

A Retrospective Study to Review the Anatomy of Nasolacrimal Duct in Relation to the Lateral Nasal Wall: A Cadaveric Study

Safia Wasi¹, Neelam Sinha², Rashmi Prasad³

¹Tutor, Department of Anatomy, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India

²Professor, Department of Anatomy, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India

³Prof & Head of Department, Department of Anatomy, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 02-04-2024 / Revised: 26-04-2024 / Accepted: 27-05-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Neelam Sinha

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to review the anatomy of nasolacrimal duct in relation to the lateral nasal wall.

Methods: Thirty sagittal sections of head and neck of formalin fixed adult cadavers present in the Department of Anatomy, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India were selected for the study. Out of thirty, sixteen sections were of left side and fourteen were of right side. The sections with damaged lateral nasal wall were not included in the study. Nasal septum was removed, the orifice of Naso lacrimal duct (NLD) was identified and exposed. The duration of study from March 2023 to February 2024.

Results: In our study the average length of the NLD irrespective of the side was 19.8 ± 1.57 mm. The intranasal orifice of the NLD was observed to be located on an average of 24.5 ± 2.6 mm from the anterior nasal spine, ranging from 5.5-2.9mm. The average distance from the nasal roof was found to be 32.2 ± 1.67 mm and 16.08 ± 1.71 mm from nasal floor. In addition, the average distance from the anterior attachment of inferior nasal concha was found to be 14.82 ± 2.37 mm. Posteriorly the NLD has close relationship with the uncinat process and the maxillary sinus ostium. In our study the NLD was an average of 4.08 ± 0.67 mm anterior to MSO at the level of the anterior attachment of the MT. On Comparing right and left side The NLD was found to be slightly longer 22.7 in comparison of 22.2 mm on left side. The other distances of NLD from MSO were also found to be larger on left side. The distances of NLD-ANS, NLD NR, NLD -AIT and NLD- NF were also longer on left side.

Conclusion: This study attempts to provide information regarding the position and location of the NLD in relation to the important landmarks in the lateral wall of the nasal cavity. These observations in the lateral wall of the nasal cavity are important during the endoscopic interventions. In our study, average length of the NLD was 19.8mm.

Keywords: Nasolacrimal duct, Maxillary sinus ostium, nasal floor, nasal roof, Anterior attachment of inferior concha

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The Nasolacrimal duct is the terminal part of the nasolacrimal apparatus or tear apparatus. It is the inferior continuation of lacrimal sac. It courses within the maxilla and lateral nasal wall so it has two parts, The intraosseous part and membranous part. The intraosseous or proximal part enters the lacrimal groove of maxilla and descends within nasolacrimal canal of maxilla. The lower part or distal part, which is called membranous part runs in the nasal mucosa. The membranous terminates below the inferior nasal meatus as a slit like opening [1]. Tears are normally drained into nose through the inferior ostium in to the inferior meatus of the nose. Any pathology causing obstruction of the NLD can lead to the excessive overflowing of the tears over the face, called as epiphora [2] For most of the pathologies of

the NLD surgery is usually the treatment of choice which can be attempted, externally or intranasally. The most favored procedure for the surgical treatment of sinonasal pathologies is endoscopic technique because it favors direct revelation, evaluation and management of the intranasal pathologies, in comparison to external cyst rhinotomy for the management of watering eye, which can leave patient with scar mark [3] Nasolacrimal duct (NLD) begins from the lacrimal sac and continues as the bony nasolacrimal duct between the lacrimal bone and maxilla. It drains into nasal cavity at the level of inferior nasal meatus. The NLD is entirely surrounded by the maxilla [4] The obstructions of the NLD are seen frequently, due to its shape. The obstructions can be either congenital

or acquired. In each instance, the etiology and the prognosis is different. [5] There are different options for the management of the obstruction; non-surgical or surgical [6-7] Detailed anatomical knowledge is of great clinical importance for to understand the etiology of the obstruction and the success of treatment techniques. There are numbers of studies that are evaluating the morphometric properties of the NLD [8-10] Nasolacrimal duct obstruction (NLDO) manifesting with epiphora is a common ophthalmic condition. [11] The obstruction can be congenital, most commonly resulting from a persistent membrane at the valve of Hasner; [12] or acquired, which could be classified as primary or secondary. [13] During probing and silicone intubation, the probe may enter the orbit or may lead to false passage formation. However, in patients with an obstruction below the canal entrance, the risk of false passage formation is lower because the obstruction point is surrounded by the bony canal. [14] This signifies the importance of knowing diameter of canal at different points. For nearly a century the gold standard treatment for epiphora and nasolacrimal duct obstruction has been dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR). Success rates of endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy should be measured and compared using strict outcome criteria taking in account both functional and anatomical success and an adequate length of follow-up. [15]

The aim of the present study was to review the anatomy of nasolacrimal duct in relation to the lateral nasal wall. [16]

Materials and methods

Thirty sagittal sections of head and neck of formalin fixed adult cadavers present in the Department of Anatomy, Nalanda Medical College, Patna, Bihar, India were selected for the study. Out of thirty,

sixteen sections were of left side and fourteen were of right side. The sections with damaged lateral nasal wall were not included in the study. Nasal septum was removed, the orifice of Naso lacrimal duct (NLD) was identified and exposed. The duration of study from March 2023 to February 2024.

To have a better view, some part of inferior nasal concha was removed. The anterior part of middle nasal concha was also dissected vertically up to its anterior attachment. Then the NLD was dissected and to observe the relationship with the maxillary sinus ostium (MSO), the uncinat process and anterior part of middle turbinate (MT) were resected, sparing a small part of MT for orientation

Dimensions of duct and other following parameters were made using a digital caliper and rounded off to the nearest millimeter. All the measurements were taken thrice and average was taken.

- Length of nasolacrimal duct (NLD Length)
- Nearest distance from the nasolacrimal duct to Maxillary Sinus Ostium. (NLD- MSO)
- Nearest distance from the NLD to the anterior nasal spine. (NLD -ANS)
- Nearest distance of the intranasal orifice of the NLD to the nasal floor (NLD- NF)
- Nearest distance of the intranasal orifice of the NLD to the nasal roof. (NSD -NR)
- Nearest distance of the intranasal orifice of the NLD to the anterior attachment of the Inferior concha. (NSD -AIT)

Results

Table 1: Various parameters of Naso lacrimal duct

| SIDE | NLD Length | NLD-MSO | NLD-ANS | NLD-NR | NLD-NF | NLD-AIT |
|-------|------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| RIGHT | 22.2 | 4.3 | 29.4 | 33.9 | 17.09 | 12.5 |
| LEFT | 19.4 | 3.9 | 23.2 | 31.5 | 16.5 | 11.5 |
| LEFT | 22.7 | 4.7 | 22.3 | 32.9 | 16.4 | 12.4 |
| LEFT | 21 | 4.1 | 26.1 | 31.5 | 19.5 | 15.4 |
| RIGHT | 21.2 | 3.4 | 27.6 | 32.5 | 16.1 | 16.6 |
| LEFT | 20.2 | 5.5 | 24.8 | 28.8 | 18.5 | 17.5 |
| RIGHT | 22.2 | 4.3 | 26.6 | 32.7 | 19.1 | 14.7 |
| LEFT | 18.7 | 3.9 | 27.3 | 28.9 | 17.8 | 19.4 |
| LEFT | 21.2 | 4.2 | 23.8 | 30.8 | 17.4 | 19.6 |
| LEFT | 22.4 | 3.8 | 26.2 | 31.8 | 14.7 | 16.4 |
| RIGHT | 18.4 | 4.6 | 22.7 | 34.3 | 17.6 | 16.7 |
| LEFT | 17.8 | 3.2 | 21.5 | 32.4 | 17.2 | 12.4 |
| LEFT | 18.7 | 4.9 | 22.4 | 33.7 | 15.8 | 15.5 |
| RIGHT | 21.9 | 5.3 | 22 | 32.2 | 15.6 | 12.3 |
| LEFT | 18.5 | 4.2 | 24.4 | 30.1 | 16.4 | 11.7 |
| LEFT | 17.8 | 5.1 | 28 | 35.1 | 15.5 | 12.6 |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| LEFT | 18.5 | 4.8 | 30.6 | 32.6 | 15.2 | 11.5 |
| RIGHT | 17.5 | 4.2 | 28.2 | 34.2 | 18 | 12.1 |
| RIGHT | 19.4 | 3.81 | 23.8 | 33.9 | 16.2 | 12.7 |
| RIGHT | 18.2 | 4.38 | 22.4 | 33.4 | 13.8 | 16.8 |
| RIGHT | 18.9 | 3.8 | 23.6 | 32.8 | 15.4 | 16.6 |
| RIGHT | 20.4 | 3.2 | 21.6 | 31.4 | 12.5 | 13.4 |
| LEFT | 18.6 | 4.2 | 23.8 | 33.4 | 13.3 | 14.9 |
| LEFT | 17.9 | 4.3 | 22.5 | 30.1 | 16.7 | 15.1 |
| RIGHT | 20.4 | 2.9 | 21.8 | 31.66 | 15.4 | 17.2 |
| LEFT | 19.8 | 3.8 | 24.7 | 32.41 | 12.9 | 16.3 |
| RIGHT | 21.6 | 2.9 | 20.8 | 34.49 | 14.5 | 13.4 |
| RIGHT | 19.9 | 4.2 | 25.6 | 30.65 | 15.6 | 17.1 |
| LEFT | 21.2 | 3.9 | 27.3 | 29.99 | 17.01 | 17 |
| RIGHT | 19.8 | 2.9 | 21.9 | 34.43 | 14.9 | 13.4 |
| S. D. | 1.57 | 0.67 | 2.62 | 1.67 | 1.7 | 2.37 |
| AVERA | 19.88 | 4.08 | 24.56 | 32.28 | 16.08 | 14.8 |

In our study the average length of the NLD irrespective of the side was 19.8 ± 1.57 mm. The intranasal orifice of the NLD was observed to be located on an average of 24.5 ± 2.6 mm from the anterior nasal spine, ranging from 5.5-2.9 mm. The average distance from the nasal roof was found to be 32.2 ± 1.67 mm and 16.08 ± 1.71 mm from nasal floor.

In addition, the average distance from the anterior attachment of inferior nasal concha was found to be 14.82 ± 2.37 mm. Posteriorly the NLD has close relationship with the uncinat process and the maxillary sinus ostium. In our study the NLD was an average of 4.08 ± 0.67 mm anterior to MSO at the level of the anterior attachment of the MT.

Table 2: Comparison of range between measurements of the NLD from neighbouring structures on right and left side

| | Right [14] | | Left [16] | |
|----------------|------------|------|-----------|------|
| | Max | Min | Max | Min |
| NLD length(mm) | 22.2 | 17.5 | 22.7 | 17.8 |
| NLD-MSO (mm) | 5.3 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 2.9 |
| NLD-ANS (mm) | 29.4 | 20.8 | 30.6 | 21.5 |
| NLD-NR (mm) | 34.9 | 30.6 | 35.1 | 28.8 |
| NLD-NF (mm) | 19.1 | 12.5 | 19.5 | 12.9 |
| NLD-AIT (mm) | 17.2 | 12.1 | 19.6 | 11.5 |

On Comparing right and left side The NLD was found to be slightly longer 22.7 in comparison of 22.2 mm on left side. The other distances of NLD from MSO were also found to be larger on left side. The distances of NLD-ANS, NLD NR, NLD -AIT and NLD- NF were also longer on left side.

Discussion

The Nasolacrimal duct is the terminal part of the nasolacrimal apparatus or tear apparatus. It is the inferior continuation of lacrimal sac. It courses within the maxilla and lateral nasal wall so it has two parts, the intraosseous part and membranous part. The intraosseous or proximal part enters the lacrimal groove of maxilla and descends within nasolacrimal canal of maxilla. The lower part or distal part, which is called membranous part runs in the nasal mucosa. The membranous terminates below the inferior nasal meatus as a slit like opening.¹⁶ Tears are normally drained into nose through the inferior ostium in to the inferior meatus of the nose. Any pathology causing obstruction of the NLD can lead to the

excessive overflowing of the tears over the face, called as epiphora. [17]

In our study the average length of the NLD irrespective of the side was 19.8 ± 1.57 mm. The intranasal orifice of the NLD was observed to be located on an average of 24.5 ± 2.6 mm from the anterior nasal spine, ranging from 5.5-2.9 mm. The average distance from the nasal roof was found to be 32.2 ± 1.67 mm and 16.08 ± 1.71 mm from nasal floor. In addition, the average distance from the anterior attachment of inferior nasal concha was found to be 14.82 ± 2.37 mm. Posteriorly the NLD has close relationship with the uncinat process and the maxillary sinus ostium. In our study the NLD was an average of 4.08 ± 0.67 mm anterior to MSO at the level of the anterior attachment of the MT. On Comparing right and left side The NLD was found to be slightly longer 22.7 in comparison of 22.2 mm on left side. The other distances of NLD from MSO was also found to be larger on left side. The distances of NLD-ANS, NLD NR, NLD -AIT and NLD- NF were also longer on left side. The

development of nasolacrimal duct in the nasolacrimal apparatus begins at five weeks of gestation. It starts as a linear thickening of ectoderm, present at the junction nasal and maxillary prominences. This thickening later invades and forms a groove. The thickening in the groove gradually separates and forms a solid cord. This cord further sinks into the surrounding mesenchyme. Gradually with the development this cord canalizes and forms proximally the lacrimal sac and distally the nasolacrimal duct. [18] This solid nasolacrimal duct extends intranasally to open in the inferior meatus under the inferior turbinate. Gradually the inside of the cord breaks down and forms a lumen so this forms a continuous canal from orbit to inferior meatus of lateral nasal wall. This process is generally complete by the time of birth. Tears are formed in the lacrimal gland. They are poured into conjunctival sacs. Most of the tear's fluid evaporates and the remaining fluid is drained into the nose through the NLD. In cases of incomplete canalization of lacrimal duct epiphora or excessive lacrimation occurs because of incomplete drainage of the tears. To expose the nasolacrimal apparatus for dacryocystorhinostomy (DSR) is done by surgeons both externally as well as through the nose. Endoscopic techniques is preferred by the surgeons nowadays in comparison to the external surgery. [19,20]

During cannulation of NLD from above or from inferior opening, length becomes vital in selecting the probe length so as to prevent surrounding visceral injury. The uncinat process and ostium of maxillary sinus are chief landmarks to determine the location of the NLD. The uncinat process is related just posterior to the NLD, which is only 4mm anterior to maxillary sinus ostium. These relationships play vital role during endoscopic sinus surgery. During maxillary sinus antrostomy, first of all, uncinat process is removed and then maxillary sinus ostium is enlarged anteriorly with the help of back-biting forceps. When these procedures are attempted, the NLD is at risk of injury due to its close relationship with the uncinat process and maxillary sinus ostium. Therefore, a good knowledge of surgical anatomical relationships plays a vital role to prevent unintended injury during endoscopic sinus surgery. The iatrogenic injury to NLD following endoscopic sinus surgery has been accounted to be 0.3-1.7%. [21-26]

Conclusion

This study attempts to provide information regarding the position and location of the NLD in relation to the important landmarks in the lateral wall of the nasal cavity. These observations in the lateral wall of the nasal cavity are important during the endoscopic interventions. In our study, average length of the NLD was 19.8mm.

References

1. Russell EJ, Czervionke L, Huckman M, Daniels D, McLachlan D. CT of the inferomedial orbit and the lacrimal drainage apparatus: normal and pathologic anatomy. *American journal of neuroradiology*. 1985 Sep 1;6(5):759-66.
2. Standring S, Ellis H, Healy J, Johnson D, Williams A, Collins P, Wigley C. *Gray's anatomy: the anatomical basis of clinical practice*. *American journal of neuroradiology*. 2005 Nov;26(10):2703.
3. Metson R. Endoscopic surgery for lacrimal obstruction. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*. 1991 Apr;104(4):473-9.
4. Lee S, Lee UY, Yang SW, Lee WJ, Kim DH, Youn KH, Kim YS. 3D morphological classification of the nasolacrimal duct: Anatomical study for planning treatment of tear drainage obstruction. *Clinical Anatomy*. 2021 May;34(4):624-33.
5. Heichel J, Struck HG, Viestenz A, Hammer T, Viestenz A, Fiorentzis M. Anatomic landmarks in lacrimal surgery from an ophthalmologist's point of view: clinical findings of external dacryocystorhinostomy and dacryoendoscopy. *Clinical Anatomy*. 2017 Nov;30(8):1034-42.
6. Narioka J, Matsuda S, Ohashi Y. Correlation between anthropometric facial features and characteristics of nasolacrimal drainage system in connection to false passage. *Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology*. 2007 Sep;35(7):651-6.
7. Valencia MR, Takahashi Y, Naito M, Nakano T, Ikeda H, Kakizaki H. Lacrimal drainage anatomy in the Japanese population. *Annals of Anatomy-Anatomischer Anzeiger*. 2019 May 1 ;223:90-9.
8. Czyz CN, Bacon TS, Stacey AW, Cahill EN, Costin BR, Karanfilov BI, Cahill KV. Nasolacrimal system aeration on computed tomographic imaging: sex and age variation. *Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2016 Jan 1;32(1):11-6.
9. Ela AS, Cigdem KE, Karagoz Y, Yigit O, Longur ES. Morphometric measurements of bony nasolacrimal canal in children. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*. 2018 May 1;29(3):e282-7.
10. Takahashi Y, Nakamura Y, Nakano T, Asamoto K, Iwaki M, Selva D, Leibovitch I, Kakizaki H. The narrowest part of the bony nasolacrimal canal: an anatomical study. *Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2013 Jul 1;29(4):318-22.
11. Linberg JV, McCormick SA. Primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction: a clinicopathologic report and biopsy technique. *Ophthalmology*. 1986 Aug 1;93(8):1055-63.
12. Janssen AG, Mansour K, Bos JJ, Castelijns JA. Diameter of the bony lacrimal canal: normal

- values and values related to nasolacrimal duct obstruction: assessment with CT. American journal of neuroradiology. 2001 May 1;22(5): 845-50.
13. Bartley GB. Acquired lacrimal drainage obstruction: an etiologic classification system, case reports, and a review of the literature. Part 2. Ophthalmic Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery. 1992 Dec 1;8(4):243-9.
 14. Ikoma M. Indications of silicone intubation in our institution. Ganka Rinsho Iho. 1998;92: 1387-8.
 15. Olver JM. The success rates for endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy. British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2003 Nov 1;87(11):1431-.
 16. Russel EJ, Czervionke L, Huckman M, Daniels D, MacLachlan D. CT of the inferomedial orbit and the lacrimal drainage apparatus: normal and pathologic anatomy. Am J Roentgenol. 1985;145 (6):1147-54.
 17. Stranding S, Ellis h, Healy JC, Johnson D, Williams A, Collins P, Wigley C (editors) Gray's Anatomy. The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice. 39th edi: Churchill LIVING - STONE London 2004 pp . 687.
 18. Megan L. Cochran; Sanah Aslam; Craig N. Czyz. Anatomy, Head and Neck, Eye Nasolacrimal Treas- ure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 Jan.
 19. Weidenbecher M, Hosemann W, Buhr W. Endo- scopic endonasal dacryocystorhinosto my: results in 56 patients. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 1994; 103:363–367,
 20. Gregg T Lueder. Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction in children. Am Academy of Ophthalmology. 2015;1-8.
 21. Kennedy DW, Zinreich SJ, Kuhn F et al. Endoscopic middle meatal antrostomy: theory, technique, and patency. Laryngoscope. 1987; 97[Suppl 43]:1–9.
 22. Serdahl CL, Berris CE, Chole RA. Nasolacrimal duct obstruction after endoscopic sinus surgery. Arch Ophthalmol. 1990; 108(3): 391–392.
 23. Bolger WE, Parsons DS, Mair EA et al. Lacrimal drain- age system injury in functional endoscopic sinus surgery. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1992; 118:1179–1184.
 24. Calhoun KH, Rotzler WH, Stiernberg CM. Surgical anatomy of the lateral nasal wall. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1990; 102(2):15 6– 160.
 25. Wormald PJ. The key to understanding the anatomy of the frontal recess. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003;129:497–507
 26. Sahni SS , Goyal R, Gupta T, Gupta AK. Surgical Anatomy of Nasolacrimal Duct and Sac in Human Cadavers. Clinical Rhin ology. 20014; 7(3):91-95