

To Evaluate the Frequency and Patterns of Different Cutaneous Granulomatous Lesions with its Clinico-Histopathological Correlation

Rubaiya Ahmad¹, Mahesh Prasad², Chandra Shekhar Jha³

¹Tutor, Department of Pathology, Sri Krishna Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

²Professor and HOD, Department of Pathology, Sri Krishna Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

³Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, Sri Krishna Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

Received: 10-01-2024 / Revised: 15-02-2024 / Accepted: 22-03-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Chandra Shekhar Jha

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: This study was conducted with the aim to evaluate the frequency and patterns of different cutaneous granulomatous lesions with its clinico-histopathological correlation to reach etiological diagnosis.

Materials and Methods: The present cross-sectional observational was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Sri Krishna Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India for the period of 1 year. This prospective cross sectional study enrolled 100 cases of skin biopsies after histopathological confirmation of granulomatous lesions.

Results: Among 100 cases were studied in which male predominance was noted with 64 (64%) cases and females constituted 36 (36%). Most of the patients were noted in age group of 21 to 31 years i.e 36 (36%) cases followed by 20 (20%) case in 31 to 41 years. 86% of cases were seen below 50 years of age in our study. Leprosy remained the significant causative reason for infectious granulomatous dermatoses succeeded by tuberculosis of skin. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy was found to be predominant, constituting 24 (24%) cases followed by indeterminate 22 (22%) and lepromatous leprosy had 21 (21%) cases, tuberculoid leprosy 18 (18%) case and 8 (8%) of borderline lepromatous. Lupus vulgaris constituted 2 cases (2%) and only 2 (2%) case of sarcoidosis was found.

Conclusion: Leprosy was the most common cause of cutaneous granuloma followed by Tuberculosis, fungal infection and foreign body reaction. Among the cases of leprosy, borderline tuberculoid leprosy and tuberculoid leprosy were the commonest subtype.

Keywords: Granuloma, histopathology, skin biopsy

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The granulomatous inflammatory disorders are distinct type of chronic inflammatory processes where there is distinctive presence of granulomas. Granulomas are formed by accumulation of epithelioid type histiocyte, inflammatory cells and multinucleated giant cells. [1] Firstly granulomatous term was used by Virchow to describe a granule like tumor mass of granulation tissue. [2] Granulomatous inflammation is classified as type IV hypersensitivity reaction and can be induced by various kinds of infections, autoimmune, toxic, allergic and neoplastic conditions. Different types are granulomatous inflammatory lesion of skin are seen in different geographic locations. [3,4] A single etiology can produce varied histological features and conversely many granulomatous skin lesion with

almost similar histological features can have different etiologies. [5] So cutaneous granulomatous lesion often present as a diagnostic challenge to pathologists and dermatologists. Granulomatous dermatoses due to infectious causes are very common and leprosy and tuberculosis are the leading etiologies. [6]

Incidence and prevalence of different types of granulomatous dermatitis depend on geographic location. Granulomatous skin lesions are common in eastern India. Many granulomatous skin lesions have identical histomorphology and conversely a single pathology can produce varied histological features. [5] They often lead to diagnostic confusion among Dermatologist & Pathologist due to variable morphology. A granulomatous inflammation is a

chronic inflammatory response with a distinctive tissue reaction pattern defined by focal clusters of epithelioid histiocytes, multinucleated giant cells, and mononuclear leukocytes. This is a type IV or delayed hypersensitivity reaction induced by infection, reactions to autoimmunity, toxins, allergies, drugs, and neoplasms. [7] Granulomatous lesions can be classified into six types based on the type of participating inflammatory cells, and associated changes i.e 1)Tuberculoid, 2)Sarcoid 3) Necrobiotic 4)Suppurative 5) Foreign body 6) Histoid There is a high prevalence of cutaneous granulomatous lesions in a developing country like India with leprosy and tuberculosis being the most common causes. However, the frequency of these infections across different regions is variable. [8] Tuberculosis is the prototype of granulomatous inflammation and should always be ruled out as a cause, whenever granulomas are identified. Granulomatous inflammations are a common and intriguing problem. The arrival at a proper diagnosis is mandatory, so that the appropriate treatment can be meted out. [9] Good clinical history, a close histological examination and a clinicopathological correlation is essential in making a final diagnosis.

This study was conducted with the aim to evaluate the frequency and patterns of different cutaneous granulomatous lesions with its clinico-histopathological correlation to reach etiological diagnosis.

Material and Methods

The present cross-sectional observational was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Sri Krishna Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India for the period of 1 year. This prospective cross sectional study enrolled 100 cases of skin biopsies after histopathological confirmation of granulomatous lesions after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. After taking informed consent detailed history was taken from the patient or the relatives if the patient was not in good condition. The technique, risks, benefits, results and associated complications of the procedure were discussed with all patients. Total 100 cutaneous lesion biopsies showing granuloma formation include in the study. Clinical findings and other related information were obtained from requisition forms of biopsies received. Cutaneous biopsies were routinely processed and stained with H&E and special histochemical stains like Ziehl Neelsen (ZN), Fite Faraco (FF), Periodic Acid Schiff(PAS), Gomori Methenamine Silver(GMS) wherever necessary. Skin lesions having granuloma formation histopathologically were involved in the study. Cases without any granuloma formation and inadequate biopsies were excluded. Cases of cutaneous granulomatous lesion were studied on the basis of their histopathological and clinical finding

Results

Table 1 Gender base distribution

Gender	N=100	Percentage
Male	64	64
Female	36	36

Among 100 cases were studied in which male predominance was noted with 64 (64%) cases and females constituted 36 (36%).

Table 2: Distribution according to age group

Age distribution	Number of cases	Percentage
Below 10	3	3
11-20	11	11
21 -30	36	36
31-40	20	20
41-50	16	16
51-60	8	8
61-70	4	4
Above 70	2	2

Most of the patients were noted in age group of 21 to 31 years i.e 36 (36%) cases followed by 20 (20%) case in 31 to 41 years. 86% of cases were seen below 50 years of age in our study.

Table 3: Distribution according to etiology of granulomatous skin lesion

Disease	Number of cases	Percentage
Indeterminate	22	22
Tuberculoid Leprosy	18	18
Borderline Tuberculoid	24	24
Borderline Lepromatous	8	8
Lepromatous Leprosy	21	21
Fungal granuloma	3	3
Lupus Vulgaris	2	2
Sarcoidosis	2	2

Leprosy remained the significant causative reason for infectious granulomatous dermatoses succeeded by tuberculosis of skin. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy was found to be predominant, constituting 24 (24%) cases followed by indeterminate 22 (22%) and lepromatous leprosy had 21 (21%) cases, tuberculoid leprosy 18 (18%) case and 8 (8%) of borderline lepromatous. Lupus vulgaris constituted 2 cases (2%) and only 2 (2%) case of sarcoidosis was found.

Discussion

Cutaneous granulomas are commonly encountered in skin clinics and pose considerable amount of diagnostic dilemma to the dermatologist. Skin biopsy helps confirm a granulomatous reaction and further may point towards a diagnosis in many cases. However, histology alone may also not be sufficient in many cases and other adjunctive tests may be essential to come to a final diagnosis. Granuloma formation is due to type IV hypersensitivity reaction elicited by infectious and non-infectious antigen. Granulomatous dermatoses are common in North India with overlapping clinical presentations. So, it becomes important to catch the definitive etiological diagnosis for their treatment. [10] Among 100 cases were studied in which male predominance was noted with 64 (64%) cases and females constituted 36 (36%). Most of the patients were noted in age group of 21 to 31 years i.e 36 (36%) cases followed by 20 (20%) case in 31 to 41 years. 86% of cases were seen below 50 years of age in our study. The distribution of granulomatous dematoses varies widely according to geographic location. This study was comparable to Gautam et al,⁸ in finding of predominance of male in granulomatous skin lesion showing male(60.84%), female(39.16%) with M:F ratio of 1.5:1. Infectious granulomatous dermatoses were commonest in this study which is similar with the study by Bal et al. [11]

Leprosy remained the significant causative reason for infectious granulomatous dermatoses succeeded by tuberculosis of skin. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy was found to be predominant, constituting 24 (24%) cases followed by indeterminate 22 (22%) and lepromatous leprosy had 21 (21%) cases, tuberculoid leprosy 18 (18%) case and 8 (8%) of

borderline lepromatous. Lupus vulgaris constituted 2 cases (2%) and only 2 (2%) case of sarcoidosis was found. Commonest site of the skin lesions was upper extremity which is comparable with the study done by Gautam et al [8] but not with Zafar et al [12] in which most lesion were found in head and neck region. Present study shows Tuberculoid Leprosy as the commonest etiological diagnosis 21(17.5%) cases. Mh El Khalwary et al [10] concluded 40.8% cases showing cutaneous tuberculosis followed by 31.7% case of leprosy. Rubina Qureshi et al [12] concluded cutaneous leishmaniasis 56.7% as the leading cause of granulomatous dermatoses followed by 13.5% case of lupus vulgaris. Bal et al [11] and Potekar et al [14] concluded leprosy as a leading cause of cutaneous granulomatous disease. The observations in this study were similar with the findings of studies by Bal et al [11] and Potekar et al [14] done in India. In our study the commonest subtype of leprosy was found to be borderline tuberculoid 25 (25%) cases which were comparable with the findings of Gautam et al [8] 46.7% cases, Bal et al¹¹ 55.2% cases. On Morphology non-caseating granulomas were found in all the tuberculoid as well as in borderline tuberculoid leprosy which were same as granulomas in tuberculosis and sarcoidosis. Strong positivity noted in all cases for lepromatous leprosy on Fite Faraco stain. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy showed positivity in 3 cases for Fite Faraco stain but none in tuberculoid leprosy. Granulomatous infiltration of nerve bundle, arrector pili muscle and adnexa along with proper clinical findings were helpful in the diagnosis of tuberculoid and borderline tuberculoid leprosy. Cutaneous tuberculosis was the second commonest granulo matous dermatoses in this study, 2(2%) cases were diagnosed as lupus vulgaris were found to be negative on Ziehl Neelsen stain. Bal et al [11] found 5% positivity Z-N staining in cases of Lupus vulgaris. Z-N staining is specific for acid fast bacilli, still its positivity is low and varies with different studies. The present study did not revealed any case of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Rubina et al [12] found 56.7% cases in Pakistan. In the present study 3(2.5%) cases of fungal granuloma was noted similar to Potekar et al¹⁴ Different studies reported fungal cutaneous granuloma in span of 2.7%to 3.3%. [15–17]

Conclusion

Etiology of granulomatous dermatoses varies greatly according to geographic distribution. Infectious forms of granulomatous dermatoses are important causes with leprosy as the commonest etiology. Clinically granulomatous skin lesions have overlapping presentations. Histopathology plays a pivotal role in the diagnosis and sub-classification of cutaneous granulomatous lesion, along with the proper history and relevant clinical examination. Special stains play supportive role. Our study reports the various important chronic granulomatous inflammatory dermatoses in this region which will be beneficial for management and implicating the health programmes.

References

1. D Weedon The granulomatous reaction pattern Skin Pathology 2002 Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone 1932202nd ed.
2. Hirsh BC, Johnson WC. Concepts of granulomatous inflammation. International journal of dermatology. 1984 Mar 1;23(2):90-100.
3. H Permi J K Shetty K P Shetty S Teerthanath M Mathias Y S Kumar Chandrika A Histopathological Study of Granulomatous Inflammation Nitte Univ J Health Sci 2012;21:519.
4. Zaim MT, Brodell RT, Pokorney DR. Non-neoplastic inflammatory dermatoses. A clinical pathologic correlative approach. Modern pathology: an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc. 1990 May;3(3):381-414.
5. Singh R, Bharathi K, Bhat R, Udayashankar C. The histopathological profile of non-neoplastic dermatological disorders with special reference to granulomatous lesions-study at a tertiary care centre in Pondicherry. Internet J Pathol. 2012;13(3):14240.
6. B Amanjit M Harsh G P Dhami Infectious Granulomatous Dermatitis Indian J Dermatol 2006;51:3217220.
7. Shah KK, Pritt BS, Alexander MP. Histopathologic review of granulomatous inflammation. Journal of clinical tuberculosis and other Mycobacterial Diseases. 2017 May 1;7:1-2.
8. Gautam K, Pai RR, Bhat S. Granulomatous lesions of the skin. Journal of Pathology of Nepal. 2011;1:81-6.
9. Blessing K. Cutaneous granulomatous inflammation. Current Diagnostic Pathology. 2005 Aug 1;11(4):219-35.
10. El-Khalawany M, Meraag I, Eassa B, El-Naby HH. Clinicopathological features and the practice of diagnosing infectious cutaneous granulomas in Egypt. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2011 Sep 1;15(9):e620-6.
11. Bal A, Mohan H, Dhami GP. Infectious granulomatous dermatitis: A clinicopathological study. Indian journal of dermatology. 2006 Jul 1;51(3):217.
12. Rubina Q, Riyaz AS, Anwar HU. Chronic Granulomatous Inflammatory Disorders of Skin at A Tertiary Care Hospital in Islamabad. Int J Pathol. 2004;2(1):31-34.
13. Zafar M, Sadiq S, Menon MA. Morphological study of different granulomatous lesions of the skin. J Pak Asso Dermatol. 2008;18(1):21-28.
14. Potekar RM, Javalgi AP, Rodrigues LD, Dwarampudi RS. Histopathological study of infectious granulomatous skin lesions. Ann Pathol Lab Med. 2018;5(7):A580-4.
15. Stephenson TJ. Inflammation: General & Systemic Pathology. 2009;p. 216-235. 5th Edition.
16. Sebastian L, Klaus S, Eckart H. Bacterial Diseases, Protozoan Diseases & Parasitic Infestations in Levers Histopathology Of Skin 10th Edition. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2009;p. 550-572.
17. Nayak SV, Shivrudrappa AS, Mukamil AS. Role of fluorescent microscopy in detecting Mycobacterium leprae in tissue sections. Annals of diagnostic pathology. 2003;7(2):78-81.