e-ISSN: 0976-822X, p-ISSN:2961-6042

Available online on http://www.ijcpr.com/

International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Review and Research 2026; 18(2); 1635-1642

Original Research Article

Drain in the Wound for Prevention of Superficial Surgical Site Infection Following Open Choledocholithotomy: An Observational Study

Smit Shahi

Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh

Received: 01-11-2025 / Revised: 15-12-2025 / Accepted: 21-01-2026
Corresponding author: Dr. Smit Shahi
Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: In surgical practice, surgical site infections (SSIs) continue to be a significant issue. The SSI rate following general abdominal surgery is still more than 15% despite preventive measures including antibiotic prophylaxis and anti-septic skin washing. Following an open choledocholithotomy, this complication is also frequent. There is little information about the benefits of wound drainage in open choledocholithotomy, despite numerous trials demonstrating its effectiveness in lowering infection. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of subcutaneous drain placement in reducing superficial SSI following open choledocholithotomy.

Methods: 44 patients who had open choledocholithotomy between January 2024 and December 2024 were included in the study after convenience sampling. Two groups of patients were created. Twenty-five patients (group II) had no subcutaneous drain, while 19 patients (group I) had a subcutaneous closed suction drain. In the case group, daily drain collection was documented. Following surgery, each patient was assessed for the presence of wound dehiscence, superficial SSIs, and wound seromas. The statistical program SPSS 22 Windows version 10 was applied for data analysis and presentation.

Results: 10.5% of patients in group I and 60.0% of patients in group II were found to have SSI. The two groups' differences were statistically significant (p=0.001). Group I's average postoperative hospital stay was 11.58±2.91 days, while group II's was 15.04±5.78 days. There was a statistically significant difference between the two groups' postoperative hospital stay durations. Escherichia coli predominates among the organisms isolated from both bile culture and wound swab culture.

Conclusion: The prevention of superficial surgical site infection was positively impacted by the installation of a drain in the wound following an open choledocholithotomy. Additionally, it dramatically lowers the rate of SSIs and hospital stays.

Keywords: Surgical site infection, Open choledocholithotomy, Length of hospital stay.

DOI: 10.25258/ijcpr.18.2.270

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Between 5% and 33% of patients with symptomatic cholelithiasis develop common bile duct stones (CBDS). CBDs might come from the gallbladder and enter the CBD, or they can come from the CBD itself [1]. CBDS affects about 15% of patients with cholelithiasis; endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) can remove smaller stones, whereas open or laparoscopic surgery is needed for bigger stones [2]. According to a recent paper [3], one of the most frequent side effects of abdominal surgery is surgical site infection (SSI), which is linked to considerable discomfort, morbidity, and expense. Compared to patients who had laparoscopic surgery, those who had open surgery had a 6.5-fold higher risk of developing SSI. With the development of technique and skill, laparoscopic common bile duct exploration (LCBDE) has shown great benefits and is being accepted more and more for CBDS treatment. Patients requiring open CBDE had significantly greater complications such as operative blood loss, longer operating time, and longer hospital stay after surgery, and higher infection at a surgical site than those who underwent LCBDE [4]. Surgical site infections (SSIs), including wound infections, are defined as wound infections following surgical procedures. These remain a major problem for patients undergoing procedures despite advances in surgical techniques and medical care. SSIs have been shown to contribute up to 20% of nosocomial infections with an overall incidence of approximately 5% across all invasive surgical procedures [5]. Laparotomy has a higher risk of wound infection

and a combined rate of 15% has been reported in upper and lower gastrointestinal surgery, more than three times the average risk [6]. Risk factors significantly associated with SSIs included diabetes, wound classification, pre-operative ASA scores, pre-procedure white blood cell count (WBC), type of surgery, the extent of blood loss, transfusion, duration of operation, risk index, gastrointestinal or urinary catheter use, and postoperative drainage [7, 8] have proposed that the use of closed subcutaneous suction vacuum drainage will result in a statistically significant reduction of wound infection in emergency surgery for perforated peritonitis. The role of drainage in preventing wound infection has been widely debated.

Recently, retrospective studies have revealed that multi-channel drains with side slits prevent wound infection in colorectal and liver surgery [9]. There is also a benefit to using drains in high-risk patients, including patients with a contaminated wound. The efficacy of wound drainage in preventing SSSI after open choledocholithotomy has never been studied. This study is designed to evaluate the role of the drain in the wound in preventing SSSI after open choledocholithotomy.

Material and Methods

The Department of General Surgery at the Heritage Institute of Medical Sciences in Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, conducted this observational study. From January 2024 to December 2024, the study was carried out. 44 patients of both sexes who underwent open choledocholithotomy surgery during the study period met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Out of the 44 participants, 19 were selected for the control group I and 25 for the case group II. Convenience sampling was used to choose the sample. Patients with immunocompromised on steroid therapy, history of recent failed ERCP, history of recent cholangiti and hepatic or renal insufficiency were excluded in this study.

Data were processed and analyzed using computer software SPSS version 23.0. The outcome variable was presented in frequencies and percentages. A hypothesis test was conducted using the chi-squared test.

Results

This study included 44 patients who had open choledocholithotomy; these patients were split into two groups. 19 patients in group I had a subcutaneous closed suction drain, while 25 patients in group II did not have a subcutaneous drain. Our goal is to determine how drain location affects the prevention of superficial surgical site infections following open choledocholithotomy.

Table 1: Distribution of the study patients by demographic profile (N=44)

Demographic profile	Group I (n=19)		Group II (n=25)		p- value
	No. of cases	Percentage	No. of cases	Percentage	
Age(in years)					
≤30	3	15.8%	3	12.0%	0.223NS
31-40	6	31.6%	4	16.0%	
41-50	5	26.3%	7	28.0%	
>50	5	26.3%	11	44.0%	
Mean±SD	43.74±12.78		48.4±12.08		
Range (min-max)	20-70		25-65		

Table 1 showed the distribution of the study patients by age group. It was observed that the highest 31.6% patients belonged to age 31-40 years in group I and the highest 44% patients belonged to >50 years in group II. The mean age was 43.74±12.78 years in group I and 48.4±12.08 years in group II. The difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05 between the two groups).

Table 2: Distribution of the study patients by gender

Gender	No. of patients	Percentage
Male	27	57.9%
Female	17	42.1%
Total	44	100.0%

Among a total of 44 patients, 27 patients (57.9%) were male and 17 patients (42.1%) were female.

Table 3: Distribution of the study patients by clinical information (N=44)

Clinical information	Group I (n=19)		Group II (n=25)		p-value
	No. of cases	Percentage	No. of cases	Percentage	
BMI (kg/m^2)					
<18.5	0	0.0	1	4.0%	0.295 NS
18.5-30	15	78.9%	20	80.0%	
>30	4	21.1%	4	16.0%	
Mean±SD	25.24±4.49		26.6±4		
Range (min-max)	18.5-32.5		17.2-33.6		

Table 3 showed the distribution of the study patients by clinical information. It was observed that majority of the patients 78.9% in group I and 80.0% in group II belonged to a BMI of 18.5-30 kg/m^2 which means the nonobese group. Only 21.1% in group I and 16.0% in group II belonged to an obese group of BMI>30. The mean BMI was 25.24±4.49 kg/m^2 in group I and 26.6±4 kg/m^2 in group II. The difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05) between the two groups.In this study we found that the Co-morbidity 52.6% of patients in group I and 36.0% in group II had no co-morbidity. It was observed that 31.6% of patients had HTN/IHD in group I and 36.0% in group II. 21.1% of patients had DM in group I and 28.0% in group II. The difference was statistically not significant (p-value is 0.894) between the two groups.

Table 4: Distribution of the study patients by investigation findings (N=44)

Investigation findings	Group I (n=19)	Group II (n=25)	p-value
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
TC of WBC (×109/L) Range (min-max)	10.2±4.7 6-26	10.2±3.1 5-16	0.973ns
S. Bilirubin (mg/dl) Range (min-max)	4.3±2.2 0.2-8.1	4.4±2.5 0.6-8.2	0.846ns
SGPT (U/L) Range (min-max)	83.0±50.9 25-211	71.9±34.1 15-135	0.394ns
ALP (U/L) Range (min-max)	334.8±218.5 68-857	305.3±221.2 54-821	0.662ns
S. albumin(gm/dl) Range(min-max)	3.5±0.6 2.5-4.6	3.4±0.5 1.9-4.6	0.549ns
PT(sec) Range (min-max)	13.6±2.3 10-20	14.2±3.3 11.4-27.4	0.502ns
INR(sec) Range (min-max)	1.1±0.2 0.88-1.6	1.2±0.3 0.88-2.28	0.217ns

Table 4 showed the distribution of the study population by their hematological and biochemical investigation findings.

The mean total count of WBC was 10.2±4.7 in group I and 10.2±3.1 in group II. The mean S. bilirubin was 4.3±2.2 (mg/dl) in group I and 4.4±2.5 (mg/dl) in group II. The mean SGPT was 83.0±50.9 (U/L) in group I and 71.9±34.1 (U/L) in group II. The mean alkaline phosphatase was 334.8±218.5 (U/L) in group I and 305.3±221.2 (U/L) in group II. The mean S. albumin was 3.5±0.6 (gm/dl) in group I and 3.4±0.5 (gm/dl) in group II.

The mean PT was 13.6±2.3 (sec) in group I and 14.2±3.3 (sec) in group II. The mean INR was 1.1±0.2 (sec) in group I and 1.2±0.3 (sec) in group II. The difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05) between the two groups.

Table 5: Distribution of the study patients by per-operative variables (N=44)

Per-operative variables	Group I (n=19)		Group II (n=25)		p-value
	No. of cases	Percentage	No. of cases	Percentage	
Number of stone					
Single stone	5	26.3%	5	20.0%	0.621 NS
Multiple stones	14	73.7%	20	80.0%	
Length of operation					
<120 minutes	5	26.3%	5	20.0%	0.336 NS
120-180 minutes	9	47.4%	17	68.0%	
>180 minutes	5	26.3%	3	12.0%	
Blood transfusion					

Yes	3	15.8%	5	20.0%	0.719NS
No	16	84.2%	20	80.0%	
Condition of liver					
Normal	8	42.1%	12	48.0%	0.697 NS
Congested	11	57.9%	13	52.0%	

Table 5 showed the distribution of the study patients by per-operative variables. It was observed that almost 73.7% of patients had multiple stones in group I and 80.0% in group II. Almost half (47.4%) patients belonged to the length of operation 120-180 minutes in group I and more than a half (68.0%) in group II. Three (15.8%) patients in group I and five (20.0%) in group II had a per-operative blood transfusion. 57.9% of patients in group I and 52.0% in group II had congested liver. The difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05) between the two groups.In this study patients by Isolation of organisms from Bile C/S. In group I, 21.1% of patients did not show any growth of an organism from bile culture, and 78.9% of patients showed growth of organisms. It was observed that in 52.5% cases E. Coli, and in 21.1% cases klebsiella spp. was isolated from bile culture. In group II, 40.0% of patients did not show any growth organism from bile culture and 60.0% patients showed growth of organisms. It was observed that in 44.0% cases E. Coli, and in 12.0% cases klebsiella spp. was isolated from bile culture. The difference was statistically not significant (p-value is 0.404) between the two groups. P-value reached from Chi-square test.In our study we observed that 2(10.2%) patients had SSI in group I and 15(60.0%) in group II. The difference was statistically significant (p-value is 0.001) between the two groups.

Table 6: Distribution of the study patients by postoperative hospital stay (N=44)

	Group I (n=19)	Group II (n=25)	p-value
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Post-operative hospital stays (days)	11.58±2.91	15.04±5.78	0.022 S
Range (min-max)	7-17	7-30	

Table 6 showed the mean postoperative hospital stay was 11.58±2.91 days in group I and 15.04±5.78 days in group II which is shown in Table V. The difference in length of postoperative hospital stay was statistically significant (p<0.05) between the two groups.

Table 7: Distribution of the study patients with SSIs, by post-Operative variable (n=17)

	Group I (n=2)		Group II (n=15)		p- value
	No. of cases	Percentage	No. of cases	Percentage	
Isolation of organisms (from wound swab)					
Not done	0	0.0	1	6.7%	0.811 NS
No growth	0	0.0	1	6.7%	
E.Coli	2	100.0%	8	53.3%	
Klebsiella spp.	0	0.0	4	26.6%	
Staphylococcus	0	0.0	1	6.7%	

Table 7 showed the distribution of the study patients by post-operative variable. In group II, 6.7% of patients did not show any growth of the organism and in 6.7% of cases wound swab C/S was not done. Two (100.0%) patients had isolation of E. coli from wound swab C/S in group I and 8(53.3%) in group II. It was observed that in 26.7 % of cases klebsiella was isolated from wound swab culture in group II. The difference was statistically not significant (p>0.5) between the two groups.

Table 8: Distribution of the study patients by drain collection and drain removal (n=19)

Drain collection (POD-ml)	Mean±SD	Range (min-max)
1st(ml)	10.2±5.9	3-20
2nd(ml)	7.1±5.1	2-17
3rd(ml)	4.9±3.1	2-12
4th(ml)	4.6±3.0	2-10
5th(ml)	2.7±1.5	2-6
6th(ml)	2.0±0.0	2-2
Total	24.21±18.08	5-67
Drain removal (POD)	5.5±1.3	4-8

Table 8 showed the distribution of the study patients by drain collection. The mean total drain collection was 24.21±18.08 (ml) with a range from 5 to 67 (ml). The mean day of drain removal was 5.5±1.3th post-operative day.



Figure 1: Right subcostal incision



Figure 2: Aspiration of Bile from CBD



Figure 3: Evaluation of CBD by choledochoscope



Figure 4: Negative suction subcutaneous drainage system

Discussion

44 individuals who had open choledocholithotomy were included in our study. Group I comprised 19 patients with subcutaneous closed suction drains, while Group II comprised 25 patients without any subcutaneous drains. According to the study's demographic profile, 31.6% of patients in group I were between the ages of 31 and 40, whereas 44% of patients in group II were above the age of 50. Group I's mean age was 43.74±12.78 years, whereas Group II's was 48.4±12.08 years. Similar to our analysis, a study [10] found that the mean patient age for disease presentation was 39 years ±11 years. The average age in our study was 43.74±12.78 years for group I and 48.4±12.08 years for group II. 57.9% of patients were male and 42% of patients were female in group I and 64.0% of patients were male and 36% of patients were female in group II. These two parameters are comparable to our study. [10] identified obesity, thick subcutaneous adipose tissue, long operation time, and being>70 years of age as risk factors for incisional SSI [6,11] also showed that the SSI-positive group had a significantly higher average BMI than the SSI-negative group (P=0.046). In contrast, these parameters are not significantly associated with a higher rate of SSIs in our study. 26.3% in group I and 44.0% in group II are>50 years of age. Only 21% in group I and 16% in group II belonged to the obese group of BMI>30. In our study, 26.3% in group I and 12% in group II required a long operation time (>180 minutes) [8,12] stated in their study that length of surgery, transfusion, diabetes mellitus, smoking history, body mass index, pre-and postoperative albumin level were not significantly associated with the development of SSIs. In our study, the results were also similar. 21.1% of patients had DM in group I and 28.0% in group II. 15.8% of patients in group I and 20.0% in group II had a per-operative blood transfusion. The pre-operative mean S. albumin was 3.5±0.6 (gm/dl) in group I and 3.4±0.5 (gm/dl) in group II. All these parameters were not statistically significant [7]. Found that the elevated level of WBC was an independent risk factor for the development of SSI in patients undergoing a surgical procedure. In our study, the mean total count of WBC was 10.2±4.7 in group I and 10.2±3.1 in group II, which was not statistically significant. In our study, 73.7% of patients in group I and 80.0% in group II had multiple stones, which were not significantly associated with postoperative wound infection. In a prospective cohort study cited by [3], SSIs are associated with higher hospital stay lengths and costs. Our study noted that 2(10.2%) patients in group I and 15 (60.0%) in group II had SSI. The mean postoperative hospital stay was 11.58±2.91 days in the subcutaneous drain group and 15.04±5.78 days in the no subcutaneous drain group. It means that no subcutaneous drain group has a high incidence of SSI and therefore has a long hospital stay. The rate of SSIs was much higher with abdominal surgery than with other types of surgery, with several prospective studies indicating an incidence of 15%-25% depending on the level of contamination. In their prospective cohort study, the overall incidence of SSI was 16.3% (55/337). In our study, the overall incidence of SSI was 36.6% (17/44). which is much higher than the [3] study. In this study, regarding the distribution of the study patients by the presence of SSI, it was observed that 2(10.2%) patients had SSI in group I and 15(60.0%) in group II. The difference was statistically significant between the two groups [8, 12]. Reported that 24% of patients in the drain group develop surgical site infections. 50% of patients in the non-drain group develop the infection. The incidence of infection in the drain group was lower than the no-drain group and was statistically significant. They highlighted the important role of subcutaneous drainage in emergency laparotomy in reducing the incidence of surgical site infection [13].

Conducted a prospective, open and comparative cohort study. The total sample size was 300 patients with 150 in each group. SSI was present in 15.3% of cases and 30% of controls and the difference was statistically significant (p value=0.002). The results show that the use of negative suction drain in the subcutaneous plane during laparotomy for class III wounds reduces the incidence of postoperative surgical site infection, seroma formation, and wound dehiscence [11]. Performed an RCT to evaluate the clinical benefits of using a subcutaneous closed suction Blake drain in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. The incidence of incisional SSIs rate was 12.8 % in the control arm and 4.5 % in the subcutaneous drainage arm. A meta-analysis conducted by [14] compared drained with undrained surgeries featuring gastrointestinal (GI) tract opening. A total of 8 studies, including 2833 patients, were considered eligible to collect the data necessary. The use of subcutaneous suction drains did not exhibit any significant differences between drained and undrained patients in developing SSI (odds ratio 0.76, 95% CI 0.56-1.02; p=0.07). In this study, they did not encourage the use of subcutaneous drains on a routine basis. It was not possible to meta-analyze data about SSI for the type of surgical procedures because of a lack of detailed parting within the individual studies. In our study, we only included the patients who underwent open choledocholithotomy and we have found subcutaneous drain useful in preventing SSIs. A review article by [5] showed, in three trials there is a significant reduction in surgical site infections in the drainage group. They draw a conclusion that using subcutaneous wound drainage after laparotomy in all patients is unnecessary as it does

not reduce SSI risk. There may be benefits in using drains in patients who are at high risk, including patients who are obese and/or have contaminated wound types [8].

Have conducted a randomized controlled study to determine the role of a subcutaneous closed vacuum drain in the prevention of surgical site infection in emergency surgery for perforated peritonitis. They found that the use of a subcutaneous closed suction vacuum drain results in a statistically significant reduction in wound infection (58% vs. 16%; p <0.001).

The most common organism causing SSI was found to be Escherichia Coli accounting for 62.5% of cases in the drain group and 62.7% of cases in the control group, followed by Klebsiella 24.14% of cases in the control group. In our study, 100.0% of patients had isolation of E. coli from wound swab in the drain group and 53.3% in the ND group. 26.7 % of cases klebsiella was isolated from wound swabs in the control group. These findings are comparable to the study by [8]. In our study, regarding the distribution of the study patients by isolation of organisms (Bile C/S), it was observed that more than half (52.5%) patients had isolation of (Bile C/S) E. coli in group I and 11(44.0%) in group II.

The difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05) between the two groups [15]. Reported that the most common pathogens in biliary infection are Gram-negative anaerobes, dominated by Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp, Acinetobacter, baumannii complex, and Enterobacter spp. The average total drain collection in group I was 24.21 ± 18.08 (ml) with a range from 5 to 67 (ml). This amount of fluid must accumulate in the subcutaneous plane in group II. [13] Showed that inserting subcutaneous suction drainage at the end of the operation could effectively drain the wound collections and wound seroma, thereby preventing SSSIs and wound dehiscence.

Conclusion

The prevention of superficial surgical site infection was positively impacted by the insertion of a drain into the open choledocholithotomy incision. Additionally, it dramatically lowers the SSSI rate and length of hospital stay. After an open choledocholithotomy, subcutaneous drains can help prevent SSIs. However, more extensive research with a more rigorous design is necessary before recommending it for regular use.

References

1. Alsebaey, A., Gad, E.H., Zakaria, H., Kamel, Y., Zakareyac, T., and Abbasyc, M. (2019). Large, challenging CBD stones managed surgically (open and laparoscopic) following various endoscopic failure sessions: A retrospective cohort study, Annals of Medicine and Surgery, 43, 52-63.
2. Miah, H.A., Bari, A.K.M.A., Nahar, N., Hoque, M.S. (2013). Our First Experience with Choledocholithotomy without Tube Drainage, Chattagram Maa-O-Shishu Hospital Medical College Journal, 12(2), 41–44.
3. Surgical site infection after abdominal surgery: a prospective cohort research Alkaaki, A., Al-Radi, O.O., Khoja, A., Alnawawi, A., and Maghrabi, A. (2019). Surgery in Canada, 62(2), pp. 111–117.
4. Meng, H.B., Gong, J., Sun, W., Wang, Y.X., Qiu, Y.X., Xu, B., and Wang (2018). Risk factors and the effects of switching from laparoscopic common bile duct exploration to open surgery. Endoscopy surgery, 32(12), pp. 4990-4998.
5. Manzoor, B., Sharma, A., & Heywood, N. (2015). Examining how subcutaneous wound drainage can lower surgical site infections following a laparotomy. Research and practice in surgery, 1-6. Research and practice in surgery, 1–6.
6. Yamanaka, T., Watanabe, A., Kohnoe, S., Shimabukuro, R., Iso, Y., Baba, H. (2008). risk factors for surgical site infections following upper and lower gastrointestinal procedures. 404-412 in Surgery Today, 38(5).
7. In 2015, Cheng, K., Li, J., Kong, Q., Wang, C., Ye, N., and Xia, G. 1,138 patients were included in a prospective study on surgical site infection risk variables in a teaching hospital. Patient choice and compliance, 9, 1171.
8. Chatterjee, S., Kumar, S., Gupta, S., Satpathy, A., Chatterjee, S., & Ray, U. (2017). A randomized control trial examined the function of a subcutaneous closed vacuum drain in avoiding surgical site infections after emergency surgery for perforative peritonitis. 16(1), 85-90; Bangladesh Journal of Medical Science.
9. Midorikawa, Y., Nakayama, H., Takayama, T., Okubo, T., Higaki, T., Moriguchi, M., & Yamazaki, S. (2014). A randomized controlled experiment using subcutaneous drainage to stop wound infection during liver resection. Journal of Pancreatic and Hepatobiliary Sciences, 21(7), 509-517.
10. A., Şahin, Arslan, K., Hasırcı, İ., Şimşek, G., İbrahim, A., Eryılmaz, M. A., & Kartal, A. (2021). A Prospective, Randomized, Multicenter Clinical Study on the Impact of Subcutaneous Jackson-Pratt Drain on Incisional Surgical Site Infection following Open Colorectal Resection for Cancer. 83(3), 712-719; Indian Journal of Surgery.
11. Ota, M., Watanabe, J., Nagahori, K., Kawamoto, M., Akikazu, Y., Suwa, Y., Suwa,

H., Subcutaneous closed-suction Blake drains were tested in a randomized controlled experiment to reduce incisional surgical site infections during colorectal surgery. 32(3), 391-398, International Journal of Colorectal Disease.

12. Kumar, R., and Gupta, P. (2017). Subcutaneous suction drains are useful for lowering surgical site infections during emergency laparotomies. Journal of International Surgery, 4(8), 2717–2720.
13. Wani, J. N., & Bhat, J. A. (2019). Contaminated Abdominal Surgeries: The Function of Negative Suction Subcutaneous Drains. J Gastrointest Dig Syst, 9(1), 587.
14. Coletta, D., Giuliani, G., Del Basso, C., & Guerra, F. (2019). According to the findings of a systematic review and meta-analysis, subcutaneous suction drains do not prevent surgical site infections in clean-contaminated abdominal surgery. 404(6), 663-668 Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery.
15. Zhang, H., Liu, J., Yan, Q., Luo, F., Shang, D., Wu, D., & Xin, Y. (2015). Acute cholecystitis linked to gut microbiota Enterobacteriaceae infection. 21(9), 851-e1; Clinical Microbiology and Infection.