e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2961-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2024; 16(2); 450-454

Original Research Article

To Investigate the Refractive Alterations and Recurrence of Pterygium after Pterygium Removal using the Bare Sclera Method and Conjunctival Limbal Autografting

Murtaza Ali¹, Madhukar Nandi², Asif Shahnawaz³

¹Senior Resident, Department of Ophthalmology, DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

²Senior Resident, Department of Ophthalmology DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

³Professor and HOD, Department of Ophthalmology DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

Received: 09-12-2023 / Revised: 16-01-2024 / Accepted: 24-02-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Madhukar Nandi

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: To investigate the refractive alterations and recurrence of pterygium after pterygium removal using the bare sclera method and conjunctival limbal autografting.

Material and Methods: This study was conducted in the Department of Ophthalmology, DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India for one year. Sixty eyes of sixty patients with a primary pterygium were enrolled in the study. All patients underwent a complete ophthalmological examination, including slit lamp examination and keratometry. Pterygium was graded according to its encroachment on cornea: Pterygium < 2mm was Grade 1, Pterygium 2-4 mm was Grade 2 and Pterygium > 4 mm was Grade 3 Pterygium. Each patient was randomly assigned to Group A (pterygium excision with bare sclera technique with cauterisation) and Group B (Pterygium excision with conjunctival limbal autografting secured with sutures). Group A patients underwent pterygium excision in which the tenon's and subepithelial fibrovascular tissue was carefully and completely dissection. The sclera was left bare. Group B patients underwent pterygium excision with conjunctival autografting.

Results: The results of this study are as follows :34 of the 60 patients (56.67%) enrolled in the study belonged to the age group of 40-49. 45 patients out of the 60 were female (75%) while 25% were males. The male to female ratio was 1:3. 43 patients (71.67%) out of 60 did outdoor work. it was seen recurrence was higher in Group A (Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation) than in Group B (Pterygium excision with Conjunctival Limbal Autografting secured with sutures) and was highly statistically significant (P < 0.01)

Conclusion: Pterygium leads to significant astigmatism which affects the vision of the patient. In our study, pterygium excision itself significantly reduces astigmatism and improves the visual acuity. But the type of surgery performed does not seem to have effect on improvement in the visual acuity and the amount of decrease in postoperative astigmatism.

Keywords: Refractive alterations, Recurrence, Pterygium, Bare sclera Conjunctival limbal autografting

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Pterygium is a common ocular surface disorder characterized by a fibrovascular growth extending from the conjunctiva onto the cornea. It is often associated with chronic exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation, dry and dusty environments, and genetic predispositions. The primary symptoms of pterygium include irritation, redness, and visual disturbances due to induced astigmatism and invasion of the visual axis. Surgical excision remains the definitive treatment for pterygium, particularly when it threatens vision or causes significant discomfort. Among the various surgical techniques, the bare sclera technique and conjunctival limbal autografting (CLAG) are widely practiced. [1-3] The bare sclera technique involves

excising the pterygium and leaving the sclera exposed. Despite its simplicity and shorter operative time, this method is associated with a high recurrence rate, often necessitating additional surgical interventions. Recurrence rates for the bare sclera technique have been reported to be as high as 40-80%, prompting the exploration of alternative methods to reduce this incidence. [4,5]

Conjunctival limbal autografting, on the other hand, involves the transplantation of autologous conjunctival tissue from the superior or inferior limbus to cover the bare sclera after pterygium excision. This technique aims to restore the normal ocular surface and provide a barrier to pterygium

recurrence by including limbal stem cells in the graft . Studies have shown that CLAG significantly reduces recurrence rates compared to the bare sclera technique, with recurrence rates reported as low as 2-10%. [6] Refractive changes induced by pterygium and its surgical excision are of significant clinical concern. Pterygium can cause with-the-rule astigmatism due to its tractional effect on the cornea. Postoperative refractive outcomes vary depending on the surgical technique used. The bare sclera technique may lead to unpredictable refractive changes and higher rates of recurrent astigmatism due to regrowth. In contrast, CLAG has been associated with more stable postoperative refractive outcomes and reduced astigmatism recurrence. [7]

Material and Methods

This study was conducted in the Department of Ophthalmology, DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India for one year . Sixty eyes of sixty patients with a primary pterygium were enrolled in the study. All patients underwent a complete ophthalmological examination, including slit lamp examination and keratometry. Pterygium was graded according to its encroachment on cornea: Pterygium < 2mm was Grade 1, Pterygium 2-4 mm was Grade 2 and Pterygium > 4 mm was Grade 3 Pterygium. Each

patient was randomly assigned to Group A (pterygium excision with bare sclera technique with cauterisation) and Group B (Pterygium excision with conjunctival limbal autografting secured with sutures). Group A patients underwent ptervgium excision in which the tenon's and subepithelial fibrovascular tissue was carefully and completely dissection. The sclera was left bare. Group B patients underwent pterygium excision with conjunctival autografting. Conjunctival autograft was harvested from superotemporal quadrant of bulbar conjunctiva. The limbal side of the graft was oriented to the limbal side of the defect and the graft was secured with sutured using 10-0 nylon sutures. Both groups had a similar postoperative regimen and were followed up on 3rd and 7th day, 1 month and 3 months.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Results

The results of this study are as follows :34 of the 60 patients (56.67%) enrolled in the study belonged to the age group of 40-49. 45 patients out of the 60 were female (75%) while 25% were males. The male to female ratio was 1:3. 43 patients (71.67%) out of 60 did outdoor work.

Table 1: Characteristics of patients included in the study

Age (Years)	Number of Patients
20-29	4
30-39	18
40-49	34
50-60	4
Total	60
Gender	Number of patients
Male	15
Female	45
Total	60
Occupation	Number of patients
Outdoor	43
Indoor	17
Total	60
Grade of Pterygium	Number of eyes
Grade 1	13
Grade 2	37
Grade 3	10
Total	60
Type of astigmatism	Number of eyes
With the rule	54
Against the rule	5
Oblique	1
Total	60

Table 2: Comparison between Mean Preoperative and Post-operative Uncorreced Visual Acuity in Group A: Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Grades	Number	Mean Pre- operative	Mean Post- operative	Difference	P value
	of eyes	Visual Acuity	Visual Acuity		
Grade 1	7	0.66 ± 0.26	0.93 ± 0.19	0.27 ± 0.21	P < 0.01
Grade 2	18	0.52 ± 0.23	0.85 ± 0.20	0.33 ± 0.22	P < 0.01
Grade 3	5	0.36 ± 0.21	0.70 ± 0.18	0.33 ± 0.22	P < 0.01

Table 3: Comparison between Mean Preoperative and Post-operative Uncorrected Visual Acuity in Group B: Pterygium excision with Conjunctival Limbal Autografting secured with sutures

Grades	Number of eyes	Mean Pre-operative Visual Acuity	Mean Post-operative Visual Acuity	Difference	P value
Grade 1	6	0.86 ± 0.22	0.94 ± 0.13	0.083 ± 0.20	P > 0.05
Grade 2	19	0.44 ± 0.12	0.85 ± 0.18	0.41 ± 0.15	P < 0.01
Grade 3	5	0.32 ± 0.16	0.73 ± 0.25	0.40 ± 0.08	P < 0.05

 $Table \ 4: Comparison \ between \ change \ in \ visual \ acuity \ in \ two \ groups: Group \ A \ (Pterygium \ excision \ with \ Bare \ sclera \ technique \ with \ cauterisation \) \ and \ Group \ B: (Pterygium \ excision \ with \ Conjunctival \ Limbal \ Conjunctival \ Conj$

Autografting secured with sutures)

Grades	Change in UCVA in Group A (mean ± SD)	Change in UCVA in Group B (mean ± SD)	P value
Grade 1	0.26 ± 0.20	0.08 ± 0.20	P > 0.05
Grade 2	0.32 ± 0.21	0.41 ± 0.15	P > 0.05
Grade 3	0.33 ± 0.19	0.40 ± 0.08	P > 0.05

Table 5: Axis of Pre-operative Astigmatism in both the groups

Type	Number of eyes	Percentage
With the rule	54	90
Against the rule	5	8.33
Oblique	1	1.67
Total	60	100

Table 6: Type of astigmatism in both the groups

Type of astigmatism	Number of eyes	Percentage
Simple myopic astigmatism	35	58.33
Simple hypermetropic astigmatism	2	3.33
Compound myopic astigmatism	13	21.67
Compound hypermetropic astigmatism	1	1.67
Mixed astigmatism	9	15
Total	60	100

Table 7: Difference between Pre-operative and Post-Operative Keratometric astigmatism in Group A:

Ptervojum excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation

Grade of Pterygium	Number of Patients	Pre-operative mean Keratometric astigmatism	Post -operative Mean keratometric astigmatism	Difference	P* value
Grade 1	7	1.54 ± 1.09	0.93 ± 0.35	0.61 ± 1.04	P > 0.05
Grade 2	18	2.85 ± 1.74	1.08 ± 0.57	1.77 ± 1.46	P < 0.01
Grade 3	5	4.05 ± 1.79	1.4 ± 0.63	2.65 ± 1.29	P < 0.01

Table No 8: Difference of between Pre-operative and Post-Operative Keratometric astigmatism in Group
B Ptervgium excision with Conjunctival Limbal Autografting secured with sutures

Grade Pterygium	18	Pre-operative Mean keratometric astigmatism	Post -operative Mean keratometric astigmatism	Difference	P* value
Grade 1	6	1.73 ± 0.54	0.88 ± 0.30	0.85 ± 0.75	P < 0.05
Grade 2	19	2.44 ± 1.37	1.28 ± 0.58	1.16 ± 1.25	P < 0.01
Grade 3	5	3.3 ± 1.51	1.09 ± 0.27	2.21 ± 1.60	P < 0.01

Table no.9: Comparison between Mean Keratometric astigmatic change (Reduction) by the two techniques: Group A (Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation) and Group B (Pterygium excision with

	Conjunctival Elimbal Autograf	iting secured with sutures)	
Grade of	Grade of Change inKeratometric astigmatism in Change in Keratometric astigmatism		p value
Pterygium	Group A, according to grades	in Group B, according to grades	
Grade 1	0.61 ± 1.03	0.85 ± 0.75	P > 0.05
Grade 2	1.76 ± 1.45	1.16 ± 1.25	P > 0.05
Grade 3	1.29 ± 1.62	2.21 ± 1.60	P > 0.05

Table 10: Recurrence of Pterygium in each group

Group	Number of eyes	Number of eyes with Recurrence	Percentage
Group A	30	4	13.33
Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with			
cauterisation			
Group B	30	1	3.33
Pterygium excision with Conjunctival Limbal Autografting			
secured with sutures			

Z test was applied to compare the incidence of recurrence in each group and it was seen recurrence was higher in Group A (Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation) than in Group B (Pterygium excision with Conjunctival Limbal Autografting secured with sutures) and was highly statistically significant (P < 0.01)

Discussion

Pterygium causes impairment of vision by inducing corneal astigmatism. A tear meniscus develops between the corneal apex and elevated pterygium, causing apparent flattening of normal corneal curvature. This study was conducted on 60 eyes in an attempt to establish refractive changes following pterygium excision, comparison of refractive outcome after treatment using two different methods : pterygium excision using bare sclera surgery with cauterization and pterygium excision with conjunctival limbal autografting secured with sutures, and to compare recurrence following two methods. Females were predominant in our study(75%). Our findings are not similar to other studies in which there is more incidence of pterygium in males than in females as they are involved more in outdoor work. Our study population consisted of the people coming from low socioeconomic conditions and maximum were females involved in outdoor occupation (especially farming). This may account for the increased number of female pterygium cases in our study. Maximum patients in our study belonged to age group of 40-49 years. Out of total 60 patients, 34 patients i.e. 56.67 % people were of age group 40-49 years. These findings in our study agree to that of R.M.Youngson [6], Zauberman [7], Dr.Rao S.K et.al [8],etc. In our study, it was found that in Group A patients (Patients operated by Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation) the uncorrected visual acuity improved from 0.66 ± 0.26 to 0.93 ± 0.19 in Grade 1 Pterygium, 0.52 ± 0.23 to

 0.85 ± 0.20 in Grade 2 Pterygium and from $0.36 \pm$ 0.21 to 0.70 ± 0.18 in Grade 3 pterygium which was statistically highly significant (P < 0.01). Similarly it was found that in Group B patients (Patients treated by Pterygium excision with Conjunctival limbal autografting, secured with sutures) the uncorrected visual acuity improved from 0.86 ± 0.22 to 0.94 ± 0.13 in Grade 1 Pterygium, 0.44 ± 0.12 to 0.85 ± 0.18 in Grade 2 Pterygium and from $0.32 \pm$ 0.16 to 0.73 ± 0.25 in Grade 3 pterygium which was statistically highly significant (P < 0.01). Pterygium excision surgery reverses pterygium induced astigmatism is thus improves visual acuity. The observations of our study were comparable with the studies carried out by Maheshwari S [10], Mohd Yousuf [11], Dr. Anwar hussain et.al and Popat B et.al [12] and other similar studies undertaken previously. In our study, when the improvement in the mean uncorrected visual acuity after pterygium excision was compared between the two groups (Group A and Group B), it was found that the difference was not statistically significant (P > 0.05); suggesting that improvement in the visual outcome following pterygium excision by these two techniques was similar. In our study, out of 60 patients, 54 patients (90%) had With the Rule Astigmatism, 5 patients (8.33%) had against-therule astigmatism and 1 patient (1.67%) had oblique astigmatism preoperatively. The findings in our study are similar to those of other studies like that of Avisar et al. [9], FA Khan et al., Popat et al. [12] and others. In our study ,in Group A (Patients operated by Pterygium excision with Bare sclera technique with cauterisation) preoperative mean keratometric astigmatism was maximum in grade 3 i.e. $4.05 \pm$ 1.79 Diopter and postoperatively it was found to decrease significantly (p < 0.001) to 1.4 ± 0.63 Diopter. In grade 2 pterygium, preoperative mean astigmatism reduced from 2.85 ± 1.74 Diopter to 1.08 ± 0.57 Diopter and in grade 1 pterygium, it reduced from 1.54 ± 1.09 Diopter to 0.93 ± 0.35

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Diopter which were statistically significant (p < 0.001). Similarly, in Group B patients (Patients treated by Pterygium excision with Conjunctival limbal autografting, secured with sutures), the preoperative mean keratometric astigmatism was maximum in grade 3 i.e. 3.3 ± 1.51 Diopter and postoperatively it was found to decrease significantly (p < 0.001) to 1.09 ± 0.27 Diopter. In grade 2 pterygium, preoperative astigmatism decreased from 2.44 ± 1.37 Diopter to 1.28 ± 0.58 Diopter and in grade 1 pterygium, from 1.73 ± 0.54 Diopter to 0.88 ± 0.30 Diopter postoperatively which was statistically significant (p < 0.001). The above findings of our study, correlating the size of pterygium and the amount of induced astigmatism are comparable to that in literature and similar studies undertaken by Maheshwari et al., Kampitak [13], Mohd. Salih, Chourasia P ,FA Khan Et.al Popat et al. and others. In our study, when the mean difference in the decrease in astigmatism according to respective grades were compared between the two groups Group A and Group B, the difference was not found to be statistically significant (P > 0.05). It means that the reduction in corneal astigmatism after pterygium excision by the two surgical techniques were similar. Popat et al. found that reduction in astigmatism was more in patients operated for Pterygium excision with conjunctival limbal autografting, whereas Yilmaz et al. [14] found that reduction of astigmatism was more in patients operated with bare sclera technique. In our study, recurrence of pterygium in patients operated with bare sclera technique was 13.33% (in 4 eyes out of 30) which was significantly more (P<0.01) than patients operated with a conjunctival autografting secured with sutures was 3.33% (in 1 eye out of 30) . The findings of our study correlate with findings in the studies of Cameron, RM Youngson, Maheshwari et al. and others which conclude that conjunctival limbal autografting results in decreased incidence of recurrent pterygium as compared to pterygium excision with bare sclera technique.

Conclusion

Pterygium leads to significant astigmatism which affects the vision of the patient. In our study, pterygium excision itself significantly reduces astigmatism and improves the visual acuity. But the type of surgery performed does not seem to have effect on improvement in the visual acuity and the amount of decrease in postoperative astigmatism. From our study, it is observed that Pterygium excision with conjunctival limbal autografting has a lower recurrence rate as compared to that of bare sclera technique and hence pterygium excision with conjunctival autografting is the most preferred technique.

References

 Chui J, Coroneo MT, Tat LT, Crouch R, Wakefield D, Di Girolamo N. Ophthalmic Pterygium: A Stem Cell Disorder with Premalignant Features. Am J Pathol. 2011;1 7 8(2):817-827. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2010.10.04

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

- Liu T, Liu Y, Xie L, He X, Bai J. Progress in the pathogenesis of pterygium. Curr Eye Res. 2013;38(12):1191-1197. doi:10.3109/02713683.2013.825038.
- 3. Lee J, Yoon J, Park J, Kim C, Park YG, Kang KY. Outcomes of conjunctival limbal autograft and amniotic membrane transplantation after primary pterygium surgery: a meta-analysis. Cornea. 2021;40(4):491-498. doi:10.1097/IC O.000000000000002542.
- Martinez JD, Martinez JL, Lledó G. Conjunctival autograft versus bare sclera in primary pterygium surgery: outcomes and complications. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2022;32(4) :229-235. doi:10.1177/1120672120983848.
- Karalezli A, Kucukerdonmez C, Akova YA, Altan- Yaycioglu R, Borazan M. Fibrin glue versus sutures for conjunctival autografting in pterygium surgery: a prospective comparative study. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008;92(9):1206–12 10.
- 6. Youngson RM. Pterygium in Israel. Am J Ophthalmol 1972; 74: 954-9
- 7. Zauberman H. Pterygium and its recurrence. Am J Ophthalmol. 1967 Jun; 63(6):1780–86
- 8. Rao SK, Lekha T, Mukesh BN, et al.. Conjunctival-limbal autografts for primary and recurrent pterygia. Indian J Ophthalmol 19 98:46:203–209
- Avisar R, Loya N, Yassur Y, Weinberger D. Pterygium- induced corneal astigmatism. Isr Med Assoc J IMAJ. 2000;2:14–5.
- Maheshwari S. Effect of pterygium excision on pterygium induced astigmatism. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2003;51:187-188.
- 11. Mohd. Yousuf: role of pterygium excision in pterygium induced astigmatism: JK- practit ioner 2005;12(2):91-92
- 12. Popat KB, Sheth HK, Vyas VJ, Rangoonwala MM, Sheth RK, Shah JC. A study on changes in keratometry readings and astigmatism induced by pterygium before and after pterygium excision surgery. J Res Med Den Sci 2014; 2(3):37-42
- 13. Kampitak K. The effect of pterygium on corneal astigmatism. J Med Assoc Thai 2003; 86:16-23
- 14. Yilmaz S, Yuksel T, Maden A. Corneal topographic changes after four types of pterygium. J Refract Surg 2008;24:160-5.