

## **Evaluation of Nebulized Lignocaine versus Intravenous Lignocaine for Attenuation of Pressor Response to Laryngoscopy and Intubation in Controlled Hypertensive Patients: A Randomized Clinical Study**

**Amit Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Jitendra Prasad Singh<sup>2</sup>**

**<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India**

**<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India**

**Received: 22-10-2022 / Revised: 10-11-2022 / Accepted: 29-12-2022**

**Corresponding author: Dr. Jitendra Prasad Singh**

**Conflict of interest: Nil**

**Aim:** The objective of the present study was to study the evaluation of Nebulized Lignocaine versus Intravenous Lignocaine for Attenuation of Pressor Response to Laryngoscopy and Intubation in controlled hypertensive patients.

**Methods:** This study was carried out in the Department of Anaesthesiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India for the period of 1 year and Ethical committee clearance was obtained prior to the study. Total 100 patients aged between 18-65years k/c/o hypertension taking antihypertensive medications scheduled for elective surgical procedures belonging to ASA class II under GA.

**Results:** The age distribution in group A and group B was from 18 – 65 years with p value 0.980 which is statistically not significant. The mean weight of the patients in both the groups was comparable with p value being 0.620. There was no significant difference in age, gender and weight distribution in the two groups. Statistically significant reduction in heart rate was seen (p value < 0.05) at 3, 5 and 10 minutes after endotracheal intubation in group A compared to group B. Statistically significant reduction in systolic blood pressure occurred in group A as compared with B group at 3 and 5 minutes after endotracheal intubation. The statistically significant reduction is observed in patients with group A as compared with group B at 7 minutes only after endotracheal intubation. The statistically significant reduction is observed in patients with group A as compared with group B at 3 & 5 minutes after endotracheal intubation.

**Conclusion:** The study concludes that both nebulized and intravenous lignocaine are effective in attenuating pressor response but nebulized lignocaine gave slightly better results in our study than intravenous lignocaine in attenuating pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation without any significant side effects in controlled hypertensive patients.

**Keywords:** Intravenous Lignocaine, Intubation, Laryngoscopy, Nebulized Lignocaine, Hypertension, Hemodynamic Changes.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

## Introduction

Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation after induction of anesthesia generate pressure and sympathoadrenal responses which are thought to be somatovisceral reflexes caused by the stimulation of epipharynx and laryngopharynx. [1] Laryngoscopy alone generates essentially the same pressor response as done by laryngoscopy followed by intubation. [2] It starts within 5 s, reaches a peak in 1–2 min, and returns to baseline within 5 min. [3] These responses result in increased circulatory catecholamines, heart rate (HR), blood pressure, myocardial oxygen demand, and dysrhythmias. The rise in HR and blood pressure is usually transient, variable, and unpredictable. Average increase in HR has been reported to be 23 beats and increase in blood pressure by 53/54 mmHg and decrease in the left ventricular ejection fraction by approximately 20%. [4,5]

Despite adequate anesthetic depth, direct laryngoscopy and intubation which are essential steps in general anesthesia needing endotracheal intubation elicit a significant adreno-sympathetic response. This response, as manifested by increased systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), mean blood pressure (BP), heart rate (HR), arrhythmias, etc., would result in unacceptable morbidity and mortality in patients with compromised and borderline cardiac reserve. [6]

But in hypertensive patients these responses are not only exaggerated but also persist for approximately 7 minutes and can result in potentially deleterious effects like left ventricular failure, pulmonary edema [7], myocardial infarction [8], ventricular dysrhythmias and cerebral hemorrhage. [9] Thus, there is necessity to blunt these unwanted laryngoscopic responses. Lignocaine is an amide synthetic local anaesthetic. It has cardio stabilizing action. To prevent these responses nebulized lignocaine is being

widely used. Topical anaesthesia with lignocaine applied to the larynx and trachea in a variety of ways remains a popular method used alone or in combination with other techniques.

The objective of the present study was to study the evaluation of Nebulized Lignocaine versus Intravenous Lignocaine for Attenuation of Pressor Response to Laryngoscopy and Intubation in controlled hypertensive patients.

## Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in the Department of Anaesthesiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College & Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India for the period of 12 months. Total 100 patients aged between 18–65 years k/c/o hypertension taking antihypertensive medications scheduled for elective surgical procedures belonging to ASA class II under GA.

**Group A (n=50):** received 8 ml of 4% lignocaine nebulization 10 min prior to induction.

**Group B (n=50):** received intravenous preservative free lignocaine 2% 1.5mg/kg 90 seconds prior to induction.

### Inclusion Criteria

1. Patients of either sex, aged between 18–65 years.
2. Patients belonging to American Society of Anaesthesiologist Grade II.
3. Patient scheduled for elective surgeries under general anaesthesia.

### Exclusion Criteria

1. Patients belonging to American Society of Anaesthesiologist Grade III & IV.
2. Patients with known allergies to local anaesthetic drugs.
3. Patients with anticipated difficult airway.

## Procedure

Informed consent was taken from the subjects. Pre-anaesthetic evaluation was done before surgery. A routine preanesthetic examination was conducted assessing: General condition of the patient, Airway by Mallampatti grading, Nutritional status, Body weight of the patient, Detailed examination of the cardiovascular system and Respiratory system. The following investigations were done in all patients: Haemoglobin estimation, Urine examination for albumin, sugar and microscopy, standard 12-lead electrocardiogram, X-ray chest, Blood sugar, LFT, RFT, PT/INR. All patients included in the study were kept nil per orally 10 pm onwards on the previous night. Patients were advised to take morning dose of antihypertensive drug with sip of water on the day of surgery. On arrival of the patients from Group A in the preoperative room, the baseline systolic, diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and heart rate were recorded in the preoperative room. Then 8 ml of 4% lignocaine nebulization was given 10 min prior to induction. Patient was taken inside OT. The patients were connected to multiparameter monitor which records heart rate, non-invasive measurements of SBP, DBP, MAP, EtCO<sub>2</sub> and continuous ECG monitoring and oxygen saturation. The cardiac rate and rhythm were also monitored from a continuous visual display of electrocardiogram from lead II. After recording the baseline reading, all patients were premedicated with intravenous (IV) glycopyrrolate (4mcg/kg) midazolam 0.03mg/kg, IV fentanyl (2 $\mu$ g/kg). The patients were pre-

oxygenated for 3 minutes via a face mask. The patient in Group B received 2% lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg body weight 90 sec before induction. Induction was done with inj. Propofol till loss of verbal response. Endotracheal intubation was facilitated with IV Vecuronium 0.1mg/kg three minutes prior to laryngoscopy and intubation. Laryngoscopy and oral intubation were performed using appropriately sized Macintosh blade and after confirmation of bilateral equal air entry, the endotracheal tube was fixed. Anaesthesia was maintained using 50% nitrous oxide and 50% of oxygen with sevoflurane and inj. vecuronium. No surgical or any other stimulus was applied during 10 minutes of study period. At the end of the procedure patients were reversed with neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg body weight and glycopyrrolate 8mcg/kg. Hemodynamic parameters of patients including systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP), and heart rate (HR), were recorded as:

1. Baseline before giving study drugs and premedication.
2. At 1,3,5,7 and 10 minutes after endotracheal intubation.

Hypotension was defined as SBP  $\leq$  20% of baseline value.

Tachycardia was defined as HR  $>$  25% of baseline value.

Bradycardia was defined as HR  $\leq$  20% of baseline value. Any dysrhythmia was defined as any ventricular or supra ventricular beat or any rhythm other than sinus. Incidences of all these parameters were recorded in both the groups.

## Results

**Table 1: Demographic Data**

Demographic Variables	Group A: NL (N=50)	Group B: IVL (N=50)	P value
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	
Age	24.23 $\pm$ 3.730	25.07 $\pm$ 5.38	0.980
Weight	75.48 $\pm$ 16.15	73.42 $\pm$ 15.40	0.620

The age distribution in group A and group B was from 18 – 65 years with p value 0.980 which is statistically not significant. The mean weight of the patients in both the groups was

comparable with p value being 0.620. There was no significant difference in age, gender and weight distribution in the two groups.

**Table 2: Heart Rate Variation**

Time interval	Group A: NL (N=50)	Group B: IVL (N=50)	P value
Baseline	84.33±5.579	85.27±5.620	0.5180
1 min	78.53±5.303	79.87±4.547	0.2970
3 min	76.93±2.959	79.40±4.207	0.0110
5 min	73.93±2.753	74.60±4.039	0.0120
7 min	69.33±5.390	67.93±6.938	0.3864
10 min	68.60±3.286	70.80±4.089	0.0250

Statistically significant reduction in heart rate was seen (p value < 0.05) at 3, 5 and 10 minutes after endotracheal intubation in group A compared to group B.

**Table 3: Comparison of Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure Variation**

SBP	Group A: NL (N=50)	Group B: IVL (N=50)	P value
Baseline	129.13±3.884	130.21±4.536	0.320
1 min	112.33±6.216	113.0±5.401	0.650
3 min	97.87±4.812	100.6±5.117	0.0375
5 min	100.80±5.268	103.80±6.178	0.0476
7 min	107.80±8.143	110.53±7.257	0.175
10 min	112.80±8.430	113.87±6.684	0.588
DBP			
Baseline	85.40±3.793	85.13±2.501	0.750
1 min	67.93±8.111	68.07±3.769	0.932
3 min	64.73±6.528	66.00±5.356	0.420
5 min	63.73±5.219	64.47±4.718	0.940
7 min	65.13±4.599	65.20±4.506	0.015
10 min	72.23±4.235	73.32±3.712	0.290

Statistically significant reduction in systolic blood pressure occurred in group A as compared with B group at 3 and 5 minutes after endotracheal intubation. The statistically significant reduction is observed in patients with group A as compared with group B at 7 minutes only after endotracheal intubation.

**Table 4: Comparison of Mean Arterial Pressure Variation**

Time interval	Group A: NL (N=50)	Group B: IVL (N=50)	P value
Baseline	99.72±2.62	99.16±2.15	0.3690
1 min	82.73±7.11	83.04±3.74	0.8332
3 min	75.78±5.59	78.62±3.96	0.0180
5 min	76.09±3.58	78.24±4.18	0.0366
7 min	79.36±4.46	80.31±3.51	0.3630
10 min	81.60±3.70	81.96±3.05	0.6824

The statistically significant reduction is observed in patients with group A as compared with group B at 3 & 5 minutes after endotracheal intubation.

## Discussion

Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation elicit a significant sympathoadrenal

response. In certain groups of patients, such as those who are at risk for developing arterial hypertension or myocardial ischemia, such changes may be detrimental. The precise mechanism of this sympathoadrenal response is unclear but probably due to intense stimulation of the upper respiratory tract. Suppressing a

hypertensive response to intubation is one of the important prerequisites for a properly administered general anesthesia. Stoeling found that short-duration laryngoscopy (ideally <15 s) is an effective method to minimize increase in MAP during endotracheal intubation. [10] Drugs such as inhalational agents, narcotics,  $\beta$ -blockers,  $\alpha$ -blockers, calcium channel blockers, and vasodilators have been used to suppress these responses. Intubation in a deeper plane also alleviates this response. Unfortunately, they can be ineffective or have adverse effects including bradycardia and hypotension.

Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation are associated with marked haemodynamic changes and autonomic reflex activity like rise in heart rate, blood pressure and cardiac arrhythmias. These potentially dangerous changes normally disappear within 5 minutes of laryngoscopy. But in patients with cardiovascular compromise like hypertension, Ischemic heart disease, Cerebrovascular disease and in patients with intracranial aneurysms even these transient changes in haemodynamic can result in potentially harmful effects like left ventricular failure [15], pulmonary oedema, myocardial ischemia [15], ventricular dysrhythmias [11], and cerebral haemorrhage. [15] This is by far the most important indication for attenuation of haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Many methods like use of inhalational anaesthetic agents [12], lidocaine [13,14], opioids [16,17], direct acting vasodilators [15,11], calcium channel blockers [18,19], and  $\beta$ -blockers [20,21], have been tried by various authors for blunting haemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation. But all such manoeuvres had their own limitations.

Beta blockers are also one group of pharmacological agents employed for blunting haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation. But they blunt the heart rate response better than blood pressure response. [22] Hence a

drug which can blunt both the heart rate response and blood pressure response of laryngoscopy and intubation, without having any adverse effects like respiratory depression and post-operative nausea and vomiting, was required for the purpose. Lignocaine has been successfully used to blunt the hemodynamic responses to intubation. The nebulization procedure was well tolerated by the patients, and all patients enrolled in the study completed the nebulization procedure. A few patients in the NL group experienced sore throat during the period of nebulization and also complained of hoarseness of voice at the end of nebulization. All patients were examined at extubation with check laryngoscopy for adequacy of cough, gag, and swallowing reflex. There were no such adverse events in either of the groups.

Gianelly et al. [23] concluded that the concentration of lignocaine in the blood following intravenous administration was directly related to the dose given. They also concluded that an effective safe blood level of 2 to 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  is obtained by intravenous bolus of 1 to 2  $\text{mg}/\text{kg}$  and major side effects may occur with blood levels 9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ . Adriani [24] asserted that the topical anaesthetic agents applied to the larynx and trachea was readily absorbed from the pulmonary alveoli.

With all the advantages and ease of administration of lignocaine and minimal side effects the present study was carried out to evaluate the efficacy of lignocaine in blunting the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation using two different routes of administration at similar dosage and look for any side effects. Bahaman Venus [25] studied the effects of nebulization of 6ml of 4% lignocaine on cardiovascular response to laryngoscopy and intubation 5 min before induction compared to control with saline nebulization. The pressor response and tachycardia were successfully prevented by the aerosol group than the control. Ahmed M. et al.

[26] used Lidocaine 2% (2 mg/kg) in 5 ml saline was added to a standard nebulizer with a full-face mask attached with O<sub>2</sub> flow at 3 L/min., then the patient was asked to inhale the local anaesthetic vapor deeply for 15 minutes. Patient's tolerance to endotracheal tube in the study group showed a highly significant increase in numbers of patients in grade 0 and highly significant decrease in numbers in grades 1 and 2 in comparison with the control group. The pulse rate is important as the increase in pulse rate decreases the diastolic time for coronary blood flow and increases myocardial oxygen consumption. The systolic blood pressure can be considered as an indirect estimate of afterload another factor that determines myocardial oxygen consumption. [26]

### Conclusion

The study concludes that both nebulized and intravenous lignocaine are effective in attenuating pressor response but nebulized lignocaine gave slightly better results in our study than intravenous lignocaine in attenuating pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation without any significant side effects in controlled hypertensive patients.

### References

- Hassan HG, El-Sharkawy TY, Renck H, Mansour G, Fouda A. Hemodynamic and catecholamine responses to laryngoscopy with vs. without endotracheal intubation. *Acta anaesthesiologica scandinavica*. 1991 Jul;35(5):442-7.
- Laurito CE, Baughman VL, Becker GL, Polek WV, Riegler FX, VadeBoncouer TR. Effects of aerosolized and/or intravenous lidocaine on hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation in outpatients. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 1988 Apr 1;67(4):389-92.
- Henderson J. Airway management in the adult. In: Miller RD, editor. *Miller's Anesthesia*. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2010. pp. 1573-610.
- King BD, Harris L, Greifenstein F, Elder J, Dripps RD. Reflex circulatory responses to direct laryngoscopy and intubation under general anaesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 1951; 12:556-66.
- Ng WS. Pathological effects of tracheal intubation. In: Latto IP, Rosen M, editors. *Difficulties in Tracheal Intubation*. London: Bailliere Tindall; 1985. p. 14.
- Ganesan P, Balachander H, Elakkumanan LB. Evaluation of nebulized lignocaine versus intravenous lignocaine for attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy and intubation. *Current Medical Issues*. 2020 Jul 1;18(3):184.
- Fox E, Sklar G, Hill C, Villanueva R, King B. Complications related to the pressor response to endotracheal intubation. *Anesthesiology* 1977;47(6):524-25.
- Dalton B, Guiney T. Myocardial ischaemia from tachycardia and hypertension in coronary heart disease - Patient's undergoing anaesthesia. Ann Mtg American Society of Anaesthesiologists, Boston, 1972, 201-2.
- Donegan M, Bedford R. Intravenously administered lidocaine prevents intracranial hypertension. *Anesthesiology* 1980; 52(6):516-17.
- Stoelting RK. Blood pressure and heart rate changes during short-duration laryngoscopy for tracheal intubation: influence of viscous or intravenous lidocaine. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 1978 Mar 1;57(2):197-9.
- Fossoulaki A, Kanasis P. Intranasal administration of Nitroglycerine attenuates the pressor response to laryngoscopy and intubation of the trachea. *British Journal of Anaesthesiology* 1983; 55:49-52.
- King BD, Harris L, Greifenstein F, Elder J, Dripps RD. Reflex circulatory responses to direct laryngoscopy and

- intubation under general anaesthesia. *Anaesthesiology*. 1951; 12:556-66.
13. Denlinger JK, Ellison N, Ominsky AJ. Effects of intrathecal lidocaine on circulatory response to tracheal intubation. *Anaesthesiology* 1974;41 (4):9-12.
  14. Stoelting RK. Circulatory changes during direct laryngoscopy and intubation: Influence of duration of laryngoscopy with or without prior lignocaine. *Anaesthesiology* 1977;47 (4):381.
  15. Stoelting RK. Attenuation of Blood Pressure Response to Laryngoscopy and Tracheal Intubation with Sodium Nitroprusside. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 1979 Mar 1;58(2):116-9.
  16. Martin DE, Rosenberg H, Aukburg SJ, Bartkowski RR, Edwards MW Jr, Greenhow DE, et al. Low dose fentanyl blunts circulatory responses to tracheal intubation. *Anaesthesia Analgesia* 1982;61(8):680-4.
  17. Ebert JP, Pearson JD, Gelman S, Harris C, Bradley EL. Circulatory responses to laryngoscopy: the comparative effects of placebo, fentanyl and esmolol. *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia*. 1989 May;36 (3):301-6.
  18. Puri GD, Batra YK. Effect of nifedipine on cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and intubation. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 1988 Apr 1;60 (5):579-81.
  19. Nishikawa T, Namiki A. Attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation with intravenous verapamil. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica* 1989; 33(3):232- 235.
  20. Prys-Roberts C, Foex P, Biro GP, Roberts JG. Studies of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension V: adrenergic beta-receptor blockade. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 1973 Jul 1;45(7):671- 81.
  21. McCammon RL, Hilgenberg JC, Stoelting RK. Effect of Propranolol on circulatory responses to induction of diazepam- nitrous oxide anaesthesia and to endotracheal intubation. *Anaesthesia analgesia* 1981;60(8):579- 83.
  22. Lim JM, Kim HK, Chung CK. Effects of both Clonidine premedication and esmolol administration on the hemodynamic changes by tracheal intubation. *Korean Journal of Anaesthesiology* 1998;35(6):1061- 1067.
  23. Gianelly R, von der Groeben J, Spivack A, Harrison D. Effect of Lidocaine on Ventricular Arrhythmias in Patients with Coronary Heart Disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1967;277(23):1215-19.
  24. Adriani J, Campbell D. Fatalities following topical application of local Anesthetics to mucous membranes. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1956;162(17):1527-30.
  25. Venus B, Polassani V, Pham C. Effects of aerosolized lidocaine on circulatory responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. *Crit Care Med* 1984;12(4): 391-94.
  26. Ahmed M, El-Hamid, Ali M. Hasan, M. Hamed Abd, El-fattah, Ahmed Shehata. Lidocaine Nebulizer reduce response to endotracheal intubation and the need for postoperative analgesia after nasal operations. *J Am Sci* 2013;9(12):287-91.
  27. Córdoba Guzmán, A. C., & castro Daza, E. M. Heyde syndrome as a presentation of acquired Von Willebrand syndrome: what the gastroenterologist should know. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*. 2022; 5(7): 2072– 2082.