International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2021; 13(6); 315-320 Original Research Article

To Examine the Efficiency of Butorphanol and Clonidine in Controlling Shivering During spinal Anaesthesia

Nagina Choudhary¹, Niranjan Kumar²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Jannayak Karpuri Thakur Medical College and Hospital, Madhepura, Bihar, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, Jannayak Karpuri Thakur Medical College and Hospital, Madhepura, Bihar, India

Received: 06-07-2021 / Revised: 14-08-2021 / Accepted: 27-09-2021 Corresponding author: Dr. Nagina Choudhary Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: To examine the efficiency of Butorphanol and Clonidine in controlling shivering during spinal anaesthesia.

Methods: 100 Patients aged between 18-65 years, of either sex, had American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I/II, scheduled for elective lower abdominal surgeries under subarachnoid block were included in this study. Group B (n= 50) received intravenous bolus butorphanol 1 mg while group C (n= 50) received an intravenous bolus of 150 μ g (1 mL) clonidine. The prophylaxis for shivering was regarded as ineffective if the patient exhibits grade-3 shivering any time during the study. Patients, who developed grade 3 or more of shivering were treated with tramadol (50 mg intravenously) with ondansetron 4 mg. The subarachnoid block characteristics, hemodynamic parameters, shivering with its onset time and grade, time of disappearance, level of sedation and any other intraoperative adverse events were recorded for statistical analysis.

Results: 100 patients were randomly allocated into group B (n= 50, received butorphanol) and group C (n= 50, received clonidine). We compared age (years), weight (Kg), BMI(Kg/m2), Gender (Male/Female) and ASA grade between both groups and no statistically significant difference was noted among them. We noted earlier onset of sensory as well as motor block and prolonged duration of sensory as well as motor block in butorphanol group as compared to clonidine group and difference was statistically significant. Incidence of shivering was more in clonidine group as compared to butorphanol group as compared to butorphanol group as compared to butorphanol group and difference was statistically significant. Also hypotension was more in clonidine group as compared to butorphanol group and difference was statistically significant. Similar incidence of bradycardia was noted in both groups.

Conclusion: The butorphanol is more effective than clonidine in the treatment of shivering because of its faster onset, lesser recurrence rate, and fewer complications reported. Intravenous administration of butorphanol is a safe and effective for prevention of shivering. **Keywords:** butorphanol, clonidine, ASA, shivering

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Shivering, an involuntary, oscillatory muscular activity, is a physiological response to core hypothermia in an attempt to raise the metabolic heat production.[1] Prolonged impairment of thermoregulatory autonomic control under anesthesia along with the cold environment of operating rooms and cold infusion fluids, contributes to a fall in core body temperature, and hence shivering.[1,2] Other known causes of shivering include transfusion reactions, drug reactions, pre-existing high grade fever or bacteremia, or infusion of contaminated intravenous fluids (fungal growth in dextrose containing fluids). Perioperative hypothermia is the most common cause of shivering, though the exact incidence of each is difficult to evaluate. In a shivering patient, oxygen consumption may increase by 200%-500% along with a linear increase in carbon dioxide production.[3] Thus in a patient with limited myocardial oxygen reserve or known coronary disease, shivering may compromise myocardial further Shivering function.[4] also increases intraocular and intracranial pressure, and may contribute to increased wound pain, delayed wound healing, and delayed discharge from post anesthetic care.[2] Apart from being an uncomfortable experience, its deleterious effects warrant primary prevention and prompt control on occurrence.

Various pharmacological therapies aim to prevent or treat shivering include opioids (pethidine, nalbuphine, or tramadol). ketanserin. propofol, granisetron, doxapram, physostigmine, clonidine, and nefopam, but debate on an 'ideal antishivering drug' continues.[2,5] In a country like India, restrictions on drug licensing of opioids, and unavailability of many other drugs, compound the problem.[6] Tramadol □-opioid hydrochloride, а receptor agonistic drug, has a modulatory effect on central monoaminergic pathways, and thus inhibits the neuronal uptake of noradrenaline/serotonin and encourages

hydroxytriptamine secretion which resets the body temperature regulation center. It has gained a reputation in many clinical trials for the control of shivering.[6-8] Clonidine. an alpha-2 agonist drug, decreases the release of noradrenaline from the axonal terminals in the hypothalamus to exert its anti-shivering effects.[9] Butorphanol, an easily available opioid, acts through k and \Box receptor agonistic modulation, though only a few studies have denoted its anti- shivering properties.[10]

Material and methods

The comparative, clinical, interventional study was carried out in the Department of Anaesthesiology, Jannayak Karpuri Thakur Medical College and Hospital, Madhepura, Bihar, India for 1 year.

Inclusion criteria

Patients aged between 18-65 years, of either sex, had American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I/II, scheduled for elective lower abdominal surgeries under subarachnoid block,

Exclusion criteria

Patients with history of severe cardiac or pulmonary disease, uncontrolled hypertension, morbid obesity, neurologic or psychological disease, hepatic or renal dysfunction, thyroid disease or metabolic disorders, Patients requiring intraoperative blood transfusion, acute infections or fever.

Methodology

Patients with deranged coagulation profile, deformity of spinal column, infection at the site of lumbar puncture, Patients with known hypersensitivity to study drugs, Patients had refusal to the technique, uncooperative patient, patients not willing to participate

100 Patients were included in this study. Group B (n=50) received intravenous bolus butorphanol 1 mg while group C (n= 50) received an intravenous bolus of 150 μ g (1 mL) clonidine. All enrolled patients were admitted prior to day of operation and received tablet al. prazolam 0.5 mg orally, night before surgery. After arrival in the operation theatre, monitoring for heart rate, electrocardiogram, pulse-oximetry, noninvasive arterial blood pressure and axillary temperature were commenced and noted. They were infused lactated Ringer solution at rate of 10 mL/kg over 15 minutes, before initiation of subarachnoid block and no means of active rewarming was used. Under all aseptic precautions, in supine position with 10° Trendelenburg tilt, subarachnoid block was given at L2-L3 or L3-L4 intervertebral space with 3.5 ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine (17.5 mg). All patients were given midazolam 2 mg, followed by study drug solution according to group allocation and supplemental oxygen was given at rate of 4 mL/min via face mask. The sensory and motor block characteristics were assessed till required surgical anaesthesia was achieved. The onset of sensory blockade, duration of sensory blockade, onset of motor block, duration of motor block was noted. The hemodynamic parameters of systemic arterial pressure, heart rate, ECG and pulseoximetry were monitored at 5-minute intervals till end of surgery and then in recovery room.

Intraoperatively shivering was recorded at 5-minute interval up to 60 minutes of surgery, using a scale validated by Wrench.11 8

Grade 0: No shivering,

Grade 1: Piloerection but no visible muscular activity,

Grade 2: Visible muscular activity confined to one muscle group,

Grade 3: Visible muscular activity in more than one muscle group but not generalized,

Grade 4: Gross muscular activity (Shivering) involving the whole body.

The prophylaxis for shivering was regarded as ineffective if the patient exhibits grade-3 shivering any time during the study. Patients, who developed grade 3 or more of shivering were treated with tramadol (50 mg intravenously) with ondansetron 4 mg. The subarachnoid block characteristics, hemodynamic parameters, shivering with its onset time and grade, time of disappearance, level of sedation and any other intraoperative adverse events were recorded for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Data was recorded, entered in Microsoft excel sheet and analysed with SPSS version 25.0. The results were documented as Mean \pm SD, percentage. The chi-square test was used to compare the difference of demographic data. The statistical significance in mean difference was calculated using repeatedmeasures ANOVA. A p value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

100 patients were randomly allocated into group B (n= 50, received butorphanol) and group C (n= 50, received clonidine). We compared age (years), weight (Kg), BMI(Kg/m2), Gender (Male/Female) and ASA grade between both groups and no statistically significant difference was noted among them.

General characteristics	Group B (n=50)	Group C (n=50)	P-value
Age (years)	40.9 ± 10.3	39.1 ± 9.6	0.64
Weight (Kg)	68.1 ± 9.5	70.9 ± 9.7	0.41
BMI (Kg/m2)	25.4 ± 3.1	26.1 ± 2.9	0.22
Gender (M/F)	20/30	25/25	0.54
ASA I/II	21/9	22/8	0.41
Duration of surgery (min)	110.1 ± 41.2	103.5 ± 42.2	0.57
Baseline axillary temperature (0C)	36.84 ± 0.45	36.78 ± 0.61	0.64

Table 1: General characteristics

We noted earlier onset of sensory as well as motor block and prolonged duration of sensory as well as motor block in butorphanol group as compared to clonidine group and difference was statistically significant.

Spinal anaesthesia characteristics	Group B (n=50)	Group C (n=50)	P value
Onset of Sensory block (min)	4.12 ± 1.29	4.63 ± 1.82	0.041
Onset of motor block (min)	5.42 ± 1.54	5.65 ± 1.73	0.042
Duration of motor block (min)	222.5 ± 24.59	190.55 ± 20.28	0.027
Duration of sensory block (min)	256.45 ± 21.76	213.47 ± 18.86	0.032

Table 2: Spinal anaesthesia characteristics

Incidence of shivering was more in clonidine group as compared to butorphanol group and difference was statistically significant. Also hypotension was more in clonidine group as compared to butorphanol group and difference was statistically significant. Similar incidence of bradycardia was noted in both groups.

Variables	Group B	Group C	P- value
Shivering			
Grade III	6 (12%)	12 (24%)	0.041
Grade IV	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	0.042
Side effects			
Hypotension	6 (12%)	9 (18%)	0.037
Bradycardia	5 (10%)	5 (10%)	-
Nausea and vomiting	2(4%)	4 (8%)	0.41

 Table 3: Incidence of shivering and side effects

Discussion

Shivering occurs as a thermoregulatory response to hypothermia or muscle activity with tonic or clonic patterns, and various frequencies have been noticed.[12] The causes of intra/post-operative main shivering are temperature loss, increased sympathetic tone, pain, and systemic release of pyrogens.9 Various risk factors associated with shivering include age, type, duration of anesthesia, level of sensory blockade and temperature of the operating room and infusion fluids.[13,14] The treatment of shivering includes both pharmacological and non-pharmacological methods. The non-pharmacological management is by external heating like the use of forced air warming, warming warmed fluids etc., Most blankets, frequently used pharmacological interventions include clonidine, pethidine, tramadol, nefopam, butorphanol and ketamine. [15] Clonidine is an alpha-2 (α 2) agonist, exerts its anti-shivering effects at

three levels. At hypothalamus, it decreases thermoregulatory the threshold for vasoconstriction and shivering, at locus coeruleus a pro-shivering center in pons, it reduces spontaneous firing, and at the spinal cord level, it activates the α^2 adrenoreceptors and release of dynorphin, norepinephrine, and acetylcholine.[16] In study by Pravin B.,[17] both clonidine and butorphanol groups were comparable with respect to demographic profile, duration of surgery and mean time for onset of shivering. Time required for control of shivering was more with clonidine (331.33 70.65 seconds) as compared ± to butorphanol (81.17 \pm 37.38 seconds). The incidence of recurrence was significantly more with clonidine as compared to but orphanol (P < 0.001). The percentage of side effects such as hypotension and bradycardia was significantly higher with clonidine as compared to butorphanol. The incidence of sedation was not statistically significant between two groups. Similar results were noted in present study. Astha Palan also noted that butorphanol is better than Clonidine for control of shivering which occurs intra- operatively under spinal advantages anaesthesia. The of Butorphanol are faster control with lower incidence of recurrence of shivering and lower incidence of side effects such as hypotension and bradycardia.[18] Bansal P[13] noted that butorphanol and tramadol were more effective than clonidine in Butorphanol, suppressing shivering. tramadol, clonidine completely and controlled rigors in 83%, 73%, and 53% of cases, respectively, and incompletely suppressed rigors in 16%, 26%, and 46% of cases, respectively. Time taken to terminate rigors was significantly higher for clonidine $(3.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ minutes})$ than for butorphanol and tramadol (2.1 \pm 1.0 minutes and 1.8 \pm minutes; P, 0.001). Butorphanol and tramadol are superior to clonidine for management of postoperative shivering due to higher rates of success, earlier onset of action and lesser recurrence with comparable levels of safety. A higher fall in systolic and diastolic BP and an increase in heart rate was found in the clonidine group after treatment of shivering than in other two groups.

Conclusion

The present study concluded that butorphanol is more effective than clonidine in the treatment of shivering because of its faster onset, lesser recurrence rate, and less complications reported. Intravenous administration of butorphanol is a safe and effective for prevention of shivering.

Reference

- 1. De Witte J, Sessler DI. Perioperative shivering: physiology and pharmacology. Anaesthesiology. 2002; 96:467–484.
- 2. Kranke P, Eberhart LH, Roewer N, Tramer MR. Pharmacological treatment of postoperative shivering: a quantitative systematic review of

randomized controlled trials. Anesth Analg. 2002; 94:453–460.

- Dal D, Kose A, Honca M, Akinci SB, Basgul E, Aypar U. Efficacy of prophylactic ketamine in preventing postoperative shivering. Br J Anaesth. 2005; 95:189–192.
- 4. Buggy DJ, Crossley AWA. Thermoregulation, mild perioperative hypothermia, and post-anaesthetic shivering. Br J Anaesth. 2000;84: 615– 628.
- 5. Zhang Y, Wong KC. Anesthesia and postoperative shivering: its etiology, treatment and prevention. Acta Anaesthesiol Sin. 1999; 37:115–120.
- 6. Katyal S, Tewari A, et al. Shivering: anesthetic considerations. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol. 2002; 18:363–376.
- Bhatnagar S, Saxena A, Kannan TR, Punj J, Panigrahi M, Mishra S. Tramadol for postoperative shivering: a double-blind comparison with pethidine. Anaesth Intensive Care. 2001; 29:149–154.
- Zahedi H. Comparison of tramadol and pethidine for postanesthetic shivering in elective cataract surgery. Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2004; 5:235–239.
- Piper SN, Maleck WH, Boldt J, Suttner SW, Schmidt CC, Reich DG. A comparison of urapidil, clonidine, meperidine and placebo in preventing postanesthetic shivering. Anesth Analg. 2000; 90:954–957.
- Maheshwari BS, Shah SK, Chadha IA. Tramadol and butrophanol for control of shivering: randomised double blind comparative study. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol. 2008; 24:343–346.
- 11. Wrench IJ, Singh P, Dennis AR, Mahajan RP, Crossley AW. The minimum effective doses of pethidine and doxapram in the treatment of postanaesthetic shivering. Anaesthesia 1997; 52: 32–6
- 12. Shukla U, Malhotra K, Prabhakar T. A comparative study of the effect of clonidine and tramadol on post-spinal

anaesthesia shivering. Indian J Anaesth 2011; 55:242-6

- 13. Bansal P, Jain G. Control of shivering with clonidine, butorphanol, and tramadol under spinal anesthesia: A comparative study. Local Reg Anesth 2011; 4:29-34.
- 14. Singh SN, Sah BP, Ghimire A, Prasad JN, Baral DD. Comparisons of tramadol with pethidine for prevention of post anaesthetic shivering in elective abdominal surgery. Health Renaiss 2012; 10:220-23.
- 15. Park SM, Mangat HS, Berger K, Rosengart AJ. Efficacy spectrum of antishivering medications: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Crit Care Med 2012; 40:3070-82.
- 16. Delaunay L, Bonnet F, Liu N, Beydon L, Catoire P, Sessler DI. Clonidine

comparably decreases the thermoregulatory thresholds for vasoconstriction and shivering in humans. Anesthesiology 1993; 79:470-4.

- 17. Pravin Bhosale J, Muneer Banday B. Comparison of butorphanol and clonidine for control of intraoperative shivering under spinal anaesthesia. Indian J Clin Anaesth 2019;6(4):488-492A
- 18. stha Palan, N.K Agrawal, Control of intraoperative shivering under spinal anaesthesia- a prospective randomized comparative study of butorphanol with tramadol, Journal of Krishna institute of medical sciences university, 6(1), January-March 2017