

An Outcome Assessment of Anatomical Reconstruction of Anterior Cruciate Ligament with Hamstring Graft

Deepak Kumar Nayak¹, Himanshu Chaudhary², Mohd Wamique Ansari³

¹Junior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, UP, India

²Junior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, UP, India

³Junior Resident, Department of Orthopaedics Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, UP, India

Received: 13-4-2023 Revised: 20-05-2023 / Accepted: 24-06-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Deepak Kumar Nayak

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The objective of the present study was to assess the functional outcome of anatomical reconstruction of ACL with quadrupled hamstring graft.

Methods: The present study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, UP, India for the period of one year and 40 patients were included in the study who had undergone Anterior Cruciate Ligament reconstruction using quadrupled Hamstring graft.

Results: The youngest patient was 20 years and the oldest patient was 51 years old. The maximum number of patients were in the age group of 18-25 (45%) followed by the age group 26-29yrs (25%). The mean age was 32.2 yrs. In our series of 40 patients, 34 patients (85%) were males and 6 patients (15%) female, (Male Predominance). It may be because of the involvement of males in outdoor activities like sports and motor vehicle accidents. Right knee was injured in 32 patients (80%) and left knee was injured in 8 patients (20%). Most of the ACL tears were caused by RTA (70%). Next common cause was sports activities (17.5%). Some patients (12.5%) got injured while doing daily activities like slip and fall. At the 9th month follow up 80% of the patient had excellent score which were 55% at the 3rd month. 15% of patient had good score and 5% of patient had fair score at the end of 9th month. None of the patient had poor score. 45% Patients were having instability and 25% cases presented with knee pain. 10% gave history of locking of knee, 20% presented with instability and knee pain. Diagnostic arthroscopy prior to ACL reconstruction confirms the medial meniscal tear in 35% cases and 12.5% lateral meniscal tear. Both the menisci were injured in 10%. 42.5% were isolated ACL injuries.

Conclusion: Anatomical reconstruction of ACL with quadrupled hamstring graft gives better clinical outcomes. The advantages of using hamstring graft are reduced donor site morbidity and less anterior knee pain in long term follow up. It has better subjective and objective functional outcome with low graft rejection or failure rate.

Keywords: Arthroscopic ACL reconstruction, hamstring graft, endobutton, quadrupled hamstring graft, lysholm and gillquist score.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) provides anteroposterior and rotational stability at the knee joint and hence it is crucial for various activities of daily living (ADL) like running, climbing stairs, and turning around the corners. [1-5] ACL is one of the most commonly injured and reconstructed ligaments in the knee. [6,7] The available literature on ACL injuries primarily focuses on young active individuals involved in sports activities. The populations in a semi-urban and rural setup, however, have different modes of injuries, needs, and expectations. [8]

ACL injuries are frequently associated with meniscus and osteochondral injuries which need to be considered during the surgical repair else the person will progress towards degenerative changes of the knee. [9] Open reconstruction of ACL which has complications like post-operative knee pain, stiffness, prolonged period of rehabilitation. Considering these complications and time consuming procedure, Arthroscopic reconstruction of ACL has become the gold standard procedure in recent times. [10] If surgery is indicated for reconstruction of ACL, then the use of autologous tendon grafts for the substitution of the injured ligament is endorsed. [11] But the graft of choice is still in altercation.

There are many factors which influence the clinical outcomes of ACL reconstruction like type of graft used, method of fixation, placement of graft and post-operative rehabilitation programme. [12] Nevertheless the competency of the operating surgeon will play a major role in the clinical outcome of arthroscopic ACL reconstruction surgery. The literature is repute with many ways of graft fixation. The types of graft fixation are mainly divided into cortical, cancellous and cortico-cancellous fixation. Systems with purely cortical support, the best known are the EndoButton®. Endobutton (Smith &

Nephew, Andover, MA, USA) is a fixation method in which a device is placed against the anterolateral cortex of femur, suspending the graft inside the femoral tunnel. [13] However, correct positioning of the button on the lateral cortex is not easy to achieve, and requires accurate calculation of the total length of the femoral tunnel. Another mechanical disadvantage is the increase in the total length of the graft that will be subjected to strain. The most common mechanism is that of a sudden pivoting or cutting maneuver during sporting activity, which is commonly seen in football, basketball & soccer. The ligament can also tear due to work injuries or automobile accidents. Recent studies have contributed substantially to our understanding of anterior cruciate ligament anatomy and have revealed that common techniques for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction may fail to replicate native ligament origins or insertions. [14-18]

The objective of the present study was to assess the functional outcome of anatomical reconstruction of ACL with quadrupled hamstring graft.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, UP, India for the period of one year and 40 patients were included in the study who had undergone Anterior Cruciate Ligament reconstruction using quadrupled Hamstring graft.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Both genders above 18yrs of age.
2. Symptomatic unilateral ACL rupture
3. ACL injury associated with meniscus injury.
4. Chondral lesion (type1&2).

Exclusion Criteria

1. ACL rupture associated with posterior cruciate ligament injury,
2. Lateral collateral ligament injury, previous ligament reconstruction,
3. Stage 3 & 4 chondral lesion and advanced osteoarthritis of knee,
4. Patient with history of concurrent fracture,
5. Patient with history of operation on either knee.

After all pre-operative patient was taken to operation theatre, under combined spinal and epidural anesthesia patient positioned supine on a standard operating table with knee joint little further from the distal breakpoint of the table. 1 liter saline bottle is placed below the injured knee to allow easy mobilization during the procedure. Uninjured limb is placed on a well-padded support. The following tests are performed under anesthesia ADT, PDT, LT & PST. The tourniquet is placed on the upper thigh. The limb is then scrubbed from the foot till the tourniquet and draped with sterile aseptic precautions. Illumination of the scope and monitor brightness ensured in advance before making a skin incision. Diagnostic arthroscopy was performed to detect any associated meniscal lesion. Before the harvesting of graft, diagnostic arthroscopy was done first. In 90 degrees of knee flexion, anterolateral port (viewing portal) is made using 11 number blade at the level of inferior pole of patella just lateral to the patellar tendon. After all the pathologies have been recorded, the anteromedial (working) portal is then established. The associated pathologies are dealt accordingly such as partial / total meniscectomy for meniscal tears and loose body removal. A 4cm skin incision is taken 3cm medial to tibial tuberosity and 4cm below the joint line. Subcutaneous dissection done. Hemostasis achieved and insertion of pes anserinus is exposed.

The superior and inferior border of Sartorius tendon is palpated and gracilis and semitendinous tendon are identified 3-

4 cm medial to tendinous insertion. A small incision is made in line with the superior border of the gracilis tendon and the underlying medial collateral ligament is protected with care. Staying in the same plane adequate exposure is maintained by retracting without injuring the saphenous vein and nerve. The tendons are identified and with aid of right angled artery forceps gracilis, semitendinous are released from its fibrous extension to gastrocnemius and semi membranous muscle. Keeping the knee in 90 degree flexion, dissection is done using finger upto musculo-tendinous junction, in order to release adhesion with persistent traction applied through the double loop knot in end of tendon with threads. Now the distal part of the tendon is dissected subperiosteally and released from its origin Before releasing the tendon with stripper, the tendon carefully palpated to ensure that it is free from any adhesions and fibrous extension, then the tendon is stripped with controlled traction. In the same manner semitendinous tendon is also stripped. The Harvested graft is then soaked in a saline bowl and its residual muscle fibres are carefully removed. The second graft is then folded and quadrupled and placed on graft master. The graft is then trimmed to same size, looped to form quadrupled graft and stitched together with whip stitch pattern. The graft length and diameter measured.

Through Anterolateral port scope is inserted and shaver introduced through the anteromedial port. Joint is debrided of reflections of synovial fat and torn ACL. Femoral and tibial footprints are visualized and marked.

To gain access to the medial aspect of lateral femoral condyle notchplasty is done. It has significance in chronic ACL tear where osteophytes intrude the notch and it presents the impingement of graft in full extension of knee. Notchplasty restricted to anterior intercondylar notch, excess lateral notchplasty is refrained, else

lateralization of graft will occur in the femoral attachment site.

Femoral Tunnel Preparation: The native ACL footprint is marked keeping knee in 90 degrees of flexion and entry point is marked with aid of femoral offset aimer the marked point is drilled till the tip of guide wire pierces the lateral side of thigh. Now with drill bit the femoral tunnel is established by drilling both the cortices. Later the tunnel is reamed according to the diameter of the graft. The reaming is limited to 20mm from the far cortex.

Tibial Tunnel: With the aid of tibial guide, the tibial tunnel is established by keeping the knee in 70-90 degree flexion. The tibial guide placed 55-60 degree to tibial plateau to obtain accurate angle of native ACL. For stable fixation it should have a minimum of 20mm bone to secure the graft. With the rasp the tunnel is

contoured and confirmed the outer portion tunnel is free of any soft tissues.

Graft Fixation: Graft is then passed through the femoral tunnel through arthroscopic guidance and the endobutton in flipped, cyclical tensioning of the graft is done by flexion and extension of the knee joint and then fixed with interference screw on the tibial side. The excess graft is trimmed and the stability of the knee is assessed by Lachman and pivot shift test. The incision is closed in layers.

Postoperative Management:

Rehabilitation protocol initiated from POD 1. Dressing done on POD 2, 5, 7 and suture removed on 12th day. The patients were evaluated by ADT, PST & LT and Lysholm knee scoring scale.

Results

Table 1: Patient characteristics

Age in years	N %
18-25	18 (45%)
26-29	10 (25%)
30-34	3 (7.5%)
35-39	3 (7.5%)
40-44	2 (5%)
45-49	2 (5%)
50-54	2 (5%)
Gender	
Male	34 (85%)
Female	6 (15%)
Knee involved	
Right	32 (80%)
Left	8 (20%)
Cause	
RTA	28 (70%)
Sports Activities	7 (17.5%)
Daily Activities	5 (12.5%)

The youngest patient was 20 years and the oldest patient was 51 years old. The maximum number of patients were in the age group of 18-25 (45%) followed by the age group 26-29yrs (25%). The mean age was 32.2 yrs. In our series of 40 patients, 34 patients (85%) were males and 6

patients (15%) female, (Male Predominance). It may be because of the involvement of males in outdoor activities like sports and motor vehicle accidents. Right knee was injured in 32 patients (80%) and left knee was injured in 8 patients (20%). Most of the ACL tears

were caused by RTA (70%). Next common cause was sports activities (17.5%). Some patients (12.5%) got

injured while doing daily activities like slip and fall.

Table 2: Distribution of cases based on lysholm knee score

Lysholm knee score	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
3 month	22	14	4	0
6 month	30	8	2	0
9 month	32	6	2	0

At the 9th month follow up 80% of the patient had excellent score which were 55% at the 3rd month. 15% of patient had good score and 5% of patient had fair score at the end of 9th month. None of the patient had poor score.

Table 3: Distribution of cases based on presenting symptoms, based on associated injuries

Symptoms	N	%
Instability	18	45
Knee pain	10	25
Locking	4	10
Instability and knee pain	8	20
Associated injuries		
Isolated ACL tear	17	42.5
MM Tear	14	35
LM Tear	5	12.5
MM and LM tear	4	10

45% Patients were having instability and 25% cases presented with knee pain. 10% gave history of locking of knee, 20% presented with instability and knee pain. Diagnostic arthroscopy prior to ACL

reconstruction confirms the medial meniscal tear in 35% cases and 12.5% lateral meniscal tear. Both the menisci were injured in 10%. 42.5% were isolated ACL injuries.

Table 4: Postoperative complications

Postoperative complications	N	%
Pain	6	15
Infection	2	5
Laxative	2	5
Restricted Movements	3	7.5

6 patients (15%) had pain. Infection was present in 2 cases (5%), patients (5%) were having grade I laxity, 3 patients (7.5%) had flexion difficulty.

Discussion

Development in arthroscopic techniques and improvement in technology and research have allowed anterior cruciate reconstruction to become one of the most successful surgical techniques in sports

medicine. [10,19] Injury to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is the most common ligamentous injury, ranging up to 200,000 injuries per year in the United States. [20] Sports such as soccer, football, and skiing have been reported to be high-risk sports and individuals who participate in these sports are 10 times more likely to rupture the ACL when compared to other sport activities. [21] Even though reconstruction is the most common

treatment for ACL rupture, there remains debate in the literature regarding the optimal timing of surgery. [22] Smith et al concluded from their systematic review that there were no differences in clinical outcomes between early (less than 3 weeks) and delayed (greater than 6 weeks) ACL reconstruction (ACLR); however, their conclusion is based on present literature that has limitations, such as non-randomization and lack of appropriate blinding. [23]

The authors documented the irritability of the knee before surgery (i.e. swelling, effusion, hyperthermia), ROM, and additional injuries. Timing of surgical intervention may only be one factor that should be considered when determining optimal timing of surgery. The decision of when to undergo ACLR is likely multifactorial and may include factors such as pre-operative status of the knee, family, school or work obligations, as well as mental preparation. More research is needed in order to identify a multifactorial objective algorithm that could be used to assist the surgeon and patient in determining when surgical interventions should occur in order to yield optimal clinical results. [24,25] The options of graft for ACL reconstruction comprehend Hamstring auto graft, bone patellar tendon graft, quadriceps graft, allograft and various synthetic graft. Out of these frequently used grafts are Hamstring graft and bone patellar tendon graft. The use of hamstring graft had credence as panacea for the problems with bone patellar tendon graft and has advantage in spite of having a reduced strength of the native ACL.

The youngest patient was 20 years and the oldest patient was 51 years old. The maximum number of patients were in the age group of 18-25 (45%) followed by the age group 26-29yrs (25%). The mean age was 32.2 yrs. In our series of 40 patients, 34 patients (85%) were males and 6 patients (15%) female, (Male Predominance). It may be because of the

involvement of males in outdoor activities like sports and motor vehicle accidents. Right knee was injured in 32 patients (80%) and left knee was injured in 8 patients (20%). Most of the ACL tears were caused by RTA (70%). Next common cause was sports activities (17.5%). Some patients (12.5%) got injured while doing daily activities like slip and fall. Tetsuo Hagino et al. [26] commented that in acute group medial meniscus tear was found in 69.4%, lateral meniscus was 10.8% and both meniscus in 19.9%, whereas in chronic group medial meniscus 33.9%, lateral meniscus in 24.7% and both the meniscus in 41.4%. The study concluded that meniscal tear associated with ACL injury is more in chronic cases and medial meniscus predominantly higher. In our study associated meniscal injury is found to be 50%. 13 patients had isolated ACL injury, 11 pts had medial meniscus injury and 4 patients had lateral meniscus injury and 2 patients had both the meniscus injured. Commonly injured was isolated ACL which was in commiserating with the other studies. [27] In our study among the patients with meniscal injury 3 patients were treated by partial meniscectomy and meniscus repair was done for 6 patients and rest were managed conservatively. We have tried to avoid doing meniscectomy since that accelerates the degenerative changes the knee joint. So this in commiserate with the functional outcome of isolated ACL injury.

At the 9th month follow up 80% of the patient had excellent score which were 55% at the 3rd month. 15% of patient had good score and 5% of patient had fair score at the end of 9th month. None of the patient had poor score. The fixation of the graft has been proved to be the site of failure rather than the graft itself irrespective of the type of graft especially in the early rehabilitation phase when the graft integration has not taken place and the fixation is of little significance after 8

to 12 weeks when graft has integrated with the bone as proposed by Dawn T Gulick. [28] 45% Patients were having instability and 25% cases presented with knee pain. 10% gave history of locking of knee, 20% presented with instability and knee pain. Diagnostic arthroscopy prior to ACL reconstruction confirms the medial meniscal tear in 35% cases and 12.5% lateral meniscal tear. Both the menisci were injured in 10%. 42.5% were isolated ACL injuries. There was no significant patellofemoral pain noticed in the patients in our study. This is similar to the study by Railey et al. [29] Williams et al in their study of 2500 cases of arthroscopic ACL reconstruction, reported an infection rate of 0.3%. In our study 2 patients had superficial infection which subsided with IV antibiotics. [30] The rehabilitation programme followed in our study ensured from preventing the complication due to ACL reconstruction like pain, inflammation, swelling, restoring normal range of motion, preventing muscle atrophy. [31] 6 patients (15%) had pain. Infection was present in 2 cases (5%), patients (5%) were having grade I laxity, 3 patients (7.5%) had flexion difficulty.

Conclusion

Anatomical reconstruction of ACL with quadrupled hamstring graft gives better clinical outcomes. The advantages of using hamstring graft are reduced donor site morbidity and less anterior knee pain in long term follow up. It has better subjective and objective functional outcome with low graft rejection or failure rate. The success of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction depends of experience of the surgeon in arthroscopic technique, exact placement of the graft and patients compliance on rehabilitation protocol. The accurate placement of graft in the tunnel and preparation of graft are important to obtain optimal results. Further studies with reference to long term follow up; radiological parameters including MRI, relevant subjective scores, and

comparing the effectiveness of different methods of graft fixation are however required to provide more clarity on the use of femoral fixation systems.

References

1. Mohtadi NG, Chan DS, Dainty KN, Whelan DB. Patellar tendon versus hamstring tendon autograft for anterior cruciate ligament rupture in adults. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2011(9).
2. Seitz H, Schlenz I, Müller E, Vécsei V. Anterior instability of the knee despite an intensive rehabilitation program. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®. 1996 Jul 1; 328:159-64.
3. Meuffels DE, Reijman M, Scholten RJ, Verhaar JA. Computer assisted surgery for knee ligament reconstruction. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2011(6).
4. Maletius W, Messner K. Eighteen-to twenty-four-year follow-up after complete rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament. The American journal of sports medicine. 1999 Nov; 27(6):711-7.
5. Heijne A, Werner S. A 2-year follow-up of rehabilitation after ACL reconstruction using patellar tendon or hamstring tendon grafts: a prospective randomised outcome study. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy. 2010 Jun; 18:805-13.
6. Mall NA, Chalmers PN, Moric M, Tanaka MJ, Cole BJ, Bach Jr BR, Paletta Jr GA. Incidence and trends of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the United States. The American journal of sports medicine. 2014 Oct;42(10):2363-70.
7. Friel NA, Chu CR. The role of ACL injury in the development of posttraumatic knee osteoarthritis. Clinics in sports medicine. 2013 Jan 1;32(1):1-2.
8. Griffin LY, Agel J, Albohm MJ, Arendt EA, Dick RW, Garrett WE, Garrick JG, Hewett TE, Huston L,

- Ireland ML, Johnson RJ. Noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: risk factors and prevention strategies. *JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2000 May 1;8(3):141-50.
9. Simon D, Mascarenhas R, Saltzman BM, Rollins M, Bach BR, MacDonald P. The relationship between anterior cruciate ligament injury and osteoarthritis of the knee. *Advances in orthopedics*. 2015 Apr 19;2015.
 10. Frank CB, Jackson DW. Current concepts review-the science of reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *JBJS*. 1997 Oct 1;79(10): 15 56-76.
 11. Romanini E, D'Angelo F, De Masi S, Adriani E, Magaletti M, Lacorte E, Laricchiuta P, Saggiocca L, Morciano C, Mele A. Graft selection in arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Journal of orthopaedics and traumatology*. 2010 Dec;11(4):2 11-9.
 12. Ejazi A, Madadi F, Madadi F, Boreiri M. Comparison of Different Methods of Femoral Fixation Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Acta Medica Iranica*. 2013; 51(7):444-8.
 13. Studler U, White LM, Naraghi AM, Tomlinson G, Kunz M, Kahn G. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction by using bioabsorbable femoral cross pins: MR imaging findings at follow-up and comparison with clinical findings. *Radiology*. 2010; 255(1):108-16.
 14. Colombet P, Robinson J, Christel P, Franceschi JP, Djian P, Bellier G, et al. Morphology of anterior cruciate ligament attachments for anatomic reconstruction: a cadaveric dissection and radiographic study. *Arthroscopy*. 2006; 22:984-92.
 15. Ferretti M, Ekdahl M, Shen W, Fu FH. Osseous landmarks of the femoral attachment of the anterior cruciate ligament: an anatomic study. *Arthroscopy*. 2007; 11:1218-25.
 16. Purnell ML, Larson AI, Clancy W. Anterior cruciate ligament insertions on the tibia and femur and their relationships to critical bony landmarks using high-resolution volume-rendering computed tomography. *Am J Sports Med*. 2008; 36:2083-90.
 17. Siebold R, Ellert T, Metz S, Metz J. Tibial insertions of the anteromedial and posterolateral bundles of the anterior cruciate ligament: morphometry, arthroscopic landmarks, and orientation model for bone tunnel placement. *Arthroscopy* 2008; 24:154-61.
 18. Siebold R, Ellert T, Metz S, Metz J. Femoral insertions of the anteromedial and posterolateral bundles of the anterior cruciate ligament: morphometry and arthroscopic orientation models for double-bundle bone tunnel placement—a cadaver study. *Arthroscopy*. 2008; 24:585-92.
 19. Robindro P, Latchumi NVK, Kanthimathi BD. To study the functional outcome of arthroscopic ACL reconstruction using hamstring graft fixed with endobutton for femur and interference screw and suture post for tibial fixation. *Int J Med Dent Sci*. 2016;5(1):978-83.
 20. In CD. Centers for Disease Control Prevention. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: Analytic Guidelines, 2011–2014 and 2015–2016. Atlanta GA, editor. National Center for Health Statistics.
 21. Dragoo JL, Braun HJ, Durham JL, Chen MR, Harris AH. Incidence and risk factors for injuries to the anterior cruciate ligament in National Collegiate Athletic Association football: data from the 2004-2005 through 2008-2009 National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System. *The American journal of sports medicine*. 2012 May; 40(5):990-5.

22. Bottoni CR, Liddell TR, Trainor TJ, Freccero DM, Lindell KK. Postoperative range of motion following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using autograft hamstrings. A Prospective, Randomized Clinical Trial of Early Versus Delayed Reconstructions. *Am J Sports Med.* 2008;36(4):656-62.
23. Smith TO, Davies L, Hing CB. Early versus delayed surgery for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy.* 2010 Mar;18(3):304-11.
24. Mayr HO, Weig TG, Plitz W. Arthrofibrosis following ACL reconstruction—reasons and outcome. *Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery.* 2004 Oct;124(8):518-22.
25. Evans S, Shaginaw J, Bartolozzi A. ACL reconstruction - it's all about timing. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2014;9(2):268-73.
26. Hagino T, Ochiai S, Senga S, Yamashita T, Wako M, Ando T, Haro H. Meniscal tears associated with anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery.* 2015 Dec;135(12):1701-6.
27. JONES KG. Reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament using the central one-third of the patellar ligament: a follow-up report. *JBJS.* 1970 Oct 1;52(7):1302-8.
28. Frank RM, Hamamoto JT, Bernardoni E, Cvetanovich G, Bach Jr BR, Verma NN, Bush-Joseph CA. ACL reconstruction basics: quadruple (4-strand) hamstring autograft harvest. *Arthroscopy techniques.* 2017 Aug 1;6(4):e1309-13.
29. Beynnon BD, POPE DM, Wertheimer CM, Johnson RJ, Fleming BC, Nichols CE, Howe JG. The effect of functional knee-braces on strain on the anterior cruciate ligament in vivo. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume.* 1992 Oct;74(9):1298.
30. Kurosaka M, Yoshiya S, Andrish JT. A biomechanical comparison of different surgical techniques of graft fixation in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *The American journal of sports medicine.* 1987 May;15(3): 225-9.
31. Paulos LE, Cherf J, Rosenberg TD. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autograft. *Clin Sports Med.* 1991; 10:469-485.