

## Detection of Biofilm Formation and Virulence Markers Amongst the Cons Isolates in a Tertiary Care Center in Bihar.

Priyanka Paul Biswas<sup>1</sup>, Kahkashan Akhter<sup>2</sup>, Farhaan Fidai<sup>3</sup>, Anamika Singh<sup>4</sup>, Aninda Sen<sup>5</sup>, Sangeeta Dey Akoijam<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Katihar Medical College, Al-Karim University, Katihar, Bihar, India.

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Katihar Medical College, Al-Karim University, Katihar, Bihar, India.

<sup>3</sup>Undergraduate student Pursuing MBBS, Katihar Medical College, Al-Karim University, Katihar, Bihar, India.

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Katihar Medical College, Al-Karim University, Katihar, Bihar, India.

<sup>5</sup>Professor, Department of Microbiology, Katihar Medical College, Al-Karim University, Katihar, Bihar, India.

<sup>6</sup>Professor & Head, Department of Microbiology, Katihar Medical College, Al-Karim University, Katihar, Bihar, India.

---

Received: 23-08-2021 / Revised: 14-09-2021 / Accepted: 24-10-2021

Corresponding author: Anamika Singh

Conflict of interest: Nil

---

### Abstract

**Background:** The aim of the study was to speciate Coagulase negative staphylococci [CoNS] from blood samples, ascertain the antibiogram and to determine the expressions of these virulence markers and biofilm production in the CONS isolates.

**Materials and Methods:** A total of 350 blood samples were collected from clinically suspected cases of BSI [during the fever spikes] for routine blood culture. A panel of standard biochemical tests was used to identify the CoNS upto species level. Phenotypic detection of virulence markers was done by standard test. Biofilm production was screened by tissue culture plate [TCP], Tube method [TM] & Congo red agar [CRA] and brain heart infusion agar [BHIA] with 6% sucrose method. Antibiogram was detected by modified Kirby Bauer method as per CLSI guidelines.

**Results:** 32.3% [45/139] isolates produced biofilm by standard tissue culture plate [TCP] assay. 5.7% [8/139] strains by tube method [TM] method followed by 2.1% [3/139] each by and Congo red agar [CRA] method & brain heart infusion agar [BHI] 6% suc method were strong biofilm producers in comparison to TCP method 15.1% [21/139]. The sensitivity & specificity of TA method was [53.3% & 81.9%]. However, sensitivity of CRA & BHI suc6% method were much lower being, 28.8% & 20.0% whereas the specificity was 93.6% & 89.3%. Production of DNase, lipase, caesinase and gelatinase was common to all the seven species, DNase being more common in of Staphylococcus epidermidis 42.8% [15/35].

**Conclusions:** The TCP method was found to be most sensitive, accurate and reproducible screening method for detection of biofilm formation. Biofilm forming capacity and elaboration of various virulence determinants followed by multi-drug resistance by CONS will facilitate

its colonizing ability. Hence importance should be laid for routine identification of CONS and determining its antimicrobial susceptibility pattern.

**Key words:** Tissue culture plate, Tube adherence, Congo red agar, BHI6% suc

---

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

---

## Introduction

Little information is available on the characterization and prevalence of Coagulase-negative staphylococci [CONS] and its associated antimicrobial resistance pattern in this geographical region. In the present scenario, CONS has emerged from a contaminant to opportunistic pathogens, with predilection for colonizing immunosuppressed and long-term hospitalized patients. The ability to form biofilm facilitates this organism to adhere and colonize artificial materials. [1,2]

Blood culture is the gold standard for the diagnosis of neonatal septicaemia. Incidences of increased antimicrobial resistance of CONS in neonates underscore the need to understand the role of CONS as pathogens in blood stream infections. [3] CONS are a heterogeneous group of bacteria, consisting of approximately 40 species, of which, several species have been recognized as potential pathogens to humans. Blood stream infections in neonates with IV catheter and orthopaedic wound infections with implant devices are usually associated with *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* is mainly involved in causation of prosthetic valve endocarditis. *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* is the second most frequently encountered CONS species in the clinical laboratory. *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* is the second most common cause of urinary tract infections in females of reproductive age group. Many laboratories donot put up a detailed panel of biochemical tests nor use expensive automated systems required for speciation of CONS routinely. This underscores the need to properly identify the species of CONS. Studies on species diversity,

antibiotic resistance, and virulence factors of CONS are of great significance because of their emerging role as pathogens in blood stream infections.

## Aims and Objective

The aim of the study was to look for the local distribution of CONS isolated from neonatal sepsis along with antibiotic susceptibility pattern and distribution of virulence markers & biofilm formation.

## Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study included all patients admitted with signs of sepsis in Paediatrics Department of Katihar Medical College and Hospital. The study was conducted over a period of two years from May 2019 to April 2021, after obtaining Clearance from Institutional Ethics Committee vide memo no. IEC/IRB No: KMC/IEC/Dept. Res./011/2019-2022 [Microbiology]; dated 20.04.2019.

## Study population:

Based on the total number of samples received during the study period, a calculation was done using confidence level of 95% and confidence interval of 4.0. Hence a total of 350 blood samples was collected by simple random sampling method from clinically suspected cases of BSI [during the fever spikes] before empirical antibiotic therapy was started. Inoculation was done into Trypticase soya broth [TSB], allowing a 1:10 dilution. CONS isolates as mixed growth with no clinical correlation, were excluded from the study.

**Isolation & identification:**

The blood culture bottles containing specimens thus collected were incubated at 35°C for 7 days. After 12-18 hours following incubation, the bottles were examined for appearance of turbidity and subculture was done from the bottles on Chocolate agar, Blood agar and MacConkey agar plates. If there was growth, the CONS isolates were identified as per standard protocol based on colony morphology, Gram's staining findings and a negative slide coagulase, followed by Tube coagulase test. The strains were further speciated by using battery of biochemical tests that included Urease production, Acetoin production, Voges Proskauer test, Pyrrolidonyl arylamidase [PYR], Alkaline phosphatase, Polymyxin B susceptibility, Novobiocin sensitivity, Nitrate reduction, Fermentation of maltose, sucrose, mannitol, lactose, mannose, trehalose and xylose. [4]

**Tests for detection of virulence markers in CONS:**

Various virulence markers of CONS viz: haemolysin, deoxyribonuclease, phenolphthalein phosphatase, gelatin liquefaction, caseinase and lipase was detected using standard protocol. [5,6,7]

**Congo red agar method [CRA]**

CRA was prepared with Brain heart infusion [BHI] broth, sucrose, agar and Congo Red indicator. CRA plates were inoculated with test organisms and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours aerobically. The biofilm forming strains produced black colonies with dry crystalline consistency while non-forming strains developed red colonies on CRA after 24 hrs of incubation. [8]

**Tube adherence method**

Trypticase Soya Broth with 1% glucose [TSBGlu] was inoculated with a loopful of bacterial suspension and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The tubes were gradually decanted and were washed with phosphate buffer solution pH 7.3. After drying, the tubes

were stained with 0.1% crystal violet. The presence of a layer of stained material adhered to the inner wall of the tubes was considered as positive for biofilm formation. [8]

**Detection of biofilm formation**

Trypticase soya broth with 5% glucose & BHI broth with 6% sucrose were inoculated with the test strains and incubated overnight at 37°C. Culture was diluted 1:20 in the same media. 200 µl of this suspension was used to inoculate sterile 96 well polystyrene microtiter plates followed by static incubation for 24 h at 37°C. Later, wells were washed with PBS, dried and stained with 1% crystal violet for 15 min. Absorbance was determined at 490 nm after adding 200 µL of 95% ethanol into each well. The isolates were classified into three categories based on optical density [OD] as non-adherent [OD equal to or lower than 0.111]; weakly adherent [OD higher than 0.111 or equal to or lower than 0.222] and strongly adherent [OD higher than 0.222] as per protocol. [8]

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing:**

Antibiotic sensitivity testing was done by modified Kirby-Bauer's disc diffusion test on Mueller-Hinton agar [MHA; HiMedia, Mumbai, India] as per the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. For detection of MRSA strains, MHA supplemented with 1 µg oxacillin and 30 µg cefoxitin discs and 4% NaCl was used. [9] For each strain, a bacterial suspension adjusted to 0.5 McFarland was used. The zone of inhibition was determined after 24 h of incubation at 35°C. Zone size was interpreted according to CLSI, treating zone size  $\geq 13$  mm as Sensitive [S]; 11-12 mm as Intermediate [I], and  $\leq 10$  mm as Resistant [R] for oxacillin and  $\geq 18$  mm as Sensitive [S]; 11-17 mm as Intermediate [I], and  $\leq 14$  mm as Resistant [R] for cefoxitin.

**Statistical analysis:**

For sensitivity and specificity, biofilm formation by Tube method [TM], CRA method [CRAM], Brain heart infusion agar

with 6% sucrose [BHIAS] were calculated using Microtiter Plate Assay [MPA] as a gold standard. Variables measured were the number of true positives [TP], number of true negatives [TN], number of false positives [FP], and number of false negatives [FN]. Sensitivity was calculated as  $TP/[TP+FN]$ , specificity was calculated as  $TN/[TN+FP]$ , the PPV was calculated as  $TP/[TP+FP]$  and NPV was calculated as  $TN/[TN+FN]$ .

## Results

This cross-sectional study was undertaken in a tertiary centre of northern Bihar, India. [39.7%; 139/350] CONS were isolated from blood samples submitted to the laboratory for processing. Species identification was done using a battery of biochemical tests as mentioned in **Table 1**. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* [38.8%; 54/139] was isolated as the common species followed by *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* [15.8%; 22/139], *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* [13.6%; 19/139], *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* [10.7%; 15/139], *Staphylococcus warneri* [9.3%; 13/139], *Staphylococcus hominis* [7.9%; 11/139] and *Staphylococcus capitis* [3.5%; 5/139].

In the standard TCP assay, only 32.3% [45/139] isolates displayed biofilm positive phenotype. However, the rate of biofilm formation after addition of glucose in TSBglu-5%, increased to 48.9% [68/139] & 57.5% [80/139] after 24 & 48 hours of incubation, respectively. Further enhancement of biofilm formation using sucrose in BHI suc-6% medium was noted viz: 53.2% [74/139] & 60.4% [84/139] after 24 & 48 hours, respectively [**Table 2**].

Using standard TCP method 15.1% [21/139] were found to be strong biofilm producer, 17.2% [24/139] were moderate biofilm producers and 67.6% [94/139] were non biofilm producers. On the other hand, a slightly lower number of strains were detected by TA method, that included 5.7% [8/139] strong, 11.5% [16/139] moderate,

and 12.2% [17/139] weak biofilm producers. This was followed by CRA & BHI6% suc method that could detect only 2.1% [3/139] each as strong biofilm producers. The number of strains producing weak biofilm was 7.1% [10/139] by BHI6% suc method and 4.3% [6/139] by CRA method [**Table 2**]. Overall, amongst all the methods used to detect biofilm, TA method, more or less, was found close to TCP method for moderate biofilm production. By CRA method, different biofilm test interpretations were made. It was seen that of all strains that were positive by TCM, did not display black to brown colonies indicative of biofilm producers.

Of the 139 strains analysed for biofilm formation data was obtained using TCM as a standard method, to evaluate TA method followed by CRA and BHI suc 6% methods respectively. The sensitivity & specificity of TA method was 53.3% & 81.9% respectively. The PPV was 58.5% & NPV was 78.5% respectively. However, sensitivity of CRA & BHI suc 6% method were much lower being, 28.8% & 20.0%, whereas the specificity was 93.6% & 89.3%. 53.3% [24/45] strains were found to correlate with TCP method for biofilm formation by TA method. This was followed by CRA method where 28.8% [13/45] strains producing biofilm correlated with TCP method. A total of 33 strains [that included 17 strains of TA method, 10 strains of BHI suc 6% method & 6 strains of CRA method] were false positive. 36 strains by BHI suc 6% method, 32 strains by CRA method and 21 strains by TA method were false negative [**Table 3**].

The commonest species showing haemolysis to sheep R.B.C & human R.B.C. was *Staphylococcus epidermidis* being 34.6% [27/78] & 79.1% [19/24]. Production of DNase, lipase, caseinase and gelatinase was common to all the seven species, being more common in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 42.8%;

[15/35], 30.4% [7/23], 40.7% [11/27] and 38.4% [15/39] respectively [Table 4].

In the hemagglutination tests, 50.0% [9/18] followed by 42.8% [15/35] strains of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* agglutinated human “O” group and “B” group RBCs. None of the CONS strains agglutinated human “A” group RBCs [Table 4].

A total of 41.0% [57/139] isolates were found to be Methicillin resistant CONS [MRCONS] as compared to 58.9%

[82/139] Methicillin sensitive CONS [MSCONS]. Of the MRCONS strains, resistance was more common to ampicillin [100%] followed by amoxy-clavulanic acid [73.6%] and ciprofloxacin & vancomycin [66.6% each] respectively. Likewise, the MSCONS showed maximum resistance to ampicillin [62.1%; 51/82] and ciprofloxacin [59.7%; 49/82]. Least resistance was shown to linezolid [3.6%; 3/82]; [Table 5].

**Table 1: Biochemical tests for identification of CoNS isolates and their interpretation**

CoNS isolates	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus hemolyticus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus lugdunensis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>	<i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>	<i>Staphylococcus capitis</i>	
Biochemical tests	Percentage of strains							
Acid Production from	Trehalose	0	100	100		100	0	0
	Mannitol	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	Mannose	90	0	0	0	0	0	90
	Xylose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Maltose	100	100	100	100	100	100	0
	Sucrose	100	100	90	90	90	90	90
Lactose	100	100	90	90	90	90	90	
Urease production	100	100	0	0	90	90	0	
Acetoin production	100	90	95	0	95	0	0	
Novobiocin	S	R	S	S	S	S	S	
Polymyxin B	R	S	S	S	S		S	
Slide coagulase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tube coagulase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Alkaline phosphatase	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pyrrolidonyl arylamidase	0	0	95	0	0	0	0	
Ornithine decarboxylase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Table 2: Screening of CONS for biofilm formation by TCP method in different media and at 24 and 48 hours of incubation**

Biofilm formation [OD] <sub>570nm</sub>	No. of isolates							
	TSB				BHI suc			
	Incubation period [hour]							
	24	48	24	48	24	48	24	48
High [ $> 0.240 \pm 0.022$ ]	21	24	29	34	31	37		
Moderate [ $0.120-0.240 \pm 0.020$ ]	24	26	39	46	43	47		
Non [ $< 0.120 \pm 0.012$ ]	94	89	71	59	65	55		
Biofilm production	Distribution of Detection of Biofilm Production by the Three Methods							
	Detection method							
	TCP [TSB at 24 hrs]		TM		CRA		BHI agar with 6% sucrose	
	n	[%]	n	[%]	n	[%]	n	[%]
High	21	15.1	8	5.7	3	2.1	3	2.1
Moderate	24	17.2	16	11.5	10	7.1	6	4.3
Weak	0	0	17	12.2	6	4.3	10	7.1
Non	94	67.6	98	70.5	120	86.3	120	86.3

**Table 3: Statistical evaluation of different methods for detection of biofilm formation in CONS using the tissue culture plate method as the standard method**

Biofilm detection methods	Interpretation	Tissue culture plate method		
		Positive	Negative	
Congo red agar method	Positive	[TP] 13	[FP] 6	
	Negative	[FN] 32	[TN] 88	
Tube adherence method	Positive	[TP] 24	[FP] 17	
	Negative	[FN] 21	[TN] 77	
BHI 6% sucrose	Positive	[TP] 9	[FP] 10	
	Negative	[FN] 36	[TN] 84	
Screening method	Test characteristics			
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive predictive value	Negative predictive value
Congo red agar method	28.8%	93.6%	68.4%	73.3%
Tube adherence method	53.3%	81.9%	58.5%	78.5%
BHI with 6% sucrose	20.0%	89.3%	47.3%	70.0%

**Table 4: Detection of various virulence markers among CONS**

Species	Virulence factors among CONS							Hemagglutination		
	Hemolysis on Sheep blood agar	Hemolysis on human blood agar	Phosphatase enzyme	DNase test	Lipase	Serine caesinase	Gelatinase	Human group O	Human group B	Human group A
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	27[34.6%]	19[79.1%]	19[42.2%]	15[42.8%]	7[30.4%]	11[40.7%]	15[38.4%]	9[50.0%]	15[42.8%]	0
<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i>	17[21.7%]	3[12.5%]	11[24.4%]	7[20.0%]	5[21.7%]	7[25.9%]	11[28.2%]	5[27.7%]	9[25.7%]	0
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> ,	9[11.5%]	2[8.3%]	7[15.5%]	6[17.1%]	5[21.7%]	3[11.1%]	3[7.6%]	2[11.1%]	4[11.4%]	0
<i>Staphylococcus lugdunensis</i>	7[8.9%]	0	0	2[5.7%]	1[4.3%]	2[7.4%]	4[10.2%]	2[11.1%]	4[11.4%]	0
<i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>	5[6.4%]	0	0	2[5.7%]	1[4.3%]	2[7.4%]	4[10.2%]	0	3[8.5%]	0
<i>Staphylococcus capitis</i>	0	0	0	1[2.8%]	1[4.3%]	1[3.7%]	1[2.5%]	0	0	0
<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i>	6[7.6%]	0	8[17.7%]	2[5.7%]	3[13.0%]	1[3.7%]	1[2.5%]	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	78	24	45	35	23	27	39	18	35	

**Table 5: Antibiotic sensitivity/resistant pattern of CONS with reference to biofilm formation**

Antibiotics	MSCONS, n=82		MRCONS, n=57	
	Sensitive n[%]	Resistant n[%]	Sensitive n[%]	Resistant n[%]
Amoxycillin	31[37.8]	51 [62.1]	0	57 [100]
Amoxy-clavulunic acid	37[45.1]	45[54.8]	15[26.3]	42[73.6]
Ciprofloxacin	33[40.2]	49[59.7]	19[33.3]	38[66.6]
Aztreonam	54[65.8]	28[34.1]	46[80.7]	11[19.2]
Nalidixic acid	49[59.7]	33[40.2]	35[61.4]	22[38.5]
Netilmycin	51[62.1]	31[37.8]	29[50.8]	28[49.1]
Erythromycin	55[67.0]	27[32.9]	27[47.3]	30[52.6]
Amikacin	47[57.3]	35[42.6]	22[38.5]	35[61.4]
Gentamicin	39[47.5]	43[52.4]	21[36.8]	36[63.1]
Clindamycin	48[58.5]	34[41.4]	31[54.3]	26[45.6]
Vancomycin	65[79.2]	17[20.7]	19[33.3]	38[66.6]
Linezolid	79[96.3]	3[3.6]	45[78.9]	12[21.0]

## Discussions

Uncertainties regarding the significance of CONS isolated from blood cultures may result in over-diagnosis and overuse of vancomycin, which may contribute to the development of resistance and thereby amplify the likelihood of morbidity and mortality. [10] Species identification of CONS will also help to ascertain the epidemiology of CONS in our region since information related to spread of these organisms among population is very limited.

A total of 39.7% [139/350] CONS were isolated from blood samples in the present study. Other authors reported a lower rate of isolation of CONS species 12.4% [62/500] from different samples like pus [40.3%], followed by urine [29%], blood [24.2%] and least isolated from indwelling devices like central line tip [4.8%] and UVC tip [1.6%]. The species distribution in their study was similar to our test results that included *S. epidermidis* [20 isolates, 32.3%] *S. haemolyticus* [15 isolates, 24.2%], *S. saprophyticus* [9 isolates, 14.5%], *S. lugdunensis* [7 isolates, 11.3%], *S. hominis* [7 isolates, 11.3%], *S. schlieferi* [2 isolates, 3.2%], *S. warneri* [1 isolate, 1.6%], and *S. warneri* [1 isolate, 1.6%]. [8] In contrast to the finding of our study, Jain A et al. isolated *S. haemolyticus* [58%] as

the most common isolate, followed by *S. epidermidis* [17%]. [11]

In the TCP assay with TSB medium, only 32.3% [45/139] tested CONS isolates produced biofilm. Similar observations were noted wherein biofilm producing isolates were much lower [4.6%; 7/152] using this medium. On the other hand, the rate of biofilm formation in TSBglu-5% & TSBsuc-6% increased to 57.5% [80/139] & 60.4% [84/139] respectively. Likewise, other findings showed biofilm formation increased to 80 [52.6%] and 82 [53.9%] after incubation for 18 hour and 24 hours respectively, following supplementation of TSB with glucose. Prolonged incubation followed by sugar supplementation improved the rate of biofilm formation. [8]

In our study, Tube method detected biofilm formation in 29.4% [41/139] isolates followed by CRA method in 13.6% [19/139] and BHI6% suc in 13.6% [19/139], which is similar to study done by Soumya et al, being 50.0% & 31.5% by TM and CRA method. and TCP method in 32.3% [45/139] isolates. Similar findings were reported by Ruchi et al, where biofilm formation was detected in 56 [40.88%] isolates by CRA Method followed by 52 [37.96%] in TM and 37 [27%] in TCP method. [12]

TCP method was the most specific test for detection of biofilm formation in the

present study. The test is easy to perform and assess biofilm forming capacity of an isolate both qualitatively and quantitatively. Subjective error is overcome in this method because the reading of biofilm formation is done using an ELISA reader. The sensitivity by TM was 53.3%, being more than that detected by CRA & BHI suc 6%, yet TM cannot be recommended for general biofilm screening test because of the differences associated with observing results by naked eye visualization.

Using TCP method as the standard method to evaluate other biofilm formation tests, it was seen that the sensitivity of TA method was 53.3%, which is higher than CRA method, being 28.8%. On the other hand, specificity of CRA and BHI suc 6% method was 93.6% & 89.3% in contrast to that of TA method [81.9%]. However, in other studies, the sensitivity [82.35%] and accuracy [86.5%] of CRA were higher than Tube adherence method [sensitivity = 76.47, accuracy = 82.69%], while no difference was observed in the specificity of these two methods. The PPV of CRA & TAM was 68.4% and 58.5% respectively. [13] Hence these tests cannot be recommended for clinical decision making.

Various virulence factors elaborated by CONS were evaluated. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* was the predominant strain showing haemolysis to sheep RBC and human RBC, being, 34.6% & 79.1% respectively. Similar results have been reported by other authors. However, authors reported no significant correlation between level of resistance of MRSA isolates and their respective protease, lipase or haemolysin production [ $P > 0.01$ ]. [14]

Lambe et al reported that most *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus warneri*, and *Staphylococcus hominis* strains included in their study produced lipase and DNase. [14] On the contrary, our results showed *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* were the

common species producing DNase and lipase. Other authors reported DNase activity to be more pronounced in *Staphylococcus chromogenes* as compared to other species of CONS. However, production of DNase though not a potent indicator of pathogenicity of CONS, yet has been reported in our study isolates. Gelatinase enzyme has been reported in 38.4% of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and 28.2% of *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* in our study. In other studies, a higher percentage of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* 67.2% strains produced gelatinase. The number of strains of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* [40.0% vs 38.4%] followed by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* [11.1% vs 7.1%] & *Staphylococcus capitis* [3.5% vs 2.7%] producing caseinase was more as compared to gelatinase activity. Dissimilar findings were seen in another study, where 43.3% of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strains produced gelatinase and only 3.3% displayed caseinolytic activity. [14]

In our study of the MRCONS strains, resistance was more common to ampicillin [100%], followed by amoxy-clavulanic acid [73.6%] and ciprofloxacin & vancomycin [66.6% each]. Likewise, the MSCONS showed maximum resistance to ampicillin [62.1%; 51/82] and ciprofloxacin [59.7%; 49/82]. Least resistance was shown to linezolid [3.6%; 3/82]. In other studies, greater resistance was observed to all antimicrobial drugs in the biofilm producing isolates, being 85.46% for ampicillin and 66.8% for cefoxitin. Vancomycin and Linezolid resistance was observed in 5.81% and 4.07% of the biofilm producing *Staphylococcal* isolates, respectively. [15]

### Conclusions

this study shows that 39.7% [139/350] strains of CONS were isolated from neonatal sepsis, hence the need for speciation and routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing. This practice will reduce irrational use of antibiotics and the inadvertent emergence of resistant strains.



The best approach to identify the species, characterize the resistant traits, determine the virulence factors is by molecular methods. However, in setup with inadequate molecular resources, performance of key biochemical tests and detection of biofilm formation by TCP method should be recommended.

#### References:

1. Vergnano S, Sharland M and Kazembe P et al. Heath PT. Neonatal sepsis: an international perspective. *Archives Disease of Child Fetal Neonatal Editon*. 2005; 90[3]:220-224
2. Beekmann SE, Diekema DJ and Doern GV. Determining the clinical significance of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from blood cultures. *Infection Control of Hospital Epidemiology*. 2005; 26[6]: 559-566.
3. Piette A and Verschraegen G. Role of coagulase-negative staphylococci in human disease. *Vetennary Journal of Microbiology*. 2009; 134[1-2]:45-54.
4. Collee JG, Miles RS and Watt B. Test for identification of bacteria. In: Mackie & Mc Cartney *Practical Medical Microbiology*. 14th ed. Churchill Livingstone: New Delhi: 2006:p. 131-150
5. Wesley E. Kloosl and Tammy I. Bannerman et al. Update on Clinical Significance of Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 1994; 7[1]:117-140.
6. El-Gayar, Mona H and Aboulwafa. Virulence characters of some methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. *Archives of Clinical Microbiology*. 2014; 5[]:1-14
7. Mathur T, Singhal S and Khan S. Detection of biofilm formation among the clinical isolates of staphylococci: an evaluation of three different screening methods. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 2006; 24 [1]:25-29
8. CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, Twenty-third informational supplement, M100-S23, January. Vol. 33 No. 1. CLSI; 2008; pg. 90-93
9. Duran N, Ozer B and Duran GG. Antibiotic resistance genes & susceptibility patterns in staphylococci. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*. 2012; 135 [3]: 389-396
10. Singh S, Ashthana Ashish K and Molly M. Study of Biofilm Formation Among Clinical Staphylococcal Isolates. *National Journal of Laboratory Medicine*. 2015; 4[4]: 24-27
11. Jain A, Agarwal J, Bansal S. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant, coagulase-negative staphylococci in neonatal intensive care units: findings from a tertiary care hospital in India. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2004; 53[Pt 9]:941-4. PMID: 15314204
12. Lok Bahadur Shrestha, Narayan Raj Bhattarai and Basudha Khanal. Antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation among coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from clinical samples at a tertiary care hospital of eastern Nepal. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*. 2017; 6[August31]:89
13. Stepanovic S, Vokovic D and Trajkovic V. Possible virulence factors of *Staphylococcus sciuri*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2001; 199[1]:47-53.
14. Lambe Jr DW, Ferguson KP and Keplinger JL et al. Pathogenicity of *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*, *Staphylococcus schleiferi*, and three other coagulase-negative staphylococci in a mouse model and possible virulence factors. *Can J Microbiol*. 1990; 36[7]: 455-463.
15. Priyanka Khanna1, Pushpa Devi2 and Bimla Devi. Prevalence of biofilm production by *Staphylococcus* species isolated from patients on indwelling medical devices/implants. *International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Sciences*. 2016; 5[3]: 667-675.