± 0.76 | 8.8 ± 1.12 | < 0.001 |
| Motor block (hrs) | 9.37 ± 0.5 | 6.85 ± 0.69 | < 0.001 |
| Time to 1st analgesic request (hrs) | 15.52 ± 1.6 | 10.07 ± 0.72 | < 0.001 |

A one-way ANOVA was used to determine if onset of complete sensory block was different among the groups. There were no outliers as assessed by box plot. Data was normally distributed for each group as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk test (p > 0.05). Mean \pm standard deviation for onset of complete sensory block prolonged from group RT (17.59 \pm 1.07 min) and to Group RF (20.14 \pm 1.50min). There was statistically significant difference in onset of complete sensory block among the groups RF p < 0.001.

Mean \pm standard deviation for onset of complete motor block prolonged from Group RT (18.6 \pm 1.86min) to group RF (n 1 /4 21, 25 \pm 1.50min). There was statistically significant difference in onset of complete motor block among the groups p < 0.001. Mean \pm standard deviation for time to first analgesic request prolonged from group RF (10.07 \pm 0.72hr) and to Group RT (15.52 \pm 1.6hr). There was statistically significant difference in time to first analgesic request among the groups P < 0.001.

Table 3: Mean inter-operative VAS and sedation score

| VAS score | | | | |
|----------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Time interval | Group RT | Group RF | | |
| 5 minutes | 5.09 | 6.14 | | |
| 10 minutes | 2.49 | 4.06 | | |
| 15 minutes | 0.83 | 1.91 | | |
| 20 minutes | 0.31 | 0.87 | | |
| VAS score | | | | |
| Sedation score | | | | |
| 5 minutes | 2.97 | 3.11 | | |
| 10 minutes | 1.99 | 2.11 | | |
| 15 minutes | 1.06 | 1.20 | | |
| 20 minutes | 1.09 | 1.14 | | |
| 25 minutes | 1.03 | 1.09 | | |
| 30 minutes | 1.03 | 1.06 | | |

RT and Group RF at 5 minute was 5.09 and 6.14, at 10 minutes it was 2.49 and 4.06 in both the study groups. Mean VAS score at 15 minutes was 0.83 and 1.91 in Group RT and RF, at 20 minutes mean VAS score of Group RT was 0.31 and that of Group RF was 0.87. Mean

interoperative sedation score of Group RT and Group RF at 5 minute was 2.97 and 3.11, at 10 minutes it was 1.97 and 2.11 in both the study groups. Mean sedation score at 15 minutes was 1.06 and 1.20 in Group RT and RF, at 20 minutes mean sedation score of Group RT was 1.09 and

that of Group RF was 1.14. Mean sedation score at 25 minutes in Group RF and RF was 1.03 and 1.09, while as it was 1.03

and 1.06 at 30 minutes in both the study groups.

Table 4: Mean post-operative VAS score

| VAS score | | | | |
|---------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Time interval | Group RT | Group RF | | |
| 3 hours | 0.43 | 1.31 | | |
| 6 hours | 0.57 | 2.77 | | |
| 9 hours | 1.40 | 3.94 | | |
| 12 hours | 2.60 | 2.46 | | |
| 15 hours | 3.43 | 2.97 | | |
| 18 hours | 1.26 | 2.89 | | |
| 21 hours | 1.94 | 2.71 | | |
| 24 hours | 2.14 | 2.94 | | |

RF at 3 hours was 0.43 and 1.31, at 6 hours it was 0.57 and 2.77 in both the study groups. Mean VAS score at 9 hours was 1.40 and 3.97 in Group RT and RF, at 12 hours mean VAS score of Group RT was 2.60 and that of Group RF was 2.46. Mean postoperative VAS score at 15 hours was 3.43 and 2.97 in Group RT and RF, at 18 hours mean VAS score was 1.26 in RT group and 2.89 in RF group. At 21 hours mean postoperative VAS score was 1.94 and 2.71 in both the study groups, while as at 24 hours mean VAS score was 2.14 in Group RT and 2.94 in Group RF.

Discussion

Brachial plexus block is the preferred choice for upper limb surgeries, and it is the most commonly used technique for this purpose. [17] Providing adequate and timely sensory and motor block, safety of use and augmentation the postoperative analgesic efficacy of the drug should be considered while selecting pharmacological option during regional anesthesia. [18] Bupivacaine is the most widely used local anesthetics (LA) in peripheral nerve blocks. Adjuvants may be added to LAs to reduce the dose of each agent, to enhance the quality and duration of block, to increase the analgesic effect, and to reduce the need for supplementary analgesics, thus reducing the incidence of adverse reactions.

In our study, Group RT was 39.1 years whereas age in Group RF patients was 41.5 years. Geze S et al. (2012) [19] compared the effect of tramadol and fentanyl as adjuvant agents to local anesthetic mixtures in axillary plexus block for orthopedic upper extremity surgery. The mean age in Group T (tramadol) was 42.1 while as mean age in patients of group F (fentanyl) was 38.0 years. Rajkhowa T et al. (2016) [20] studied 66 ASA I and II patients aged 18-65 years and found mean age of patients of group R (Ropivacaine) and group RF (Ropivacaine + Fentanyl) was 44.0 years respectively. Naaz S et al (2017) [21] studied 60 otherwise healthy patients with physical status ASA I and II were randomly allocated to 3 groups of 20 each to receive either plain bupivacaine 30ml, alkalinized bupivacaine 30ml (sodium bicarbonate 8.4%, 0.1 ml / 10ml bupivacaine) and fentanyl-bupivacaine (75µg fentanyl) 30ml. In group I, there were 16 males and 4 females, in group II there were 15 males and 5 females, whereas in group III there were 14 males and 6 females respectively.

Mean intraoperative VAS score of Group RT and Group RF at 5 minute was 5.09 and 6.14, at 10 minutes it was 2.49 and 4.06 in both the study groups. Mean VAS score at 15 minutes was 0.83 and 1.91 in

Group RT and RF, at 20 minutes mean VAS score of Group RT was 0.31 and that of Group RF was 0.87. Naaz S et al (2017) [21] studied 60 otherwise healthy patients with physical status ASA I and II were randomly allocated to 3 groups of 20 patients each. In their study, mean VAS score at 30 min in group I, group II and group III were 2.70 0.47, 2.35 0.49 and 2.15 0.37 respectively. The mean VAS score at 30 min was lowest in group III the difference was statistically and significant compared to both groups I and group II. They observed a significant difference in VAS between group I and II.

Kardash K et al [22] observed a significant decrease in VAS score in the patients who received fentanyl and bupivacaine in brachial plexus block at 1 hour after surgery. This is consistent with our results. Mean intraoperative sedation score of Group RT and Group RF at 5 minutes was 2.97 and 3.11, at 10 minutes it was 1.97 and 2.11 in both the study groups. Mean sedation score at 15 minutes was 1.06 and 1.20 in Group RT and RF, at 20 minutes mean sedation score of Group RT was 1.09 and that of Group RF was 1.14. Mean sedation score at 25 minutes in Group RF and RF was 1.03 and 1.09, while as it was 1.03 and 1.06 at 30 minutes in both the study groups. Mean time (min) to achieve complete block in Group RT was 20.6 and in Group RF it was 26.1 minutes. Mean time (hours) of sensory block in Group RT was 13.7 and in Group RF it was 7.8 hours. Mean duration (hours) of motor block in Group RT was 13.1 and in Group RF it was 7.2 hours. Naaz S et al (2017) [21] studied the difference in time to achieve complete block was statistically significant with mean of 26.3 1.94 minutes in group I, 17.0 1.23 minutes in group II and 21.0 2.05 minutes in group III. Barsagade W et al (2016) 20 compared the clinical characteristics of ropivacaine 0.5% and bupivacaine 0.5% with fentanyl when used for interscalene brachial plexus block.

In a study conducted by Naaz S et al (2017) [21] on comparing the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score between the three groups at various intervals i.e. 30 minutes, 1 hr, 2 hr, 4 hr, 6 hr, a statistically significant difference was (p<0.001). A mean VAS score of 3.12 0.29 was found in group I, 2.96 0.34 in group II and 2.61 0.23 in group III. The VAS score in group III was lower than group II and group I. Patients in group III had a longer period of subjective comfort as compared to group II and group I. [23] These observations are in congruence with those of Parikh R K et al. (1995). [24] They addition of fentanyl observed that 0.2µg/ml to the solution increased the degree of analgesia. This has been attributed to the antinociceptive effects of fentanyl due to activation of opiate (µ) receptors present peripherally on primary afferent nerves. Secondly, fentanyl may also provide analgesia through central opioid receptor-mediated analgesia by peripheral uptake of fentanyl to systemic circulation. [25]

Conclusion

Skilful administration of brachial plexus block is essential for effective surgical anaesthesia and analgesia. It not only eliminates stress response to surgery but also helps in smooth transition of patient from surgery to routine preoperative state. High satisfaction scores were reported by patients in both groups of our study. All were contended with the brachial plexus block/anaesthesia and overall level of analgesia. The ropivacaine - tramadol group showed significant prolonged sensory and motor block and better pain relief. While the first request analgesia was prolonged time measured ropivacaine – tramadol group, we did not measure the total amount of supplemental analgesics taken post-operatively. Further studies can be done to observe the efficacy of different doses of tramadol in various combinations of local anaesthetics in our population.

References

- 1. Singh Raj Bahadur, Farooq Nyla, Sarkar Arindam, Rasheed Mohd Asim, Choubey Sanjay. To evaluate the efficacy of fentanyl and dexmedetomidine as adjuvant to ropivacaine in brachial plexus block: a double-blind, prospective, randomized study. Anesth Essays Res. 2017;11 (3): 730.
- 2. Satapathy AR, Coventry DM. Axillary brachial plexus block. Anesthesiol Res Pract 2011; 2011:1e5.
- 3. Millan MJ. Multiple opioid systems and pain. Pain. 1986; 27:303–47.
- 4. Singelyn F, Contreras V, Lefebvre B, Gouverneur JM. Adding Sufentanyl to Mepivacaine Results in Faster but Not Prolonged Anesthesia and Analgesia After Brachial-plexus Blockade. Anesthesiology. 1993;79(3): A832.
- 5. Bazin JE, Massoni C, Bruelle P, Fenies V, Groslier D, Schoeffler P. The addition of opioids to local anaesthetics in brachial plexus block: the comparative effects of morphine, buprenorphine and sufentanil. Anaesthesia. 1997 Sep;52(9):858-62.
- 6. Stein C, Hassan AH, Przewłocki R, Gramsch C, Peter K, Herz A. Opioids from immunocytes interact with receptors on sensory nerves to inhibit nociception in inflammation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1990 Aug;87(15):5935-9.
- 7. Fields HL, Emson PC, Leigh BK, Gilbert RF, Iversen LL. Multiple opiate receptor sites on primary afferent fibres. Nature. 1980 Mar 27;2 84(5754):351-3.
- 8. Ninkovic M, Hunt SP, Gleave JR. Localization of opiate and histamine H1-receptors in the primate sensory ganglia and spinal cord. Brain research. 1982 Jun 10;241(2):197-206.
- 9. Senel AC, Akyol A, Dohman DA, Solak M. Caudal bupivacaine-tramadol combination for postoperative analgesia in pediatric herniorrhaphy.

- Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. 2001 Jul;45(6):786-9.
- 10. Noyan A. On effects of ketamine to axillary block in hand surgery. Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery. 2002; 18(03):197-8.
- 11. Robaux S, Blunt C, Viel E, Cuvillon P, Nouguier P, Dautel G, Boileau S, Girard F, Bouaziz H. Tramadol added to 1.5% mepivacaine for axillary brachial plexus block improves postoperative analgesia dosedependently. Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2004 Apr 1;98(4):1172-7.
- 12. Amiri HR, Espandar R, Sanatkar M. Comparing caudal and intravenous ketamine for supplementation of analgesia after Salter innominate osteotomy. Journal of children's Orthopaedics. 2012 Dec;6(6):479-83.
- 13. Fields HL, Emson PC, Leigh BK, Gilbert RF, Iversen LL. Multiple opiate receptor sites on primary afferent fibres. Nature. 1980 Mar 27; 284(5754):351-3.
- 14. Ninkovic M, Hunt SP, Gleave JR. Localization of opiate and histamine H1-receptors in the primate sensory ganglia and spinal cord. Brain research. 1982 Jun 10:241(2):197-206.
- 15. Stein C. The control of pain in peripheral tissue by opioids. New England Journal of Medicine. 1995 Jun 22;332(25):1685-90.
- 16. Lee HY, Kim SH, So KY, Kim DJ. Effects of interscalene brachial plexus block to intra-operative hemodynamics and postoperative pain for arthroscopic shoulder surgery. Korean journal of anesthesiology. 2012 Jan 1;62(1):30-4.
- 17. Singh Raj Bahadur, Farooq Nyla, Sarkar Arindam, Rasheed Mohd Asim, Choubey Sanjay. To evaluate the efficacy of fentanyl and dexmedetomidine as adjuvant to ropivacaine in brachial plexus block: a double-blind, prospective, randomized study. Anesth Essays Res. 2017;11 (3):730.

- 18. Kumari Anita, Chhabra Himani, Gupta Ruchi, Kaur Harmandeep. Comparative study of effectiveness of tramadol and butorphanol as adjuvants to levobupivacaine for supraclavicular brachial plexus block. Anesth Essays Res. 2019;13(3):446.
- 19. Geze S, Ulusoy H, Ertürk E, Cekic B, Arduc C. Comparison of Local Anesthetic Mixtures with Tramadol or Fentanyl for Axillary Plexus Block in Orthopaedic Upper Extremity Surgery. European Journal of General Medicine. 2012 Jun 1;9(2).
- 20. Rajkhowa T, Das N, Parua S, Kundu R. Fentanyl as an adjuvant for brachial plexus block: a randomized comparative study. Int J Clin Trials. 2016;3(2):64–7.
- 21. Naaz S, Waqar-Ul-Nisa, Farooqi A, Irshad S, Gurcoo S, Khan A. Comparison of bupivacaine, alkalinized bupivacacaine and fentanyl bupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block using nerve stimulator: a double blind randomised clinical study.

- J Int Acad Res Multidiscip. 2017; 5(2):112–21.
- 22. Kardash K, Schools A, Concepcion M. Effects of brachial plexus fentanyl on supraclavicular block: A randomized, double-blind study. Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. 1995 Jul 1;20(4):311-5.
- 23. Barsagade W, Tarkase AS, Gate H. Comparative Study of Ropivacaine 0.5% with fentanyl and Bupivacaine 0.5% with fentanyl in Interscalene Brachial Plexus Block. IJBAR. 2016; 7(11):543–6.
- 24. Parikh RK, Rymaszewski LR, Scott NB. Prolonged postoperative analgesia for arthrolysis of the elbow joint. British Journal of Anaesthesia. 1995 Apr 1;74(4):469-71.
- 25. Abdulabbas H. S., Abed S. Y., Mahdi Z. A. A., Al-Hindy H. A. A. M., Akram M., Laila U., Zainab R., Al-Khafaji N. S., Al-Dahmoshi H. O., & Chabuck Z. A. G. Antiviral effects of medicinal plants: Minireview. Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2023; 6(2): 2424–2429.