Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2023; 15(6); 363-370

Original Research Article

Obesity as Risk Factor in Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus in Middle Aged Women: A Case Control Study

Akash Bharadwaj¹, Rashmi Sharma², Abhishek Kumar³, Rita Kumari⁴

¹Tutor, Department of Physiology, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India ²Tutor, Department of Physiology, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India ³Tutor, Department of Physiology, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India ⁴Associate Professor and HOD, Department of Physiology, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 07-4-2023 Revised: 19-05-2023 / Accepted: 28-06-2023
Corresponding author: Dr. Abhishek Kumar
Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the obesity as risk factor in type-2 diabetes mellitus in middle aged women.

Methods: The study was conducted at Department of physiology, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India for the period of 10 months. 100 volunteer women of age 35 to 55 years were selected as subjects.

Results: Within group I, the mean values of FBS, HDL, TG & VLDL were higher with more significance (p<0.01). Within group II, the mean values of W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG & VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01). Within group III, the mean values of W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG and VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01). The mean values of TC and LDL are higher with less significance (p<0.05). Within group IV, the mean values of BMI,W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG and LDL are higher with less significance (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The role of genes, lifestyle and other factors contributing to rapid increase in the incidence of type 2 diabetes. The core aims are to bring forward the new therapy strategies and cost-effective intervention trials of type 2 diabetes. The present study revealed that the mean values of waist hip ratio of obese women of group I, II. III and IV showed statistically highly significant increase in values respectively. The mean values of fasting blood sugar of obese women of group I, II, III and IV showed statistically highly significant increase in values respectively.

Keywords: Obesity, Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus, Middle Aged Women.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a major publichealth problem, with a global prevalence of 9.3% (463 million people) in 2019 that is expected to reach 10.2% (578 million people) in 2030. [1] Obesity, the most prominent risk factor of DM and that leads to the development of insulin resistance, is more common in women than men. [2-6] Women gain about 0.7 kg/year on average, independent of race [7,8] and more women are overweight or obese after age 45 years, whether more males tend to be overweight at younger age. [9] Overweight, defined as body mass index (BMI) between 25 and 29.99 kg/m2, and obesity, defined as BMI \geq 30 kg/m2, [10] are known risk factors of

Bharadwaj et al. International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research

type 2 DM. [11] Both obesity and type 2 DM are well-known cardio metabolic risk factors for vascular complications, such as coronary heart disease and peripheral vascular disease.

On the other hand, menopause is a potential risk factor for the development of DM, likely due to a reduction in circulating estrogens. [12] The Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN) suggested that lower E2 concentrations resulted in a 47% higher risk of type 2 DM during the menopausal transition. [13] The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC)-Interact study suggested that menopause before the age of 40 years was associated with a 32% greater risk of type 2 DM. [14] A previous meta-analysis reported that levels of fasting glucose (weighted mean difference [WMD] 4.64, 95% confidence interval [CI] 3.94–5.33) and fasting insulin (WMD 20.88, 95% CI 2.12-39.65) were both increased after menopause. [15]

Randolph et al. reported that obese women had lower pre-menopausal estradiol level, which is sampled on day 2-5 of a spontaneous menstrual cycle, but higher postmenopausal estradiol level compared to non-obese women. [16] However, the association between obesity and DM according to menopausal status remains unclear. One US study combining the Health Professionals Follow-up Study and the Nurses' Health Study found the association between body mass index (BMI) and risk of DM to be significantly stronger among younger women (age < 60years) compared to older women (age 60-69 years and age \geq 70 years, p trend < 0.001). [17] Adult humans have limited and variable numbers of brown fat cell [18], which play a role in thermogenesis potentially and influence energy expenditure and obesity susceptibility. [19] Of greater concern is that cardiovascular complications of obesity are on the increase, including the incidence of stroke,

end-stage renal disease and heart failure. [20]

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the obesity as risk factor in type-2 diabetes mellitus in middle aged women.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted at Department of physiology, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India for the period of 10 months. 100 volunteer women of age 35 to 55 years were selected as subjects.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1. Only female subjects are selected of BMI 25 Kg/m2
- 2. Subjects with no family history of diabetes.
- 3. Subjects with no history of cardiovascular diseases.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Male subjects were excluded.
- 2. Subjects with past history of smoking and alcohol intake.
- 3. Subjects with family history of diabetes and hypertension.

Materials

- 1. Measuring tape and Krups weighing machine to record height and weight.
- 2. Graduated measuring tape to measure waist and hip circumference.
- 3. Sphygmomanometer to record blood pressure.
- 4. Glucometer to record fasting blood sugar.
- 5. Lipid profile study.

Method

1. Body Mass Index calculation:

Standing height was recorded without shoes and with light clothes on a wall mounted measuring to the nearest of the centimeter (<5mm & 5mm).

Weight was recorded without shoes and with light clothes on a Krups weighing machine with a least count of 100 gm7.

BMI was calculated by the formula:

BMI = weight (kg) / height (mt2)

2. Waist – Hip circumference ratio estimation:

Waist circumference was measured by using a graduated tape when subjects were in a standing position. Waist circumference were obtained at the level midway between the lower rib margin8

Waist hip ratio was calculated by dividing waist circumference by Hip circumference.

3. Sphygmomanometer:

Conventional mercury sphygmomanometer (DIAMOND) to record indirect BP is used. Resting BP was recorded by auscultatory methods in sitting position. The mean of three measurements was used in the analysis.

4. Easy Gluco Glucometer

It is a medical device for determining the approximate concentration of glucose in the blood. A small drop of blood obtained by pricking the skin with a lancet, is placed on a disposable test strip that the meter reads and used to calculate the blood glucose level Each strip is used once and then discarded.

Volume of blood sample: it varies from 0.3 to 1ul.

Testing time: range from 3 to 60 sec.

Display: glucose value in mg/dl or mmol/l. is displayed

5. Study of Lipid profile:

Mispa Excel Chemistry Analyser

The analyzer used is Mispa Excel Company. It is designed to be powerful and reliable but easy to use biochemistry analyzer. It simplifies the end users task by offering the possibility of printing test results patient as well as by parameters. It's touch sensitive screen and software driven menu lead the user through the simple operation procedures. [21]

Collection of blood sample:

After overnight fasting for 12 hrs, from each subject 3 ml of blood was obtained from cubital vein in a clean dry bottles containing EDTA. After 1 hr the serum was separated by centrifugation11. From the serum the following parameters were estimated:

i. serum cholesterol.

ii. serum triglyceride.

iii. serum HDL cholesterol.

From these values of parameters other parameter values of lipid profile are calculated13,14.

VLDL cholesterol = It is calculated by

Total cholesterol

LDL cholesterol = it is calculated by

Total cholesterol – (HDL cholesterol + VLDL cholesterol)

In present study 100 volunteer women of age 35-55 years were selected as subjects. Depending upon body mass index, these subjects were divided into following groups.

Control – (BMI < 25 kg / m2) Group I (BMI 25-29.9 kg / m2) Group II (BMI 30-34.9 kg / m2) Group III (BMI 35-39.9 kg / m2) Group IV (BMI > 40 kg / m2)

The above groups were made on the basis of WHO classification of obesity. Depending upon these, four observation tables have been derived. Each table consists of mean values, 't' test, 'p' values of various parameters along with interpretation. [22,23]

Results

Parameters	Controls Mean <u>+</u> SD	GRI Mean <u>+</u> SD	P- value
PULSE RATE	74.46 <u>+</u> 6.84	75.35 <u>+</u> 4.16	> 0.1
SBP	112.18 <u>+</u> 7.33	122.38 <u>+</u> 13.07	> 0.1
DBP	73.43 <u>+</u> 5.45	76.34 <u>+</u> 7.42	> 0.1
W/H RATIO	0.76 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.77 <u>+</u> 0.02	> 0.1
FBS	94.36 <u>+</u> 12.44	150 <u>+</u> 40.80	< 0.001
TC	152.38 <u>+</u> 42.38	176.34 <u>+</u> 36.44	> 0.1
HDL	46.34 <u>+</u> 6.44	45.35 <u>+</u> 10.42	0.01
TG	112.88 <u>+</u> 28.22	160.40 <u>+</u> 102.32	< 0.01
LDL	92.38 <u>+</u> 36.04	96.04 <u>+</u> 20.14	> 0.1
VLDL	23.7 <u>+</u> 6.30	26.74 <u>+</u> 21.19	< 0.01

	• •	1 0 1		1 1 Т
I able 1: Com	narison of meai	n values of various p	arameters in conf	rols and group I
I able II Com	parison or mea	i fuides of fuilous p	al aniceer 5 m come	i ois ana Si oap i

Within group I, the mean values of FBS, HDL,TG & VLDL were higher with more significance (p<0.01).

 Table 2: Comparison of mean predicated values of various parameters in controls and group II

Parameters	Controls Mean + SD	GRI Mean <u>+</u> SD	P- value
PULSE RATE	74.64 <u>+</u> 7.47	75.05 <u>+</u> 5.55	> 0.1
SBP	112.18 ± 6.84	122.8 <u>+</u> 15.75	> 0.1
DBP	75.25 <u>+</u> 5.65	78.22 <u>+</u> 9.21	> 0.1
W/H RATIO	0.765 <u>+</u> 0.025	0.82 ± 0.03	< 0.001
FBS	92.48 <u>+</u> 12.64	160.53 <u>+</u> 44.62	< 0.001
TC	152.48 <u>+</u> 42.24	178.42 <u>+</u> 40.04	> 0.1
HDL	46.54 <u>+</u> 7.40	42.68 <u>+</u> 10.40	0.1
TG	110.92 ± 26.40	168.02 <u>+</u> 104.65	< 0.001
LDL	92.48 <u>+</u> 36.04	98.02 <u>+</u> 25.84	> 0.1
VLDL	23.7 <u>+</u> 6.24	32.34 <u>+</u> 20.32	< 0.01

Within group II, the mean values of W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG & VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01).

	• •	1 0 1		
I able 3: Compa	rison of mean	values of various	narameters in	controls and group III
rubic et compa	i ison or mean	and of a sub-	pur uniceers m	controls and Stoup III

Parameters	Controls Mean <u>+</u> SD	GRI Mean <u>+</u> SD	P- value
PULSE RATE	74.66 <u>+</u> 7.73	76.44 <u>+</u> 5.15	> 0.1
SBP	111.19 <u>+</u> 7.65	123.57 <u>+</u> 11.23	> 0.1
DBP	73.27 <u>+</u> 5.55	81.39 <u>+</u> 9.41	> 0.1
W/H RATIO	0.776 ± 0.025	0.85 ± 0.04	< 0.001
FBS	93.77 <u>+</u> 13.47	196.94 <u>+</u> 56.34	< 0.001
TC	154.36 <u>+</u> 46.24	180.40 <u>+</u> 32.28	0.05
HDL	44.46 <u>+</u> 7.33	40.52 <u>+</u> 10.55	0.01
TG	114.85 <u>+</u> 28.12	176.24 <u>+</u> 46.44	< 0.001
LDL	92.88 <u>+</u> 36.04	100.07 <u>+</u> 23.87	> 0.05
VLDL	24.6 <u>+</u> 6.24	34.36 <u>+</u> 8.79	0.01

Within group III, the mean values of W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL, TG and VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01). The mean values of TC and LDL are higher with less significance (p<0.05).

Parameters	Controls Mean <u>+</u> SD	GRI Mean <u>+</u> SD	P- value
PULSE RATE	76.54 <u>+</u> 7.84	77.86 <u>+</u> 4.53	> 0.1
SBP	114.34 <u>+</u> 7.43	140.22 <u>+</u> 10.24	< 0.001
DBP	76.24 <u>+</u> 5.65	82 <u>+</u> 5.42	< 0.001
W/H RATIO	0.77 ± 0.025	0.88 ± 0.01	< 0.001
FBS	94.36 <u>+</u> 12.68	200.55 <u>+</u> 35.75	< 0.001
TC	154.56 <u>+</u> 42.26	183.8 <u>+</u> 36.24	> 0.1
HDL	46.44 <u>+</u> 7.32	38.18 <u>+</u> 6.34	0.01
TG	115.55 <u>+</u> 28.22	204.36 <u>+</u> 28.42	< 0.001
LDL	96.34 <u>+</u> 35.04	142.46 <u>+</u> 9.87	< 0.01
VLDL	22.6 <u>+</u> 6.27	39.23 <u>+</u> 6.06	< 0.001

Table 4: Comparison of mean values of various parameters in controls and group IV

Within group IV, the mean values of BMI,W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG and VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01). The mean values of TC and LDL are higher with less significance (p<0.05).

Discussion

Morbidity and prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) are increasing in obese middle aged women. Obesity is a major health problem throughout the world because of its high prevalence and its with increased association risk of cardiovascular disease. [24] Type 2 diabetes is a serious and common chronic resulting from disease а complex inheritance-environment interaction along with other risk factors such as obesity and sedentary lifestyle. Type 2 diabetes and its complications constitute а major worldwide public health problem, affecting almost all populations in both developed and developing countries like India with high rates of diabetes-related morbidity and mortality. A growing number of variables are being identified in population cross-sectional studies or laboratory studies that are related to mechanisms involved in obesity control. [25]

Within group I, the mean values of FBS, HDL, TG & VLDL were higher with more significance (p<0.01). Within group II, the mean values of W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG & VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01). Within group III, the mean values of W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL,TG and VLDL are higher with more significance (p<0.01). The mean values of TC and LDL are higher with less significance (p<0.05). Within group IV, the mean values of BMI,W/H RATIO, FBS, HDL, TG and VLDL are higher with more significance (p < 0.01). The mean values of TC and LDL are higher with less significance (p<0.05). The increased risk of developing DM after menopause is due to a decrease in estrogen. Weight gain tends to accompany aging in a woman's life cycle and has been suggested to be a result of decreasing estrogen level after menopause, as estrogen facilitates adipose tissue function and deposition. [26] Thus, menopause is followed by adipose tissue redistribution to visceral depots, which is associated with insulin resistance, while greater subcutaneous gluteal-femoral fat is associated with protection from metabolic syndrome. [27] On the other hand, the impact of body weight on DM risk differs in obese women depending on menopausal status. In premenopausal women, obesity has a direct inhibitory effect on estradiol production from the ovaries [28,29] while estrogens are synthesized in adipose tissue by aromatization of androgens after menopause. [30]

The different tendencies of association between obesity and DM by menopausal status can be explained by the change of body composition during the menopause transition. During pre-menopause, fat mass

tends to increase, while the proportion of lean mass decreases over time. However, in Chinese women, decreasing fat mass and increasing proportional lean mass were found after menopausal transition. [31] Therefore, high BMI might reflect excess fat mass, which contributes to the association between obesity and DM, in premenopausal women rather than postmenopausal women. Indeed, estradiol level is lower in obese women than nonobese women at premenopausal age but is women higher in obese of postmenopausal age. [16] It seems that obesity before menopause increases the risk of DM by lowering the estrogen level in the body in addition to an increase in insulin resistance caused by obesity itself. On the contrary, obesity after menopause can increase the estrogen level in the body, providing a protective effect against DM.

Conclusion

The role of genes, lifestyle and other factors contributing to rapid increase in the incidence of type 2 diabetes. The core aims are to bring forward the new therapy strategies and cost-effective intervention trials of type 2 diabetes. The present study revealed that the mean values of waist hip ratio of obese women of group I, II. III and IV showed statistically highly significant increase in values respectively. The mean values of fasting blood sugar of obese women of group I, II, III and IV showed statistically highly significant increase in values respectively.

References

 Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, Colagiuri S, Guariguata L, Motala AA, Ogurtsova K, Shaw JE. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas. Diabetes research and clinical practice. 2019 Nov 1; 157:107843.

- Maggio CA, Pi-Sunyer FX. Obesity and type 2 diabetes. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics. 2003 Dec 1;32(4): 805-22.
- Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature. 2006 Dec 14;444(7121):840-6.
- 4. Haslam, D.W.; James, W.P. Obesity. Lancet 2005, 366, 1197–1209.
- 5. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, Hu FB, Hubbard VS, Jakicic JM, Kushner RF, Loria CM. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society.
- 6. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2016 abridged for primary care providers. Clinical diabetes: a publication of the American Diabetes Association. 2016 Jan;34(1):3.
- 7. Sternfeld B, Wang H, Quesenberry Jr CP, Abrams B, Everson-Rose SA, Greendale GA, Matthews KA, Torrens JI, Sowers M. Physical activity and weight changes in and waist circumference in midlife women: findings from the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. American journal of epidemiology. 2004 Nov 1;160(9):912-22.
- 8. Karvonen-Gutierrez C, Kim C. Association of mid-life changes in body size, body composition and obesity status with the menopausal transition. In Healthcare 2016 Jul 13; 4: 3:42. MDPI.
- Kautzky-Willer A, Harreiter J, Pacini G. Sex and gender differences in risk, pathophysiology and complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Endocrine reviews. 2016 Jun 1;37(3):278-316.
- 10. World Health Organization (WHO). BMI classification [Internet], 2006.

- 11. Ganz ML, Wintfeld N, Li Q, Alas V, Langer J, Hammer M. The association of body mass index with the risk of type 2 diabetes: a case–control study nested in an electronic health records system in the United States. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome. 2014 Dec;6(1):1-8.
- Slopien R, Wender-Ozegowska E, Rogowicz-Frontczak A, Meczekalski B, Zozulinska-Ziolkiewicz D, Jaremek JD, Cano A, Chedraui P, Goulis DG, Lopes P, Mishra G. Menopause and diabetes: EMAS clinical guide. Maturitas. 2018 Nov 1; 117:6-10.
- Park SK, Harlow SD, Zheng H, Karvonen-Gutierrez C, Thurston RC, Ruppert K, Janssen I, Randolph Jr JF. Association between changes in oestradiol and follicle-stimulating hormone levels during the menopausal transition and risk of diabetes. Diabetic Medicine. 2017 Apr;34(4):531-8.
- 14. Brand JS, Van Der Schouw YT, Onland-Moret NC, Sharp SJ, Ong KK, Khaw KT, Ardanaz E, Amiano P, Boeing H, Chirlaque MD, Clavel-Chapelon F. Age at menopause, reproductive life span, and type 2 diabetes risk: results from the EPIC-InterAct study. Diabetes care. 2013 Apr 1;36(4):1012-9.
- Pu D, Tan R, Yu Q, Wu J. Metabolic syndrome in menopause and associated factors: a meta-analysis. Climacteric. 2017 Nov 2;20(6):583-91.
- 16. Randolph Jr JF, Zheng H, Sowers MR, Crandall C, Crawford S, Gold EB, Vuga M. Change in follicle-stimulating hormone and estradiol across the menopausal transition: effect of age at the final menstrual period. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2011 Mar 1;96(3):746-54.
- 17. Lee DH, Keum N, Hu FB, Orav EJ, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. Comparison of the association of predicted fat mass, body mass index, and other obesity indicators with type 2 diabetes risk: two large prospective

studies in US men and women. European journal of epidemiology. 2018 Nov; 33:1113-23.

- Jeffrey S. Flier, et al, biology of obesity, Harison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17th edition, volume 1: 462 – 468.
- F.Xavier Pi Sunyer. Obesity 228 chapter. Textbook of Medicine by Goldman Bennett, 21st edition, volume 1: 1155 – 1162.
- 20. Dr. Parika. Non communicable diseases 316-319.
- 21. Sui X, Hooker SP, Lee IM, Church TS, Colabianchi N, Lee CD, Blair SN. A prospective study of cardiorespiratory fitness and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. Diabetes care. 2008 Mar 1;31(3):550-5.
- 22. Poirier P, Lemieux I, Mauriege P, Dewailly E, Blanchet C, Bergeron J, Després JP. Impact of waist circumference on the relationship between blood pressure and insulin: the Quebec Health Survey. Hypertension. 2005 Mar 1;45(3):363-7.
- 23. Arsenault BJ, Lachance D, Lemieux I, Alméras N, Tremblay A, Bouchard C, Pérusse L, Després JP. Visceral adipose tissue accumulation, cardiorespiratory fitness, and features of the metabolic syndrome. Archives of internal medicine. 2007 Jul 23;167 (14):1518-25.
- 24. Saxena Y, Saxena V, Dvivedi J, Sharma RK. Evaluation of dynamic function tests in normal obese individuals. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol. 2008 Oct 1;52(4):375-82.
- 25. Dwivedi G, Dwivedi S. History of medicine: Sushruta-the clinicianteacher par excellence. National Informatics Centre (Government of India). 2007;403.
- 26. Kapoor E, Collazo-Clavell ML, Faubion SS. Weight gain in women at midlife: a concise review of the pathophysiology and strategies for management. InMayo Clinic

Proceedings 2017 Oct 1 (Vol. 92, No. 10, pp. 1552-1558). Elsevier.

- 27. Cifkova R, Pitha J, Krajcoviechova A, Kralikova E. Is the impact of conventional risk factors the same in men and women? Plea for a more gender-specific approach. International journal of cardiology. 2019 Jul 1;286: 214-9.
- De Pergola G, Maldera S, Tartagni M, Pannacciulli N, Loverro G, Giorgino R. Inhibitory effect of obesity on gonadotropin, estradiol, and inhibin B levels in fertile women. Obesity. 2006 Nov;14(11):1954-60.
- 29. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Gracia CR. Obesity and reproductive hormone levels in the transition to menopause. Menopause (New York, NY). 2010 Jul;17(4):718.
- 30. Nelson LR, Bulun SE. Estrogen production and action. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2001 Sep 1;45(3): S116-24.
- 31. Greendale GA, Sternfeld B, Huang M, Han W, Karvonen-Gutierrez C, Ruppert K, Cauley JA, Finkelstein JS, Jiang SF, Karlamangla AS. Changes in body composition and weight during the menopause transition. JCI insight. 2019 Mar 3;4(5)