

A Prospective Observational Assessment of the Minimally Invasive Nephrectomy for Inflammatory Renal Disease

Shashank Abhishek¹, Vir Abhimanyu Pandit²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India

Received: 25-01-2022 / Revised: 20-02-2022 / Accepted: 15-03-2022

Corresponding author: Dr. Shashank Abhishek

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study to determine the minimally invasive nephrectomy for inflammatory renal disease.

Methods: This was a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of Urology, Narayan Medical College, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India. 100 patients who underwent a LN for IRD were included in this study. Interstitial nephritis, chronic pyelonephritis, renal tuberculosis and xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis. The demographics, pre-operative diagnosis based on images (computed tomography [CT], magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], ultrasound and/or renal scintigraphy), and intraoperative variables such as operative time, blood loss, need for open conversion, length of hospital stay, intra and postoperative complications following the Clavien-Dindo classification were analyzed.

Results: Left side nephrectomy was performed in 67% of the cases. A positive history of urolithiasis was present in 52% of the cases, followed by urinary tract infections (UTI) (42%), high blood pressure (HBP) (30%) and Type II diabetes mellitus (DM II) (9%). The mean operative time for patients who did not required conversion to open surgery was 203±88 min, for the conversion ones was 388±174min and for all the 100 patients was 218±111min, ranging between 85min and 647 min. The mean estimated blood loss for patients who did not required conversion to open surgery was 213±221mL, for the conversion ones was 1477±748mL and for all the patients was 258±423mL, with a range of 55-3275 mL. The mean length of hospital stay after surgery was 2.9± 2.2 days, being longer for the converted ones compared to the no converted ones (5.6± 2.2 days vs. 3.2 ±2.2 days), ranged between 1 and 14 days.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic nephrectomy for IRD is a reproducible technique with low risks and complication rates despite the surgical challenge it represents.

Keywords: nephrectomy, complications, inflammatory renal disease.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Laparoscopic nephrectomy has become the gold standard procedure in both benign and malignant renal conditions requiring

surgical removal since it was first introduced by Clayman *et al.* in 1991. [1] With the increasing experience of

laparoscopic techniques, the indications of laparoscopic nephrectomy (LN) have been gradually extended to inflammatory renal disease (IRD), such as xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis (XGPN), tuberculosis, hydronephrosis, pyelonephritis, and pyonephrosis. These certain conditions are often associated with marked chronic inflammation, dense adhesion, and anatomical disorganization, leading to higher complication rates and conversion rates in laparoscopic procedures. [2] Also as a minimally invasive approach, hand assisted laparoscopic nephrectomy (HALN) was first introduced in 1997 as a transition from open surgery to standard laparoscopic surgery. [3] Hand assisted laparoscopic surgery might offer more convenience and possibilities in those challenging situations, as it can provide surgeons with the assistance of tactile feedback, effective dissection, and facilitated control of the renal hilar vessels. [4] Compared with standard laparoscopy, HALN has been reported to be associated with shorter operative time and higher safety, and has been considered a preferred alternative for IRD. [5] However, laparoscopic surgeons argued against HALN because it might lead to longer incision, more blood loss, delayed postoperative patient recovery, or higher perioperative complication.

Material and methods

This was a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of Urology, Narayan Medical College, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India, for the period of 1 year,

Methodology

50 patients who underwent a LN for IRD were included in this study. Interstitial nephritis, chronic pyelonephritis, renal tuberculosis and xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis. The demographics, pre-operative diagnosis based on images (computed tomography [CT], magnetic

resonance imaging [MRI], ultrasound and/or renal scintigraphy), and intraoperative variables such as operative time, blood loss, need for open conversion, length of hospital stay, intra and postoperative complications following the Clavien-Dindo classification were analyzed.

After data collection, we calculated the mean and standard deviation of the operative time, blood loss and length of hospital stay for all 50 patients, including both, those who required conversion and those who did not. Then, we did the same statistical analysis excluding patients with conversion to open surgery. Following this, we obtained the percentage of patients with mild and severe peri-surgical complications. We applied the Clavien-Dindo's classification to the post-surgical complications.

Surgical technique

LN was considered an option for all inflammatory renal units, preferring transperitoneal approach for all cases. Patient positioning and prepping follow the usual laparoscopic approach in a semi lateral decubitus position. No significant bed breaking is usually required. Patient is well secured and padded to the surgical table, as tilting might be necessary during the procedure. For trocar placement, we use three trocars of 10 mm for adult patients and for pediatric patients 3 mm or 5 mm depending on patient's weight. For the placement of the first trocar, we always perform a Hasson's open technique at the base of the belly-button. Subsequent trocars are placed at the subcostal region at Palmer's point and the other trocar above the iliac spine at the anterior axillar line. If the case can be completed with those three ports, we try to avoid the need of a fourth one. The fourth port is usually needed to retract the liver. For this purpose, we used a trocar of 5 mm.

Results

We included 50 patients with any IRD in

the histopathology report who underwent modified-laparoscopic nephrectomy technique. The demographic data show in table 1. Left side nephrectomy was performed in 67% of the cases. A positive history of urolithiasis was present in 52% of the cases, followed by urinary tract infections (UTI) (42%), high blood pressure (HBP) (30%) and Type II diabetes mellitus (DM II) (9%).

11% developed severe intraoperative complications that risked patients' life. There were 5 cases of vascular injury, 2 in the inferior vena cava and the other in the superior segmental branch of renal artery. There was also 3 diaphragmatic injury and 3 colon perforation. Additionally, to the 2 conversion cases previous mentioned, there was a third case consisting in a pyelocolonic fistula that required right hemicolectomy. The total conversion rate to open surgery was 7%. From the three cases of conversion, 3 were right sided and 4 had HBP. For post-operative complications, there were 11% cases classified as severe and 7% as mild complications. 2 patients received full anticoagulation after the procedure for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE)

respectively. 2 patient required reintervention for evisceration 5 days after first surgery with no other complications. Other developed postoperative pleural effusion not related to diaphragmatic lesion relieved with thoracostomy. Mild complications consisted in 3 cases of surgical site infection (SSI) that were treated with antibiotics, 2 dehisced the skin incision and 2 presented ileum that resolved with medical treatment (the same one that presented the AMI). table 2.

The mean operative time for patients who did not required conversion to open surgery was 203 ± 88 min, for the conversion ones was 388 ± 174 min and for all the 100 patients was 218 ± 111 min, ranging between 85min and 647 min. The mean estimated blood loss for patients who did not required conversion to open surgery was 213 ± 221 mL, for the conversion ones was 1477 ± 748 mL and for all the patients was 258 ± 423 mL, with a range of 55-3275 mL. The mean length of hospital stay after surgery was 2.9 ± 2.2 days, being longer for the converted ones compared to the no converted ones (5.6 ± 2.2 days vs. 3.2 ± 2.2 days), ranged between 1 and 14 days.

Table 1. Demographic profile of the patients

Demographic profile	%
Age (range)	5-74
Sex	
Women	68
Men	32
Side, n (%)	
Right	37
Left	67
Personal history Urolithiasis	52
UTI	42
HBP	30
VUR	10
DM II	9
Ureteral stricture	19
Primary obstructive mega ureter	3
Duplex collecting system	3
Neurogenic bladder	2

DM II, type II diabetes mellitus; HBP, high blood pressure; UTI, urinary tract infection; VUR, vesicoureteral reflux.

Table 2 Post-operative complications classified by Clavien-Dindo's grading system

Post-surgical complications	Clavien-Dindo score	N=50
Pleural effusion	IIIa	2
Dehiscence	I	2
SSI	II	3
Ileum	II	1
AMI	Iva	2
PTE	Iva	1
Evisceration	IIIb	1

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; PTE, pulmonary thromboembolism; SSI, surgical site infection

Table 3 Operative data on inflammatory renal conditions

Parameter	No conversion to open	Conversion to open	Total, n=50
Operative time, mean SD, min	203±88	388±174	218±111
Estimated blood loss, mean SD, mL	213±221	1477±748	258±423
Days hospitalized, mean SD, day	2.9±2.2	5.6±2.2	3.2±2.2

Discussion

The inflammatory renal conditions develop an inflammation process compromising the renal parenchyma and adjacent renal structures. [6] IRD is usually secondary to renal infections promoted by obstruction of the urinary tract, specially by stones. [6]. In our Colombian context, complicated urolithiasis has become a very frequent disease due to the delay of surgical intervention which leads to the development, in most cases, of chronic non-functional inflammatory kidneys. [7] Because of this, it is important to reduce the morbidity and mortality of these patients by selecting the best surgical approach.

The demographic data reported in the present article highlight the predominance of these diseases in women (70%), described also in other publications. [8,9] The most common comorbidities were urolithiasis and UTI, as reported in the

literature. [8-10] The pyeloduodenal fistula was related to XGP, previously reported by one of the authors due to its low-rate presentation. [11] In other studies, similar to the present one, reported that hydronephrosis, kidney enlargement, poor excretion of contrast medium and air in the urinary tract were some of the common findings in urologic imaging. [8] The misdiagnosed neoplasia is also a frequent feature, especially in XGP, considered the "Great imitator". [9,12-14]

The nephrectomy is the first line of treatment for a chronic non-functioning inflammatory kidney disease, especially when patients present severe lumbar pain, recurrent urinary tract infections or renovascular hypertension. [15,16] The minimally invasive nephrectomy is the modality of choice for benign renal diseases; however, inflammatory conditions have been considered a relative contraindication for this surgical

approach.[15,16] Most surgeons prefer to perform open surgery for IRD due to the technical challenging dissection of these kidneys. Most recently, surgeons have accumulated a vast experience in laparoscopy, supporting the possibility of performing LN for IRD. [6,17] However, complications and conversion rates are not uncommon. [15,18-20]

Since Robson's technical description of early vascular control and subsequent dissection of the rest of the kidney, surgeons have continued to perform nephrectomies with this principle.²¹ In our series we modified this approach and left the hilum for last. Dissection was completed by mobilizing the kidney, usually around Gerota's fascia. Authors who have performed a similar approach have reported a 28% conversion rate due to intraoperative vascular or intestinal injuries. [6]

In 1998 Doehn et al. [22] reported that there were no significant differences in operative times and complication rates between laparoscopic and open nephroureterectomy in patients with benign renal disease (including IRDs). Additional to this, minimally invasive approach has lower needs of postoperative analgesics, shorter hospital stays, shorter times to achieve full ambulation and faster returns to daily activities[22]. Tobias-Machado and associates[23], also reported 20 successful minimally invasive procedures, including transperitoneal and retroperitoneal approach, as a feasible option for IRDs. In this way, these publications allowed urologists to consider the LN a suitable option for IRD, however it needed more research.

Of the 50 patients in our cohort, we documented only 11% surgical severe complications and 11% severe post-surgical complications classified by Clavien-Dindo grading system. If we compare these results to Duarte et al. [6], we had similar surgical and post-surgical complications. These results allowed us to confirm that

the LN can have minimal complications despite the abundant adhesion and fibrosis process.

Liang et al. [17] analyzed the experience in LN with a method of outside Gerota's fascia dissection and en-block ligation and division of the renal pedicle similar to our reported cases. They reported 11% of conversions to hand-assisted laparoscopy and only one conversion to open nephrectomy. Mean operative time was 99.6 29.2 min, blood loss was 75.2 83.5 mL and average hospital stay was 4:8 1:4 days. [17] Comparing these results to our study, we had longer operative time and more bleeding, considering the conversion and non-conversion groups. Nonetheless, we had lower conversion rates (7%) and our length of hospital stay was shorter compared to theirs (3.2±2.2days). We used a similar laparoscopic technique by beginning with renal release at the lower pole completing the dissection outside Gerota's fascia dissection, then lifting the upper pole preserving the adrenal gland and finally resecting the renal pedicle en-block or dividing them and occluding the vascular structures with Hem-O-Lok vascular clips. These studies are the most recent researches about this topic, concluding both that laparoscopic nephrectomy has minimal morbimortality in those patients with IRD.

XGP is a chronic inflammatory process in most cases due to renal parenchyma infection secondary to tract urinary obstruction.[24] In 2007, Vanderbrink and associates [25] reported LN had longer operative times but shorter post-operative hospital stay compared to open surgery, without any differences in blood loss, transfusion rates or analgesics. Lima et al. [26] found that the time to control renal vessels (32±18 min), renal length greater than 12 cm and right-sided nephrectomy were some predictive factors associated with a higher conversion rate in laparoscopic approach. In our cohort, there were 6 cases of XGP, with only 1

conversion, 1 severe intraoperative complication (diaphragmatic perforation) and no postoperative complications. Since this study was retrospective, it was difficult for us to have all the length of all the kidneys, however we could see that there was more conversion to open surgery for right-sided patients, and for patients who had HBP.

The non-functioning tuberculous kidney was also considered a relative contraindication for LN, not only for its technical difficult dissection, but also because of the high risk of spillage of caseous material into the peritoneal cavity with subsequent dissemination of the disease. [27] Nevertheless, in a more recent publication Kim et al. [20] described the experience in 12 patients with renal tuberculosis managed with LN, who presented minor complications and only one conversion. In this study we reported a single case of tuberculous pyelonephritic nonfunctioning kidney, with excellent outcomes, no conversion required, no leaking of caseous material and no postoperative complications. [28]

Conclusion

Laparoscopic nephrectomy for IRD is a reproducible technique with low risks and complication rates despite the surgical challenge it represents. Our experience supports that releasing the kidney first and leaving the hilum for the end is a safe approach when vascular structures are embedded into a single block of inflammatory and scar tissue. There were minimal surgical and post-surgical complications, few conversions to open nephrectomy, blood loss, operative time and days hospitalized.

Reference

1. Clayman RV, Kavoussi LR, Soper NJ, Dierks SM, Meretyk S, Darcy MD, et al.: Laparoscopic nephrectomy: initial case report. *J Urol*. 1991; 146: 278-82.
2. Rassweiler J, Fornara P, Weber M, Janetschek G, Fahlenkamp D, Henkel

- T, et al.: Laparoscopic nephrectomy: the experience of the laparoscopy working group of the German Urologic Association. *J Urol*. 1998; 160: 18-21.
3. Shekarriz B, Meng MV, Lu HF, Yamada H, Duh QY, Stoller ML: Laparoscopic nephrectomy for inflammatory renal conditions. *J Urol*. 2001; 166: 2091-4.
4. Wolf JS Jr, Moon TD, Nakada SY: Hand assisted laparoscopic nephrectomy: comparison to standard laparoscopic nephrectomy. *J Urol*. 1998; 160: 22-7.
5. Wolf JS Jr, Moon TD, Nakada SY: Hand-assisted laparoscopic nephrectomy: technical considerations. *Tech Urol*. 1997; 3: 123-8.
6. Duarte RJ, Mitre AI, Chambo JL, Arap MA, Srougi M. Laparoscopic nephrectomy outside Gerota fascia for management of inflammatory kidney. *J Endourol* 2008; 22:681e6.
7. Quinones A, Arenas J, Fernández N. Medical and social prognostic factors associated with urolithiasis in patients undergoing flexible ureteroscopy and laser lithotripsy. *Urol Colomb* 2016;27: 67e73.
8. Korkeas F, Favoretto RL, Broglio M, Silva CA, Castro MG, Perez MD. Xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis: clinical experience with 41 cases. *Urology* 2008; 71:178e80.
9. Malek RS, Eldert JS. Xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis: a critical analysis of 26 cases and of the literature. *J Urol* 1978; 119:589e93.
10. Arvind NK, Singh O, Ali Q, Gupta SS, Sahay S. Laparoscopic nephrectomy in xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis: 7-year single-surgeon outcome. *Urology* 2011; 78:797e801.
11. Puerto NA, Torres CL, Ramos UJG, Silva HJM, Rueda TC, Catanío CJG. Fístula pieloduodenal en paciente con pielonefritis xantogranulomatosa: primer reporte de caso en Latinoamérica. *Urol Colomb* 2017; 26:229e33.

12. Khaira HS, Shah RB, Wolf JS. Laparoscopic and open surgical nephrectomy for xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis. *J Endourol* 2005; 19:813e7.
13. Petronic V, Buturovic J, Isvaneski M. Xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis. *Br J Urol* 1989; 64:336e8.
14. Zorzos I, Moutzouris V, Korakianitis G, Katsou G. Analysis of 39 cases of xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis with emphasis on CT findings. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 2003; 37:342e7.
15. Shekarriz B, Meng MV, Lu HF, Yamada H, Duh QY, Stoller ML. Laparoscopic nephrectomy for inflammatory renal conditions. *J Urol* 2001; 166:2091e4.
16. Joshi AA, Parashar K, Chandran H. Laparoscopic nephrectomy for xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis in childhood: the way forward. *J Pediatr Urol* 2008; 4:203e5.
17. Liang M, Yanlan Y, Guangju G, Gonghui L. Laparoscopic nephrectomy outside gerota fascia and en bloc ligation of the renal hilum for management of inflammatory renal diseases. *Int Braz J Urol* 2018;44: 280 e7.
18. Rassweiler J, Fornara P, Weber M, Janetschek G, Fahlenkamp D, Henkel T, et al. Laparoscopic nephrectomy: the experience of the laparoscopy working group of the German Urologic Association. *J Urol* 1998; 160:18e21.
19. Keeley FX, Tolley DA. A review of our first 100 cases of laparoscopic nephrectomy: defining risk factors for complications. *Br J Urol* 1998;82: 615e8.
20. Kim HH, Lee KS, Park K, Ahn H. Laparoscopic nephrectomy for nonfunctioning tuberculous kidney. *J Endourol* 2000; 14:433e7.
21. Robson CJ, Churchill BM, Anderson W. The results of radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma. *J Urol* 1969;1 01: 297e301.
22. Doehn C, Fornara P, Fricke L, Jocham D. Comparison of laparoscopic and open nephroureterectomy for benign disease. *J Urol* 1998; 159:732e4.
23. Tobias-Machado M, Lasmar MT, Batista LT, Forseto PH, Juliano RV, Wroclawski ER. Laparoscopic nephrectomy in inflammatory renal disease: proposal for a staged approach. *Int Braz J Urol* 2005;31 :22e8.
24. Rosoff JS, Raman JD, Del Pizzo JJ. Feasibility of laparoscopic approach in management of xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis. *Urology* 2006;68: 71 1e4.
25. Vanderbrink BA, Ost MC, Rastinehad A, Anderson A, Badlani GH, Smith AD, et al. Laparoscopic versus open radical nephrectomy for xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis: contemporary outcomes analysis. *J Endourol* 2007; 21:65e70.
26. Lima M, Miyaoka R, Moro J. Laparoscopic nephrectomy for xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis Are their predictive factors for success? *Clinics (Sao Paulo)* 2012; 67:907e9.
27. Gupta NP, Agrawal AK, Sood S. Tubercular pyelonephritic nonfunctioning kidney Another relative contraindication for laparoscopic nephrectomy: a case report. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 1997; 7:131e4.
28. Roy, D. S., Alqifari, D. S. F., & Walia, C. Cyclopedic analysis of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaws in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 2022;5(8), 2153–2164.