e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN:2820-2643

#### Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2022; 14(8); 1034-1037

**Original Research Article** 

# A Diagnostic Approach on Role of C-Reactive Protein in Acute Appendicitis

# Pankaj Mehrotra

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Rama Medical College-Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur (UP)

Received: 14-06-2022 / Revised: 10-07-2022 / Accepted: 04-08-2022

Corresponding author: Dr Pankaj Mehrotra

**Conflict of interest: Nil** 

### **Abstract**

**Background:** Acute appendicitis is one of the common surgical emergencies. Appendicitis is a common surgical emergency and diagnostic dilemma. Making the correct diagnosis is often difficult as the clinical presentation varies according to the age of the patient and the position of appendix.

**Objective:** To investigate the efficacy of C-reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

**Methods:** A prospective study done on patients coming to hospital with clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis and underwent appendectomy. The blood samples for C-reactive protein were drawn before taking the patient to the operating theatre. Removed appendices were sent for histopathological confirmation of diagnosis. The data was entered and analysed in SPSS 23

**Results:** A total of 100 patients were included in the study. CRP was more than 6mg/l in 89 patients 87 patients had histopathologically inflamed appendix and serum CRP estimation in diagnosis of acute appendicitis yield sensitivity of 94%, specificity of 50%, positive predictive value of 95% and negative predictive value of 74%.

Conclusions: CRP was raised in patients with acute appendicitis and it aids as a marker for diagnosing acute appendicitis and decreasing the rate of negative appendectomy. CRP is helpful in making diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Keywords: Acute appendicitis, CRP, Histopathology, Appendectomy, Emergency.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

## Introduction

Acute appendicitis is one of the common surgical emergencies and appendectomy is the most widely performed emergency operation worldwide [1]. Simple appendicitis can progress to perforation, which is associated with a much higher morbidity and mortality, and surgeons have therefore been inclined to operate when the diagnosis is probable rather than wait until it is certain [2].

The surgical principle about acute appendicitis "when in doubt, take it out", is

not correct in view of the number of major and minor complications following appendectomy. The accuracy of the clinical examination has been reported to range from 71% to 97% and varies greatly depending on the experience of the examiner [3]. However, because missed ruptured appendixes have consequences, surgeons have traditionally accepted a 20% rate of negative findings at appendectomy and the removal of a normal appendix [4].

Mehrotra et al.

**International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research** 

This effort has successfully lowered the mortality rate to less than 0.1% for noncomplicated appendicitis, 0.6% where there is gangrene, and 5% for perforated cases [5]. C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute phase reactant, which rises rapidly in response to inflammation and can be measured in serum 6-12 hours after the onset of the inflammatory process. It is controlled produced in liver, interleukin-6. Its concentration is increased disorders. infection. autoimmune Its neoplasia and ageing. normal concentration is less than 10 mg/l (9-11 mg/1) [6,7].

### **Materials and Methods**

This prospective study was conducted in the department of general surgery, Rama Medical College-Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur (UP) from June 2021 to March 2022. A total of 100 patients were included in the study that reported in surgical emergency. The study was performed according to the guidelines set by the ethical committee of the institute. The data was tabulated and results were expressed using SPSS software. Purposive sampling technique was used.

Patients coming to hospital with clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis and patients who undergo appendectomy were included in the study. Pregnant females, patients on steroids, immune-compromised patients, patients with liver disease, patients on chemotherapy for malignancy

and appendicular lump were excluded from the study.

# Methodology

Clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis was, based on symptoms of pain in right iliac fossa, migration of pain to RIF, nausea/vomiting, anorexia, fever and signs of peritoneal inflammation like right iliac fossa tenderness, rebound tenderness and guarding. Once acute appendicitis was suspected, patient was subjected to routine investigations like total leucocyte count (TLC), differential leucocyte count (DLC), C-reactive protein (CRP). Ultrasonography of abdomen was done in all of the cases to rule out alternative diagnoses. Serum Creactive protein estimation was done in all these cases. The serum or whole blood specimen was collected under standard laboratory conditions. The specimen was tested within one hour of collection. CRP was estimated by using latex agglutination slide test method using a Humatex CRP test kit. The is based immunological reaction between CRP in patient's serum and anti-CRP antibodies bound to latex particle.

# **Statistical Analysis**

The data was entered and analysed in SPSS 23. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated. CRP analysis was carried out free of cost at the hospital laboratory and all the patients in this study underwent standard treatment.

#### **Results**

Table 1: Age and Gender wise distribution of Patients

9		
0-10	07	07
11-20	19	19
21-30	30	30
31-40`	20	20
41-50	15	15
51-60	05	05
>60	04	04
Total	100	100

As per table 1 young adult are most commonly affected. Maximum patients were in age group of 21-30 years about 30% followed by 20% of the patients in both 11-20 and 31-40 age groups. A total of 100 patients were included in our study, out of which 72 were male and 28 were female, which shows the study was male preponderance.

Table 2: Association of C-reactive protein with appendicular inflammation

CRP (>6mg/dl)		Appendicitis		Statistical relation
		Positive	Negative	Sensitivity- 94%
	Positive	89	07	PPV-95%
	Negative	02	02	NPV-74%

As per table 2 around 96 patients have inflamed appendix suggest appendicitis. Sensitivity was 94% with Positive predictive value of 95% and was statistically significant. Negative predictive value of 74%.

**Table 3: Alternative Diagnosis in Negative Appendectomies** 

Diagnosis	N (%)	
Ruptured Ovarian Cyst	4 (4)	
Pelvic inflammatory disease	3 (3)	
Ovarian torsion	3 (3)	
Mesentric lymphadenitis	2 (2)	
Non-Specific abdominal pain	07 (65)	

As per table 3 Out of the 11 patients whose appendices did not show inflammation, majority had non-specific abdominal pain (65%) followed by ruptured ovarian cyst 34%.

#### **Discussion**

Subjective criteria and scoring systems make it tough to reach the correct diagnosis all the time. Consequently, the rate of negative explorations for acute appendicitis continues to remain high [5]. Making diagnosis of acute appendicitis among the elderly and females is rather more challenging, as in elderly, usual textbook signs are often diminished or absent, similarly in females, signs and symptoms are vague most of the time due to the wide range of causes, therefore the chances of wrong diagnosis are highest [6].

Good history taking and sound clinical examination organized in different scoring systems helps us to reach the diagnosis in most of the cases [4]. It is most cost effective, repeatable, fast and widely used method specially in periphery but the

clinical presentation shows a wide range of variations, many other acute abdominal conditions also mimic acute appendicitis and missing a case of acute appendicitis can result in gangrenous perforation, abscess formation, peritonitis and sepsis.

e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN: 2820-2643

Acute appendicitis remains a common abdominal emergency throughout the world. Despite the advances in the diagnostic field, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis remains an enigma for the surgeon [8]. In the present study, total 100 patients were studied. Out of which 72 were male and 28 were female. The male:female ratio in the present study was 2.85:1. In the study by Ghimire *et al* 62.96% of patients were male while 37.04% were female [9].

Most common age group was between 21-30 years. In the present study CRP was more than 6mg/l in 89 patients, and out of 89 patients, 87 patients had histopathologically inflamed appendix and serum CRP estimation in diagnosis of acute appendicitis yield sensitivity of 90.6% and specificity of 50%. Al-Abed *et al* conducted a study on 447 patients with

suspected acute appendicitis had found that a CRP concentration of  $\geq 6$  mg/l alone had a sensitivity of 76.4% and a specificity of 55.7% which is comparable with the present study[1].

The sensitivity of CRP in present study is comparable with that of other studies done in past but specificity of CRP in present study is less in comparison with other studies done in past except one study by Al-Abed *et al.* In the study, the predictive value for positive test was 97.75% and predictive value for negative test was 18.2% which is consistent with the study by Ghimire *et al.* [9,10].

#### **Conclusion**

CRP analysis augments the clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis. It is highly sensitive but has a relatively low specificity. CRP more than 6mg/l, help in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Diagnostic laparoscopy may detect other abnormality especially in females with suspected acute appendicitis.

#### References

- 1. Al-Abed YA, Alobaid N, Myint F. Diagnostic markers in acute appendicitis. Am J Surg. 2015;209(6):10437.
- 2. Abedi S, Ebrahimi M, Zolfaghari P, Nourian J, Yarmohammadi M, Yahyaei E, et al. Comparison of Diagnostic Value of ESR, CRP and Leukocytosis with Pathologic Findings among Patients with Acute Appendicitis. Int J Health Studies. 2018;3(4).

- 3. Xharra S, Gashi-Luci L, Xharra K, Veselaj F, Bicaj B, Sada F, *et al*. Correlation of serum C-reactive protein, white blood count and neutrophil percentage with histopathology findings in acute appendicitis. World J Emerg Surg. 2015;7(1):1-6.
- 4. Yu CW, Juan LI, Wu MH, Shen CJ, Wu JY, Lee CC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the diagnostic accuracy of procalcitonin, C-reactive protein and white blood cell count for suspected acute appendicitis. Br J Surg. 2014;100(3):322-9.
- 5. Walsh AJ. A sound approach to the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Lancet. 2017;1:198-200
- 6. Mohammed AA, Daghman NA, Aboud SM, Oshibi HO: The diagnostic value of C-reactive protein, white blood cell count and neutrophil percentage in childhood appendicitis. Saudi Med J. 2014;25(9):1212-5.
- 7. Davies AH, Bernau F, Salisbury A, Souter RG. C- reactive protein in right iliac fossa pain. J R Coll Surg Edinb. 2011; 36:242-4.
- 8. Jones K, Peña AA, Dunn EL, Nadalo L, Mangram AJ. Are negative appendectomies still acceptable? Am J surg. 2004;188(6):748-54.
- 9. Ghimire R, Sharma A, Bohara S. Role of C-reactive protein in acute appendicitis. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2016;54(2):130-3.
- 10. Naqvi RH, Mani A, Rometra S, Singh G. Role of C-reactive protein in enhancing the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Int Surg J 2022; 9:655-8.