## ISSN: 0975-1556

### Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2021; 13(6); 340-346

Original Research Article

# Comparative Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Dexmedetomidine and Levobupivacaine in the Treatment of Brachial Plexus Block

Md Imran Mehdi<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Sameer Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, Fahad Manzoor Zunga<sup>3</sup>, Surendra Singh<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pardesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pardesh, India

<sup>3</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pardesh, India

<sup>4</sup>Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pardesh, India

Received: 01-07-2021 / Revised: 19-08-2021 / Accepted: 25-09-2021

Corresponding author: Dr. Md Imran Mehdi

**Conflict of interest: Nil** 

## **Abstract**

**Aim:** A comparative study to assess the efficacy of addition of dexmedetomidine to levobupivacaine in brachial plexus block.

**Methods:** This comparative study conducted in the, Department of Anaesthesiology and critical care, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pardesh, India, for 14 months. 100 Patients posted electively for upper limb orthopedic and soft tissue lesion, between the age of 18 and 60 years of any gender, weighing above 60 kilograms with ASA Grade I and II and those who fulfilled the selection criteria and those who gave consent to participate in the study were included in the study. Patients with chronic kidney disease, hypertension, pregnant women, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, cerebrovascular accident, COPD, coronary artery disease on anticoagulants, those with history of bleeding disorders, and those who were allergic to amide local anesthetics /alpha 2 agonist were excluded from the study.

**Results:** In the LD group males and females were 64% and 36% respectively. In LS group, it was 56% and 44% respectively. There was no statistical significance between the two groups. The average age of study participants was 33.1 vs 31.3 in LD and LS group respectively. The mean weight of study participants in study and control group was 65.4 vs 64.2 respectively. Both the results were statistically significant. All the study participants in LD group did not require post-operative analgesia while all in LS group were given Postoperative analgesia. 26% in LD group were slightly drowsy compared to 100% in LS group. Both the results are statistically significant. The mean duration of onset of sensory and motor block was nearly 4 min earlier in LD group compared to LS group. (5.52 vs 9.37 min) Onset of motor blockade was also 4 minutes earlier in LD group compared to LS (8.55 vs 12.48 min). The mean duration of sensory block (551 vs 941 min) and motor block (573 vs 963 min) were 395 minutes lesser respectively between both the groups. The duration post-operative analgesia was 407 min lesser between both the groups (580 vs 982 min). All the results are statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** We conclude from the study dexmedetomidine can be an ideal adjuvant for levobupivacaine for upper limb surgeries applying brachial plexus block.

# **Keywords:** dexmedetomidine, levobupivacaine, BPB.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

#### Introduction

Supraclavicular brachial block is the popular and widely used nerve block technique for perioperative anesthesia and analgesia for surgery of upper extremity. The block is performed at the level of distal trunks and origin of the divisions, where the brachial plexus is confined to its smallest surface area, thus producing a rapid and reliable blockade of brachial plexus. Local anesthetics are compounds that have the ability to interrupt the transmission of the action potential of excitable membranes by binding to specific receptors in the Na+ Large volumes channels. of anesthetics required to produce desirable effects may result in systemic side effects.[1] Levobupivacaine duration of action ranging from three to eight hours and hence it is the most commonly used local anesthetic drug.[2] This anesthetic drug has established itself as cheaper and safer for many years.[3] But patchy or incomplete analgesia and delayed onset are few of the practical constraints. Few drugs are added to levobupivacaine in trial to decrease these limitations, to upsurge the quality, prolong the duration of action and analgesia.

To prolong the duration of brachial plexus block and improve the quality, vasoconstrictors like  $\alpha$ -adrenergic agonists, hyaluronidase, neostigmine, opioids, can be utilized. But these vasoconstrictors have been found to cause certain side effects. There arises the need to identify the ideal additive and many researchers tried the novel alpha 2 adrenergic agonists.

Alpha 2 adrenergic agonists not only decrease the requirements of intraoperative anesthetic agents, but also, they have cardiovascular stabilizing properties, sympatholytic analgesic and sedative property. In order to reduce the time of

onset of nerve block, to prolong the duration of block and to improve the quality of blockade, these can be given in peripheral nerve blocks, intrathecal, epidural either alone or with local anesthetic agents.[4]

ISSN: 0975-1556

An  $\alpha 2$  receptor agonist, dexmedetomidine, is eight times more sensitive than clonidine.[5,6] Researches have found that dexmedetomidine, when used in many animals and humans had improved the onset and duration of motor/sensory blockade. When used as an adjuvant to local anesthetic agents in peripheral nerve blocks, it has increased the duration of analgesia.[7-14]

The present study was designed to assess the effect of levobupivacaine 0.5% alone and with dexmedetomidine 100µg as an adjuvant to levobupivacaine 0.5% on the onset and duration of sensory and motor block, the duration of perioperative analgesia, complications and sedation score.

#### **Material and methods**

This cross-sectional comparative study the. conducted in Department Anaesthesiology and critical care, Era's Lucknow Medical college and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pardesh, India, for 14 months, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. 100 Patients posted electively for upper limb orthopedic and soft tissue lesion, between the age of 18 and 60 years of any gender, weighing above 60 kilograms with ASA Grade I and II and those who fulfilled the selection criteria and those who gave consent to participate in the study were included in the study. Patients with chronic kidney disease, hypertension, pregnant women, uncontrolled diabetes

mellitus, cerebrovascular accident, COPD, coronary artery disease on anticoagulants, those with history of bleeding disorders, and those who were allergic to amide local anesthetics /alpha 2 agonist were excluded from the study.

A pre-anesthetic checkup including complete history, general and systemic examination and fitness was assessed for all patients. Complete hemogram like RBC, WBC counts, platelets and other tests like blood urea, random blood sugar, bleeding time, clotting time, serum creatinine and ECG is mandatory if the subject is more than 45yrs. Patients were informed to be on nil per oral after 8 pm on the previous day of surgery. The participants were divided randomly into two groups of thirty each using sealed envelope technique.

The first group (LD group) was administered ml  $(100 \mu g)$ 1 dexmedetomidine with 39 ml of 0.5% Levobupivacaine. The second group was given 1 ml of 0.9% normal saline and 39 ml of 0.5% Levobupivacaine as anesthetic agent. Once the study participant entered the operation theatre, the chief consultant had used the preassigned 50 envelope for each group in the pre shuffled order. Neither the investigator nor the patient knew which group they were assigned (double blinding).

The vital signs like respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate and oxygen saturation were monitored and noted immediately on entering the OT. Ringer lactate was started in the already secured intravenous line. Brachial plexus block was applied through the supraclavicular approach.

Nerve locator (Fisher and Paykel, New Zealand) was used for neural localization and it was achieved by connecting to a 22 G, 50-mm-long stimulating needle (Stimuplex, Braun, Germany). The location end point was a distal motor response with an output lower than 0.5 milliamperes in the median nerve region. Local anaesthetic

solution in the labelled coded syringe was injected following negative aspiration.

ISSN: 0975-1556

Pin prick method was used to assess the sensory block. Sensory onset considered when there was dull sensation to pin prick along the distribution of any two of the following nerves like musculocutaneous nerve, radial nerve, median nerve, ulnar nerve. When there was complete loss of sensation to pin prick, we can consider it as complete sensory block. Modified Bromage scale. Motor and sensory blocks were assessed for 30 minutes for every 3 minutes until after injection, and then every 30 minutes until they have resolved.[15]

Saturation of oxygen (SpO<sub>2</sub>), heart rate, diastolic blood pressure, systolic blood pressure, were noted at 0, five, ten, fifteen, Thirty, sixty, ninety and one twenty minutes.

Side effects like heart rate less than fifty per min (bradycardia) and blood pressure less than 20% with respect to resting conditions (hypotension) were treated with appropriate measures. Then we noted the period of motor and sensory blocks once the surgery is started. When the subject's visual analogue score >5, a rescue analgesia like intramuscular diclofenac sodium 75mg (1.5mg/kg) was administered. Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS) was used to assess the sedation before the block and 15 min then after.

All the data noted was entered in Microsoft excel sheet and was double checked. SPSS 21.0 software was used to analyze the collected data. The categorical variables were tabulated as frequency and percentages. Continuous variables were presented as Mean Standard deviation. Independent sample T- test was used to measure the association between the vitals at different times. Chi-square test was applied to assess the relationship between the categorical variables. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

#### **Results**

In the LD group males and females were 64% and 36% respectively. In LS group, it was 56% and 44% respectively. There was no statistical significance between the two groups. (Table 1)

The average age of study participants was 33.1 vs 31.3 in LD and LS group respectively. The mean weight of study participants in study and control group was 65.4 vs 64.2 respectively. Both the results were statistically significant.

All the study participants in LD group did not require post-operative analgesia while all in LS group were given Postoperative analgesia. 26% in LD group were slightly drowsy compared to 100% in LS group. Both the results are statistically significant. (Table 1)

The mean duration of onset of sensory and motor block was nearly 4 min earlier in LD

group compared to LS group. (5.52 vs 9.37 min) Onset of motor blockade was also 4 minutes earlier in LD group compared to LS (8.55 vs 12.48 min). The mean duration of sensory block(551 vs 941 min) and motor block(573 vs 963 min) were 395 minutes lesser respectively between both the groups. The duration post-operative analgesia was 407 min lesser between both the groups (580 vs 982 min). All the results are statistically significant.

ISSN: 0975-1556

The diastolic blood pressure, systolic blood pressure, heart rate was comparatively maintained lesser than baseline for LD group from fifth min after induction of drug till two hours. (Tables 2-4). 22 percent of study population in LD group had bradycardia compared to none in LS group (chi-square value - 6.8; P value - 0.008). Bradycardia was noted in 22% if the study population. No other adverse effects were recorded in the study subjects.

Table 1: Association between various parameters between groups

Table 1. Association between various parameters between groups								
e p-value								
0.055								
< 0.001								
< 0.001								
_								

Table 2: Comparison of heart rates between both groups at different time intervals

Time in min	LD group		LS group		Mean difference	T value	P value
0	85.27	8.07	84.82	8.36	0.24	0.139	0.87
5	83.56	7.51	79.56	6.68	4	2.18	0.035
10	81.88	7.51	76.85	6.54	5.03	2.752	0.007
15	79.32	7.65	72.35	6.72	6.97	3.749	< 0.001
30	76.65	7.85	69.80	6.23	6.85	3.711	< 0.001
45	75.15	6.8	67.32	6.12	7.83	4.669	< 0.001
60	75.12	6.23	67.15	5.82	7.97	5.120	< 0.001
75	78.35	8.89	71.15	6.88	7.2	3.508	< 0.001
90	79.82	7.86	71.59	7.02	8.23	4.277	< 0.001
120	82.01	8.35	73.25	7.89	8.76	4.177	< 0.001

Table 3: Comparison of mean values of systolic BP between both groups

Time in min	LS group		LD group		Mean difference	T value	P value
0	131.12	9.32	134.68	9.67	3.56	-1.044	0.32
5	126.32	5.81	124.36	5.32	1.96	1.363	0.18
10	121.47	6.25	115.89	6.67	5.58	3.344	< 0.001
15	122.12	5.98	114.21	5.26	7.91	5.395	< 0.001
30	120.10	5.54	113.95	5.98	6.15	4.146	< 0.001
45	121.15	6.23	112.22	5.65	8.93	5.816	< 0.001
60	122.25	8.13	114.26	6.65	7.99	4.167	< 0.001
75	125.51	9.69	114.55	7.86	10.96	4.811	< 0.001
90	126.71	9.36	115.63	8.02	11.08	4.728	< 0.001
120	128.32	9.12	117.21	9.11	11.11	4.721	< 0.001

Table 4: Comparison of mean values of diastolic BP between both groups

Time in min	LS group		LD group		Mean difference	T value	P value
0	81.32	9.21	79.68	8.32	1.64	0.724	0.46
5	79.25	8.32	76.85	7.68	2.4	1.161	0.26
10	75.35	6.32	71.32	5.68	4.03	2.598	0.013
15	73.22	6.32	69.52	5.82	3.7	2.359	0.025
30	73.52	6.18	68.12	5.37	5.4	3.613	< 0.001
45	73.11	6.23	67.82	5.92	5.29	3.378	< 0.001
60	74.73	7.12	67.25	5.85	7.48	4.446	< 0.001
75	75.63	7.36	67.03	6.20	8.6	4.895	< 0.001
90	77.25	8.59	71.23	8.11	6.02	2.791	< 0.001
120	79.26	9.24	72.26	8.36	7	3.077	< 0.001

### **Discussion**

This cross-sectional study was done while applying supraclavicular brachial plexus block in upper limb surgeries to assess the impact of dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant drug to levobupivacaine. There is no statistical significance in age, sex and weight between both the groups signifying both the groups were similar before the start of the study and the sampling methods were followed appropriately.

Dexmedetomidine 100  $\mu g$  when used as an adjuvant to levobupivacaine 0.5% reduces the onset of sensory and motor blockade, prolongs the analgesic effect of motor and sensory block. The mechanism of action of  $\alpha 2$  agonists causing sedation and analgesia is not completely known, but it seems to have multifactorial. Centrally,  $\alpha 2$  agonists inhibits the substance P release at dorsal root neuron in the pain pathway and activates  $\alpha 2$  adrenoceptors in the locus coeruleus and thereby causing analgesia

and sedation. Peripherally, α2 agonists decrease the release of noradrenaline, produce analgesia and produces α2 receptor- independent restraints on nerve action potentials. Activation of cation current by hyperpolarization causes the peripheral action of dexmedetomidine. For subsequent firing the nerve will not return from hyperpolarized state to resting membrane state.[16-19] Studies done by various other authors have also showed that addition of dexmedetomidine reduces the onset time of motor and sensory block and prolongs the duration of postoperative analgesia.[20-24]

ISSN: 0975-1556

Addition of dexmedetomidine improves the hemodynamic stability of the patients. Similar findings were obtained in the several other researches conducted world wide.[25]

Bradycardia was noted in one fifth of the patients who were administered

dexmedetomidine. Which coincides with the findings of Talke et al.[26]

#### **Conclusion**

We conclude from the study dexmedetomidine can be an ideal adjuvant for levobupivacaine for upper limb surgeries applying brachial plexus block.

#### Reference

- 1. Vermeylen K, Engelen S, Sermeus I, Soetens F, Van de Velde M. Supraclavicular brachial plexus blocks: Review and current practice. Acta Anaesth Belg 2011; 62:15-21
- 2. Krishan G, Mitra S, Verma AP, Agrawal M, Singh RP, Ahmad S. A comparative study between levobupivacaine with dexmedetomidine versus levobupivacaine with clonidine in ultrasound guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block for upper limb surgeries: a randomized double-blind placebo controlled study. Int J Contemp Med Res. 2018;5(1):6-11.
- 3. Singh AP, Mahindra M, Gupta R, Bajwa SJS. Dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to levobupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block: A novel anesthetic approach. Anesth Essays Res. 2016;10(3):414–9.
- 4. Murphy DB, McCartney CJL, Chan VWS. Novel Analgesic Adjuncts for Brachial Plexus Block: A Systematic Review. Anesth Analg. 2000;90(5):1122–8.
- 5. Kathuria S, Singh N, Gupta S. Dexmedetomidine vs dexamethasone as an adjuvant to 0.5% ropivacaine in ultrasound-guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2020;36(2):238–43.
- 6. Virtanen R, Savola JM, Saano V, Nyman L. Characterization of the selectivity, specificity and potency of medetomidine as an α2-adrenoceptor agonist. Eur J Pharmacol. 1988;150(1-2):9–14.
- 7. Brummett CM, Norat MA, Palmisano JM, Lydic R. Perineural Administration

of Dexmedetomidine in Combination with Bupivacaine Enhances Sensory and Motor Blockade in Sciatic Nerve Block without Inducing Neurotoxicity in Rat. Anesthesiology. 2008;109(3):502–11.

ISSN: 0975-1556

- 8. Brummett CM, Amodeo FS, Janda AM, Padda AK, Lydic R. Perineural Dexmedetomidine Provides an Increased Duration of Analgesia to a Thermal Stimulus When Compared with a Systemic Control in a Rat Sciatic Nerve Block. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2010;35(5):427–31.
- 9. Brummett CM, Hong EK, Janda AM, Amodeo FS, Lydic R. Perineural Dexmedetomidine Added Ropivacaine for Sciatic Nerve Block in Rats **Prolongs** the Duration Analgesia by **Blocking** the Hyperpolarization-activated Cation Current. Anesthesiology. 2011;115(4):836–43.
- 10. Kosugi T, Mizuta K, Fujita T, Nakashima M, Kumamoto E. High concentrations of dexmedetomidine inhibit compound action potentials in frog sciatic nerves without α2 adrenoceptor activation. Br J Pharmacol. 2010;160(7):1662–76.
- 11. Kanazi GE, Aouad MT, Jabbour-Khoury SI, Jazzar MA, Alameddine MM, Al-Yaman R, et al. Effects of low dose Dexmedetomidine or clonidine on characteristics of spinal block. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2006;50(2):222–7.
- 12. Memis D, Turan A, Karamanlioglu B, Pamukçu Z, Kurt I. Adding dexmedetomidine to lignocaine for IVRA. AnesthAnalg. 2004; 98:835–40.
- 13. Esmaoglu A, Yegenoglu F, Akin A, Turk CY. Dexmedetomidine Added to Levobupivacaine Prolongs Axillary Brachial Plexus Block. Anesth Analg. 2010;111(6):1548–51.
- 14. Obayah GM, Refaie A, Aboushanab O, Ibraheem N, Abdelazees M. Addition of dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine for greater palatine nerve block prolongs postoperative analgesia after cleft

- palate repair. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2010;27(3):280–4.
- 15. Biswas S, Das RK, Mukherjee G, T. Dexmedetomidine Ghose an adjuvant to levobupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block: A randomized double blind prospective study. **Ethiop** Health J 2014;24(3):203-8.
- 16. Eisnach JC, Kock MD, Klimscha W. Alpha-2-adrenergic agonists for regional anesthesia. A clinical review of clonidine (1984–1995). Anesthesiology. 1984; 85:655–74.
- 17. Guo TZ, Jiang JY, Buttermann AE, Maze M. Dexmedetomidine Injection into the Locus Ceruleus Produces Antinociception. Anesthesiology. 1996;84(4):873–81.
- 18. Brummett CM, Norat MA, Palmisano JM, Lydic R. Perineural Administration of Dexmedetomidine in Combination with Bupivacaine Enhances Sensory and Motor Blockade in Sciatic Nerve Block without Inducing Neurotoxicity in Rat. Anesthesiology. 2008;109(3):502–11.
- 19. Khan ZP, Ferguson CN, Jones RM. Alpha-2 and imidazoline receptor agonistsTheir pharmacology and therapeutic role. Anaesthesia. 1999;54(2):146–65.
- 20. Esmaoglu A, Yegenoglu F, Akin A, Turk CY. Dexmedetomidine Added to Levobupivacaine Prolongs Axillary Brachial Plexus Block. Anesth Analg. 2010;111(6):1548–51.

21. Agarwal S, Aggarwal R, Gupta P. Dexmedetomidine prolongs the effect of bupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2014;30(1):36–40.

ISSN: 0975-1556

- 22. Kaygusuz K, Kol IO, Duger C, Gursoy S, Ozturk H, Kayacan U, et al. Effects of Adding Dexmedetomidine to Levobupivacaine in Axillary Brachial Plexus Block. Curr Ther Res. 2012;73(3):103–11.
- 23. Swami SS, Ladi SD, Keniya VM, Rao R. Comparison of dexmedetomidine and clonidine (α2 agonist drugs) as an adjuvant to local anaesthesia in supraclavicular brachial plexus block: A randomised double-blind prospective study. Indian J Anaesth. 2012;56(3):243–9.
- 24. Mahmoud KM, Ammar AS. Ultrasound-guided single injection infraclavicular brachial plexus block using bupivacaine alone or combined with dexmedetomidine for pain control in upper limb surgery: A prospective randomized controlled trial. Saudi J Anaesth. 2012;6(2):109–14.
- 25. Zhao J, Zhou C. The protective and hemodynamic effects of dexmedetomidine on hypertensive cerebral hemorrhage patients in the perioperative period. Exp Ther Med. 2016;12(5):2903–8
- 26. Talke P, Lobo E, Brown R. Systemically Administered α2-Agonist- induced Peripheral Vasoconstriction in Humans. Anesthesiology. 2003;99(1):65–70.