e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2961-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2024; 16(3); 432-437

Original Research Article

A Randomised Clinical Assessment of Inj. Rocuronium with Priming Dose and Inj. Rocuronium without Priming in Patients Undergoing General Anaesthesia

Rajeev Krishan¹, Shruti Kirti², Narendra Kumar³, Krishna Kumar⁴

¹Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesia, Sri Krishna Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

²Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesia, Sri Krishna Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

³Associate professor, Department of Anesthesia, Sri Krishna Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

Received: 18-01-2024 / Revised: 12-02-2024 / Accepted: 25-03-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Shruti Kirti

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to compare the effect of inj. Rocuronium with priming dose and inj. Rocuronium without priming on intubating conditions and onset time of intubation with respect to rocuronium as bolus.

Methods: The present study was single-center, retrospective study, conducted in Department of Anesthesia, Sri Krishna Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India. Study duration was of one year. 100 patients were included in the study.

Results: We compared mean age, weight, gender and ASA grade between group C and group P and difference was statistically not significant (p value >0.05). We compared preoperatively baseline mean HR, mean HR at induction, mean HR at intubation, at 1 minute after intubation and at 5 minute after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant. We compared mean MAP preoperatively baseline, at induction, at intubation, mean HR at 1 minute after intubation and mean HR at 5 minutes after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant. We compared mean SPO2 preoperatively baseline, at induction, at intubation, mean HR at 1 minute after intubation and mean HR at 5 minutes after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant. In group C mean Onset Time of Intubation was 93.36 ± 6.24 seconds, while in group P it was 57.00 ± 6.74 seconds, so the difference between the groups on the basis of OTI was statistically highly significant.

Conclusion: Rocuronium with priming would be an excellent alternative to succinylcholine, whenever fast induction will be needed. Intubating conditions were good to excellent and comparable in both rocuronium with priming and without priming. NMT monitoring is a useful tool for indicating the clinically acceptable paralysis.

Keywords: Rocuronium, priming dose, Intubating conditions, general anesthesia

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Rapid-sequence intubation and resistance to neuromuscular blocking drugs are clinical situations in which producing sufficient neuromuscular blockade for tracheal intubation may be difficult. [1,2] In such cases, the depth of neuromuscular block at the time of tracheal intubation can be inadequate, because insufficient time has been allowed for the drugs to take effect. Inadequate neuromuscular blockade may lead to failure of the

vocal cords to open fully, failed tracheal intubation [3] and poor intubating conditions [4] which can cause laryngeal injury, vocal cord morbidity and postoperative hoarseness. [5]

Suxamethonium is generally considered to be the drug of choice when rapid neuromuscular block is required. [6] However, it is associated with a number of serious complications and contraindications, leading to various attempts to accelerate the onset of

⁴Assistant professor and HOD, Department of Anesthesia, Sri Krishna Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India

available non-depolarizing neuromuscular blocking drugs (NBDs).⁶ In this regard, the use of a large dose of rocuronium has been suggested⁶ but rocuronium may have a ceiling effect for onset time. [1] Increasing the dose of rocuronium beyond a particular amount does not always guarantee a shortened onset time1 and it markedly prolongs the duration of action. [1,6] Opioids can be used to improve tracheal intubating conditions without increasing the NDMR dose, thus avoiding delayed recovery; however, this may be associated with a higher incidence of failed tracheal intubation. [6] Injecting a small priming dose of NDMR before administration of the full intubation dose has been shown to speed up onset [7] without delaying recovery. [8]

Rocuronium bromide has the fastest onset time compared with other non-deploring neuromuscular blocking drugs. We chose rocuronium bromide with an intubating dose of 0.6 mg/kg [9], priming dose of 0.06 mg/kg [10] (10% of intubating dose) and priming interval of 3 min for the study. [11] Succinylcholine reliably produces muscle relaxation within 60 seconds of its administration, but it can produce serious side effects and is contraindicated in certain patients.¹² Different techniques that have been used to decrease the effective onset time of nondepolarizing muscle relaxants include priming and the administration of large doses. [13] A technique that uses the "timing principle" has been applied to rapidly produce good intubating conditions. When this technique is used, a single bolus dose of a muscle relaxant is administered, and anesthesia is induced at the onset of clinical weakness. In this way, the time from the induction of anesthesia to complete muscle relaxation is reduced, and the peak effect of the muscle relaxant and IV induction drug may more closely coincide. [13,14] Rocuronium is a steroidal nondepolarizing muscle relaxant with an onset time (after 3-4 x the 95% effective dose) not different from that of succinvlcholine.

The aim of the present study was to compare the effect of inj. Rocuronium with priming dose and inj. Rocuronium without priming on intubating conditions and onset time of intubation with respect to rocuronium as bolus.

Materials and Methods

The present study was single-center, retrospective study, conducted in Department of Anesthesia, Sri Krishna Medical College & Hospital, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India. Study duration was of one year. 100 patients were included in the study.

Inclusion criteria: Patients of age groups from 18-60 years of either sex, 40-60 kgs weight, ASA grades I and II, undergoing surgeries under general

anesthesia and given valid written consent for participation.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Exclusion criteria: Patients with neuromuscular diseases. Patients with anticipated difficult intubation. Patients with hepatic and renal diseases. Patients with history of any drug allergy. Pregnant female

Each patient was visited pre-operatively and non-dominant hand. Additional 20 G cannula on other hand was also secured for infusion of lactated ringer's solution to prevent dilutional effect. The patients were randomly allocated into 2 groups of 50 patients each:

- 1. GROUP C (CONTROL group) Patients have received 2ml of Normal saline as placebo and then intubating dose of inj. Rocuronium.
- 2. GROUP P (PRIMING group) Patients have received 2ml quantity of PRIMING dose and then remaining intubating dose of inj. Rocuronium.

On arrival in the operation room, baseline parameters viz. heart rate, non-invasive mean arterial blood pressure, oxygen saturation were noted. A 20G cannula was inserted into a vein on the dorsum of patient's on-dominant hand. Additional 20 G cannula on other hand was also secured for infusion of lactated ringer's solution to prevent dilutional effect. After explaining about the nerve stimulation technique to the patient, premedication was done with intravenous inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.004 mg/kg, and inj. Midazolam 0.02 mg/kg to all patients in both groups. Preoxygenation was started on venti-mask and after 10 minutes, priming dose/placebo as per group was given. Meanwhile supramaximal stimulus was fixed using NMT module in the Datex-Ohmeda, S/5 Advance machine. Total intubating dose of inj. Rocuronium bromide 0.6 mg/kg was diluted to 5 ml with normal saline. Drugs were loaded and administered by another experienced anesthesiologist. intubating anesthesiologist was blinded to the study and diluting protocols. After pre-oxygenation, the priming dose of Rocuronium bromide 0.06 mg/kg2(10% of intubating dose) or normal saline was given i.v.,3 minutes before the intubating dose as per randomization. Fentanyl 2mcg/kg body weight was given intravenous 1 minute after the priming dose. Two and half minutes after giving the priming dose, patients were induced with intravenous Propofol 2 mg/kg body weight. Then the intubating dose of Rocuronium bromide was injected intravenous 3 minutes after priming or normal saline, as per randomization. After giving it, a supramaximal set Train Of Four (TOF) stimuli was applied through surface electrodes over the Ulnar nerve at the wrist. The stimulus was repeated after every 10 seconds and visually assessed for

disappearance of T1 of TOF stimuli and loss of adduction of thumb.

Onset Time of Intubation (OTI): the time interval between the intubating dose and the disappearance of T1 of TOF. Using the Cooper's scoring system, the intubating conditions were graded as excellent when intubating scores were between 8 and 9, good with 6–7, fair with 3–5 and poor with 0–2.

All patients were monitored using electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure and oxygen saturation with pulse- oximetry. Data noted included heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, oxygen saturation with pulse oximetry, Cooper's score indicating about the intubation conditions and onset time of intubation. The above data were recorded at baseline, at induction, at intubation, 1

min and 5 min after endotracheal intubation. Descriptive statistics for the continuous variables such as age, weight, heart rate, mean arterial pressure and SpO2 and onset time of intubation were presented as mean and standard deviation while the inferential statistics for testing the hypothesis were performed with the unpaired "t" test. Categorical data like sex, ASA grade were compared using the Chi-square test. Graphical representation was presented by bar diagrams and statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) statistical package. Statistical significance was considered if value of P<0.05.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Results

Table 1: Comparison baseline characteristics between group C and group P

and it comparison ansemic engineers seek con group c and group i				
Group C	Group P	P value		
39.4±13.67	38.6±11.82	.45		
57±4.9	57.13±3.66	.24		
30	25	0.88		
20	25			
45	46	.95		
5	4			
	Group C 39.4±13.67 57±4.9 30 20	Group C Group P 39.4±13.67 38.6±11.82 57±4.9 57.13±3.66 30 25 20 25		

We compared mean age, weight, gender and ASA grade between group C and group P and difference was statistically not significant (p value >0.05).

Table 2: Comparison of mean heart rate (HR)

Mean HR	Group C	Group P	P Value
Baseline	75.5±9.6	76.34±6.8	0.48
Induction	72.6±8.2	76.95±6.6	0.74
Intubation	92.8±8.4	88.6±6.4	0.18
1min	82.4±7.8	81.29±5.6	0.7
5mins	72.8±7.3	73.46±5	0.72

We compared preoperatively baseline mean HR, mean HR at induction, mean HR at intubation, at 1 minute after intubation and at 5 minute after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant.

Table 3: Comparison on basis of mean MAP

Mean MAP	GROUPC	GROUPP	PVALUE
	Mean± SD	Mean± SD	
Baseline	71.69±7.152	68.22±6.512	.07
Induction	70.55±6.962	68.82±6.318	0.72
Intubation	83.67±7.163	78.52±7.783	.36
1min	76.85±5.424	73.97±6.484	0.5
5mins	72.28±4.636	68.36±5.625	.12

We compared mean MAP preoperatively baseline, at induction, at intubation, mean HR at 1 minute after intubation and mean HR at 5 minute after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant.

Table 4: Comparison on basis of mean saturation (SPO2)

Mean SPO2	GROUPC	GROUPP	PVALUE
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Baseline	99.05±1.375	99.24±0.982	0.48
Induction	99.58±0.812	99.82±0.552	0.82
Intubation	99.14±1.336	99.42±0.934	0.18
1min	99.58±0.722	99.58±0.862	0.4
5mins	99.74±0.584	99.84±0.462	0.32

We compared mean SPO2 preoperatively baseline, at induction, at intubation, mean HR at 1 minute after intubation and mean HR at 5 minute after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant.

Table 5: Comparison on basis of Cooper's score

Cooper's Score	Group C	Group P	P value
7	2	2	0.812
8	20	18	
9	28	30	

In group C, out of 25 patients, 14 patients were having score 9 while 10 patients were having score 8, while 1 patient had score 7 which was comparable with the group P in which out of 25 patients,15 were having score 9, while 9 patients were having score 8 and 1 patient was of score 7,so in both groups most

of the patients had excellent intubating condition, and the groups were comparable as statistically no significant difference was found after applying Independent samples Mann-Whitney U test with the P value of .812.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Table 5: Onset time of intubation (OTI)

			Group C	Group P	P value
Onset	time	of	93.36 ± 6.24	57.00 ± 6.74	0.001
intubati	on				

In group C mean Onset Time of Intubation was 93.36 ± 6.24 seconds, while in group P it was 57.00 ± 6.74 seconds, so the difference between the groups on the basis of OTI was statistically highly significant.

Discussion

Succinylcholine is best known and used for faster induction in anesthesia including rapid sequence intubation. It is a depolarizing type of muscle relaxant. It is known for producing good to excellent intubating conditions, in very less time (onset time of intubation 30- 60 seconds). [15] But it is found to be associated with certain side effects like muscle fasciculations, myalgia,hyperkalemia [16], bradyarrythmias [17], increased intracranial tension [18], increased intra ocular tension, malignant hyperthermia, increased intragastric pressure and sustained muscle spasm.

We compared mean age, weight, gender and ASA grade between group C and group P and difference was statistically not significant (p value >0.05). We compared preoperatively baseline mean HR, mean HR at induction, mean HR at intubation, at 1 minute after intubation and at 5 minute after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant.

McCourt et al [19] found excellent intubating conditions at 60 s with succinylcholine 1 mg/kg and higher dose of rocuronium which was 1 mg/kg. Singh et al [20] had shown that with 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium and 1.5 mg/kg succinylcholine, the time to achieve maximum blockade was 87.94 and 65.59 s, respectively. The intubating conditions were comparable in the two groups at 60 sec. Above studies shows rocuronium can be used as an alternative to succinylcholine. Naguib et al [21] had given the priming dose as 0.06 mg/kg rocuronium or 0.015 mg/kg mivacurium followed by an intubating dose of 0.54 mg/kg of rocuronium. On priming with rocuronium and mivacurium, the onset times were 73 and 58 s, respectively. In the other group, 1 mg/kg succinylcholine was administered and the onset time was found to be 54 s. Intubating conditions were similar in all three groups.

We compared mean MAP preoperatively baseline, at induction, at intubation, mean HR at 1 minute after intubation and mean HR at 5 minute after intubation between group C and group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant. We compared mean SPO2 preoperatively baseline, at induction, at intubation, mean HR at 1 minute after intubation and mean HR at 5 minutes after intubation between group C and

group P, the difference between two groups was statistically insignificant. The heart rate increase may be controlled by the prior administration of fentanyl. [22] Shorten et al²⁵⁼³ compared elderly patients given rocuronium 0.9 mg/kg with patients given vecuronium 0.12 mg/kg, and found no significant change in heart rate, arterial blood pressure or plasma epinephrine concentrations in either group. [23]

In group C, out of 25 patients, 14 patients were having score 9 while 10 patients were having score 8, while 1 patient had score 7 which was comparable with the group P in which out of 25 patients, 15 were having score 9, while 9 patients were having score 8 and 1 patient was of score 7,so in both groups most of the patients had excellent intubating condition, and the groups were comparable as statistically no significant difference was found after applying Independent samples Mann-Whitney U test with the P value of .812.In group C mean Onset Time of Intubation was 93.36 ± 6.24 seconds, while in group P it was 57.00 ± 6.74 seconds, so the difference between the groups on the basis of OTI was statistically highly significant. Aziz et al [24] explained the effects of priming with vecuronium and rocuronium in younger and elderly patients.

Conclusion

Rocuronium with priming would be an excellent alternative to succinylcholine, whenever fast induction will be needed. Intubating conditions were good to excellent and comparable in both rocuronium with priming and without priming. NMT monitoring is a useful tool for indicating the clinically acceptable paralysis.

References

- 1. Han T, Kim H, Bae J, Kim K, Martyn JA. Neuromuscular pharmacodynamics of rocuronium in patients with major burns. Anesth Analg. 2004 Aug;99(2):386-92, table of contents.
- 2. Soltész S, Mencke T, Stunz M, Diefenbach C, Ziegeler S, Molter GP. Attenuation of a rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block in patients receiving prednisolone. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2009 Apr;53(4):443-8.
- 3. Kovacs G, Law JA, Ross J, Tallon J, MacQuarrie K, Petrie D, Campbell S, Soder C. Acute airway management in the emergency department by non-anesthesiologists. Can J Anaesth. 2004 Feb;51(2):174-80.
- 4. Baillard C, Adnet F, Borron SW, Racine SX, Ait Kaci F, Fournier JL, Larmignat P, Cupa M, Samama CM. Tracheal intubation in routine practice with and without muscular relaxation: an observational study. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 20 05 Sep;22(9):672-7.

- Mencke T, Echternach M, Kleinschmidt S, Lux P, Barth V, Plinkert PK, Fuchs-Buder T. Laryngeal morbidity and quality of tracheal intubation: a randomized controlled trial. Anesthesiology. 2003 May;98(5):1049-56.
- 6. El-Orbany M, Connolly LA. Rapid sequence induction and intubation: current controversy. Anesth Analg. 2010 May 1;110(5):1318-25.
- 7. Schmidt J, Irouschek A, Muenster T, Hemmerling TM, Albrecht S. A priming technique accelerates onset of neuromuscular blockade at the laryngeal adductor muscles. Can J Anaesth. 2005 Jan;52(1):50-4.
- 8. Griffith KE, Joshi GP, Whitman PF, Garg SA. Priming with rocuronium accelerates the onset of neuromuscular blockade. J Clin Anesth. 1997 May;9(3):204-7.
- 9. Fuchs-Buder T, Tassonyi E. Intubating conditions and time course of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular block in children. Br J Anaesth. 1996 Sep;77(3):335-8.
- 10. Huizinga AC, Vandenbrom RH, Wierda JM, Hommes FD, Hennis PJ. Intubating conditions and onset of neuromuscular block of rocuronium (Org 9426); a comparison with suxamethonium. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 19 92 Jul;36(5):463-8.
- 11. Griffith KE, Joshi GP, Whitman PF, Garg SA. Priming with rocuronium accelerates the onset of neuromuscular blockade. J Clin Anesth. 19 97 May;9(3):204-7.
- 12. Tolmie JD, Joyce TH, Mitchell GD. Succinylcholine danger in the burned patient. Anesthesiology. 1967 Mar-Apr;28(2):467-70.
- 13. Heier T, Caldwell JE. Rapid tracheal intubation with large-dose rocuronium: a probability-based approach. Anesth Analg. 20 00 Jan;90(1):175-9.
- 14. Sieber TJ, Zbinden AM, Curatolo M, Shorten GD. Tracheal intubation with rocuronium using the "timing principle". Anesth Analg. 19 98 May;86(5):1137-40.
- 15. Stoelting RK, Hillier SC. Pharmacology and physiology in anesthetic practice. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins;2004.p.216.
- 16. Birch AA, Mitchell GD, Playford GA, Lang CA. Changes in serum potassium response to succinylcholine following trauma. JAMA. 19 69 Oct 20;210(3):490-3.
- 17. STOELTING RK, PETERSON C. Heart-rate slowing and junctional rhythm following intravenous succinylcholine with and without intramuscular atropine preanesthetic medication. Anesthesia and Analgesia. 1975 Nov 1:54(6):705-9.
- 18. Minton MD, Grosslight K, Stirt JA, Bedford RF. Increases in intracranial pressure from succinylcholine: prevention by prior nonde

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

- polarizing blockade. Anesthesiology. 1986 Aug;65(2):165-9.
- 19. Kansanaho M, Kerr C, Roest GJ, Olkkola KT. Comparison of rocuronium and suxamethonium for use during rapid sequence induction of anaesthesia. Anaesthesia. 1998; 53:867-71.
- 20. Singh A, Bhatia PK, Tulsiani KL. Comparison of onset time, duration of action and intubating conditions achieved with suxamethonium and rocuronium. Indian J Anaesth. 2004;48:129–33.
- 21. Naguib M. Different priming techniques, including mivacurium, accelerate the onset of rocuronium. Canadian journal of anaesthesia. 1994 Oct 1;41(10):902-7.
- 22. White DA, Reitan JA, Kien ND, Thorup SJ. Decrease in vascular resistance in the isolated canine hindlimb after graded doses of alfentanil, fentanyl, and sufentanil. Anesth Analg. 1990;71:29–34.
- 23. Shorten GD, Uppington J, Comunale ME. Changes in plasma catecholamine concentrations and haemodynamic effects of rocuronium and vecuronium in elderly patients. European journal of anaesthesiology. 1998 May 1;15(03):335-41.
- 24. Aziz L, Jahangir SM, Chandhary SN, Rahman K, Ohta Y, Hirakawa M. The effect of priming with vecuronium and rocuronium on young and elderly patients. Anesth Analg. 1997;85: 663–6.