e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2961-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2025; 17(11); 1194-1199

Original Research Article

Effect of Saroglitazar on Dyslipidemia and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Retrospective Observational Study

Prakash Narayan Gupta¹, Joyjit Das², Harshita Gupta³

¹MBBS, MD (Medicine) Head, Department of Medicine Military hospital, Jabalpur ²MBBS, MD (Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) Head, Department of Dermatology Military Hospital, Jabalpur

³MS (Obstetrics & Gynaecology) HOD District Hospital Katni Madhya Pradesh

Received: 23-09-2025 / Revised: 21-10-2025 / Accepted: 24-11-2025

Corresponding Author: Dr. Joyjit Das

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:

Aim: This retrospective observational study aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Saroglitazar in improving dyslipidemia and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) parameters in patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) over a 24-week treatment period.

Materials and Methods: A total of 107 patients with confirmed T2DM, dyslipidemia, and NAFLD were enrolled and treated with Saroglitazar 4 mg once daily for 24 weeks. Blood investigations including liver function tests, lipid profile, and glycemic parameters were assessed at baseline and week 24. Transient elastography (FibroScan) measurements including liver stiffness measurement (LSM) and controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) were performed to assess liver fibrosis and steatosis.

Results: Of 107 patients enrolled, 101 completed the 24-week treatment protocol (mean age 50.4 ± 12.3 years, 78.5% males, mean body mass index 28.8 ± 4.2 kg/m²). Saroglitazar significantly reduced liver enzyme levels. Triglyceride levels decreased significantly from 285.6 ± 72.1 mg/dL to 156.3 ± 58.4 mg/dL (p < 0.001), while HDL cholesterol increased from 38.2 ± 8.1 mg/dL to 52.4 ± 10.3 mg/dL (p < 0.001. HbA1c improved from $9.2 \pm 1.5\%$ to $7.8 \pm 1.2\%$ (p < 0.001). No serious adverse events were recorded during the study period.

Conclusion: Saroglitazar at 4 mg daily demonstrated significant efficacy in reducing liver enzymes, improving dyslipidemia parameters, and reducing both liver fibrosis and steatosis markers in patients with T2DM and NAFLD. These findings support Saroglitazar as an effective therapeutic option for managing metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) in the diabetic population, addressing the unmet clinical need for NAFLD treatment.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), now designated as metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), represents a growing global health burden, particularly in patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). The prevalence of NAFLD in T2DM patients exceeds 50-60%, making it one of the most common causes of chronic liver disease in developed nations [3]. This high prevalence is attributed to shared pathophysiological mechanisms, primarily insulin resistance and dysregulated lipid metabolism [4].

Type 2 diabetes mellitus coexists with NAFLD through multiple interconnected pathways. Insulin resistance is the central pathogenic feature linking both conditions, characterized by impaired glucose utilization in peripheral tissues and excessive hepatic glucose production [5]. In the liver, insulin resistance promotes hepatic steatosis through

enhanced de novo lipogenesis, increased free fatty acid (FFA) uptake from adipose tissue, and impaired mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation [6]. Furthermore, dyslipidemia in T2DM, characterized by elevated triglycerides and reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, perpetuates hepatic lipid accumulation and promotes liver inflammation [7].

The natural progression of NAFLD ranges from simple steatosis to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), characterized by inflammation and hepatocellular injury, ultimately progressing to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in severe cases [8]. Patients with T2DM and NAFLD face significantly increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality compared to those with either condition alone, necessitating aggressive metabolic management [9][10].

Currently, the primary management strategy for NAFLD involves lifestyle modifications and weight reduction. However, pharmacological interventions targeting underlying metabolic dysfunction have become increasingly important. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are nuclear transcription factors that regulate metabolic gene expression and have emerged as promising therapeutic targets [11]. Saroglitazar, a novel dual agonist, exhibits PPAR-α/γ unique pharmacological profile combining lipid-lowering effects of PPAR-α agonism with insulin-sensitizing properties of PPAR-y agonism, thereby addressing multiple pathogenic mechanisms of NAFLD [12].

This retrospective observational study evaluates the real-world efficacy and safety of Saroglitazar 4 mg daily in patients with T2DM, dyslipidemia, and NAFLD, utilizing non-invasive assessment techniques including transient elastography and biochemical markers. The study aims to provide evidence supporting Saroglitazar as an effective therapeutic intervention in this high-risk population.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Population: This was a retrospective observational study conducted at a tertiary care center evaluating patients with T2DM, dyslipidemia, and NAFLD who received Saroglitazar treatment over a 24-week period. The retrospective analysis was conducted from existing clinical records of patients treated between January 2022 and December 2024.

Inclusion Criteria

- Age 18-70 years with confirmed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
- Dyslipidemia requiring pharmacological management
- Confirmed NAFLD diagnosed by imaging (ultrasound or elastography-based CAP >248 dB/m)
- ALT elevation ≥1.5 times upper limit of normal
- Documented treatment with Saroglitazar 4 mg once daily for minimum 24 weeks

Complete baseline and follow-up investigations available

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

Exclusion Criteria

- Significant alcohol consumption (>20 g/day for women, >30 g/day for men)
- Chronic liver disease from viral hepatitis, autoimmune hepatitis, or cirrhosis
- History of malignancy
- Severe renal impairment (eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73m²)
- Congestive heart failure or significant cardiovascular instability

Study Parameters Baseline Assessment (Week 0):

- Demographic data: age, gender, body weight, height
- Body mass index (BMI) calculation
- Vital signs: systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate

Laboratory Investigations:

- Fasting blood glucose, HbA1c
- Liver function tests: AST, ALT, alkaline phosphatase, total bilirubin, albumin
- Lipid profile: total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides
- Renal function: serum creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)
- Complete blood count
- Platelet count

Non-Invasive Liver Assessment:

- Transient elastography (FibroScan) measuring:
 - Liver stiffness measurement (LSM) for fibrosis assessment
 - o Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP) for hepatic steatosis assessment

Follow-up Assessment (Week 24): Identical laboratory parameters and liver assessments repeated after 24 weeks of Saroglitazar 4 mg once daily treatment.

Observation Tables

Table 1: Demographic and Anthropometric Characteristics of Study Participants (N=101)

Parameter	Baseline Mean (SD)	Week 24 Mean (SD)	p-value
Age (years)	50.4 (12.3)	N/A	N/A
Gender (Male, %)	78.5%	N/A	N/A
BMI (kg/m²)	28.8 (4.2)	27.9 (3.8)	0.014
Systolic BP (mmHg)	138.2 (14.5)	132.1 (12.3)	0.008
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	85.3 (9.2)	80.8 (8.1)	0.002
Heart Rate (beats/min)	78.4 (6.3)	76.2 (5.8)	0.031

Table 2: Effect on Hepatic Biochemical Parameters

Hepatic Biochemistry	Baseline Mean (SD)	Week 24 Mean (SD)	p-value
ALT (IU/L)	72.3 (18.5)	38.6 (12.3)	< 0.001
AST (IU/L)	68.4 (16.2)	42.1 (14.5)	< 0.001
ALT Reduction (%)	N/A	46.8 (15.2)	N/A
AST Reduction (%)	N/A	38.4 (18.5)	N/A
Total Bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.92 (0.28)	0.88 (0.25)	0.142
Albumin (g/dL)	3.85 (0.42)	3.92 (0.38)	0.107
Alkaline Phosphatase (IU/L)	72.5 (21.3)	68.3 (19.2)	0.189

Table 3: Effect on Lipid Metabolism Parameters

Lipid Profile Parameters	Baseline Mean (SD)	Week 24 Mean (SD)	p-value
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	245.3 (52.1)	205.2 (48.3)	< 0.001
LDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	158.4 (41.2)	128.6 (38.1)	< 0.001
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	38.2 (8.1)	52.4 (10.3)	< 0.001
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	285.6 (72.1)	156.3 (58.4)	< 0.001
Total Cholesterol Reduction (%)	N/A	16.4 (12.3)	N/A
Triglyceride Reduction (%)	N/A	45.3 (18.2)	N/A
HDL Increase (%)	N/A	37.2 (16.5)	N/A

Table 4: Effect of Saroglitazar on Glycemic Control and Non-Invasive Liver Fibrosis/Steatosis
Assessment

Glycemic and Hepatic Imaging Parameters	Baseline Mean (SD)	Week 24 Mean (SD)	p-value		
Fasting Glucose (mg/dL)	168.4 (42.3)	128.5 (35.2)	< 0.001		
HbA1c (%)	9.2 (1.5)	7.8 (1.2)	< 0.001		
Liver Stiffness (kPa)	11.8 (3.2)	8.4 (2.6)	< 0.001		
CAP (dB/m)	308.5 (42.1)	256.3 (38.7)	< 0.001		
LSM Reduction (%)	N/A	28.8 (19.3)	N/A		
CAP Reduction (%)	N/A	16.9 (14.2)	N/A		
HbA1c Reduction (%)	N/A	15.2 (11.8)	N/A		

Results

Of 163 patients initially screened for eligibility, 107 met inclusion criteria. Six patients discontinued due to medication adverse effects (n=3) or loss to follow-up (n=3). The final cohort comprised predominantly male patients (78.5%, n=79), with mean age of 50.4 \pm 12.3 years. Mean body mass index was $28.8 \pm 4.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$, indicating overweight to obese status. Saroglitazar treatment resulted in modest but significant weight reduction (from 82.4 \pm 13.2 kg to 79.6 \pm 12.8 kg, representing 3.4% reduction, p = 0.014) and favorable blood pressure reduction (systolic: 138.2 ± 14.5 to 132.1 ± 12.3 mmHg, p = 0.008; diastolic: 85.3 ± 9.2 to 80.8 ± 8.1 mmHg, p = 0.002).

Effects on Hepatic Biochemistry: Saroglitazar demonstrated robust efficacy in reducing hepatic transaminases. Serum alanine transaminase (ALT) decreased significantly from baseline 72.3 \pm 18.5 IU/L to 38.6 \pm 12.3 IU/L at week 24, representing a mean reduction of 46.8 \pm 15.2% (p < 0.001). Aspartate transaminase (AST) similarly improved from 68.4 \pm 16.2 IU/L to 42.1 \pm 14.5 IU/L, constituting a 38.4 \pm 18.5% reduction (p < 0.001).

Effects on Lipid Metabolism: Total cholesterol decreased from 245.3 \pm 52.1 mg/dL to 205.2 \pm 48.3

mg/dL (16.4% reduction, p < 0.001). More notably, triglyceride levels—the primary lipid abnormality in dyslipidemia—showed diabetic dramatic improvement, The Framingham risk score improved significantly in the studied cohort following Saroglitazar therapy. Beyond its lipid-lowering effects, Saroglitazar demonstrated modest but glycemic significant improvements in parameters. This suggests augmentation of existing antidiabetic therapy and potential enhancement of insulin sensitivity through PPAR-y-mediated mechanisms. Notably, 62.4% (n=63) of patients achieved HbA1c targets <8% by week 24 compared to 19.8% at baseline.

The most clinically significant findings emerged from transient elastography assessments. Liver stiffness measurement (LSM), a validated surrogate marker for hepatic fibrosis, showed marked reduction from baseline 11.8 \pm 3.2 kPa to 8.4 \pm 2.6 kPa at week 24 (28.8 \pm 19.3% reduction, p < 0.001). Mean values approached normal range (<5.1 kPa), potential regression of fibrosis. suggesting Controlled attenuation parameter (CAP), quantifying hepatic steatosis, similarly improved from baseline 308.5 ± 42.1 dB/m to 256.3 ± 38.7 dB/m (16.9 ± 14.2% reduction, p < 0.001). CAP reduction correlated with triglyceride reduction (r =

0.412, p = 0.008), indicating mechanistic linkage between improved systemic lipid metabolism and hepatic lipid content normalization.

Statistical Analysis: Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Paired t-tests were utilized to compare baseline and post-treatment values for normally distributed continuous variables. Linear regression analysis was performed to assess associations between percent changes in liver enzymes and triglyceride reduction. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05 (two-tailed). Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Discussion

The present study's documentation of substantial liver stiffness reduction (28.8%) and CAP reduction (16.9%) within 24 weeks provides evidence of genuine hepatic parenchymal improvement. LSM reduction from 11.8 kPa to 8.4 kPa approaches normal values, suggesting potential fibrosis regression. This is mechanistically consistent with Saroglitazar's anti-inflammatory and potentially antifibrotic properties. PPAR activation reduces hepatic stellate cell (HSC) activation, the critical driver of hepatic fibrogenesis. Saroglitazar-induced reduction in hepatic inflammation (evidenced by ALT normalization) reduces the pro-fibrotic stimulus. Decreased oxidative stress through mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation improvements similarly attenuates HSC activation, as oxidative stress perpetuates HSC progression toward myofibroblastic phenotypes. Although not directly assessed histologically in this observational study, the degree of LSM reduction suggests regression of histological fibrosis, consistent with recent biopsycontrolled trials.

Current NAFLD management relies primarily on lifestyle interventions—weight reduction, dietary modification, and exercise-with pharmacological options. Pioglitazone, a selective PPAR-y agonist, improves histological NASH and reduces progression to cirrhosis in some studies, but weight gain and bone loss limit tolerability. The present study's results suggest Saroglitazar offers superior metabolic efficacy compared pioglitazone particularly alone, regarding triglyceride reduction (45.3% vs. typically 20-30% with pioglitazone) while avoiding excessive weight gain.

Traditional fibrates, which act as PPAR-α agonists, effectively reduce triglycerides but lack PPAR-γ agonistic insulin-sensitizing effects and provide limited evidence for NASH regression. Saroglitazar's 45.3% triglyceride reduction exceeds typical fibrate efficacy, attributable to its dual mechanism incorporating PPAR-γ insulin sensitization. Statin therapy, while standard for

cardiovascular risk reduction, provides no specific NAFLD benefit and may rarely exacerbate transaminitis in susceptible patients. The present study's integrated approach through Saroglitazar targeting both dyslipidemia and hepatic pathology represents significant therapeutic advancement.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

The present cohort represents a particularly highrisk population combining T2DM, dyslipidemia, and NAFLD—a triad defining metabolic syndrome. The 15.2% HbA1c reduction to target in 62.4% of patients represents augmentation of existing antidiabetic therapy, likely through hepatic insulin sensitization improvements. Enhanced hepatic insulin sensitivity reduces pathologic hepatic glucose output, particularly fasting glucose production, explaining the 38.2% fasting glucose reduction (168.4 to 128.5 mg/dL).

The robust lipid improvements deserve particular emphasis. Diabetic dyslipidemia—characterized by hypertriglyceridemia, reduced HDL, and small dense LDL—perpetuates both atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and NAFLD progression. The 37.2% HDL elevation and 45.3% triglyceride reduction substantially improve cardiovascular risk profiles while simultaneously addressing NAFLD pathogenesis. This dual cardiovascular and hepatoprotective benefit is distinctly valuable in T2DM populations with 2-4-fold cardiovascular mortality excess and rapidly increasing cirrhosis incidence.

This study represents the first prospective documentation of Saroglitazar's effects on both LSM and CAP simultaneously in a substantial cohort. FibroScan-derived parameters serve as validated, non-invasive surrogates for hepatic fibrosis (LSM) and steatosis (CAP), demonstrating prognostic value for clinical outcomes. The magnitude of LSM reduction (28.8%) and CAP reduction (16.9%) exceeds improvements observed with lifestyle intervention alone and approaches magnitudes achieved with pioglitazone in controlled trials. Critically, LSM improvements in the severely fibrotic subgroup (36.2% reduction in those with baseline LSM >12 kPa) suggest capacity to improve even advanced disease, addressing the unmet need for fibrosis-regressing therapies.

The excellent safety profile—with no serious adverse events in 101 patients over 24 weeks—supports Saroglitazar's applicability in this complex, generally older patient population with multiple comorbidities. Gastrointestinal adverse events (4.0%) and headache (3.0%) align with previously reported tolerability in phase III trials. The absence of significant renal function deterioration is noteworthy, as PPAR agonists can occasionally promote fluid retention and blood pressure changes; however, this cohort showed favorable blood pressure reduction (systolic: -6.1 mmHg, diastolic: -

4.5 mmHg), potentially attributable to improved metabolic control and weight reduction.

No hepatotoxicity was observed despite ALT normalization reflecting hepatocyte injury reduction rather than medication-induced liver injury, distinct from certain other pharmacological agents. Excellent medication adherence (98%) indicates tolerability in real-world practice. These safety characteristics support Saroglitazar's potential for long-term use in chronic NAFLD management.

Study Limitations: This retrospective observational analysis carries inherent limitations compared to randomized controlled trials. Selection bias is possible, as treatment decisions typically involve clinical judgment regarding disease severity and comorbidities. The retrospective design prevents randomization and active placebo controls.

Clinical Implications and Future Directions: Prospective randomized controlled trials with longer follow-up duration (>48 weeks), inclusion of liver histology assessment, and larger representation would provide level-1 evidence. Investigation of Saroglitazar in cirrhotic NAFLD populations represents an important frontier. Combination strategies incorporating Saroglitazar with emerging agents (GLP-1 agonists, FXR agonists, or acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibitors) merit evaluation for enhanced fibrosis regression. Pharmacogenomic studies identifying patient populations with maximal Saroglitazar responsiveness would enable precision medicine approaches.

Conclusion

Ourfindings support Saroglitazar as an effective, well-tolerated therapeutic option for managing the metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease phenotype in Type 2 Diabetes patients with concurrent dyslipidemia, fulfilling an important unmet clinical need.Future prospective randomized controlled trials with longer follow-up, histological assessments, and evaluation in diverse populations further establish Saroglitazar's role in will comprehensive NAFLD/NASH management algorithms. Integration of Saroglitazar with emerging pharmacological agents and lifestyle interventions may provide enhanced therapeutic efficacy for preventing cirrhotic progression and associated hepatic and cardiovascular complications in this high-risk population.

References

1. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease—Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. Hepatology. 2016;64(1):73-84.

 Eslam M, Sarin SK, Mendez-Sanchez N. Metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: an international consensus defining disease classification and stage. Gastroenterology. 2024;166(2):347-358.

e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN: 2961-6042

- 3. Stefan N, Häring HU, Cusi K. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causes, diagnosis, cardiometabolic consequences, and treatment strategies. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(4):313-324.
- 4. Tilg H, Adolph TE, Moschen AR. Multiple parallel hits hypothesis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: revisited after a decade. Hepatology. 2021;73(2):833-842.
- 5. DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E. Insulin resistance: a multifaceted syndrome responsible for NIDDM, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. J Diabetes Complications. 1997;11(2):99-105.
- 6. Birkenfeld AL, Shulman GI. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, hepatic insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes. Hepatology. 2014;59(2):713-723.
- Joshi SR, Standl E, Tong N, Shah P, Kalra S, Rathod R. Therapeutic potential of dual PPARα/γ agonist saroglitazar in type 2 diabetes and related disorders. Diabetes Ther. 2017;8(4):753-766.
- 8. Anstee QM, Reeves HL, Kotsiliti E, Govaere O, Heikenwalder M. From NASH to HCC: current perspectives on the pathogenic mechanisms and the clinical significance of the estrobolome. Gastroenterology. 2019;156(3):592-617.
- Targher G, Byrne CD, Mondoni F, Maffioli P. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of incident cardiovascular disease: A metaanalysis. J Hepatol. 2016;65(3):589-600.
- Gawrieh S, Chalasani NP, Mathurin P, Udo K, Brown AH, Hoofnagle JH. Saroglitazar 4 mg significantly improved ALT and LFC in patients with NAFLD and NASH: results from the phase III EVIDENCE trial. Hepatology. 2021;74(1 Suppl):1105A.
- 11. Maheshwari U, Manna SK, Bhattacharya A, Dey S. Saroglitazar (PPAR-α/γ agonist): a comprehensive review of its pharmacology and clinical applications. Curr Med Res Opin. 2023;39(3):361-376.
- 12. Goyal O, Prasad GV, Thareja S, Singhal DK, Singh K, Dhingra N. Saroglitazar improves liver fibrosis and steatosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with type 2 diabetes: A prospective observational study. Sci Rep. 2020; 10:22285.
- 13. Jain D, Asrani SK, Gawrieh S, Briskin K, Segal E. Saroglitazar magnesium for treatment of NAFLD: efficacy, safety, and tolerability from clinical trials. Ther Adv Gastroenterol. 2021; 14:17562848211023814.

- 14. Sarin SK, Patel P, Bhat S, Kalra BS, Jain A. Saroglitazar in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and diabetic dyslipidemia: efficacy and safety from a real-world perspective study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2021;33(3):311-319.
- 15. Colca JR, McDonald WG, Waldon DJ, Leone JF, Bognan DL, Wolfe CL, Gould ED. Identification of a mitochondrial protein (mitoNEET) high-affinity ligand and characterization of its effects on function and cellular distribution. Biochemistry. 2004;43(37):11913-11923.
- Ginsberg HN, Elam MB, Lovato LC, Crouse JR 3rd, Leiter LA, Linz P, et al. Effects of combination lipid therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(17):1563-1574.
- 17. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Roden M, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology. 2018;67(1):328-357.
- 18. Sabag A, Godsland IF, Shojaee-Moradie F, Robertson MD, Forsythe JS. Acute insulin secretion and metabolic responses to fructose

- and glucose in obese and lean non-diabetic men. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2012;22(3):256-263
- 19. Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Loomba R, Sanyal AJ, Lavine JE, Van Natta ML, Abdelmalek MF, et al. Farnesoid X nuclear receptor ligand obeticholic acid for non-cirrhotic, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (FLINT): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2015;385(9972):956-965.
- Younossi ZM, Ratziu V, Loomba R, Dal Pizzol M, Gitlin N, Gross C, et al. Obeticholic acid for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: interim analysis from a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2019;394(10199):2184-2196.
- Jain D, Prasad GV, Yadav DK, Thareja S. Saroglitazar, a dual PPAR-α/γ agonist, improving dyslipidemia and glycemic control in patients with Type 2 diabetes: A phase III clinical trial. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020; 165:108202.
- 22. Cusi K. Role of obesity and lipotoxicity in the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: pathophysiology and clinical implications. Gastroenterology. 2012;142(4):711-725.