ISSN: 0975-1556

Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2021; 13(5);536-541

Original Research Article

Comparison of Efficacy and Safety of Gabapentin vs Nortryptiline in Menopausal Symptoms

Amit Kumar Ambasta¹, Shashi Prabha Sinha², Swetabh Verma³, Asha Kumari⁴, V K Mishra⁵

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India.

²Senior Resident, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Darbhanga, Bihar, India.

³Tutor, Department of Pharmacology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India.

⁵Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Darbhanga, Bihar, India.

Received: 05-07-2021 / Revised: 07-08-2021 / Accepted: 23-09-2021

Corresponding author: Dr. Swetabh Verma

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy and safety of gabapentin vs nortryptiline in menopausal symptoms.

Methods: A comparative study was conducted in Department of Pharmacology, Darbhanga Medical College, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India for 15 months (1 June 2020- 31 Aug 2021). 100 patients with menopause were included in the study and were randomized into two groups. One group was given Tab. Gabapentin 600mg once a day and the other group was given Tab. Nortryptiline 25mg once a day. Both the groups were followed up for 2 months and various parameters were seen and compared. Suitable statistics was applied after tabulating the data collected. The readings were taken at 0 week, 4 weeks and 8 weeks.

Results: Mean years since menopause in gabapentin group was 48.32 ± 3.06 yrs and in nortryptiline group was 50.32 ± 2.08 yrs. mean age at menopause in gabapentin group was 2.28 ± 3.59 yrs and in nortryptiline group was 2.15 ± 1.09 yrs. Mean menopausal symptom score was calculated in both the groups at baseline i.e., 0 weeks, 4 weeks and 8 weeks. Mean Menopausal symptom score at baseline in gabapentin group was 10.26 ± 3.84 , at 4 weeks was 8.18 ± 2.09 and 8 weeks 7.92 ± 2.36 . In nortryptine group baseline Mean Menopausal symptom score was 11 ± 2.51 , at 4 weeks 9.34 ± 2.40 and at 8 weeks 6.66 ± 1.80 . unpaired student t- test was applied in between both the groups and the p value at 0, 4 and 8 weeks came out to be 0.5025, 0.182, 0.130 respectively and all the values were statistically non-significant. Whereas on application of paired t test between 0,4 and 8 weeks in both the groups respectively, the values were statistically significant.

Conclusion: Currently many treatment options are available for treatment of menopausal symptoms, gabapentin and nortryptiline has a potential to be used as new drugs in this field.

Keywords: menopausal, symptoms, gabapentin, nortryptiline

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative

(http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Menopause is a well-recognized universal reproductive physiological phenomenon experienced by all women in all cultures as cessation of menstruation for 1 year. With increasing life expectancy, women spend a significant part (one-third of their life) in postmenopausal state demanding a high level of health care and priority. It affects around a million of women. Age at which natural menopause occurs is 45-55 years worldwide. Symptoms experienced by woman during menopause is affected by many factors such as age at menopause and natural/ surgical menopause[1] A holistic approach is required to combat menopausal symptoms such as physical, psychosocial, sexual, and vasomotor symptoms[2]

Postmenopausal women experience a wide and a varied spectrum of vasomotor, psychosomatic, psychological, and genitourinary symptoms. Although well tolerated by some of the women, but many a times, these symptoms can be distressing affecting the quality of life of the suffering woman and thus require treatment.

Although hormone replacement remained the main line of treatment since time immemorial, but after the findings of various studies changed the perspective of therapy for menopausal symptoms[3,6] It was established in HERS study that hormone replacement therapy has no benefit to reduce risk of cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality benefit related to HRT in women[3,6]

In another study, it was established that estrogen progesterone combined therapy causes increased annual risk of breast cancer (26%), thromboembolism (42%), Congestive heart diseases (CHD) (29%), and stroke (41%)[6]

Even in India, guidelines issued by Indian Menopausal Society to treat menopausal symptoms has recommended that hormones should also be used for premature menopause, surgical menopause, and menopause with aggressive symptoms that too with low dose or ultra-low dose of hormones for short term with vigorous follow-up and monitoring[7]

ISSN: 0975-1556

In view of these studies, the world is looking toward other possibilities of nonhormonal therapy for the treatment of menopausal symptoms. Various drugs such as phytoestrogens, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, clonidine, antiepileptics (gabapentin and pregabalin), anxiolytics, sedative, and hypnotics such as benzodiazepines are being explored. Other treatment options being explored are exercise, yoga, herbal therapies, and acupuncture[8]

Materials and Methods

A comparative study was conducted in Department of Pharmacology, Darbhanga Medical College, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India for 15 months (1 June 2020- 31 Aug 2021).

Methodology

The patients with menopause were included in the study and were randomized into two groups. Informed consent was taken after explaining them the nature of study. One group was given Tab. Gabapentin 600mg once a day and the other group was given Tab. Nortryptiline 25mg once a day. Both the groups were followed up for 2 months and various parameters were seen and compared. Suitable statistics was applied after tabulating the data collected. The readings were taken at 0 week, 4 weeks and 8 weeks.

Inclusion Criteria

Menopausal patients of any age having symptoms who were not taking the study drugs Associated uncomplicated co-morbid condition

Exclusion Criteria

Menopausal patients with symptoms who were on study drugs or some other drugs

- Associated complicated co-morbid condition
- Intolerance to study drugs

Greene Climacteric Scale

- It is a pretested and validated menopausal symptom scale widely used in clinical practice and as a research tool. It was developed by J.G. Greene in 1998 to do symptom analysis of menopausal women visiting clinics.[9]
- It scores 21 symptoms which are rated depending on their severity on a four-point Likert scale from 0 to 3 (0= not at all and 3=extremely). These symptoms are divided into 4 main areas such as psychological (1-11), physical (12-18), vasomotor (19, 20) and sexual (21)[10]
- The psychological domain is further subdivided to measure anxiety (1-6) and depression (7-11). A higher score implies a greater number of symptoms and/ or more symptom severity.
- As far as construct validity is concerned, only symptoms having factor loading of > 0.35 in more than three studies were included in the scale. Its internal consistency as measured by Cronbach's alpha was found to be more than 0.08[11,14] Construct validity has been demonstrated in relation to life stress, bereavement, psychological treatment and hormone replacement therapy.
- Adverse drug events were also compared between two groups.

The data is shown as mean±S.D. Paired t test in comparison to respective baselines p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.0001, NS Not significant Patients in gabapentin group were predominantly from rural areas (15:35- urban: rural ratio), where as in nortryptiline group the ratio was 17:33. In both the groups more females were housewives. Associated comorbid condition was present in 10 patients in gabapentin group and in 13 patients in Nortryptiline group. **Patients** uncomplicated co-morbid conditions were included. complicated co-morbid conditions were excluded. Ratio of natural Vs surgical menopause was 42:8 in gabapentin group and 45:5 in Nortryptiline group. Mean years since menopause in gabapentin group was 48.32±3.06yrs and in nortryptiline group was 50.32±2.08yrs. mean age at menopause in gabapentin group was 2.28±3.59yrs and in nortryptiline 2.15 ± 1.09 was yrs. menopausal symptom score was calculated in both the groups at baseline i.e. 0 weeks, 4 weeks and 8 weeks. (Table 1).

ISSN: 0975-1556

Mean Menopausal symptom score at baseline in gabapentin group was 10.26± 3.84, at 4 weeks was $8.18\pm\ 2.09$ and 8weeks 7.92± 2.36. In nortryptine group baseline Mean Menopausal symptom score was 11 ± 2.51 , at 4 weeks 9.34 ± 2.40 and at 8 weeks 6.66± 1.80. unpaired student t- test was applied in between both the groups and the p value at 0, 4 and 8 weeks came out to be 0.5025, 0.182, 0.130 respectively and all the values were statistically non-significant. (Table 2) Whereas on application of paired t test between 0,4 and 8 weeks in both the groups respectively, the values were statistically significant. (Table 3) Adverse drug rections were seen in both the groups but none of them was serious in nature and did not need dechallenge of drug. Both the drugs were well tolerated. (Table 4)

Results

Table 1: Showing demographic profile of patients

Parameter		Group B Nortryptiline	
	(n=50)	(n=50)	
Residence Urban: Rural	15:35	17:33	
Housewife: Working	26:24	30:20	
Associated co-morbid conditions	10	13	
Natural Vs Surgical menopause	42:8	45:5	
Mean years since menopause	48.32±3.06yrs	50.32±2.18yrs	
Mean age at menopause	2.28±3.69yrs	2.15±1.19yrs	

Table 2: Comparative effect of Gabapentin vs Nortryptiline on Mean Menopausal Symptom Score

Duration	Gabapentin n=50(mean±SD)	Nortryptiline n=50(mean±SD)	t	P	Statistical significance
Baseline	10.26± 3.84	11± 2.51	0.5 7	0.502 5	Not significant
4 weeks	8.18± 2.09	9.34± 2.40	1.2	0.182	Not significant
8 weeks	7.92 ± 2.36	6.66± 1.80	1.4	0.130	Not significant

Table 3: Comparative effect of Gabapentin vs Nortryptiline on Mean Menopausal Symptom Score (paired student t test)

Duration	Gabapentin n=50 (mean±SD)	Nortryptiline n=50(mean±SD)
Baseline	10.26± 3.84	11± 2.51
4 weeks	8.18± 2.09	9.34 ± 2.40
8 weeks	7.92 ± 2.36	6.66± 1.80

Table 4: Showing adverse drug reactions in both the groups

Adverse Drug event	Gabapentin (14)	Nortryptiline (7)
Gastritis	2	0
Excessive sleep	3	3
Giddiness	5	2
Headache	3	2
Vertigo	1	0

Discussion

Our study showed that both the drugs have positive effect decreasing on postmenopausal symptoms but no drug has superiority over each other. In a cross-over comparing gabapentin study antidepressant (fluoxetine) for treating vasomotor symptoms among postmenopausal women by it concluded that both the drugs were effective but gabapentin caused more improvement[15] In a placebo controlled randomized trial comparing efficacy of low

dose estradiol and antidepressant (venlaxafine) on menopausal related quality of life and other associated symptoms it was concluded that both the drugs are equally efficacious[16]In a placebo controlled trial on menopausal vasomotor symptoms established that antidepressant (paroxetine) is effective in treating these symptoms[17] In a systematic review of randomized controlled trial, it was established that paroxetine, citalogram, venlaxafine escitalopram, desvenlaxafine are effective in reducing the frequency and severity of hot flashes.[18]

ISSN: 0975-1556

Low dose paroxetine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor and is thought to help in decreasing vasomotor symptoms by regulating body temperature neurotransmitters[19] Our study nortryptiline also prevents reuptake of serotonin, which may be responsible for improvement of menopausal symptoms as recorded in the current study. In an interesting and additional finding of the current study, which was actually carried out to compare the efficacy and safety of nortryptiline and clonazepam in RLS in women, recorded forty-year significant improvement in menopausal symptom scores including parameters like vasomotor, psychosocial, physical, sexual in a comparable fashion both by gabapentin and nortryptiline.

The findings are interesting because it shall provide an additional reason for the treating physician to prescribe any of these two drugs effectively for the management of components of menopause besides effectively treating RLS which is prevalent in this particular vulnerable population.

Although very less studied but recently some of the authors propose use of selected anti-depressants and GABA agonist like clonazepam as non-hormonal agents to treat vasomotor symptoms for those who should avoid or do not wish to take estrogens for managing menopausal symptoms in which they suggested that GABA agonists may have direct effect on anxiety, mood, sleep, various depression and other psychosomatic symptoms and may be improving indirectly vasomotor, sexual and physical symptoms by improving overall quality of life[20]

It was also pointed in a study that GABA inhibitory activity may be modulated directly or indirectly by estrogen, progesterone and their metabolic receptors and these GABA deficits may influence reproductive life cycle events including menstruation, pregnancy and menopause[21] Thus, suggesting like our study the great potential of GABA

mediated intervention and particularly GABA agonist in prevention, treatment of menopausal symptoms directly or indirectly. Although these drugs may have some effects on co-morbid conditions too, but uncomplicated co-morbid conditions were only included and the effect of drugs was not studied.

ISSN: 0975-1556

Conclusion

Currently many treatment options are available for treatment of menopausal symptoms, gabapentin and nortryptiline has a potential to be used as new drugs in this field.

Reference

- Mahajan N, Aggarwal M, Bagga A. Health issues of menopausal women in North India. J Midlife Health 2012; 3:84-7.
- 2. Kalra B, Agarwal S, Magon S. Holistic care of menopause: Understanding the framework. J Midlife Health 2012; 3:66-9.
- 3. Hulley S, Grady D, Bush T, Furberg C, Herrington D, Riggs B, et al. Randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study (HERS) Research Group. JAMA 1998; 280:605-13.
- 4. Grady D, Herrington D, Bittner V, Blumenthal R, Davidson M, Hlatky M, et al. cardiovascular disease outcomes during 6.8 years of hormone therapy: Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study follow-up (HERS II). JAMA 2002; 288:49-57.
- 5. Shumaker SA, Legault C, Rapp SR, Thal L, Wallace RB, Ockene JK, et al. Estrogen plus progestin and the incidence of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in postmenopausal women: The Women's Health Initiative Memory Study: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2003; 289:2651-62.

- 6. Manson JE, Hsia J, Johnson KC, Rossouw JE, Assaf AR, Lasser NL, et al. Estrogen plus progestin and the risk of coronary heart disease. N Engl J Med 2003; 349:523-34.
- 7. Unni J. Third consensus meeting of Indian Menopause M Society (2008): A summary. J Midlife Health 2010; 1:43-7.
- 8. Krause MS, Nakajima ST. Hormonal and nonhormonal treatment of vasomotor symptoms. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2015; 42:163-79.
- 9. Greene JG. Constructing a standard climacteric scale. Maturitas 1998;29(1):25-31
- 10. Greene JG. Bereavement and social support at the climacteric. Maturitas 1983;5(2):115-24
- 11. Zollner YF, Acquardo C, Schaefer M. Literature review of instruments to assess health related quality of life during and after menopause. Qual Life Res 2005;14(2):309-27
- 12. Chen RQ, Davis SR, Wong CM, Lam TH. Validity and cultural equivalence of the standard Greene Climacteric Scale in Hong Kong. Menopause 2010;17(3):630-35
- 13. Chattha R, KulkarniR, Nagarathna R, Nagendra HR. Factor analysis of Greene's Climacteric Scale for Indian women. Maturitas 2008;59(1):22-27
- 14. Vasconcelos-Raposo J, Coelho E, Fernandes HM, RodriguesC, Moreira H, Teixeira C. Factor structure and normative data of the Greene Climacteric Scale among postmenopausal Portugese women. Maturitas 2012;72(3):256-62

15. Rahmanian M, Mohseni A, Ghorbani R. A crossover study comparing gabapentin and fluoxetine for the treatment of vasomotor symptoms among postmenopausal women. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2015;131(1):87-90

ISSN: 0975-1556

- 16. Caan B, LaCroix AZ, Joffe H, Guthrie KA, Larson JC, Carpenter JS, et al. Effects of estrogen and venlafaxine on menopause-related quality of life in healthy postmenopausal women with hot flashes: a placebo-controlled randomized trial. Menopause 2015;22(6):607-15
- 17. Pinkerton JV, Joffe H, Kazempour K, Mekonnen H, Bhaskar S, Lippman J. Low-dose paroxetine (7.5 mg) improves sleep in women with vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause. Menopause 2015;22(1):50-8
- 18. Handley AP, Williams M. The efficacy and tolerability of SSRI/SNRIs in the treatment of vasomotor symptoms in menopausal women: a systematic review. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract 2015;27(1):54-61
- 19. Weber L, Thacker HL. Paroxetine: a first for selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors a new use: approved for vasomotor symptoms in postmenopausal women. Womens Health (Lond) 2014;10(2):147-54
- 20. Roberts H, Hicky M. Managing the menopause: An update. Maturitas 2016; 86:53-8
- 21. Vigud SN, Strasbus H, Raskalakas ZJ, Blumberger DM. Systemic review of gamma amino butyric and inhibitory deficits across the reproductive life cycle. Arch Women Ment Health 2014;17(2):87-95.