### Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2024; 16(3); 410-413

**Original Research Article** 

# Nasal Transseptal Suturing after Septoplasty: An Upcoming alternative to Nasal Packing?

#### Lakshmi Unnikrishnan<sup>1</sup>, Siddharth Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mo, Sadar Hospital, Hajipur, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup> senior	Resid	ent,	De	partment	of Ent	, Jlnmch,	Bhagalpur,	Bihar, India
			~					

Received: 10-01-2024 / Revised: 16-02-2024 / Accepted: 22-03-2024 Corresponding Author: Dr. Siddharth Kumar

## Conflict of interest: Nil

#### Abstract

The study was carried out to compare the efficacy of nasal transseptal suturing with that of anterior nasal packing after septoplasty in the department of ENT, JLNMCH, Bhagalpur between March 2021 to November 2022. Two groups of 30 patients each were assessed. Group A was assigned to all patients with septoplasty with nasal transeptal suturing while Group B was assigned to all patients where anterior nasal packing was done after septoplasty. The patients were followed up for 6 months.VAS score for post op nasal pain and headache were significantly low in group A.In group A no facial edema was seen. Incidence of synechiae was also less in group A as compared to group B..From this study better results were seen in group A where transseptal suture after septoplasty was done.

Key words - Transseptal suturing, Septoplasty, Nasal packing, Epistaxis

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

#### Introduction

Septoplasty is one of the most common surgeries in ENT. When peeking into the history of post operation nasal packing, it prevailed during the Killian and Freer in 1847. Much more systematic sub mucosal resection (SMR) and nasal packing was begun by Ephrain and Peterson in 1882. To achieve haemostasis, ensuring stabilization of post nasal septoplasty and for preventing septal haematoma, the practice of anterior nasal packing is popular<sup>1</sup>.But this is not free from the discomfort to the patient. Therefore, new methods are being tried to compare their efficiency and their value with that of anterior nasal packing in managing the post surgical complications.

Dry mouth, worsening of breath, sleeping disorder, pain upon removal of nasal packing are associated with nasal packing. Transeptal nasal suturing has the advantages to allow the patients to breath thus reducing the discomfort to patients.

#### **Aims and Objectives**

In this study we have made an attempt to compare septoplasty performed with transseptal suturing technique with septoplasty done with anterior nasal packing to assess its outcome and post operative complications

#### Materials and methods

A prospective comparative study was conducted in the department of ENT, JLNMCH, Bhagalpur from March 2021 to November 2022 in patients with septoplasty. The study was conducted on a total of 60 patients, who were randomly grouped into Groups A and B, with 30 cases in each group. Group A cases were operated with transseptal suturing after septoplasty and group B with septoplasty with anterior nasal packing.

The patients that had symptomatic deviated nasal septum that required septoplasty irrespective of their age, sex and duration of symptoms were included in this study, after a detailed history taking and thorough clinical examination. We excluded those patients with any history of bleeding disorder, nasal polyposis, previous septal or turbinate surgery, allergic rhinitis, pulmonary or cardiovascular disorder and those who were regularly taking medications for any medical condition.

A properly informed written consent was taken from the patients, who were willing to be included in this study. Data was collected. The data was analysed using SPSS version 26. p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. VAS score (a scale of 0-10) was used for pain, headache and pain during nasal pack removal. We used the chi - square test to compare the percentage of haemorrhage, discomfort upon swallowing and sleep disturbance. We assessed their quality of life before surgery and months after afterwards using NOSE 6 questionnaire.

Unnikrishnan et al.

#### Procedure

Septoplasty was done under general anaesthesia. Nasal packing was removed by around 48 hours of postoperative period. In case of transseptal suturing technique, vicryl 3-0 was used to cover all the cartilaginous portion of the septum. The patients were followed up on a monthly basis for about 6 months.

#### Results



Figure 1: Sex distribution in each group

Total 60 patients were included in the study who underwent septoplasty. Out of these 32 were males and 28 were females. 12 patients were of less than 20 years of age while 43 patients fell between 20 - 40 years of age group. 5 patients were of more than 40 years.

Tab	ole 1:	Age	wise	distribu	tion	
	0				C	т

Age group	Group A	Group B
Less than 20 years	7	5
20-40 years	21	22
More than 40 years	2	3

Table 2: Pain around and on hose in each group					
	Group A	Group B			
Mean VAS Score	4 <u>+</u> 0.5	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.5			

#### Table 3: Headache in each group

	Group A	Group B
Mean VAS Score	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.25	6.75 <u>+</u> 0.33

VAS Scores were noted for pain and headache in each group. We found that in group A mean VAS score for pain was  $4 \pm 0.5$  and for headache it was  $3.5 \pm 0.25$ . In group B mean VAS score for pain was  $7.5 \pm 0.5$  and for headache, it was  $6.75 \pm 0.33$ , which was found to be significant (p<0.05)

Table 4: Sleep disturbance in each g
--------------------------------------

		8
Sleep disturbance	Group A	Group B
Mild	5	7
Moderate	0	20
Absent	25	3
p value (chi square test)	< 0.05 (significant)	

Table 5. Diffculty in Swanowing in each grou	Table 5:	Difficulty	in	swallowing	in	each group
--	----------	------------	----	------------	----	------------

Difficulty in swallowing	Group A	Group B
Present	2	18
Absent	28	12
p value (chi square test)	<0.05(significant)	

#### International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research

Out of 30 patients in group A, only 5 had sleep disturbance while 27 had sleep disturbance in group B. Difficulty in swallowing was observed in 2 patients in group A while 18 patients had difficulty in swallowing in group B.

Table 6: Post operative bleeding in each group					
Post operative bleeding	Group A	Group B			
Present	4	2			
Absent	26	28			

Table 7: Facial edema in each group					
Facial edema	Group A	Group B			
Present	0	15			
Absent	30	15			
p value	<0.05(significant)				

## Table 7: Facial edema in each group

In group A, we observed that 4 cases (13.33%) showed post operative bleeding which required injectable haemostatics to control it. No case of facial edema seen in this group of patients.

In group B, we observed that 2 patients (6.66%) had post operative bleeding which required no active management and it stopped on its own. In 15 patients (50%) there was facial edema.

Table 8. Incluence of synechiae in each group				
Synechiae	Group A	Group B		
Present	1	6		
Absent	29	24		

#### Table 8: Incidence of synechiae in each group

#### Discussion

In our study, we had randomly allocated 60 patients in two groups having 30 patients in each group. In group A patients with septoplasty with transseptal suturing was placed while those patients in which anterior nasal packing was done after septoplasty was allocated Group B. [1]

In our study, there was male predominance. Out of 60 patients 32 were males., which was very much resembling to the findings of other studies [2,3]. Most of the patients were of the age group 20 - 40 years. We observed a decrease in VAS scores for pain around and on nose in group A was 4 + 0.5 as that of in group B was  $7.5 \pm 0.5$ . This is similar to the findings of a study conducted by Ozkiris M et al in 2013. They found mean post op VAS score for pain to be 2.8 in transseptal group while 7.3 in nasal packing group [4]. A study conducted by Walikar et al had also found reduced post op pain in non packing group as compared to nasal packing group [5].Studies done by Awan MS et al had similar findings of significantly higher pain levels among packing group [6].

We observed that maximum VAS score for headache in group B which was found to be  $6.75 \pm 0.33$  while VAS score for headache in Group A was  $3.5 \pm 0.25$ , which was found to be significant (p-value <0.05). Nayak et al had majority of patients developing headache in nasal packing group as compared to non packing group [7]. Wallikar et al had also significantly higher incidence of headache in packing group as compared to non packing group [5]. In the present study we found that sleep

disturbance in transseptal group to be only 5 while in nasal packing group it was 27. Thus indicating, nasal packing is quite disturbing to sleep.

In a study done by Korkut et al showed that there was an incidence of dysphagia in transeptal suture group [8].Wang et al and Nayak et al had similar findings [9,7]. Awan MS et al showed in their study that 95.5% patients in nasal packing group had difficulty in swallowing while 4.5 % in had swallowing difficulty in non packing group<sup>6</sup>.We found similar findings in group A patients as well, in which only 2 patients had swallowing difficulty while in group B 18 patients had swallowing difficulty. We observed that 4 cases in group A had post op bleeding while in group B, we only had 2 patients with post op bleeding. Guanyadian RO et al showed in their study that minimal bleeding was higher in non packing group as compared to packing group which had no bleeding [10]. In an another study done by Priyosakhi Devi et al showed 18% cases had post op nasal bleeding in non packing group whereas only 14 % nasal bleeding was observed in packing group [11].

In a study done by Wadhera R et al showed that swelling of face and nose was more common in nasal packing group [12].We observed 50 % cases in group with nasal packing had facial edema while no case was observed with facial edema in transseptal group. In our study, the incidence of synechiae was found to be 1 (3.33%) in group A while in group B it was 6 (20%) after 4 weeks. Similar observation was made by Wadhera R where he found 13.5% patients in nasal packing group had synechiae<sup>12</sup>.Said

Unnikrishnan et al.

et al showed in their study that 1 patient in the suturing group had adhesions as compared to 2 in nasal packing group [13].

#### Conclusion

From this study, we were able to see better results in patients whom suturing technique was used after septoplasty. Post operative pain and discomfort after septoplasty could be significantly reduced by suturing nasal septum in place of anterior nasal packing. Anterior nasal packing should be reserved in case of uncontrolled bleeding during surgery or in those who has reactionary bleeding in case of septal haematoma.

This study showed that in suturing technique patient returns to normal daily life in short time as compared to anterior nasal packing after septoplasty. Thus nasal transseptal suturing technique reducing morbidity and therefore can be used as an effective alternative to nasal packing after septoplasty.

#### References

- Hinderer KH. Fundamentals of Anatomy and Surgery of the Nose. Birmingham, AL: Aesculapis Publishing; 1971. History of septoplasty; pp. 1–3
- Charles AE. Septoplasty and repair of septal perforation. In: Bleach N, Milford C, Van-Hasselt A. Operative Otorhinolaryngology. 1st Edition. Blackwell Science Ltd 1997:173-180.
- 3. Binghan BJG, Hawthorne MR. synopsis of operative ENT surgery. Butterworth-Heinemann Oxford, 1992:171-177.
- Ozkiris M, Kapusuz Z, Saydam I: Comparison of nasal packs with transseptal suturing after nasal septal surgery, Am J Otolaryngol 2013 july-aug 34(4) 308-11

- Walikar, BN, et al., A Comparative Study of 30. Septoplasty With or Without Nasal Packing. In J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg, 20 11; 63: 247-248.
- Awan MS, Iqbal M. Nasal packing after septoplasty: a randomized comparison of packing versus no packing. Ear Nose Throat J. 20 08 Nov; 87(11):624-7.
- 7. Nayak NR, Murty KD. Septal splint with wax plates. J. Postgrad Med. 1995; 41(3):70-1.
- Korkut Ay, Teker Am, eren SB, et al. A randomised prospective trial of trans-septal suturing using a novel device versus nasal packing for septoplasty. rhinology 2010;48: 179-8
- Wang M et al. Effect of Nasal Septal Suture Versus Nasal Packing After Septoplasty;. Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi 25 (23), 1068-1075. 12 2011.
- Günaydın RÖ, Aygenc E, Karakullukcu S, Fidan F, Celikkanat S, Nasal packing and transseptal suturing techniques: surgical and anaesthetic perspectives.Eur Arch Otorhin olaryngol. 2011 Aug;268(8):1151-1156
- 11. PriyoSakhi Devi, Bhutia TJ, Lyngdoh N et al.:A comparative study of septoplasty with and without nasal packing; National Journal of Otorhinolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery, Vol. 2(11) No. 2, August 2014,15-17
- Wadhera R, Zafar N, Gulati SP, Kalra V, Ghai A Comparative study of intranasal septal splints and nasal packs in patients undergoing nasal septal surgery. Ear Nose Throat J. 2014 Sep; 93(9):396-408
- Said M S,Abdulrazzaq A F, Effect Of Trans-Septal Suture Technique Versus Nasal Packing After Septoplasty; International Journal of Technical Research and Applications Volume 3, Issue 4 (July- August 2015), PP. 33-40.