

The Prevalence of Malocclusion and Oral Habits among 5–7-Year-Old Children

Parul Tyagi¹, Deepti Bhatt²¹Assistant Professor, Dept of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Subharti Dental College, Meerut²Senior demonstrator, Geetanjali Medical College and Hospital, Udaipur

Received: 17-01-2026 / Revised: 17-02-2026 / Accepted: 18-03-2026

Corresponding Author: Dr. Parul Tyagi

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:**Background:** Digit sucking, tongue thrust swallowing, and mouth breathing are potential risk factors for development of malocclusion. The purpose of this study was to verify the prevalence of different occlusal traits among 5–7-year old children and assess their relationship with oral habits.**Material/Methods:** The study included 503 pre-school children (260 boys and 243 girls) with a mean age of 5.95 years. Different occlusal traits were verified by intraoral examination. Oral habits were diagnosed using data gathered from clinical examination of occlusion and extra-oral assessment of the face, combined with a questionnaire for parents.**Results:** The study demonstrated that 71.4% of the children presented with 1 or more attributes of malocclusion and 16.9% had oral habits. The vertical and sagittal misrelation of incisors, as well as spacing, were the predominant features. This study showed that digit suckers have higher incidence of anterior open bite (P=0.013) and posterior cross-bite (P=0.005). The infantile type of swallowing demonstrated strong association (P=0.001) with anterior open bite.**Conclusions:** Non-Nutritive Sucking Habits and Tongue Thrust Swallowing Are Significant Risk Factors for the Development of Anterior Open Bite and Posterior Crossbite in Pre-School Children.**DOI:** 10.25258/ijcpr.18.3.147

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Malocclusion is common in children and prevalence in different age groups ranges from 20% to 93% [1–4]. Most epidemiological studies of occlusion traits are concentrated on the permanent dentition [5]. Studies assessing the deciduous and mixed dentition stages, when interceptive treatment and developing occlusion guidance measures are typically prescribed, are much less common. Nevertheless, some studies reported that as early as 3 years of age prevalence of malocclusion is as high as 70–82.5% [3,6,7]. Among pre-school children, the most common conditions are anterior open bite (AOB), excessive overjet (OJ), Class II malocclusions, and posterior cross-bite (CB) [3,6,8,9].

Malocclusions are believed to be caused by a combination of inherited and environmental factors acting together. Oral habits are among the most evident examples of environmental etiology of malocclusion [10,11]. It is well accepted that nonnutritive sucking habit persisting beyond 3 years of age are implicated in the development of AOB [3,12]. However, data on the prevalence of oral habits among pre-school children are inconsistent and ranges from 1.1% to 67.9% [13–16]. This could

be because most of these studies used retrospective questionnaires to characterize the presence or absence of oral habits. The use of a single retrospective questionnaire makes it difficult for parents to accurately assess the presence of habits, and, in turn, makes it difficult to estimate their effects on occlusion. The validity of such data is somewhat questionable [9].

Since oral habits like digit sucking, tongue thrust swallowing, and mouth breathing are modifiable factors, reliable data and knowledge of how such behaviors contribute to malocclusion is important for its cessation and prevention [17].

The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of different occlusal traits among 5–7-year-old pre-school children and assess their relationship with oral habits, using data gathered from clinical examination of occlusion and extra-oral assessment of the face, combined with a questionnaire for parents.

Material and Methods

The study was conducted as a prospective observational study from January to July 2025

among study subjects in District Amroha, Uttar Pradesh.

The inclusion criteria were as follows. Subjects should: 1) be 5–7 years old; 2) have central permanent or deciduous incisors; 3) be non-syndromic and non-cleft patients; and 4) have no history of orthodontic treatment.

The sample size calculation was based on data from a study by Warren et al. [9], which was chosen because it consisted of children in mixed dentition stages and analyzed relationships between non-nutritive sucking habits and occlusion. They found a 55% prevalence of malocclusion and 51% of children had a predominant digit-sucking habit at the early mixed dentition stage. Assuming prevalence of malocclusion and oral habits as not less than 50%, the sample size calculation indicated that 384 subjects would be sufficient to detect a prevalence of malocclusion and sucking habit with a confidence interval of 95% ($p < 0.05$).

Clinical examination. All clinical examinations were made by the same investigator in the nursery room of selected kindergartens/pre-schools in natural daylight, using latex gloves, a sterile dental mouth mirror, and an orthodontic ruler. Registration of the occlusal characteristics was carried out according to the principles developed by the Federation Dentaire Internationale [18], consisting of an objective measurement of the occlusal traits. This method has been adapted to the primary dentition and a brief description is outlined below.

Incisal segments: The OJ at the level of the central incisors was measured by a metric ruler to the closest millimeter. The amount of vertical overlap of the upper incisors on the lower incisors was marked with a pencil and measured with a metric ruler to the closest millimeter. The amount of AOB was measured directly.

Lateral segments: Sagittal relationship of first permanent molars was evaluated according to Angle classification. Terminal plane relationship of deciduous second molars was measured between perpendicular projections on the occlusal plane, from the distal surfaces of the maxillary and mandibular second deciduous molars. A flush terminal plane was considered when the distance between perpendiculars was less than 1 mm in either direction. A difference equal to 1 mm or more was classified as distal or a mesial step, depending on the direction of the deviation. The relationship was not assessed in case of prematurely lost second deciduous molars.

The transverse relation of the lateral segments was measured by direct inspection: 1 of 3 separate relationships was recorded: (i) normal relationship;

(ii) buccal crossbite; and (iii) lingual crossbite. The existence of a buccal or lingual crossbite was registered each time 1 or several teeth in the lateral segment were involved. Upper midline shift was registered if the midline was displaced by at least 1 mm. Spacing exceeding 1 mm was registered as present. Oral habits were diagnosed using methods validated by other studies assessing data from the parents' questionnaire [19,20] and updated by extra-oral examination of the face. Mouth-breathing patterns and thumb-sucking were diagnosed only if our clinical examination indicated and parents confirmed the presence of those habits in the questionnaires.

Mouth breathing: Extraoral observation of the face was completed in a relaxed position of the children (watching a cartoon movie/ Youtube video). Lip incompetence at rest, the tension of the oral muscles while closing the lips, and dilated nostrils when asked to breathe through the nose were considered for a diagnosis of mouth-breathing.

Digit sucking: This non-nutritive sucking habit was diagnosed after inspection of the fingers to see if they were asymmetric or had other signs indicating persisting sucking.

Infantile type of swallowing: Hyper-activity of the perioral muscles and the tongue protrusion between upper and lower incisors or cuspids with no molar contact during swallowing was registered as infantile swallowing. The children were asked to swallow their saliva 3 times during the same visit. When in doubt, another swallow was requested until the observer was satisfied with the judgment.

The absolute and percentage frequencies were obtained for data analysis. Chi-square analysis was used for binary variables and an odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval was calculated to measure the strength of associations for binary variables. Each sagittal, vertical, and transverse occlusal trait was dichotomized in contrast with the others and correlated with oral habits. The level of probability was set at $p=0.05$. Differences in probabilities of less than 5% ($P < 0.05$) were considered to be statistically significant.

Result

The initial sample consisted of 709 children registered in 17 kindergartens/ preschool. Parents of 101 children refused to participate in the study; 64 children were absent on the day of the examination; 37 children were excluded from the study because of not fully erupted, decayed, or missing central incisors; and 4 because of using pre-orthodontic trainers. Thus, 503 children (mean age of 5.95 ± 0.61 years) participated in the study.

Table 1: The prevalence of malocclusion and differences between genders.

Occlusal traits								
		Total		Boys		Girls		P Value
		n	%	n	%	n	%	
Sagittal relationship								
Incisors (OJ)								
	Edge-to-edge	47	9.3	27	10.4	20	8.2	NS*
	0–2 mm	205	40.8	106	40.7	99	40.8	NS*
	>2 mm	232	46.1	119	45.8	113	46.5	NS*
	< 0 mm	19	3.8	8	3.1	11	4.5	NS*
Deciduous molars								
Right side	PLDM	19	3.8	12	4.6	7	2.9	NS*
	MS	262	52.1	134	51.5	128	52.7	NS*
	DS	125	24.9	66	25.4	59	24.3	NS*
	FTP	97	19.3	48	18.5	49	20.2	NS*
Left side	PLDM	22	4.4	13	5.0	9	3.7	NS*
	MS	243	48.3	131	50.4	112	46.1	NS*
	DS	125	24.9	59	22.7	66	27.2	NS*
	FTP	113	22.5	57	21.9	56	23.0	NS*
Permanent molars								
Right side	Not erupted	393	78.1	204	78.5	189	77.8	NS*
	Class I	52	10.3	30	11.5	22	9.1	NS*
	Class II	54	10.7	24	9.2	30	12.3	NS*
	Class III	4	0.8	2	0.8	2	0.8	NS*
Left side	Not erupted	391	77.7	201	77.3	190	78.2	NS*
	Class I	55	10.9	33	12.7	22	9.1	NS*
	Class II	53	10.5	24	9.2	29	11.9	NS*
	Class III	4	0.8	2	0.8	2	0.8	NS*
Vertical relationship of incisors (OB)								
	Edge-to-edge	45	9.0	28	10.8	17	7.0	NS*
	1–3 mm	289	57.4	151	58.0	138	56.8	NS*
	>3 mm	156	31.0	78	30.0	78	32.1	NS*
	<0 mm	13	2.6	3	1.2	10	4.1	NS*
Transversal relationship								
Incisors	Normal	393	78.1	211	81.2	182	74.9	NS*
	Midline shift	110	21.9	49	18.8	61	25.1	NS*
Molars	Normal	468	93.0	245	94.2	223	91.8	NS*
	BCB	34	6.8	14	5.4	20	8.2	0.03
	LCB	1	0.2	1	0.4	0	0.0	NS*
Spacing								
	No spaces	30	5.9	8	3.1	22	9.1	NS*
	Diastema	264	52.5	140	53.8	124	51.0	NS*
	Other spaces	209	41.6	112	43.1	97	39.9	NS*

* The difference between genders with a $P > 0.05$ value is not statistically significant (NS). OJ – overjet; OB – overbite; PLDM – prematurely lost

deciduous molars; MS – mesial step; DS – distal step; FTP – flash terminal plane; BCB – buccal crossbite; LCB – lingual crossbite.

Table 2: The prevalence of oral habits and distribution between genders.

Oral habits	Total		Boys		Girls		P Value
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Normal functions	418	83.1	219	84.2	199	81.9	NS*
Mouth-breathing	51	10.1	32	12.3	19	7.8	NS*
Infantile-swallowing	27	5.4	7	2.7	20	8.2	0.01**
Finger sucking	7	1.4	2	0.8	5	2.1	NS*

* The difference between genders with a $P > 0.05$ value is not statistically significant (NS). ** Infantile

swallowing is more frequent in girls than in boys ($P = 0.01$). The difference is statistically significant.

The intra-observer agreement for the presence of posterior crossbite, terminal plane of second deciduous molars and spacing was excellent (unweighted kappa=0.91, 0.86 and 0.89, respectively), and was good for oral habits (unweighted kappa was not less than=0.79). The error of measurements given in $\pm 2SD$ of the differences between the repeated measurements was ± 0.68 mm for OJ and ± 0.42 mm for OB and was considered to be not clinically significant.

The study demonstrated that 71.4% of the children presented with 1 or more attributes of malocclusion and 16.9% had oral habits. The prevalence of occlusal traits is presented in Table 1. The vertical and sagittal malrelation of incisors was a predominant feature. Overjet exceeding 2 mm was found in almost half and increased overbite (>3 mm) was found in 31.0% of the subjects. The 4 fully erupted permanent molars were found in only 21.9% of children. Class I and Class II molar relationship was equally distributed in the sample and Class III was registered in only 4 subjects. Spacing was a common feature in the study group. Analysis of interrelationship between different occlusal characteristics demonstrated that the only statistically significant correlation was between the distal terminal plane of deciduous molars and increased overjet ($P=0.001$). The chi-squared test confirmed that there is no evidence ($P>0.05$) to suggest a difference in prevalence of occlusal traits and oral habits between genders, except for posterior CB ($P=0.03$) and infantile swallowing ($P=0.01$), which were more frequent in girls.

Effect of the oral habits on the dentition. This study showed that digit suckers have higher incidence of AOB ($P=0.013$) and posterior CB ($P=0.005$). Children with infantile type of swallowing had a significantly higher prevalence of AOB ($P=0.001$). Associations were also found between mouth breathing and formation of molar Class III sagittal relationship ($P=0.027$).

Discussion

The present study aimed to provide detailed insight into the prevalence of clinically relevant occlusal traits and their relationship with oral habits at the early occlusion development stages. An effort was made to ensure accuracy of oral habit diagnostics, based not only on a questionnaire for parents, but also on clinical observation. For results showing consistency, the STROBE Statement checklist was used [22].

Studies on the prevalence of occlusal anomalies in the age group of 5–7-year-olds are rare and results vary greatly, from 36% to almost 80% [3,4,23]. We found that 71.4% of children had some kind of abnormal occlusal trait. The inconsistency of results between different studies might be due to a different

incidence of malocclusion between populations, but also due to different methods of malocclusion registration. The most precise and reliable method to evaluate occlusal traits is measurement on articulated study models, as in the study by Warren et al. [9], who found that 55% of children had malocclusion. We did not use study models due to the very young age of the children in the study sample. The higher prevalence of malocclusion in our study (71.4% vs. 55%) can probably be explained by the different mean age of the study samples (5.95 vs. 8.6 years), as we must consider possible self-correction of some occlusal traits with growth at later developmental stages [3].

Our study shows that digit sucking has an impact on occlusal development, and a statistically significant association was found with AOB and posterior CB. Such findings are not surprising, as numerous studies [9,10,12,13,16,19,24] have linked non-nutritive sucking habits to malocclusion. However, in contrast to previous data indicating prevalence of non-nutritive sucking habits as high as 51.0% [9] or even 88% [3], we found it only in 1.4% of the study sample. This discrepancy could be explained at least by 2 reasons. First, in our study, sucking habit was validated only when results from the parents' questionnaire and clinical observation were concordant. Almost all other studies were based mainly on a parents' questionnaire [6,11,13–15]. We found that disagreement between the parents' questionnaire and clinical observation establishing diagnosis of digit sucking was 28.5% and 19.6% for mouth breathing. Thus, only results from self-reported data can be questioned. Secondly, our study sample composition depended on the willingness of the children and their parents to join the study. Most available reports [3,4,10] on oral habits used data from routine longitudinal medical studies, in which information about these habits was collected along with the other data and were not focused on oral conditions. The participation of volunteers might be a source of bias in the study, because it can be speculated that parents with malocclusion were more motivated to take part in the study. On the other hand, very concerned parents could have already consulted an orthodontist and decided to refuse to participate. This self-selection could have affected the representativeness of the sample, but it is difficult to assess the direction and magnitude of its influence. We believe the relatively large sample size allowed us to reduce this type of bias to a minimum.

We found that mouth breathing was the most prevalent condition (10.1%) with a possible potential negative effect on occlusion (molar Class III sagittal relationship). This agrees with many other studies, because nasal obstruction and mouth breathing have proven negative effects on development of the cranio-facial complex [25,26].

However, it should be borne in mind that we found only 4 children with molar Class III, so these associations should be interpreted with caution.

The infantile type of swallowing was established in 5.4% of the sample. The role of infantile swallowing for AOB formation is not clear. The prevalent opinion is that duration of the pressure of the tongue on the anterior teeth during swallowing is too short to have an influence on the eruption of anterior teeth, and therefore the formation of AOB [27,28]. According to Proffit [28], AOB itself is more likely to cause the infantile swallowing pattern rather than vice versa. In contrast, the ultrasonography assessment of swallowing type provided data indicating that infantile swallowing is an important factor for AOB formation [29]. We found that tongue thrust swallowing is associated with AOB formation ($P=0.001$) and this in agreement with recent reports [30]. Tongue thrust swallowing has been assumed to be a contributing factor in the relapse of orthodontic treatment results and should be controlled during the treatment and retention periods [31].

The important advantage of the present study is the accuracy of oral habit registration, based not only on the questionnaire for parents, but also on clinical observation. Without this attention to the details of clinical assessment, prevalence of oral habits may not reflect everyday dental practice. From a clinical standpoint, our results suggest that prevalence of some habits, like digit sucking, might be overestimated and conditions such as mouth breathing may be overlooked. Therefore, further studies are warranted on even larger populations, in order to produce consistent and clinically relevant data.

Conclusion: The prevalence of malocclusion among 5–7-year-old pre-school children is 71.4%. There was a significant association between sucking habits and anterior open bite, as well as posterior crossbite. Tongue thrust swallowing is more likely to be a contributing factor for anterior open bite development.

References:

- Ciuffolo F, Manzoli L, D'Attilio M et al: Prevalence and distribution by gender of occlusal characteristics in a sample of Italian secondary school students: a cross-sectional study. *Eur J Orthod*, 2005; 27: 601–6
- Baubiniene D, Sidlauskas A, Miseviciene I: The need for orthodontic treatment among 10-11 and 14-15-year-old Lithuanian schoolchildren. *Medicina (Kaunas)*, 2009; 45: 814–21
- Dimberg L, Lennartsson B, Soderfeldt B, Bondemark L: Malocclusions in children at 3 and 7 years of age: a longitudinal study. *Eur J Orthod*, 2013; 35: 131–37
- Gois E, Vale M, Paiva S et al: Incidence of malocclusion between primary and mixed dentitions among Brazilian children. A 5-year longitudinal study. *Angle Orthod*, 2012; 82: 495–500
- Sidlauskas A, Lopatiene K: The prevalence of malocclusion among 7–15-year-old Lithuanian schoolchildren. *Medicina (Kaunas)*, 2009; 45: 147–52
- Kohler L, Holst K: Malocclusion and sucking habits of four-year-old children. *Acta Paediatr Scand*, 1973; 62: 373–79
- Ravn J: Occlusion in the primary dentition in 3-year-old children. *Scand J Dent Res*, 1975; 83: 123–30
- Thilander B, Myrberg N: The prevalence of malocclusion in Swedish school children. *Scand J Dent Res*, 1973; 81: 12–21
- Warren J, Slayton L, Yonesu T et al: Effects of nonnutritive sucking habits on occlusal characteristics in the mixed dentition. *Pediatr Dent*, 2005; 27: 445–50
- Klocke A, Nanda R, Kahl-Nieke B: Anterior open bite in the deciduous dentition: Longitudinal follow-up and craniofacial growth considerations. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2002; 122: 353–58
- Mistry P, Moles D, O'Neill J, Noar J: The occlusal effects of digit sucking habits amongst school children in Northamptonshire (UK). *J Orthod*, 2010; 37: 87–92
- Svedmyr B: Dummy sucking. A study of its prevalence, duration and malocclusion consequences. *Swed Dent J*, 1979; 3: 205–10
- Quashie-Williams R, DaCosta O, Isiekwe M: Oral habits, prevalence and effects on occlusion of 4–15 year old school children in Lagos, Nigeria. *Nigerian Postgrad Med J*, 2010; 17: 113–17
- Shetty S, Munshi A: Oral habits in children-a prevalence study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*, 1998; 16: 61–66
- Kharbanda O, Sidhu S, Sundaram K, Shukla D: Oral habits in school going children of Delhi: a prevalence study. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*, 2003; 21: 120–24
- Farsi N, Salama F: Sucking habits in Saudi children: prevalence, contributing factors and effects on the primary dentition. *Pediatric Dent*, 1997; 19: 28–33
- Vig K, Fields H: Facial growth and management of orthodontic problems. *Pediatr Clin North Am*, 2000; 47: 1085–123
- Baum L, Horowitz H, Summers C et al.: A method for measuring occlusal traits. *Intern Dent J*, 1973; 23: 530–37
- Ovsenik M: Incorrect orofacial functions until 5 years of age and their association with posterior crossbite. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2009; 136: 375–81

20. Jalaly T, Ahrari F, Amini F: Effect of tongue thrust swallowing on position of anterior teeth. *J Dent res Dent Clin Dent Prospects*, 2009, 3: 73–77
21. Bland J, Altman D: Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet*, 1986; 1: 307–10
22. von Elm E, Altman D, Egger M et al: The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: Guidelines for Reporting Observational Studies. *PloS Med*, 2007; 4(10): e296
23. Johannsdottir B, Wisth P, Magnusson T: Prevalence of malocclusion in 6 year old Icelandic children. *Acta Odontol Scand*, 1997; 55: 398–402
24. Katz C, Rosenblatt A, Gondim P: Nonnutritive sucking habits in Brazilian children: effects on deciduous dentition and relationship with facial morphology. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2004; 126: 53–57
25. Gois E, Ribeiro-Junior H, Vale M et al: Influence of nonnutritive sucking habits, breathing pattern and adenoid size on the development of malocclusion. *Angle Orthod*, 2008; 78: 647–54
26. Souki B, Pimenta G, Souki M et al: Prevalence of malocclusion among mouth breathing children: do expectations meet reality? *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*, 2009; 73: 767–73
27. Mason RM: Myths that persist about orofacial myology. *Int J Orofacial Myology*, 2011; 37: 26–38
28. Proffit W, Fields H, Sarver D: Contemporary orthodontics. Mosby/Elsevier, St Louis, Mo., Edinburgh, 2007; 153–54
29. Peng C, Jost-Brinkmann P, Yoshida N et al: Differential diagnosis between infantile and mature swallowing with ultrasonography. *Eur J Orthod*, 2003; 25: 451–56
30. Dixi U, Shetty R: Comparison of soft-tissue, dental, and skeletal characteristics in children with and without tongue thrusting habit. *Contemp Clin Dent*, 2013; 4: 2–6
31. Yashiro K, Takada K: Tongue muscle activity after orthodontic treatment of anterior open bite: a case report. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 1999, 115: 660–66