# Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2024; 16(4); 688-696

**Original Research Article** 

# Determining the Effectiveness of a Modified Marshall Scoring System in Assessing the Severity of Acute Pancreatitis: A Retrospective Study

Prashant Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Manish Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Chandra Mohan Narain<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant professor, Department of General surgery, Netaji Subhas Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Associate professor, Department of General surgery, Netaji Subhas Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>3</sup>Professor and HOD, Department of General surgery, Netaji Subhas Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 12-02-2024 / Revised: 15-03-2024 / Accepted: 22-04-2024 Corresponding Author: Dr. Manish Kumar Conflict of interest: Nil

#### Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the effectiveness of a modified Marshall scoring system in determining the severity of acute pancreatitis, as compared to the Ranson score.

Material and Methods: It was retrospective study carried out at Department of General surgery, Netaji Subhas Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India for one year. The sample size was takenas 60 patients admitted with the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis irrespective of etiology. Modified Marshall score was calculated at admission taking into account the arterial blood gas analysis, blood pressure and serum Creatinine while the Ranson's score was calculated on admission and completed at 48 hours for he same patient as per the mentioned parameters. A Score  $\geq$ 2 was defined as organ failure as per the Modified Marshall's score while Ranson's score  $\geq$ 3 at 48 hours wasclassified as severe pancreatitis. Results of both the scoring systems were compared to predict severity of the disease which was evaluated with number of days ofhospital stay and mortality. Patients were discharged when the total leucocyte counts were normal and were acceptingoral diet. Identity of the participants was kept absolutely confidential. Patients were followed up for a period of 7 days and at 4 weeks post admission. **Results:** Interestingly the patients with single organ failure (35%) had no mortality while 2/3 patients with all three-organ system failure died. On comparing the 2 scores out of 36 patients who had severe pancreatitis by Ranson's score, 26patients were categorized as severe pancreatitis & 10 patients as mild pancreatitis based on Modified Marshall score. Out of 21 patients categorized as mild pancreatitis by Ranson's score, 3 patients had severe pancreatitis & 18patients had mild pancreatitis as per Modified Marshall's score. The area under the curve (AUC) value of the ROC curve showed that Modified Marshallscore is a significant predictor of severity of acute pancreatitis with value of 0.863 (p<0.001). At cut off value of Modified Marshall score for predicting severity of acutepancreatitis ≥2, the score had a sensitivity, specificity, PPV& NPV of 72.22%, 85.71%, 89.66% & 64.29% respectively. The accuracy of the score in prediction was 77.19%. The correlation coefficient was strongly positive and statistically significant (p<0.001) for the relationship between Ranson's score, Modified Marshall score and duration of hospital stay in patients of acute pancreatitis. The coefficient between duration of hospital stay and Ranson's and Modified Marshall score was 0.571 and 0.746 respectively and that between Ranson'sscore and Modified Marshall score was 0.819.

**Conclusion:** We concluded that the Modified Marshall score is equally effective in evaluation of severity and predicting mortality patients with acute pancreatitis as Ranson's score. Moreover, its components are easily available and it does not require 48 hours for completion of assessment like the Ranson's score and can be used for reassessment during period of hospitalization unlike the Ranson's score which is calculated once only after admission at 48 hours.

Keywords: Modified Marshall score, Acute pancreatitis, Pancreatitis scoring system

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

#### Introduction

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a common gastrointestinal condition characterized by the sudden inflammation of the pancreas, often presenting with severe

abdominal pain. The severity of AP can range from mild, self-limiting disease to severe, life-threatening conditions with significant morbidity and mortality. Effective assessment of the severity of AP is crucial for guiding clinical management, predicting outcomes, and improving patient prognosis. Among various scoring systems developed for this purpose, the Ranson score has been traditionally used since its introduction in 1974. However, newer scoring systems, including the modified Marshall scoring system, have been developed to improve the accuracy and prognostic ability in assessing AP severity. [1]

The Ranson score is one of the earliest and most widely used clinical scoring systems for AP severity assessment. It is based on 11 parameters, including patient demographics, laboratory values, and clinical findings measured at admission and within the first 48 hours of hospitalization. These parameters include age, white blood cell count, blood glucose, serum LDH, and AST at admission, and haematocrit, serum calcium, blood urea nitrogen, arterial oxygen, base deficit, sequestration of fluids, and a decrease in haematocrit within 48 hours. Despite its widespread use, the Ranson score has limitations, including the need for 48 hours to complete the assessment and varying specificity and sensitivity in different populations . [2,3]

The modified Marshall scoring system, introduced in 2002, is another tool used to assess organ failure in patients with AP. It focuses on three main organ systems: respiratory, cardiovascular, and renal, and assigns scores based on the degree of dysfunction in each system. The scoring criteria include the PaO2/FiO2 ratio for respiratory failure, systolic blood pressure or the need for inotropes for cardiovascular failure, and serum creatinine levels for renal failure . This system offers the advantage of being simpler and quicker to apply compared to the Ranson score, and it is recommended by the revised Atlanta classification for defining organ failure in AP. Comparative studies have evaluated the efficacy of the modified Marshall scoring system against the Ranson score in predicting the severity and outcomes of AP. Research indicates that the modified Marshall scoring system may have superior predictive accuracy for organ failure and mortality compared to the Ranson score . One study demonstrated that the modified Marshall scoring system was more reliable in early identification of severe AP and had better correlation with clinical outcomes, including intensive care unit admission. need for intervention, and mortality. Furthermore, the modified Marshall scoring system's focus on organ failure aligns with the pathophysiological mechanisms of severe AP, making it a valuable tool in the clinical setting. Assessing the severity of AP accurately and promptly is critical for optimizing patient management. The modified Marshall scoring system's advantages, such as simplicity, rapid assessment capability, and strong correlation with clinical outcomes, suggest it may be preferable to the Ranson score in certain clinical scenarios. However, the choice of scoring system may depend on specific patient populations and clinical settings. Ongoing research and clinical validation are necessary to further elucidate the comparative effectiveness of these scoring systems and potentially develop even more precise tools for AP severity assessment. [4-6]

Parameters	Score				
Organ system	0	1	2	3	4
Respiratory (PaO2/ FiO2)	>400	301-400	201-300	101-200	<101
Renal (serum Creatinine inmg/dl)	<1.5	>1.5 to <1.9	>1.9 to <3.5	>3.5to <5	>5
Cardiovascular (SBP in mmHg)	>90	<90 fluid	<90 fluid	<90	<90
		responsive	unresponsive	pH<7.3	pH<7.2

Table 1: Criteria for organ failure based on Modified Marshall scoring system.<sup>6</sup>

Harmless acute pancreatitis score although simple could only predict a non-severe course hence was of no use in deciding management protocol. BISAP and SOFA score both used GCS as one of it's parameters which could be biased due to alteration in status of the patient due to sedation and drugs.

Table 2: Kanson's criteria and scoring system.					
<b>Ranson Score Biliary pancreatitis</b>	Ranson Score Non gall stone pancreatitis				
At admission					
Age >70 years	Age >55 years				
$Tlc > 18000/mm^3$	$Tlc > 16000/mm^{3}$				
LDH >400 IU/1	LDH >350 IU/1				
AST >250 IU/1	AST >250 IU/I				
RBS >220 mg/dl	RBS >200 mg/dl				
At 48 hours					
Drop in hematocrit>10%	Drop in hematocrit >10%				
-	-				

BUN increase >2 mg/dl	BUN increase >5 mg/dl
Calcium <8 mg/dl	Calcium <8 mg/dl
PO2 <60 mmHg	PO2 <60 mmHg
Base deficit >5 mEq/l	Base deficit >4 mEq/l
Fluid loss >4 litres	Fluid loss >6 litres

 Table 3: Comparison of Modified Marshall's scoringsystem and Ranson's scoring system.

Modified score	Marshall	Ranson score					
		≥3		<3		Total	
		Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
≥2		26	72.2	3	14.3	29	50.9
<2		10	27.8	18	85.7	28	49.1
Total		36	100.0	21	100.0	57	100.0

A need was felt for requirement of a simple scoring system which could be applied at the time of admission to assign the severity of acute pancreatitis and early initiation of its management. Modified Marshall scoring system is a very simple scoring system with limited parameters based on routine laboratory and diagnostic testing which can be easily obtained early in the course of admission. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of the Modified Marshall scoring system in evaluating severity of acute pancreatitis at the time of admission.

## **Material and Methods**

Material and methods: It was retrospective study carried out at Department of General surgery, Netaji Subhas Medical College and Hospital, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India for one year. The sample size was taken as 60 patients admitted with the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis irrespective of etiology. Patients with recurrent pancreatitis, acute on chronic pancreatitis and known cases of chronic kidney disease and chronic liver disease were excluded from the study.

Acute pancreatitis was defined as presence of two or more of the following (as per revised Atlanta classification 2012): Characteristic abdominal pain, Serum amylase and/or lipase levels 3 times the upper limit of normal and Ultrasonography suggestive of acute pancreatitis at time of admission and/or a contrast enhanced computed tomography (CECT) of the abdomen if available.<sup>5</sup>Modified Marshall score was calculated at admission taking into account the arterial blood gas analysis, blood pressure and serum Creatinine while the Ranson's score was calculated on admission and completed at 48 hours forthe same patient as per the mentioned parameters. A Score  $\geq 2$  was defined as organ failure as per the Modified Marshall's score while Ranson's score  $\geq 3$  at 48 hours wasclassified as severe pancreatitis. Results of both the scoring systems were compared to predict severity of the disease which was evaluated with number of days of hospital stay and mortality. Patients were discharged when the total leucocyte counts were normal and were accepting oral diet. Identity of the participants was kept absolutely confidential. Patients were followed up for a period of 7 days and at 4 weeks post admission.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Independent t test was used to examine differences in age;Fischer's exact test for sex; and chi square test for etiology were used. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy were calculated. A "p" value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Data analysis was performed using SPSS software 16 version.

## Results

A total of 60 patients were included in the study and calculation of Modified Marshall score and Ranson's score was done at admission and 48 hours respectively for the same patient. Most of the patients (31.66%) were in the age group of 31-45 years (mean age  $43.95\pm16.28$  years) with a slight female (51.55%) preponderance. The mean duration of hospital stay was  $4.883\pm3.405$  days with the maximum number of patients (75%) discharged within the first 7 days with a mortality rate of 13.33%. Out of total mortalities (8/60) maximum death occurred in the first week (75%) (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Number of patients discharged and deathper week.

All patients categorized as mild pancreatitis underModified Marshall score were discharged uneventfully while out of 32(53.33%) patients who had organ failure and hence severe pancreatitis 8 (25%) patients died.



As per Ranson's score 36 patients had severe pancreatitis,out of which 31 (86.1%) patients were discharged and 5 (13.9%) patients died. 3 patients died within 48 hours of admission for which Ranson's score could not be calculated.



Figure 3: Correlation between Modified Marshall'sscore and number of days of hospital stay.

In patients with organ failure respiratory system (48.33%) was most commonly involved followed by renal (16.66%) and cardiovascular system (11.66%). In all the patients who died there was presence of minimum of 2



organ failure with one organ being the respiratory system.

Figure 4: Correlation between Ranson's score and number of days of hospital stay.



Figure 5: Correlation between Ranson's score and Modified Marshall's score.

Interestingly the patients with single organ failure (35%) had no mortality while 2/3 patients with all three-organ system failure died. On comparing the 2 scores out of 36 patients who had severe pancreatitis by Ranson's score, 26patients were categorized as severe pancreatitis & 10 patients as mild pancreatitis based on Modified Marshall score. Out of 21 patients categorized as mild pancreatitis by Ranson's score, 3 patients had severe pancreatitis & 18 patients had mild pancreatitis as per Modified Marshall's score (Table 3). The area under the curve (AUC) value of the ROC curve (Figure 2) showed that Modified Marshall score is a significant predictor of severity of acute pancreatitis with value of 0.863 (p<0.001). At cut off value of Modified Marshall score for predicting severity of acute pancreatitis  $\geq 2$ , the score had a sensitivity, specificity, PPV & NPV of 72.22%, 85.71%, 89.66% & 64.29% respectively. The accuracy of the score in prediction was 77.19%. The correlation coefficient was strongly positive and statistically significant (p<0.001) for the relationship between Ranson's score, Modified Marshall score and duration of hospital stay in patients of acute pancreatitis. The

coefficient between duration of hospital stay and Ranson's and Modified Marshall score was 0.571 and 0.746 respectively (Figure 3-4) and that between Ranson's score and Modified Marshall score was 0.819 (Figure 5).

#### Discussion

The majority of patients of acute pancreatitis present with a mild disease, however those with severe course require intensive management in an intensive care unit. Multi- organ dysfunction syndrome, the extent of pancreatic necrosis, infection and sepsis are the major determinants of mortality in acute pancreatitis. Occurrence of acute respiratory, cardiovascular and renal failures can predict the fatal outcome in severe acute pancreatitis. A wide range of mortality (20%-60%) has been reported in sepsis. Early diagnosis and prognostic evaluation are extremely important for initiation of appropriate treatment and may reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with severeacute pancreatitis. On account of differences in outcome between patients with mild and severe disease, it is important to define that group of patients who will develop

severe pancreatitis, predicting which still represents a challenge for the treating clinician.

Most patients of acute pancreatitis recover without complications, the overall mortality rate of this illness is between 2-5%. [8,9] Multiple risk stratification tools have been developed, but their clinical usefulness is limited. Older measures and Modified Glasgow score use data that are not routinely collected at the time of hospitalization. [9] The APACHE II score which is the most widely used prediction system currently and was developed originally as an intensive care instrument, requires the collection of large number of parameters, some of which may not be even relevant as a prognostic factor. As The Ranson's scoring system contain data not routinely collected at the time of hospitalization and requires minimum 48 hours to complete therefore missing a potentially valuable early therapeutic window while APACHE and other scoringsystems like Glasgow Imrie and SOFA score use many parameters which are cumbersome and difficult to obtain at the time of admission. [1-4] Harmless acute pancreatitis score although simple could only predict a non severe course hence was of no use in deciding management protocol. BISAP and SOFA score both used GCS as one of its parameters which could be biased due to alteration in status of the patient due to sedation and drugs. For this purpose a simple and accurate clinical scoring system that is Modified Marshall scoring system was developed. It is a very simple scoring system with limited parametersbased on routine laboratory and diagnostic testing within 24 hours of presentation which can be easily obtained early in the course of admission. Early recognition of severe disease would enable the clinician to consider more aggressive interventions within a time frame that could potentially prevent adverse outcomes and improve survival of the patient.

Ranson's score, which requires 11 signs for computation, recorded at admission and 48hours is primarily aimed to evaluate the function of early operative intervention in patients of Acute Pancreatitis.<sup>7</sup> It takes 48 hours to complete and not all laboratories measure all the parameters in routine blood tests (e.g. serum lactate dehydrogenase). More recently, the APACHE II system, developed for general use in intensive care units, hassupplanted Ranson's score because it can be applied at anypoint in time, unlike Ranson's score, which is calculated only 48 hours after admission.<sup>10</sup> Use of both Ranson's criteria and the APACHE II system are limited by their complexity. In our study maximum number of patients (31.66%) were in age group of 31-45 years followed by 15-30 years (25%) age group. The overall mean age was 43.95±16.28 years. A study done by Kaushik et al found the mean  $(\pm SD)$ age was 43.74±16.85 years, with a range of 21 to 84 years, Singh et al and Papachristou et al foundit to

be 49.6 years and 51.7 years respectively Lalith Kumaret al noticed peak incidence of the disease in the 4th decade of life. [11-14] There was a slight female preponderance similar with Carioca et al who enrolled 17 men and 22 women. [15] Most of our patients (75%) had a hospital stay between 1-7 days while the mean duration of hospital stay was 4.883±3.405 days. Lalith Kumar et al found that the mean length of hospital stay was 12.03±6.8 days and mostpatients (33%) had a hospital stay between 1-7 days. [14] Themaximum mortality in our study was in the first week of admission which could be owed to the acute phase of the disease. In our study all patients categorized as mild disease as per Modified Marshall score all were discharged while among those with organ failure 75% patients were discharged and 25% died. Organ failure is a common complication during the course and presents in 20% of acute pancreatitis patients and in 70% of the patients withsevere acute pancreatitis. [16] 3 patients died within 48 hoursof admission so, Ranson's score could not be calculated in these patients which is a major drawback in assessment of critically ill patients. Chatzicostas et al demonstrated that Ranson's criteria proved to be as powerful a prognostic model as the more complicated APACHE II and III scoring systems, but with the disadvantage of a 24-hour delay while Bernardinis et al showed that Ranson's scoring showed a poor predictive power with no added advantage over clinical judgment. [17,18]

In comparison between score severity and outcome in ourstudy it was found that the Modified Marshall score couldpredict outcome accurately and all the deaths occurred in patients which had severe pancreatitis as per the scoring system (p=0.014) with positive correlation with duration of hospital stay (0.746). Although the Ranson's scoring system also predicted the outcome correctly as all the deaths occurred in patients with score  $\geq 3$  with positive correlation of the system with duration of hospital stay (0.571) but the p value was found to be insignificant(p=0.193) which could be due to less sample size as 3 patients were excluded from the calculations as Ranson's score could not be calculated for these patients due to deathwithin 48 hours of admission. Carioca et al observed 39 acute pancreatitis patients, 11 were classified with severe acute pancreatitis by the Marshall system (score >2) and eight patients by the Ranson's score (score>3), with agreement between the two scoring systems in seven cases. Seven patients died in one week and one died after this. [15] In our study out of 52 patients which were discharged, 28 (53.84%) patients had no organ failure while most commonly involved system was respiratory system (40.38%) followed by renal system (9.61%) & cardiovascular system (3.84%). In all the patients who died there was a failure of minimum 2 organ systems. While allhad respiratory organ failure, 2 patients had failure of all the three organ systems. Carioca et al also observed respiratory failure (23.07%) to be the most common, followed by cardiovascular failure (17.94%) and renal failure (15.38%). Wang et al had similar results who foundrespiratory failure to be the most common form of single organ failure. [15,16-19] The area under the curve (AUC) value of the ROC curve showed that the Modified Marshall score is a significant predictor of severity of acute pancreatitis as avalue of 0.863 shows a good accuracy (p < 0.001) at the cut off value of the score  $\geq 2$  in predicting severity. The scoring system had a sensitivity, specificity, PPV & NPV of 72.22%, 85.71%, 89.66% & 64.29% respectively and an accuracy of 77.19% compared to the Ranson's score in severity prediction. The correlation coefficient was strongly positive and statistically significant (P<0.001) for the relationship between Ranson's score, Modified Marshall score and duration of hospital stay in patients of acute pancreatitis. The coefficient between Ranson's score and Modified Marshall score was 0.819 and that between duration of hospital stay and Ranson's and Modified Marshall score was 0.571 and 0.746 respectively. Positive correlation coefficient implies that as the value of Ranson's score increases with severity the Modified Marshall score also increases. Positive correlation between duration of hospital stay and the two scoring system indicated that with higher scores the duration of hospital stay also increases which is well evident. Cariocaet al concluded that Marshall scoring system may be used as an effective and simplified application method to assess the severity ofacute pancreatitis.<sup>15</sup> An important feature is the recognition that acute pancreatitis is an evolving, dynamic condition and that the severity may change during the course of the disease. Early in the disease, SIRS or organ failure indicate potentially severe disease. The organ dysfunction scores have several attractions: the score is calculated with a relevant and comprehensive set of biological data; indicate patients requiring intensive care, and indicate patients with higher risk of adverse events. Because of better association with severity and outcome, SOFA and Modified Marshall score are now recommended by the Pancreas Club in the revised AtlantaClassification scheme to assess the severity and the need of intensive therapy in acute pancreatitis patients. [5,20] But these systems are less informative in detection of local complications. Already pointed out and used in other studies as a way to assess acute pancreatitis severity, the Modified Marshall scoring system emerged in the literature as a better applicability proposal due to its ease of use. [21-23] Moreover, its specificity is greater than 90% for predicting severity of acute pancreatitis at 24 and 48 hours. [23] We also found positive correlation of high Ransonscores with high Marshall scores. Thus, considering that the determination of gravity is essential to the proposed treatment and

that this, in turn, is critical for prognosis, it is necessary to use methods that render the best classification in acute pancreatitis cases. When considering organ dysfunction or failure as the central prognostic factor, methods that cover these characteristicshave been used with excellent results. In our study out of 60 cases, on day 7 of admission 37 cases were discharged, 15 cases were still undergoing treatment and 8 patients haddied. On 30th day follow-up, out of 52 cases, 50 cases were uneventful & one case was re-admitted & one case had died. In our study overall 9 patients died over a period of 30 days. Patients with mild acute pancreatitis are usually discharged during the early phase and do not require pancreatic imaging, and mortality is very rare. [24] Based on the hospital policy and surgeon's choice patients with mildbiliary pancreatitis can either be discharged for interval cholecystectomy or can be discharged after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Moderately severe acute pancreatitis may resolve without intervention (as in transient organ failure or acute fluid collection) or it may require prolonged specialist care (as in extensive sterile necrosis without organ failure). Mortality of moderately severe acute pancreatitis is far less than that of severe acute pancreatitis. [25] Patients who do not respond to aggressive fluid resuscitation in the emergency ward should be considered for admission to a intensive care unit for aggressive fluid resuscitation, hemodynamic monitoring, and management of necrosis or organ failure. [26] Multiple parameters makes the Ranson's score cumbersome and raise the cost of scoring which is an important factor in the Indian scenario with a huge case load in the surgical wards and poor patients. It takes 48 hours to complete and not all laboratories measure all the parameters in routine blood tests (e.g., serum lactate dehydrogenase). Timely calculation of Modified Marshallscore on admission is simple, uninfluenced by etiology, cost effective and a significant predictor of disease severity. This study helped us in changing our institute policy for laying down a criteria for ICU admissions withneed for aggressive monitoring. It can also be used in peripheral hospitals for assessment and need for referral to higher centre and hence the case load can be distributed with timely referral of the severe cases to the higher centre while the mild cases can be managed there itself with cholecystectomy performed before discharge if possible. This decreases overall cost by decreased readmissions due to recurrent attacks or possibility of severe disease in the future in gall stone pancreatitis.

#### Conclusion

We concluded that the Modified Marshall score is equally effective in evaluation of severity and predicting mortality in patients with acute pancreatitis as Ranson's score. Moreover, its components are easily available and it does not require 48 hours for completion of assessment like theRanson's score and can be used for reassessment during period of hospitalization unlike the Ranson's score which is calculated once only after admission at 48 hours. Considering that the Modified Marshall scoring system corresponded with the clinical course of acute pancreatitis patients and the need for a method to evaluate severity in early course of hospital admission to prevent delay in aggressive management of critically ill patients. So, we conclude that the Modified Marshall scoring system can be used as an effective and simplified application method to assess the severity of acute pancreatitis.

#### References

- Ranson JH, Rifkind KM, Roses DF, Fink SD, Eng K, Spencer FC. Prognostic signs and the role of operative management in acute pancreatitis. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1974 Jul; 139(1):69-81.
- Vashistha A, Khandelwal RG, Om P, Rundla M. Assessing the efficacy of modifiedMarshall scoring system in severity assessment of acute pancreatitis in comparison to Ranson score at tertiary centre. Int Surg J 2023;10:1764-70.
- Li Y, Zhang J, Zou J. Evaluation of four scoring systems in prognostication of acute pancreatitis for elderly patients. BMC Gastroenterol. 2020 Jun 1;20(1):165. doi: 10. 1186/s12876-020-01318-8. PMID: 32487074; PMCID: PMC7268671.
- Shah AS, Gupta AK, Ded KS. Assessment of PANC3 Score in Predicting Severity of Acute Pancreatitis. Niger J Surg. 2017 Jan-Jun; 23 (1):53-57. doi: 10.4103/1117-6806.199964. PMID: 28584513; PMCID: PMC5441218..
- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, et al. Acute Pancre atitis. WorldJ Gastroenterol. 2010;11(18):108-11.
- Marshall JC, Cook DJ, Christou NV. Multiple organ dysfunction score: a reliable descriptor of a complex clinical outcome. Crit Care Med. 1995;23:1638-52.
- Ranson JH, Rifkind KM, Roses DF. objective early identification of severe acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 1974;61:443-51.
- Banks PA, Freeman ML. Practice guidelines in acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2006; 101101:2379-400.
- 9. Fagenholz PJ, Castillo CF, Harris NS. Increasing United States hospital admissions for acute pancreatitis, 1988-2003. Ann Epidermiol. 2007;17: 491-7.
- 10. UK Working Party. Acute Pancreatitis. Gut. 2005;54:1-9.
- 11. Kaushik MR, Dubey AP, Jain R, Rathore A, Pathak A.Prospective evaluation of the BISAP score and itscorrelation with Marshall score in

predicting severity of organ failure in acute pancreatitis. Int J Adv Med. 2017;4:534-9.

- 12. Singh V. Pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 20 09;104: 966-71.
- Papachristou GI, Muddana V, Yadav D, O'Connell M, Sanders MK, Slivka A, et al. Comparison of BISAP, Ranson's, APACHE-II and CTSI scores in predicting organ failure, complications, and mortality in acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 20 10;105(2) :435-41.
- Lalithkumar J, Chitra T, Kodieswaran N. Comparativestudy between BISAP and Ranson's score in predicting severity of acute pancreatitis. IAIM. 2016;3(9):23-33.
- 15. Carioca AL, Jozala DR, Bem LO, Rodrigues JMS. Severity assessment of acute pancreatitis: applyingMarshall scoring system. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2015;42(5):325-7.
- 16. Thandassery RB, Yadav TD, Dutta U. Dynamic nature of organ failure in severe acute pancreatitis: the impact of persistent and deteriorating organ failure. HPB. 2013;15:523-8.
- 17. Chatzicostas C, Roussomoustakaki M, Vlachonikolis IG, Notas G, Mouzas I, Samonakis D, et al. Comparison of Ranson, APACHE II and APACHE IIIscoring systems in acute pancreatitis. Pancreas. 2002; 25(4): 331-5.
- DeBernardinis M, Violi V, Roncoroni L, Boselli AS, Giunta A, Peracchia A. Discriminant power and information content of Ranson's prognostic signs in acute pancre atitis: a meta-analytic study. Crit Care Med. 19 99;27(10):2272-83.
- 19. Shiqi W, Xiangying F, Shujun L, Chaoxu L, Bin X, Bin B, et al. Utilizing multiparameter scores and procalcitonin as prognosis markers for the degree of severity of acute pancreatitis. Pancreas. 2003;15(2):38-42.
- 20. Arunkumar R. Comparitive Study Between Bisap Score And Ranson Score In Predicting Severity Of Acute Pancreatitis. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2011;12(3):23-8.
- 21. Giunta A, Peracchia A. Acute Pancreatitis Working Group. Revision of the Atlanta classification of acute pancreatitis. Int J Adv Med. 2008;1:62-8.
- Johnson CD, Abu-Hilal M. Persistent organ failure during the first week as a marker of fatal outcome in acute pancreatitis. Gut. 2004 ;53(9):1340-4.
- Campos T, Parreira JG, Assef JC, Rizoli S, Nascimento B, Fraga GP. Classificação de gravidade na pancreatite aguda. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2013;40(2):164-8.
- 24. Singh VK, Bollen TL, Wu BU. An assessment of the severity of interstitial pancreatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;9:1098-103.

25. Vege SS, Gardner TB, Chari ST. Low mortality and high morbidity in severe acute pancreatitis without organ failure: a case for revising the Atlanta classification to include moderately severe acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104:710-5.

26. Harrison S. Principles of internal medicine. 19th ed. In: Acute and Chronic Pancreatitis. USA: Greenbergerpublisher; 2010:2091-3