International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2021; 13(6);785-792

**Original Research Article** 

# An Observational Assessment of the Prevalence of Postnatal Depression and to Study the Associated Risk Factors of Postnatal Depression

Upendra Paswan<sup>1</sup>, K. Manju<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor and HOD, Department of Psychiatry, Darbhanga Medical College & Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Patna Medical College & Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 03-11-2021 / Revised: 21-11-2021 / Accepted: 28-12-2021 Corresponding author: Dr. K. Manju Conflict of interest: Nil

#### Abstract

**Background:** Postnatal depression (PND) is a serious mental illness that can strike women at any time during or after birth. Its danger rises over the first 90 days and can last up to almost two years, putting a strain on society as a whole. Although the cause of PN is uncertain, there are risk factors that can lead to the disease. The purpose of this study is to determine the prevalence of PND and its risk factors among women in Bihar.

**Material & Methods:** A total of 170 women after delivery were included in the study. Data were collected through a self-administered questionnaire with Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) from mothers up to four months postpartum.

**Results:** Prevalence of post-natal depression (PND) was 34%. PND showed significant association with primiparous women, husband's occupation and unplanned pregnancy.

**Conclusion:** A fairly high prevalence of postpartum depression was revealed among the females after delivery. Therefore, were commend screening of mothers after delivery to help early intervention and management along with psychosocial support.

Keywords: postpartum depression, postpartum, depression, risk factors.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

#### Introduction

After childbirth, women may experience some difficulties that affect them physically, socially, and psychologically. Despite their importance, psychological consequences are not usually addressed. They can vary from having baby blues - a feeling of sadness that is experienced by a woman shortly after giving birth and usually lasts less than two weeks that is typically accompanied by other symptoms such as mood swings and loss of appetite - to having postnatal depression (PND). [1, 2]

Postpartum depression is a nonpsychotic depressive episode of mild to moderate severity, beginning in or extending into the first postnatal year. Beck described it as a thief that steals motherhood. [3]

Postpartum depression (PPD) is an important public health problem, having a significant impact on the mother, the

family, her partner, mother-infant interaction and on the long term emotional and cognitive development of the baby.[3] Indian studies also show postpartum depression as a cause of significant psychiatric morbidity in mothers 3 and malnutrition in infants. [4]

Unfortunately, the psychological health of mothers is usually overlooked by postnatal care, which is more focused on obstetric aspects and infant's health. Symptoms of depression - and in severe cases tendencies of self-harm or even harm to the are usually unrecognized baby and therefore untreated. Consequences of undiagnosed PPD do not only affect the mother and her infant but continue to disturb the family dynamic through a failure of the mother to accomplish her role as a caregiver.[5]

PPD describes non-psychotic depressive episodes, with loss of interest, insomnia, and loss of energy experienced by mothers within the period of 4 to 6 weeks after delivery.[6]

This can create an overall burden on society. Although the etiology is unknown yet, there are risk factors that contribute to developing PPD. Stressful life events, a history of any psychiatric illness or PPD, and lack of social support are considered the most reported ones. Unplanned pregnancy, number of pregnancies, and undesired pregnancy are considered obstetric-related risk factors. Marital status and employment are sociodemographic factors that contribute to PPD. Other precursors such as mode of delivery, breastfeeding, prolonged labor, pregnancy complications, and giving birth for the first time must also be taken into consideration when assessing PPD risk factors. [7, 8]

It is considered a serious public health issue because of its devastating effects on mothers, families, and infants or children.12 Untreated PND can cause chronic depression and interferes in mother child bonding. [9, 10] <sup>Cases</sup> of suicide and infanticide have also been rarely reported. [11]

A study of mothers in Goa shows economic deprivation, poor marital relationship, the gender of infant as important risk factors for occurrence of postpartum depression.[4] According to Sichel and Driscoll's 'EARTHQUAKE MODEL', these risk factors can repeatedly weaken a mother's fault line placing her in a dangerous position for an emotional earthquake, such as postpartum depression. [12, 13]

This study aims to study the prevalence of postnatal depression and to study the associated risk factors of postnatal depression.

# Materials and Methods:

A total of 170 women after delivery were included in the study through purposive, non-probability sampling technique. It is cross sectional study carried out over the time period of one year.

Inclusion Criteria: All the postpartum women who attended the hospitals between 1st and 8weeks after delivery and who were willing to participate and had given written consent were included in the study.

### **Exclusion Criteria:**

Postpartum women who had a prior history of any psychiatric illness, major general medical conditions and who were not willing to give written consent were excluded from the study.

### Materials:

A semi-structured proforma for socio demographic data, Edinburg Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) for screening for depressive symptoms and international classification of diseases (ICD-10) for diagnosis were used.

**Socio-demographic Profile:** Age, religion, education, working status, economic status,

husband's occupation and family type; obstetric details: duration of married life, parity, any complications in the last and current pregnancy, pregnancy outcome: gender of the baby, mode and type of delivery. [14, 15]

Edinburg Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used to screen for depressive symptoms. The EPDS contains 10 items, and each item is rated on a four-point scale, giving a maximum score of 30. It is a self-report scale based on 1 week recall, designed to screen PND (post-natal depression) in the community. [10]

The study was authorized by the institute's research ethics committee. The nature and objective of the study were explained to the subjects in great detail. The confidentiality of the information was guaranteed, and informed consent was obtained.

#### **Statistical Analysis:**

Statistical analysis was done by using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, Version 20. Chisquared test was applied to analyze the data. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

#### **Results:**

A total of 189 women were approached for postnatal follow-up. Only 170 people were enrolled in the study because 19 of them refused to offer written informed consent.

Every postpartum woman shared a home with husband. The majority of them (52.3 percent) were Hindus who lived in nuclear families (56.4%). The great majority of the participants in the study (75.8%) were unemployed. The majority of the husbands (71.1%) worked as farmers. The majority of them (61.1%) had given birth through caesarean section. In 60.5%, female babies were delivered.

The EPDS score of 48 study participants was >13, and PND was seen in 34% of them. The Chi-square test demonstrated a significant (p<0.05) relationship between PND and socio-demographic (husband's occupation), obstetric (parity, pregnancy planning), and pregnancy outcome (preference for infant sex) factors.

|                | Number | %    |  |  |
|----------------|--------|------|--|--|
| Age            |        | ,,,  |  |  |
| <21 years      | 61     | 35.8 |  |  |
| >21 years      | 109    | 64.1 |  |  |
| Education      |        |      |  |  |
| Literate       | 117    | 68.8 |  |  |
| Illiterate     | 53     | 31.1 |  |  |
| Religion       |        |      |  |  |
| Hindu          | 89     | 52.3 |  |  |
| Muslim         | 53     | 31.1 |  |  |
| Christian      | 28     | 16.4 |  |  |
| Type of Family |        |      |  |  |
| Joint          | 74     | 43.5 |  |  |
| Nuclear        | 96     | 56.4 |  |  |
| Working status |        |      |  |  |
| Employed       | 41     | 24.1 |  |  |

 Table 1: Key socio-demographic, obstetric and pregnancy outcome features of the study

participants

| Unemployed                   | 129 | 75.8 |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----|------|--|--|
| Husband's Occupation         |     |      |  |  |
| Farmer                       | 121 | 71.1 |  |  |
| Others                       | 49  | 28.8 |  |  |
| Socio Economic Status        |     |      |  |  |
| BPL                          | 136 | 80.0 |  |  |
| APL                          | 34  | 20.0 |  |  |
| Parity                       |     |      |  |  |
| Multipara                    | 55  | 32.3 |  |  |
| Primi                        | 115 | 67.6 |  |  |
| Pregnancy Planning           |     |      |  |  |
| Planned                      | 99  | 58.2 |  |  |
| Unplanned                    | 71  | 41.7 |  |  |
| Mode of delivery             |     |      |  |  |
| Normal                       | 66  | 38.8 |  |  |
| Cesarean Section             | 104 | 61.1 |  |  |
| Type of delivery             |     |      |  |  |
| Preterm                      | 27  | 15.8 |  |  |
| Full term                    | 143 | 84.1 |  |  |
| Gender of the newborn        |     |      |  |  |
| Male                         | 103 | 60.5 |  |  |
| Female                       | 67  | 39.4 |  |  |
| Complications in             |     |      |  |  |
| pregnancy                    |     |      |  |  |
| Yes                          | 38  | 22.3 |  |  |
| No                           | 132 | 77.6 |  |  |
| Type of Hospital             |     |      |  |  |
| Govt                         | 148 | 87.0 |  |  |
| Private                      | 22  | 12.9 |  |  |
| Preference for sex of infant |     |      |  |  |
| in last delivery             |     |      |  |  |
| Yes                          | 73  | 42.9 |  |  |
| No                           | 97  | 57.0 |  |  |
| Preferred Sex                |     |      |  |  |
| F                            | 51  | 30   |  |  |
| М                            | 84  | 49.9 |  |  |
| Number of women whose        | 19  | 10.5 |  |  |
| infant died after delivery   | 18  | 10.3 |  |  |
| Number of women who          | 17  | 10.0 |  |  |
| experienced still birth      | 1 / | 10.0 |  |  |

# Table 2: Association of socio-demographic, obstetric and pregnancy outcome variables with risk of postnatal depression (n = 170)

Paswan et al.

# International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research

| Study<br>Variable | EPDS Score |       |          |       |            |          |
|-------------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|------------|----------|
|                   | >13        |       | <13      |       | Total      | P- value |
|                   | Ν          | %     | Ν        | %     |            |          |
| Age               |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| <21 years         | 19         | 39.5  | 63       | 51.6  | 82         | 0.21     |
| >21 years         | 29         | 60.4  | 59       | 48.3  | 88         | 0.21     |
| Education         |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Literate          | 35         | 72.9  | 88       | 72.1  | 123        | 0.49     |
| Illiterate        | 13         | 27.0  | 34       | 27.8  | 47         |          |
| Religion          |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Hindu             | 21         | 43.75 | 70       | 57.3  | 91         | 0.07     |
| Muslim            | 17         | 35.4  | 43       | 35.2  | 60         | 0.97     |
| Christian         | 10         | 20.8  | 9        | 7.3   | 19         |          |
| Type of           |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Family            |            |       |          |       | -          |          |
| Joint             | 12         | 25.0  | 74       | 60.6  | 86         | 0.67     |
| Nuclear           | 36         | 75.0  | 48       | 39.3  | 84         |          |
| Working status    |            |       |          |       | -          |          |
| Employed          | 9          | 18.7  | 33       | 27.0  | 44         | 0.51     |
| Unemployed        | 39         | 81.2  | 89       | 72.9  | 128        | 0.31     |
| Husband's         |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Occupation        |            |       |          |       |            | -        |
| Farmer            | 43         | 89.5  | 52       | 42.6  | 95         | 0.001**  |
| Others            | 5          | 10.4  | 70       | 57.3  | 75         |          |
| Socio             |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Economic          |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Status            |            |       |          |       | T          |          |
| BPL               | 32         | 66.6  | 81       | 66.3  | 113        | 0.77     |
| APL               | 16         | 33.3  | 41       | 33.6  | 57         |          |
| Parity            |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Multipara         | 11         | 22.9  | 21       | 17.2  | 32         | 0.015**  |
| Primi             | 37         | 77.0  | 101      | 82.7  | 139        |          |
| Pregnancy         |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Planning          |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Planned           | 6          | 12.5  | 96<br>96 | 78.6  | 102        | 0.003**  |
| Unplanned         | 42         | 87.5  | 26       | 21.3  | 68         |          |
| Mode of           |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| delivery          | 20         | 41.6  | 17       | 20.5  | < <b>7</b> | 1        |
| Normal            | 20         | 41.6  | 47       | 38.5  | 67         | 0.12     |
| Cesarean          | 28         | 58.3  | 75       | 61.4  | 103        |          |
| Section           |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| Type of           |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| delivery          | ~          | 10.4  | 10       | 1 5 5 | <b>○</b> 4 | 0.00     |
| Preterm           | 5          | 10.4  | 19       | 15.5  | 24         | 0.89     |
| Full term         | 43         | 89.5  | 103      | 84.4  | 146        |          |
| Gender of the     |            |       |          |       |            |          |
| newborn           |            |       |          |       |            |          |

#### International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research

| Male                 | 36 | 75   | 83  | 68.0      | 119 | 0.32 |
|----------------------|----|------|-----|-----------|-----|------|
| Female               | 12 | 25   | 39  | 31.9      | 51  |      |
| Complications        |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| in pregnancy         |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| Yes                  | 9  | 18.7 | 21  | 17.2      | 30  | 0.76 |
| No                   | 39 | 81.2 | 101 | 82.7      | 140 |      |
| Type of              |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| Hospital             |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| Govt                 | 25 | 52.0 | 99  | 81.1      | 124 | 0.66 |
| Private              | 23 | 47.9 | 23  | 18.8      | 46  |      |
| Preference for       |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| sex of infant in     |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| last delivery        |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| Yes                  | 10 | 20.8 | 36  | 29.5      | 46  | 0.11 |
| No                   | 38 | 79.1 | 86  | 70.4      | 124 |      |
| <b>Preferred Sex</b> |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| F                    | 28 | 58.3 | 20  | 16.39     | 48  | 0.25 |
| М                    | 7  | 14.5 | 62  | 50.8      | 69  | 0.23 |
| Number of            |    |      |     |           |     |      |
| women whose          | C  | 10.5 | 21  | 17.0      | 27  |      |
| infant died          | 0  | 12.5 | 21  | 17.2      | 27  |      |
| after delivery       |    |      |     |           |     | 0.69 |
| Number of            |    |      |     |           |     | 0.08 |
| women who            | 7  | 145  | 10  | 0 15 5    | 26  |      |
| experienced          |    | 14.3 | 19  | 0 13.3 20 | 20  |      |
| still birth          |    |      |     |           |     |      |

\*\*Significant, p value <0.005

#### **Discussion:**

Pregnancy and childbirth are distinctive life events which are not comparable to natural process of the body since the social and cultural contexts are central to the subjective and collective experiences of women. [16] In regard to the association between PPD and the studied risk factors, history of previous depression, difficult life events interval, and the attitude towards pregnancy were statistically significantly associated with PPD. Results of the recent study had shown that PPD rates were higher in women who were previously depressed or experienced stressful life events, which is consistent with that of Alasoom and Koura [5]

Maryam and colleagues in their study conducted in postnatal mother's, concluded that factors such as mother age, birth, gender, and abortion had no significant relationship with PND.[17] These findings are comparable to ours, since we found no link between PND and age, gender of existing children, or a history of abortions and miscarriages.

The current study found a link between PND and pregnancy planning, with women who had unplanned pregnancies being more unhappy than those who had planned for a baby. Previous research found similar results, such as unintended and unwanted pregnancies, surgical procedures, and difficult childbirth. [18, 19] In this study, the husband's occupation had a substantial relationship with PND. The majority of them worked as farmers. This data suggests that the financial stress experienced by farmers' families may have contributed to the development of PND in postpartum women. In our study, the type of family and the woman's occupation had no significant relationship with PND.

Present study showed that prim parous women were more depressed than multiparous mothers and the association came to be statistically significant with p value (<0.05), First pregnancies may cause greater psychosocial stress, but other biological factors may also play a role.[20]

### **Conclusion:**

Prevalence of PND among postnatal women was 34 % in the present study. Unplanned pregnancies, husband's occupation, parity of mother, preference for the sex of infant were associated with high risk of PND. Screening for PND should be a standard element of postpartum care. Early detection is beneficial to the health of both the kid and the mother, as well as good bonding. Early detection and reduction of handicap can be achieved by educating gynaecologists and patient attending about the signs of PND. More study is needed on the best ways to prevent, detect, and treat postpartum depression, as well as research on the effects of postpartum depression on the mother and child in various ethnic and socioeconomic groups.

### **References:**

- 1. Perinatal depression. (2013). Accessed: September 18, 2019: <u>https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publi</u> <u>cations/perinatal</u> depression/index.shtml
- 2. Mughal S, Azhar Y, Siddiqui W: Postpartum Depression. StatPearls, Treasure Island, FL; 2021.
- Beck CT. Postpartum depression: It isn't just the blues. Am J Nurs 2006; 106: 40-50.

- 4. Patel V, DeSouza N, Rodrigues M. Postnatal depression and infant growth and development in low-income countries: A cohort study from Goa, India. Arch dis child 2003; 88: 34-7.
- Alasoom LI, Koura MR: Predictors of postpartum depression in the Eastern Province capital of Saudi Arabia. J Family Med Prim Care. 2014, 3:146-150.
- 6. World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2001: Mental health: new understanding, new hope. World Health Organization; 2001.
- Alsayed NA, Altayyeb JF, Althuniyyan LS, Alzubaidi SK, Farahat F. Prevalence of Postpartum Depression and Associated Risk Factors Among Women in Jeddah, Western Saudi Arabia. Cureus:2021;13(4).
- 8. Haque A, Namavar A, Breene K: Prevalence and risk factors of postpartum depression in middle eastern/Arab women. J Muslim Mental Health. 2015, 9.
- 9. Sujitha Gurram S, Raghuram Macharapu R, Kumar VV, Mallepalli PKR, Babu RS. A study on prevalence of post-partum depression and its associated risk factors. Telangana Journal of Psychiatry.2018:4(2):77-82.
- Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Br J Psychiatry. 1987;150(6):782-786.
- Pearlstein T, Howard M, Salisbury A, Zlotnick C. Postpartum depression. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2009;200(4):357-364.
- 12. Sichel D, Driscoll J W. Women's moods: What every woman must know about hormones, the brain and emotional health. Newyork: William morrow and company, inc; 1999.
- 13. Desai DN, Ritambhara YM, Jaishree G. Study of prevalence and risk factors of Postpartum depression. NATIONAL

JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH. 2012:2;2.

- 14. Park K. Medicine and Social Sciences. Park's Textbook of Preventive Medicine. 22nd ed. Jabalpur: M/s Banarsidas Bhanot Publishers, 2013: 620–53.
- 15. Wainstock T, Anteby EY, Glasser S, Lerner-Geva L, Shoham-Vardi I. Exposure to life-threatening stressful situations and the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2014;125(1):28-32.
- Sookhoo D, Race ethnicity, culture and childbirth. In: Squire C editor. The Social Context of Birth. Oxon, England: Redcliffe publishing Ltd; 2009. p.85.
- 17. Mazaheri MA, Rabiei L, Masoudi R, Hamidizadeh S, Nooshabadi MR,

Najimi A. Understanding the factors affecting the postpartum depression in the mothers of Isfahan city. J Edu Health Promot. 2014;3.

- Ghubash R, Abou-Saleh MT. Postpartum psychiatric illness in Arab culture: prevalence and psychosocial correlates. The British Journal of Psychiatry. 1997;171(1):65-68.
- 19. 23. Golbasi Z, Kelleci M, Kisacik G, Cetin A. Prevalence and correlates of depression in pregnancy among Turkish women. Maternal Child health J. 2010;14(4):485.
- 20. Dowlatshahi D, Paykel ES. Life events and social stress in puerperal psychoses: absence of effect. Psychological Medicine. 1990;20(3):655-662.