e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2961-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2024; 16(3); 704-707

Original Research Article

A Retrospective Study Assessing Morpho-Metrical Scrutiny of Upper Humerus

Praveen Kumar¹, Nimisha Madhu², Rajendra Prasad³

¹Tutor, Department of Anatomy, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India

²Tutor, Department of Anatomy, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India

³HOD, Department of Anatomy, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India

Received: 10-01-2024 / Revised: 15-02-2024 / Accepted: 22-03-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nimisha Madhu

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The present study was conducted for morphometric study of segments of humerus.

Methods: Our study was a retrospective study conducted in the Department of Anatomy, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India for 12 months. The present study was performed on 50 cadaveric humeri (25 left sided and 25 right sided). All were of unknown age and gender.

Results: The length of humerus of right side was more as compare to left side. AP diameter of head is almost equal of both sides. Mediolateral diameter of head was more of left side whereas head height was more of left humerus.

Conclusion: The morpho-metric dimensions of right side of humerus were more than that of left side. There was variability in dimensions which was found in different studies. Proper measurements are required when surgical procedures are to be done. More studies should be conducted measuring head heights.

Keywords: Humerus segments; Morphometry, Mid shaft girth, Prostheses, trochlea, capitulum

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Though much advancement in science has been done, but estimating the stature of the individual from bones and as well as reconstructions of life from the human skeletal still remains a challenge for many anthropologists and forensic experts. Statures estimated from the human skeletal remains is an important step in assessing health and general body size trends among the given populations [1] and also have an important role in the identification of missing persons in to medico-legal investigations. [2] Morphometric analysis is frequently carried out on the remains of the long bones of the individual in anthropology and forensic science investigations. [3,4,5] The humerus is the longest and strongest bone of upper extremity. It has expanded upper end, lower end and a cylindrical shaft. Upper end consist of head, neck, greater and lesser tubercle and intertubercular sulcus. Lower end consist of capitulum, trochlea, radial fossa, coronoid fossa, olecranon fossa, medial and lateral epicondyles. Intact humerus and its segments is very important for anatomists and forensic experts to investigate the identity of a skeleton. In anthropology and forensic science morphometric analysis is carried out on remains of the long bones of the individual in absence of cranium and pelvis. [6-8]

However in absence of long bones of lower limb, estimation of living stature can also be assessed by the long bones of upper limb such as humerus, radius and ulna. [9,10] When the whole length of long bones are not available, but only segment of bones is available, some methods can be employed for usefulness of fragments of humerus. [11] We can find out the total humerus length by fragments of humerus for estimation of sex. [12] Mullers was the first scientist who measured the five segments of humerus by using margin of articular surfaces and key point of muscle attachment. [4] Morphometry of distal end of humerus is also important for determination of sex. [13]

Morphometrical analysis of bone is very important not only for the reconstruction surgery but also for determining the gender of dead bodies. The examination of the upper and lower limb asymmetries can be useful to medical anthropologists, archeologists, forensic experts and for medico legal studies. The humerus confers important advantages over other long bones of human body in that its entire outline can readily be traced on total body X-ray absorptiometry images, and its shape can be modelled as a cylinder with excellent accuracy. [14]

The present study was conducted for morphometric study of segments of humerus.

Materials and Methods

Our study was a retrospective study conducted in the Department of Anatomy, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India for 12 months. The present study was performed on 50 cadaveric humeri (25 left sided and 25 right sided). All were of unknown age and gender.

The Materials required for study included Sliding Vernier caliper, Scale, Non elastic thread and Osteometer.

Procedure

The present study was performed on 50 cadaveric humeri (25 left sided and 25 right sided). All were of unknown age and gender. Length of humerus, Head of Humerus, AP diameter and Medio-Lateral diameter of humerus head was calculated as follows-

Total length (L)

Functional length of humerus which is distance between upper and lower end in anatomical position is recorded in mms with the help of osteometer.¹¹

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Antero posterior dimeter of head(AP)

Distance between middle point of anatomical neck anteriorly to middle point of neck posteriorly.¹¹

Medio lateral dimeter of head (MLD)

Distance between middle point of upper margin of greater tubercle till middle point of medial margin of surgical neck. [15]

Head height (H)

Distance between highest point of anterior margin of anatomical neck to lowest point of posterior margin of anatomical neck.

Statistical analysis was done with the help of SPSS 25. Descriptive and analytical statistical analysis was done. Analysis was done by Pearsons correlation. Linear multivariant regression equation was calculated.

Results

Table 1: Length, AP head, MLD and head height on left side of shoulder with respect to mean±SD

Parameters	Mean	Standard	Standard	Minimum	Maximum
		Deviation	error		
Length	306.425812	18.8589086	3.1675524	262.0000	314.976512
AP Head	38.106135	2.7515844	0.5013834	30.8200	42.9200
MLD	43.750000	3.7436135	0.6723740	24.8000	44.2700
4					
7.2700					
Head Height	32.019356	2.7398565	0.4920942	25.4500	37.3300

Table 2: Length, AP head, MLD and head height on right side of shoulder with respect to mean±SD

Parameters		Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard error	Minimum	Maximum
Length		312.306632	16.1412936	3.3739540	305.558862	316.084473
AP Head		38.549060	2.4547542	0.4481728	32.6000	42.3000
MLD	4	43.196667	2.7772628	0.4980196	36.2400	44.215231
7.2700						
Head Height		32.823000	2.3626930	.4304572	24.6000	34.3600

The length of humerus of right side was more as compare to left side. AP diameter of head is almost equal of both sides. Mediolateral diameter of head was more of left side whereas head height was more of left humerus.

Discussion

In upper body largest bone is humerus. The upper end of humerus comprises of rounded head with proximal and medial part of upper end of the bone. It forms articulation with glenoid cavity of scapula. [11] The head is joined to shaft by constricted neck and two eminences, with both tubercles. [16] The head of the humerus forms less than half a spheroid. Its smooth articular surface which is thicker

centrally is covered with hyaline cartilages. When arm is at rest by the side it is directed upwards, medially and backwards to articulate with glenoid cavity of scapula. Proximal fractures of humerus are common injuries. They occur along with epiphyseal lines of proximal humerus and within humeral segments. [17]

The length of humerus of right side was more as compare to left side. AP diameter of head is almost equal of both sides. Mediolateral diameter of head was more of left side whereas head height was more of left humerus. The study done by Sinha P et al [18], the maximum transverse diameter of head of humerus was 38.85 ± 5.09 mm and $38.18, \pm 4.79$ mm on right and left side respectively. The study done by Akman et al [19], the maximum vertical diameter of head of humerus was 41.0 ± 5.1 mm and 40.9 ± 3.9 mm in right and left side respectively. DeLude et al [20] conducted a study which was to describe the extramedullary humeral morphology in paired humeri to determine whether geometric differences exist from side to side in the same individual. Seventy-six cadaveric humeri were investigated to study the three-dimensional morphometric data based on CT scan by Aroonjarattham and colleagues. [21] Vettivel S et al [22] conducted a to determine handedness from morphometry of intertubercular sulcus of humerus. [23] and colleagues performed Somesh morphometric analysis of segments of humerus. Lokanadham and colleagues [24] conducted a morphometric analysis of dry humerus bones in Indian population and compared the parameters between both the sexes.

Anthropometry measurements are extremely useful to estimate stature and bone length from the skeletal remains of body. It's a very important step in assessing health as well as general body size trends away the given populations is stature estimated from the human skeletal remains. It also has an unmeasurable role in the identification of persons that are missing into medical legal investigations, finding the mean values of different humerus segment which helps in forensic and anthropometric practice. For the assessment of the living stature of the individual, assessment of long bones of the individual is very important in anthropological practice for morphometric analysis in case of pelvis, cranium and long bones such as tibia and femur of the lower limb collectively remains the best. In case of absence of lower limb bones the estimation of living stature can be done by the help of remains of upper limb bones like humerus, radius and ulna. In a previous study, significant positive correlation with the humeral length was found in some fragments of both sides considering the proximal and distal ends and they could estimate the humeral length from these fragments and the results were obtained in right side. [25] However in our study only the longitudinal measurements and the associated proximal and distal segments of the humerus are considered.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Conclusion

The morpho-metric dimensions of right side of humerus were more than that of left side. There was variability in dimensions which was found in different studies. Proper measurements are required when surgical procedures are to be done. More studies should be conducted measuring head heights.

References

- 1. Hoppa RD, Gruspier KL. Estimating diaphyseal length from fragmentary subadult skeletal remains: Implications for palaeodemographic reconstructions of a southern Ontario ossuary. American Journal of Physical Anthropology: The Official Publication of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists. 1996 Jul;100(3): 34 1-54.
- 2. Ross, A. H. & Konigsberg, L. W. New formulae for estimating stature in the Balkans. J. Forensic Sci., 47(1):165-7, 2002.
- 3. Beddoe, J. On the stature of the older races of England, as estimated from the long bones. J. Anthropol. Inst. Great Brit. Ireland, 17:202-7, 1888.
- 4. Nath, S. & Badkur, P. Reconstruction of stature from long bone lengths. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol., 1:109-14, 2002.
- 5. Petersen, H. C. On the accuracy of estimating living stature from skeletal length in the grave and by linear regression. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol., 15:106-14, 2005.
- 6. Brothwell DR. Digging up bones: the excavation, treatment, and study of human skeletal remains. Cornell University Press; 1981.
- Gennadis G. Textbook of Regional Anatomy, 1st ed. Athens: Antoniadis Publisher; 1858: 27 0-271.
- 8. Vettivel S, Selvaraj KG, Chandi SM, Indrasingh I, Chandi G. Intertubercular sulcus of the humerus as an indicator of handedness and humeral length. Clin Anatomy 1995;8:44-50
- Celbis O, Agritmis H. Estimation of stature and determination of sex from radial and ulnar bone lengths in a Turkish corpse sample. Forensic Science International. 2006 May 10; 158(2-3):135-9.
- 10. Kate BR, Mujumdar RD. Stature estimation from femur and humerus by regression and autometry. Cells Tissues Organs. 1976 Jul 9;94 (2):311-20.
- 11. Jahan S, Srivastava R. Morphometric Study of Proximal End of Humerus in North Indian Population. J Med Sci Clin Res. 2020;8(8): 10 2–6.

- Muñoz JI, Mayo M, Miguéns X, Rodríguez-Calvo MS, Concheiro L, Suárez-Peñaranda JM, Liñares-Iglesias M. Stature estimation from radiographically determined long bone length in a Spanish population sample. Journal of forensic sciences. 2001 Mar 1;46(2):363-6.
- 13. Rogers TL. Visual method of determining the sex of skeletal remains using the distal humerus. J Forensic Sci. 1999;44(1):5760.
- 14. White TD, Folkens PA. The Human Bone Manual. 1st ed. New York (NY): Elsevier Academic Press; 2005. p. 52–4.
- 15. Jagiasi JD, Valavi AS, Ubale TV, Sahu D. Humeral head and glenoid dimensions in the Indian population: a cadaveric study. Int J Anat Res. 2018;6(4):5760–6
- 16. Standring S, Borley NR, Collins P, Crossman AR, Gatzoulis MA, Healy JC. The Anatomical Basis of Clinical practice. In: and others, editor. Gray's Anatomy. London: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier; 2008.
- 17. Kantha BML, Kulkarni R. Estimation of total length of humerus from its fragments in south indian population. Int J Anat Res. 2014;2(1): 213–20.
- 18. Sinha P, Bhutia KL, Tamang BK. Morphometric measurements of segments in dry Humerus. J. Evolution Med. Dent. Sci. 20 17;6(67):4819-4822.
- 19. Akman ŞD, KARAKAŞ P, Bozkir MG. The morphometric measurements of humerus segments. Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences. 2006;36(2):81-5.

- DeLude JA, Bicknell RT, MacKenzie GA, Ferreira LM, Dunning CE, King GJ, Johnson JA, Drosdowech DS. An anthropometric study of the bilateral anatomy of the humerus. Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery. 2007 Jul 1;16(4):477-83.
- Aroonjarattham P, Jiamwatthanachai P, Mahaisavariya B, Kiatiwat T, Aroonjarattham K, Sitthiseripratip K. Three-dimensional morphometric study of the Thai proximal humerus: cadaveric study. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand. 2011 Mar 1 1:92(9):1191.
- 22. Vettivel S, Chandi G, Indrasingh I, Selvaraj KG, Chandi SM. Intertubercular sulcus of the humerus as an indicator of handedness and humeral length. Clinical Anatomy: The Official Journal of the American Association of Clinical Anatomists and the British Association of Clinical Anatomists. 1995;8(1): 44-50.
- 23. Somesh MS, Prabhu LV, Shilpa K, Pai MM, Krishnamurthy A, Murlimanju BV. Morphometric study of the humerus segments in Indian population. Int J Morphol. 2011 Jan 1;29(4):1174-80.
- Lokanadham S, Khaleel N, Raj PA. Morphometric analysis of humerus bone in Indian population. Sch J App Med Sci. 2013; 1(4):288-90.
- 25. Salles AD, Carvalho CR, Silva DM, Santana LA. Reconstruction of humeral length from measurements of its proximal and distal fragments. Journal of Morphological Sciences. 2017 Jan 16;26(2):0-.