

Comparative Assessment of Hemodynamic Changes Due to Subarachnoid Blockade in Preeclamptic and Normotensive Healthy ParturientsMahesh Kumar¹, Amit Kumar²¹Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India²Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

Received: 21-01-2024 / Revised: 10-02-2024 / Accepted: 15-03-2024

Corresponding author: Dr. Amit Kumar

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract**Aim:** The aim of the present study was to compare hemodynamic effects of regional anaesthesia in preeclamptic females and healthy parturients.**Methods:** The observational study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India. Study duration was of 24 months. Study population comprised of 50 normotensive ASA grade II parturients planned for LSCS and 50 ASA grade III preeclamptic parturients planned for LSCS.**Results:** Both groups were comparable in term of mean age, weight, gestational age and parity comparison ($p < 0.05$). The incidence of hypotension in non-preeclamptic parturients (92%) was significantly higher and that of preeclamptic parturients (14%). Similarly, bradycardia was also more commonly observed in normotensive group (30%) compared to pre-eclamptic group (2%). Mean lowest SBP, DBP and MAP measured among the preeclamptic patients were consistently higher (128.66 ± 6.54 mm Hg, 84.16 ± 6.02 mm Hg and 96.62 ± 5.65 mm Hg respectively) than the corresponding values among the healthy parturients (93.67 ± 4.56 mm Hg, 55.46 ± 6.24 mm Hg and 68.16 ± 5.25 mm Hg respectively). Need of mepehentermine was also significantly higher in normotensive women (9.31 ± 4.16 mg) compare to pre-eclamptic group (1.38 ± 3.32 mg). (p value < 0.001) Mean duration of procedure in both normotensive group (58.62 ± 8.32 min) and pre-eclamptic group (58.32 ± 6.44 min) was comparable between both groups. No statistically significant difference was noted for neonatal weight and APGAR score between two groups ($p > 0.05$).**Conclusion:** Subarachnoid blockade is associated with better perioperative hemodynamic stability and lower risk of hypotension and vasopressor requirements in preeclamptic women compared to the rates of healthy subjects. Subarachnoid block can be safely practiced in patients with preeclampsia undergoing caesarean section. The benefit of rapid, dense and reliable subarachnoid block over epidural anaesthesia should be considered for preeclamptics undergoing caesarean section.**Keywords:** Subarachnoid blockade, preeclampsia, caesarean section, hemodynamic stability.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Pregnancy-induced hypertension is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in obstetrics, complicating 3% - 8% of pregnancies. Severe preeclampsia poses a dilemma for anesthesiologists, and there is some controversy about the best anesthetic technique for cesarean delivery in such cases. [1,2] Because of the risks related to airway edema, difficulty with the airway or failed intubation, hypertensive response to direct laryngoscopy, and aspiration pneumonitis, general anesthesia is associated with more untoward outcomes in this particular group of patients. [3,4] When there is no contraindication for performing

regional anesthesia, risk-benefit considerations strongly favor neuraxial techniques over general anesthesia for cesarean delivery in cases of severe preeclampsia.

Regional anesthesia techniques have been widely used recently, however, spinal anesthesia, once considered contraindicated due to the common belief that the sudden and extensive sympathetic blockade following the subarachnoid block would result in severe hypotension and compromise uteroplacental blood flow in this group of patients. [5-8] Although controversial, some studies have shown the effectiveness of colloid loading on

reducing the incidence of hypotension in spinal anesthesia [9,10], but vasopressor agents and volume loading, which are commonly used to manage spinal anesthesia-induced hypotension, could put the preeclamptic patients at increased risk of hypertension and pulmonary edema. [6]

However, spinal anaesthesia induced maternal hypotension is still the most frequent complication. [11,12] Because of the risks related to airway oedema, difficulty with the airway or failed intubation, hypertensive response to direct laryngoscopy and aspiration pneumonitis; general anaesthesia is associated with more untoward outcomes in this particular group of patients. [13,14] Less morbidity and mortality, less failure, dense anaesthesia, easy technique, provision of adequate operating conditions in a shorter time and several other advantages have placed neuraxial anaesthesia particularly subarachnoid block as a more preferable mode of anaesthesia for elective caesarean section to epidural block or general anaesthesia. [15]

The aim of the present study was to evaluate hemodynamic effects of regional anaesthesia in preeclamptic females.

Materials and Methods

The observational study was conducted in the Department of Anaesthesiology & Critical Care, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India. Study duration was of 24 months. Study population comprised of 50 normotensive ASA grade II parturients planned for LSCS and 50 ASA grade III preeclamptic parturients planned for LSCS.

Inclusion criteria: Parturients aged 18-45 years posted for elective /emergency caesarean section (ASA grade II and III), Parturients diagnosed with preeclampsia having Systolic blood pressure of 140 mm Hg or more or diastolic blood pressure of 90 mm Hg or more on two occasions at least 4 hours apart after 20 weeks of gestation in a woman with a previously normal blood pressure. [16]

Exclusion criteria: Patients with severe preeclampsia and eclampsia, multiple pregnancies, Gestational diabetes mellitus, Thrombocytopenia (platelets < 100,000 mm³), requiring tocolytics. Evidence of liver dysfunction (LFTs > 2x ULN), Pre-existing renal disease. Patients with spinal deformity, contraindication to subarachnoid block, known sensitivity to the study drugs. Patient with chronic hypertension, with pre-existing cardiovascular conditions, on anticoagulant therapy. Patient with abruptio placentae/placenta previa/twin pregnancy/severe fetal distress. Those not willing to give consent for the participation in the study

Purpose of the study was explained to the study subjects planned for LSCS during pre-operative examination in the local language and a written informed consent was taken for participation. All the preeclamptic patients were treated with a 4.0 g loading dose of intravenous magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄), followed by an -1.5 g/h infusion for 48 hours as seizure prophylaxis. Labetalol or Methyl-dopa and nifedipine or both were given for blood pressure control, but this antihypertensive protocol was not standardised and was left to the choice of the obstetrician or anesthesiologist. Mg therapy was discontinued just before the operation; antihypertensive drugs were excluded for at least 4 h before spinal puncture. The study population comprised of two groups as:

Group A: Normotensive ASA grade II parturients planned for LSCS

Group B: ASA grade III preeclamptic parturients planned for LSCS.

Patients received oral ranitidine (150 mg) and oral metoclopramide (10 mg) at the morning of the surgery. After receiving the patient in the operating room, documents were checked, a brief clinical examination was done and standard ASA monitors were attached including ECG, pulse oxymetry and temperature probe. A radial arterial line was established with a 20 G radial arterial cannula and invasive blood pressure was monitored. After establishing IV access with a 20 G IV cannula in one of the upper limbs, 20 ml/kg lactated ringer solution (RL) was administered for preloading over the course of 15 - 20 minutes. After proper aseptic precaution spinal subarachnoid block (SAB) was performed by an anesthesiologist blinded to the study in the sitting position, at L2- L3 or L3-L4 intervertebral space through a midline approach by a 26 G Quincke type spinal needle and 2 ml or 8-12 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine (at the discretion of anaesthetist) was administered after confirming needle location and noting free flow of clear CSF.

Surgery was allowed after adequate sensory (T4) and motor block was confirmed. The change in position if required was then allowed. HR, SBP, DBP and MAP were recorded at baseline, immediately after SAB and at 2 min, 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 20 min, 30 min, 45 min, 60 min, 90 min intervals until the end of the surgery. The duration of surgery, dose of mephentermine consumed in each patient were noted. Separate anaesthesiologists performed the procedure and collected the data and both were unaware of the nature of the study. The data was labelled as group A for normotensives and group B for preeclamptic. Variables like age, height, BMI, ASA status, gestational age, and amount of fluid preloaded, amount of fluid consumed intraoperatively, the

weight of the neonate, upper sensory level of the spinal block at the time of skin incision, position during and after the spinal procedure were also documented. Data was collected by using a structure proforma. Data thus was entered in MS excel sheet and analysed by using SPSS 24.0 version IBM USA. Qualitative data was expressed in terms of percentages and proportions. Quantitative data was expressed in terms of Mean

and Standard deviation. Comparison of mean and SD between two groups was done by using unpaired t test to assess whether the mean difference between groups is significant or not descriptive statistics of each variable was presented in terms of Mean, standard deviation, standard error of mean. A p value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Table 1: General characteristics of study subjects

Characteristics	Group A (Normotensive group) (n=50)	Group B (Pre-eclamptic group) n=(50)	P value
Mean age (in years)	24.44±3.37	23.17±3.04	0.07
Weight (kgs)	71.3±6.24	73.67±7.44	0.32
Mean gestational age (weeks)	39.18±0.52	39.07±0.77	0.36
Parity			
P0	27 (54%)	34 (68%)	
P1	22 (44%)	14 (28%)	
P2	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	
ASA grade			
II	50 (100%)	0	
III		50 (100%)	

Mean age in normotensive group was 24.44±3.37 years and in pre-eclamptic group mean age was 23.17±3.04 years. The mean weight at the time of caesarean section was 71.3±6.24 kgs in the preeclamptic group and 73.67±7.44 kgs in preeclamptic. The mean gestational age at the time of caesarean section was 39.07±0.77 weeks in preeclamptic women and 39.18±0.52 weeks in normotensive. Majority of the study participants were nulliparous women in both the group (54% in

normotensive group v/s 68% in pre-eclamptic group) while nearly 44% of the participants in the normotensive group and 28% in pre-eclamptic group were primipara. Both groups were comparable in term of mean age, weight, gestational age and parity comparison (p<0.05). All the non-preeclamptic parturients were ASA II while, All parturients in the preeclamptic group were ASA III, and this difference was statistically significant between both groups; (p< 0.001).

Table 2: Incidence of hypotension and bradycardia following spinal anaesthesia

	Group A (Normotensive group) n=50	Group B (Pre-eclamptic group) n=50	P value
Incidence of hypotension	46 (92%)	7 (14%)	<0.001
Incidence of bradycardia	15 (30%)	1 (2%)	<0.001

The incidence of hypotension in non-preeclamptic parturients (92%) was significantly higher and that of preeclamptic parturients (14%). Similarly, bradycardia was also more commonly observed in normotensive group (30%) compared to pre-eclamptic group (2%).

Table 3: Magnitude of hemodynamic changes following spinal anaesthesia

	Group A (Normotensive group) n=50	Group B (Pre-eclamptic group) n=50	P value
Lowest SBP (mm Hg)	93.67±4.56	128.66±6.54	<0.001
Lowest DBP (mm Hg)	55.46±6.24	84.16±6.02	<0.001
Lowest MAP (mm Hg)	68.16±5.25	96.62±5.65	<0.001

Mean lowest SBP, DBP and MAP measured among the preeclamptic patients were consistently higher (128.66±6.54 mm Hg, 84.16±6.02 mm Hg and 96.62±5.65 mm Hg respectively) than the corresponding values among the healthy parturients (93.67±4.56 mm Hg, 55.46±6.24 mm Hg and 68.16±5.25 mm Hg respectively).

Table 4: Total dose of mepehentermine used (mg)

Total dose of mepehentermine used (mg)	Group A (Normotensive group) n=50	Group B (Pre-eclamptic group) n=50	P value
Dose of mepehentermine (mg)	9.31±4.16	1.38±3.32	<0.001
Duration of procedure (minutes)	58.62±8.32	58.32±6.44	0.86

Need of mepehentermine was also significantly higher in normotensive women (9.31±4.16 mg) compare to pre-eclamptic group (1.38±3.32 mg). Mean duration of procedure in both normotensive group (58.62±8.32 min) and pre-eclamptic group (58.32±6.44 min) was comparable between both groups.

Table 5: Comparison of neonatal parameters between both groups

	Group A (Normotensive group) n=50	Group B (Pre-eclamptic group) n=50	P value
Neonatal weight (gms)	2832.18±266.24	2844.66±385.12	0.88
APGAR score at 1 minute	7.93±0.48	7.90±0.44	0.48
APGAR score at 5 minute	9.06±0.36	8.94±0.42	0.40

Mean neonatal weight in normotensive group was 2832.18±266.24 gms and in pre-eclamptic group was 2844.66±385.12 gms. APGAR score at 1 minute was 7.93±0.48 in normotensive and 7.90±0.44 in pre-eclamptic group. APGAR score at 5 minutes was 9.06±0.36 in normotensive and 8.94±0.42 in pre-eclamptic group. No statistically significant difference was noted for neonatal weight and APGAR score between two groups ($p>0.05$).

Discussion

Pre-eclampsia is a multisystem disorder of unknown etiology that is exclusive to human pregnancy. It is characterized by abnormal vascular response to placentation that is associated with increased systemic vascular resistance, enhanced platelet aggregation, activation of the coagulation system, and endothelial cell dysfunction. [16] Pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) complicates around 6–8% of pregnancies. It is a multiorgan disease and is classified as mild PIH or severe PIH. [17] Worldwide preeclampsia/eclampsia is the third leading cause of maternal morbidity and mortality. [18] Caesarean section is one of the most commonly performed surgical procedures worldwide and 80–90% of them are performed under spinal anaesthesia. During the procedures, maternal hypotension is a major complication with the incidence up to 60–70%. During obstetric anaesthesia, preservation of hemodynamic stability is a big concern for anaesthetists [19], especially for preeclamptic parturients who planned to undergo caesarean section. [19,20]

Both groups were comparable in term of mean age, weight, gestational age and parity comparison ($p<0.05$). Nikooseresht M. et al [21] in their study reported mean age in normotensive group was 28.1±5 years and in pre-eclamptic group mean age was 29.3±6.6 years ($p>0.05$). Though the age group was higher as compared to our findings, but there

was no difference in the mean age which was consistent with our findings. The incidence of hypotension in non-preeclamptic parturients (92%) was significantly higher and that of preeclamptic parturients (14%). Similarly, bradycardia was also more commonly observed in normotensive group (30%) compared to pre-eclamptic group (2%). Alemayehu TY et al [22] observed that MAP was significantly higher in pre-eclamptic group at all point of time compare to normotensive group ($p<0.01$) which was consistent with our study findings.

Mean lowest SBP, DBP and MAP measured among the preeclamptic patients were consistently higher (128.66±6.54 mm Hg, 84.16±6.02 mm Hg and 96.62±5.65 mm Hg respectively) than the corresponding values among the healthy parturients (93.67±4.56 mm Hg, 55.46±6.24 mm Hg and 68.16±5.25 mm Hg respectively). Sivevski A et al [23] reported that there was decreased BP after the spinal block in both groups, but the BP falls were significantly greater in the healthy parturients compared to those with preeclampsics: 31.2 ± 14.2 vs 18.2 ± 12.6% for MAP ($p < 0.05$). Need of mepehentermine was also significantly higher in normotensive women (9.31±4.16 mg) compare to pre-eclamptic group (1.38±3.32 mg). (p value <0.001) Mean duration of procedure in both normotensive group (58.62±8.32 min) and pre-eclamptic group (58.32±6.44 min) was comparable between both groups. No statistically significant difference was noted for neonatal weight and APGAR score between two groups ($p>0.05$). Preeclampsics have also been reported to require significantly less phenylephrine to treat hypotension. These results were comparable to our findings in that the total doses of IV vasopressor (mephentermine) for treating hypotension were significantly lower for the preeclampsics (6.0±2.0 mg) than for the healthy patients (16.5±8, 6 mg, $p<0.05$). [24] Abate SM et al [25] conducted the

meta-analysis which revealed that the vasopressor requirement was higher in normotensive women when compared to preeclamptic counterparts who are in line with all included studies except one study by Mendes et al [26] where the requirement of ephedrine dose requirement didn't show a significant difference between normotensive and preeclamptic women. However, other prospective cohort studies conducted by Clark et al [26] among forty and Aya AG et al²⁷ among one hundred thirty-six normotensive and preeclamptic women showed a different result where the first APGAR score was not different between the groups.

Conclusion

Subarachnoid blockade is associated with better perioperative hemodynamic stability and lower risk of hypotension and vasopressor requirements in preeclamptic women compared to the rates of healthy subjects. Subarachnoid block can be safely practiced in patients with preeclampsia undergoing caesarean section. The benefit of rapid, dense and reliable subarachnoid block over epidural anaesthesia should be considered for preeclamptics undergoing caesarean section.

References

1. Flood P, Rollins MD. Anesthesia for obstetrics. Miller's anesthesia. 2015;8.
2. Aya AGM, Mangin R, Vialles N, Ferrer JM, Robert C, Ripart J, de La Coussaye JE. Patients with severe preeclampsia experience less hypotension during spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery than healthy parturients: a prospective cohort comparison. *Anesth Analg*. 2003 Sep;97(3):867-872.
3. Pournajafian A, Rokhtabnak F, Kholdbarin A, Ghodrati M, Ghavam S. Comparison of remifentanyl and fentanyl regarding hemodynamic changes due to endotracheal intubation in preeclamptic parturient candidate for cesarean delivery. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2012 Fall;2(2):90-3.
4. Chumpathong S, Sirithanetbhol S, Salakij B, Visalyaputra S, Parakkamodom S, Wataganara T. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in women with severe pre-eclampsia undergoing cesarean section: a 10-year retrospective study from a single tertiary care center: anesthetic point of view. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2016 Dec; 29(24):4096-100.
5. Santos AC, Birnbach DJ. Spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery in severely preeclamptic women: don't throw out the baby with the bathwater! *Anesth Analg*. 2005 Sep;101(3): 859-861.
6. Hood DD, Curry R. Spinal versus epidural anesthesia for cesarean section in severely preeclamptic patients: a retrospective survey. *Anesthesiology*. 1999 May;90(5):1276-82.
7. Visalyaputra S, Rodanant O, Somboonviboon W, Tantivitayatan K, Thienthong S, Saengchote W. Spinal versus epidural anesthesia for cesarean delivery in severe preeclampsia: a prospective randomized, multicenter study. *Anesth Analg*. 2005 Sep;101(3):862-868.
8. Saha D, Ghosh S, Bhattacharyya S, Mallik S, Pal R, Niyogi M, Banerjee A. Comparison of hemodynamic response and vasopressor requirement following spinal anaesthesia between normotensive and severe preeclamptic women undergoing caesarean section: A prospective study. *Journal of Obstetric Anaesthesia and Critical Care*. 2013 Jan 1;3(1): 23-6.
9. Alimian M, Mohseni M, Safaian R, Faiz SH, Majedi MA. Comparison of hydroxyethyl starch 6% and crystalloids for preloading in elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia. *Med Arch*. 2014 Aug;68(4):279-81.
10. Fathi M, Imani F, Joudi M, Goodarzi V. Comparison Between the Effects of Ringer's Lactate and Hydroxyethyl Starch on Hemodynamic Parameters After Spinal Anesthesia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2013 Winter;2(3):127-33.
11. Gutsche BB. Patients with severe preeclampsia experience less hypotension during spinal anaesthesia for elective cesarean delivery than healthy parturients: A prospective cohort comparison. *Surv Anesthesiol*. 2004;48(3): 1278.
12. Hypertension in pregnancy. Report of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013 Nov;122(5): 1122-1131.
13. Pournajafian A, Rokhtabnak F, Kholdbarin A, Ghodrati M, Ghavam S. Comparison of remifentanyl and fentanyl regarding hemodynamic changes due to endotracheal intubation in preeclamptic parturient candidate for cesarean delivery. *Anesth Pain Med*. 2012; 2(2):90-3.
14. Chumpathong S, Sirithanetbhol S, Salakij B, Visalyaputra S, Parakkamodom S, Wataganara T. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in women with severe pre- eclampsia undergoing cesarean section: a 10-year retrospective study from a single tertiary care center: anesthetic point of view. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2016:1-5.
15. Hawkins JL, Chang J, Palmer SK, Gibbs CP, Callaghan WM. Anesthesia related maternal mortality in the United States: 1979-2002. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;117:69-74.
16. Moser M. Working group report on high blood pressure in pregnancy. *J Clin Hypertens*. 2001 ;3(2):75-88.

17. Chattopadhyay S, Das A, Pahari S. Fetomaternal outcome in severe preeclamptic women undergoing emergency cesarean section under either general or spinal anesthesia. *J Pregnancy*. 2014;2014:1–10.
18. Abebe FE, Gebeyehu AW, Kidane AN, Eyassu GA. Factors leading to cesarean section delivery at Felegehiwot referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a retrospective record review. *Reprod Health*. 2015;13(1):6.
19. Deshpande AV, Deshpande SA. Spinal anesthesia in preeclamptic parturients. *J Evol Med Dent Sci*. 2014;3(8):1915–20.
20. Dennis A. Management of pre-eclampsia: issues for anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia*. 2012;67(9):100920.
21. Nikooseresht M, Rabiei MAS, Hajian P, Dastaran R, Alipour N. Comparing the hemodynamic effects of spinal anesthesia in preeclamptic and healthy parturients during cesarean section. *Anesthesiol Pain Med*. 2016; 6(3):e11519.
22. Alemayehu TY, Berhe YW, Getnet H, Molallign M. Hemodynamic changes after spinal anesthesia in preeclamptic patients undergoing cesarean section at a tertiary referral center in Ethiopia: a prospective cohort study. *Patient Safety in Surgery*. 2020 Dec; 14: 1-9.
23. Sivevski A, Ivanov E, Karadjova D, Slaninka-Miceska M, Kikerkov I. Spinal-induced hypotension in preeclamptic and healthy parturients undergoing cesarean section. *Open access Macedonian journal of medical sciences*. 2019 Mar 3;7(6):996.
24. Habib AS. A review of the impact of phenylephrine administration on maternal hemodynamics and maternal and neonatal outcomes in women undergoing cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2012 Feb 1;114(2):377-90.
25. Abate SM, Mergia G, Basu B. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of preeclamptic and normotensive women who underwent cesarean section under spinal Anesthesia: A Systematic review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Surgery Open*. 2021 Feb 1
26. Clark VA, Sharwood-Smith GH, Stewart AV. Ephedrine requirements are reduced during spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section in preeclampsia. *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia*. 2005 Jan 1;14(1):9-13.
27. Aya AGM, Vialles N, Tanoubi I, Mangin R, Ferrer JM, Robert C, et al. Spinal anesthesia-induced hypotension: A risk comparison between patients with severe preeclampsia and healthy women undergoing preterm cesarean delivery. *Anesth Analg*. 2005;101(3):869–75.