

A Hospital Based Retrospective Observational Assessment of Mesh Related Infections

Amit Kumar Bimal¹, Aditya Veer Vikram², Pratibha Kumari³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Lord Buddha Kosi Medical College & Hospital, Saharsa, Bihar, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Lord Buddha Kosi Medical College & Hospital, Saharsa, Bihar, India

³Junior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Lord Buddha Kosi Medical College & Hospital, Saharsa, Bihar, India

Received: 02-01-2024 / Revised: 21-01-2024 / Accepted: 18-02-2024

Corresponding author: Dr. Aditya Veer Vikram

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to analysis of mesh related infections in Bihar region.

Methods: A retrospective observational study was conducted in the department of General Surgery for 2 years and 100 patients were included in the study.

Results: Mesh infection was more common in males. Among 100 patients, 82 were males and 18 female patients. Majority of the patients were in the age group 40-50 followed by 50-60 age groups. The time of presentation after surgery was more after 5 months and 40% had co-morbidities. Antibiotic has used according to the protocol of our hospital; it was followed in 96 patients in the first surgery i.e., hernia repair surgery. Parenteral cephalosporin was used in 96 patients and amoxicillin- clavulanic acid in 4 patients. Antibiotic has repeated if the procedure was beyond 2 hours. After postoperative day 2, patients were switched over to oral antibiotics for three days. Likewise, during the second admission, i.e., when the patient was admitted with mesh infection, 96 patients were given cephalosporin, and 4 patients were given Piperacillin tazobactam. Polypropylene mesh was used in 85 patients, and the composite mesh was used in 15 patients who underwent IPOM.

Conclusion: Most of the patients took more than 5 months to report infection. Comorbidities were present in 40% patients and risk for complications after hernia repair is increased among patients with comorbid conditions. So, the proper selection of the patient, ensuring good control of comorbid medical conditions will prevent mesh infections.

Keywords: Surgeries, mesh, Infection.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Within the last few years, the use of meshes has become standard procedure in hernia repair surgery throughout the world. Implantation of a mesh during the surgical management of this common problem has been found to reduce the rate of recurrence of a hernia. The use of a mesh for the repair of incisional hernias has been found in different studies to decrease the recurrence rates by an average of 30% [1-3], while in a randomised clinical trial involving 289 patients in which non-mesh vs. mesh repair of primary inguinal hernia was compared, it was found that recurrence rates were 7% for the non mesh technique vs. 1% for mesh repair. [4]

However, mesh-related complications have become increasingly important. Such complications include seromas, adhesions, chronic severe pain, migration and rejection of the mesh, and mesh-related infections. A meta-analysis evaluated 2418 mesh hernioplasties and found a combined mesh infection rate of 7.2% after AWR. [5] The same analysis also identified patient factors of advanced age, American Society of Anaesthesiologists score ≤ 3 , and tobacco smoking as significant risk factors for the development of mesh infection. [5] Other factors like prior SSI, multiple procedures from the same incision at the time of repair, associated enterotomy or enterocutaneous fistula, longer operating time and learning curve [6] use of multifilament, braided and microporous meshes [7]

are risk factors for mesh infection. Even with the help of better quality meshes and prophylactic antibiotics, it remains a problem requiring further analysis.

Hernioplasty is one of the most common surgeries performed by general surgeons. With the advent of synthetic mesh recurrence rates and the burden on healthcare have drastically reduced. [8] Incidence of mesh infection is 2% - 4% for open inguinal hernia repair, 6% -10% for open incisional hernia repair [9] and 3.6% for incisional hernia repair. [10] Mesh infection can lead to potential re surgeries and morbidity to the patient and thus should be prevented. Factors influencing mesh infection are patient factors like COPD, high BMI, consumption of tobacco, advanced age, ASA>3, comorbidities. [11]

The aim of this study was to analysis of mesh related infections in Bihar region.

Materials and methods

A retrospective observational study was conducted in the department of General Surgery, Lord Buddha Kosi Medical College & Hospital, Saharsa, Bihar, India for 2 years and 100 patients were included in the study.

All cases that underwent ventral and groin hernia surgeries and reported with mesh infections in the Department of General Surgery were included in the study. Files with incomplete and inappropriate data needed for the study were excluded from the study. All primary hernia repairs were done on an elective basis, and antibiotics are given as per the protocol of our hospital. All cases of mesh infection during the study period (n=50) were analyzed. Demographics like age, sex and factors associated with mesh infection like BMI, comorbidities, time of presentation, tobacco consumption, ASA grade, type of hernia, type of hernia repair done were taken from medical records of the patients and their association with mesh infections were analyzed.

Results

Table 1: Patient characteristics

Gender	N%
Male	82 (82)
Female	18 (18)
Age in years	
<40	22 (22)
40-50	38 (38)
50-60	32 (32)
>60	8 (8)
BMI kg/m²	
<18.5	0
18.5-25	0
25-30	12 (12)
>30	88 (88)

Mesh infection was more common in males. Among 100 patients, 82 were males and 18 female patients. Majority of the patients were in the age group 40-50 followed by 50-60 age groups.

Table 2: Time of presentation of mesh infection after primary repair, Co-morbidities in cases of mesh infection

Time in months	N%
1-5	70 (70)
6-10	30 (30)
Co-morbidities	
Present	40 (40)
Absent	60 (60)

The time of presentation after surgery was more after 5 months and 40% had co-morbidities.

Table 3: Details of co morbidities, antibiotics used in cases of mesh infection and mesh used

Co-morbidities	N
COPD	15
COPD+Type 2 DM	15
Type 2 DM	6
COPD +HTN	4
Antibiotics	
1 st admission	

Cephalosporin	96
Amoxicillin clavulanic acid	4
2nd admission	
Cephalosporin	96
Piperacillin tazobactam	4
Mesh used	
Polypropylene mesh	85
Composite mesh	15

Antibiotic has used according to the protocol of our hospital; it was followed in 96 patients in the first surgery i.e., hernia repair surgery. Parenteral cephalosporin was used in 96 patients and amoxicillin- clavulanic acid in 4 patients. Antibiotic has repeated if the procedure was beyond 2 hours. After postoperative day 2, patients were switched over to oral antibiotics for three days. Likewise, during the second admission, i.e., when the patient was admitted with mesh infection, 96 patients were given cephalosporin, and 4 patients were given Piperacillin tazobactam. Polypropylene mesh was used in 85 patients, and the composite mesh was used in 15 patients who underwent IPOM.

Discussion

Huge incisional ventral hernia is defined as hernia defect size ≥ 10 cm [12], and its surgical correction is considered technically challenging and with a high chance of recurrence. Although repair with prosthesis was proven to reduce hernia recurrences, it associates a series of mesh-related complications like seroma, mesh erosion with sinus formation, chronic pain and discomfort, etc. Even in expert centers, postoperative wound related infective complications as high as 40–50%. [13-15]

Mesh infection was more common in males. Among 100 patients, 82 were males and 18 female patients. Majority of the patients were in the age group 40-50 followed by 50-60 age groups. The time of presentation after surgery was more after 5 months and 40% had co-morbidities. Mesh infection is a type of surgical site infection (SSI). Patient factors known to increase the risk of SSI and mesh infection are morbid obesity, tobacco abuse, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes mellitus (DM), and immunosuppression. [16] The incidence of SSIs varies across surgical procedures, with a range of 0.1% to 50.4% reported in a systematic review by Korol et al. [17] Data showed that the inguinal hernia repair is associated with a lower incidence of mesh infection than an open procedure. [18] Nevertheless, thorough sterilization of instruments is more challenging, and the instruments are more prone to carry debris or organisms that can lead to infections. [19]

Antibiotic has used according to the protocol of our hospital; it was followed in 96 patients in the first

surgery i.e., hernia repair surgery. Parenteral cephalosporin was used in 96 patients and amoxicillin- clavulanic acid in 4 patients. Antibiotic has repeated if the procedure was beyond 2 hours. After postoperative day 2, patients were switched over to oral antibiotics for three days. Likewise, during the second admission, i.e., when the patient was admitted with mesh infection, 96 patients were given cephalosporin, and 4 patients were given Piperacillin tazobactam. Polypropylene mesh was used in 85 patients, and the composite mesh was used in 15 patients who underwent IPOM. The cause of prolonged surgery could be that the procedure was performed by surgeons in the early phase of their learning curve. The risk for complications after hernia repair is increased among patients with comorbid conditions, such as obesity or diabetes. [20] Likewise, the body mass index of >30 kg/m² was associated with mesh infection. Proper selection of the patient, ensuring good control of comorbid medical conditions will prevent mesh infections. [21] Patient age, ASA score, smoking and were found to be associated with the development of mesh infection. Micro porous, multifilament mesh, and laminar mesh construction increase the surface area for bacterial adherence, impede leukocyte migration for bacterial clearance and leads to biofilm formation. [22]

Conclusion

Most of the patients took more than 5 months to report infection. Comorbidities were present in 30% patients and risk for complications after hernia repair is increased among patients with comorbid conditions. So, the proper selection of the patient, ensuring good control of comorbid medical conditions will prevent mesh infections.

References

1. Hesselink VJ, Luijendijk RW, De Wilt JH, Heide R, Jeekel J. An evaluation of risk factors in incisional hernia recurrence. *Surgery, gynecology & obstetrics*. 1993 Mar 1;176(3) :28-34.
2. Luijendijk RW, Hop WC, Van Den Tol MP, De Lange DC, Braaksma MM, IJzermans JN, Boelhouwer RU, de Vries BC, Salu MK, Wereldsma JC, Bruijninx CM. A comparison of suture repair with mesh repair

- for incisional hernia. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2000 Aug 10;343(6):392-8.
3. Schumpelick VC, Conze J, Klinge U. Preperitoneal mesh-plasty in incisional hernia repair. A comparative retrospective study of 272 operated incisional hernias. *Der Chirurg; Zeitschrift für Alle Gebiete der Operativen Medizin*. 1996 Oct 1;67(10):1028-35.
 4. Vrijland WW, van Den Tol MP, Luijendijk RW, Hop WC, Busschbach JJ, De Lange DC, Van Geldere D, Rottier AB, Vegt PA, IJzermans JN, Jeekel J. Randomized clinical trial of non-mesh versus mesh repair of primary inguinal hernia.
 5. Mavros MN, Athanasiou S, Alexiou VG, Mitsikostas PK, Peppas G, Falagas ME. Risk factors for mesh-related infections after hernia repair surgery: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *World journal of surgery*. 2011 Nov 1; 35(11):2389.
 6. Sanchez VM, Abi-Haidar YE, Itani KM. Mesh infection in ventral incisional hernia repair: incidence, contributing factors, and treatment. *Surgical infections*. 2011 Jun 1;12(3):205-10.
 7. Sadava EE, Krpata DM, Gao Y, Novitsky YW, Rosen MJ. Does presoaking synthetic mesh in antibiotic solution reduce mesh infections? An experimental study. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2013; 17(3):562-568.
 8. Narkhede R, Shah NM, Dalal PR, Mangukia C, Dholaria S. Postoperative mesh infection—still a concern in laparoscopic era. *Indian journal of surgery*. 2015 Aug 1;77(4):322-6.
 9. Turner EJ, Owen ER, Reddy K. Necrotising fasciitis following inguinal hernia repair. *Case Reports*. 2009 Jan 1;2009:bcr0120091535.
 10. Cevasco M, Itani KM. Ventral hernia repair with synthetic, composite, and biologic mesh: characteristics, indications, and infection profile. *Surgical infections*. 2012 Aug 1;13(4): 209-15.
 11. Yang L, Wang H, Liang X, Chen T, Chen W, Song Y, Wang J. Bacteria in hernia sac: an important risk factor for surgical site infection after incarcerated hernia repair. *Hernia*. 2015 Apr 1;19(2):279-83.
 12. Muysoms FE, Miserez M, Berrevoet F, Campanelli G, Champault GG, Chelala E, Dietz UA, Eker HH, El Nakadi I, Hauters P, Hidalgo Pascual M. Classification of primary and incisional abdominal wall hernias. *hernia*. 2009 Aug;13(4):407-14.
 13. Bikhchandani J, Fitzgibbons RJ. Repair of giant ventral hernias. *Advances in surgery*. 2013 Sep 1;47(1):1-27.
 14. Mathes T, Walgenbach M, Siegel R. Suture versus mesh repair in primary and incisional ventral hernias: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World journal of surgery*. 2016 Apr; 40(4):826-35.
 15. Helgstrand F, Rosenberg J, Kehlet H, Jorgensen LN, Bisgaard T. Nationwide prospective study of outcomes after elective incisional hernia repair. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2013 Feb 1;216(2):217-28.
 16. Breuing K, Butler CE, Ferzoco S, Franz M, Hultman CS, Kilbridge JF. Incisional ventral hernias: Review of the literature and recommendations regarding the grading and technique of repair, *J Surg*. 2010;148(3):544-58.
 17. Korol E, Johnston K, Waser N, Sifakis F, Jafri HS, Lo M, Kyaw MH. A systematic review of risk factors associated with surgical site infections among surgical patients. *PloS one*. 2013 Dec 18;8(12):e83743.
 18. McCormack K, Scott NW, Go PM, Ross S, Grant AM; EU Hernia Trialists Collaboration. Laparoscopic techniques versus open techniques for inguinal hernia repair. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2003;(1):CD001785.
 19. Chowbey PK, Khullar R, Sharma A, Soni V, Baijal M, Garg N, Najma K. Laparoscopic management of infected mesh after laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques*. 2015 Apr 1;25(2):125-8.
 20. Liang MK, Holihan JL, Itani K, Alawadi ZM, Gonzalez JR, Askenasy EP, Ballecer C, Chong HS, Goldblatt MI, Greenberg JA, Harvin JA. Ventral hernia management. *Annals of surgery*. 2017 Jan 1;265(1):80-9.
 21. Vagholkar K, Budhkar A. Combined tissue and mesh repair for midline incisional hernia. (A study of 15 cases). *Journal of medical science and clinical research*. 2014;2(8):1890-900.
 22. Perez-Koehler B, Bayon Y, Bellón JM. Mesh infection and hernia repair: a review. *Surgical Infections*. 2016 Apr 1;17(2):124-37.