e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN:2820-2643

#### Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2022; 14(8); 518-524

**Original Research Article** 

# Prospective Observational Evaluation of Metabolic Urolithiasis and Assessment of the Prevalence of Various Abnormalities: A Hospital-based Study

### Shashank Abhishek<sup>1</sup>, Vir Abhimanyu Pandit<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India

Received: 07-02-2022 / Revised: 24-02-2022 / Accepted: 22-03-2022

Corresponding author: Dr. Shashank Abhishek

**Conflict of interest: Nil** 

#### **Abstract**

**Aim:** Study of the prevalence of metabolic abnormalities in a tertiary care centre in Bihar region.

**Methods:** This was a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of Urology, Narayan Medical College, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India, for the period of 1 year. Total 50 patients over 18 years old admitted in the urolithiasis outpatient clinic of a tertiary centre were included in this study.

**Results:** The majority of the population was women, making a female/male ratio of 1.5:1. 2% of patients were underweight, 38% presented normal BMI and 60% were overweight or obese. Taking other comorbidities into account, 24% had hypertension, 14% had diabetes mellitus and 28% presented with dyslipidaemia. Metabolic abnormalities (considering any of these hyperoxaluria, hypercalciuria, raised PTH, hypercalcemia, hyperuricosuria, hypomagnesuria or hyperphosphaturia) were found in 94% patients (Confidence Interval 95%: 87.2–99.8%). Almost a quarter (26% [CI95%: 16.0–36.8%]) only had one metabolic abnormality, and 68% patients CI95%: 56.9-79.4%) had multiple metabolic abnormalities. Hypercalciuria was the most commonly observed metabolic abnormality and was found in 54% (CI95%: 43.5-67.6%) of patients. Other significant metabolic abnormalities were hyperoxaluria (34% [CI95%: 22.4–49.8%]), hyperuricosuria (32% [CI95%: 21.9-44.7%]) and hypomagnesuria (30% [CI95%: 21.3-44.2%]). Several sociodemographic and clinical variables with the most frequent metabolic abnormalities found in our study (hypercalciuria, hyperuricosuria and hypomagnesuria). Patients with hypercalciuria were older (55.8  $\pm 12.9$  years vs. 48.8  $\pm 6.8$  years, p = 0.022), family history of stone disease was significantly more frequent among patients with hyperoxaluria (70% vs. 30%, p = 0.011) and there was a higher prevalence of present and former smokers among patients with hyperoxaluria (p = 0.012).

**Conclusion:** Immediate metabolic evaluation is the key for a better and individualized management, guiding the selection of proper pharmacological and dietary measures to prevent recurrent stone formation and to relieve all clinical and economic burdens behind this condition.

**Keywords:** metabolic abnormalities, urolithiasis, dietary measures.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India

#### Introduction

The prevalence of nephrolithiasis in the U.S. is estimated at 5–12%; for a 70-yearold man, the lifetime chance of being diagnosed with a stone is nearly 1 in 8. [1-3] As such, upper urinary tract stones are responsible for significant morbidity, loss of work, and medical cost. In the U.S., estimates of the annual medical expenditure for diagnosis of a nephrolithiasis approaches \$2.1 billion, without taking into account lost wages reduced work productivity. [4] Beyond the immediate effects of an acute stone event, long-term effects such as loss of renal function are rarely taken into account in cost estimates of stone disease. Indeed, a French study of over 1300 new cases of end-stage renal disease requiring dialysis found that in 3.2% cases renal failure was a direct result of stone disease.

In addition to the morbidity of an acute stone event, upwards of 50% of patients with symptomatic upper tract stones ultimately require surgical intervention. [6,7] As such, the goal of medical treatment is to prevent disease progression and recurrence, and potentially to reduce stone burden. [8] Indeed, after experiencing an initial episode of renal colic, most patients are receptive, at least in the short term, to preventive measures recommended by their physicians. [9]

In light of these goals, a variety of environmental and genetic risk factors have been identified that may be targeted for medical intervention. There are a variety of approaches to the metabolic evaluation ofstone formers. Although there is no uniformity in the literature regarding the optimal evaluation and treatment program, several European consensus conference statements have been published on this subject. [10-12]

#### Material and methods

This was a prospective observational study conducted in the Department of Urology,

Narayan Medical College, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar, India, for the period of 1 year,

e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN: 2820-2643

#### Methodology

Total 90 patients over 18 years old admitted in the urolithiasis outpatient clinic of a tertiary centre. 40 patients were excluded due to their medication chart, concerning allopurinol, citrate or thiazides, which could change the urinary metabolic pro-file, or due to the unavailability of assessment of the 24-hour urine samples.

Patient demographic data were obtained from medical records and during the medical appointment, including age, sex, tobacco (non-smoker, present smoker; pack-years) and alcohol (yes/ no) consumption, physical exercise (no exercise, 1-3 days/ week, 4-5 days/week, 6–7 days/week), weight and height to calculate the body mass index co-morbidities (BMI), such hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2 and dyslipidaemia, medical or surgical (including past history history urologic interventions such extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy, ureteroscopy laser stone fragmentation or nephrolithotomy), medication chart, personal history and family history. Initial evaluation of every patient also included: urinalysis, 24-hour urine sample (with analysis of urinary calcium, oxalate, phosphate, uric acid, sodium and magnesium), bacteriologic examination of urine, serum analysis of creatinine, urea, ionised calcium and parathyroid hormone (PTH) and noncontrast computerized tomography (CT). Hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added into the container for oxalate measurement in the 24-hour urine sample. For the other measurements, patients received an empty container and were instructed to keep it in a cool place during the considered collection. We the following metabolic abnormalities: hypercalciuria, hyperoxaluria, raised

PTH, hypercalcemia, hyperuricosuria, hypomagnesuria andhyperphosphaturia.

Reference laboratory values for urine and serum biochemical parameters in adults were made according to the European Association of Urology (EAU) Guidelines for Urolithiasis. [13] Since the reference values for serum PTH and urinary sodium are not given in these guidelines, we used those from our hospital laboratory (high levels for serum PTH was 65 pg/ml and 220 mmol/day for urinary sodium).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the soft- ware Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 21.0. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the socio-demographic, clinical and metabolic abnormalities. We used t-test for independent samples nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test for comparisons between categorical and continuous variables, Chi-square for categorical variables and linear assessment correlation for between continuous variables. We considered statistically significant results for a p value < 0.05.

#### Results

The majority of the population was women, making a female/male ratio of 1.5:1. The median age at the time of the consultation was 50 years old, with most patients between 41 and 58 years of age. Frequencies of smoking and alcohol consumption and physical activity are shown in Table 1.

As depicted in Table 1 for clinical characterization, 2% of patients were underweight, 38% presented normal BMI and 60% were overweight or obese. Taking other comorbidities into account, 24% had hypertension, 14% had diabetes mellitus and 28% presented with dyslipidaemia. Almost 70% of patients admitted had personal history of lithiasis, with a median age of 44 years at first episode. Regarding past lithiasic

intervention, 20% of patients presented with positive history. According to family history of urolithiasis, 40% of patients only referred one first degree relative while 10% mentioned two or more first degree relatives.

## Frequency and type of metabolic abnormality in patients with urolithiasis

Metabolic abnormalities (considering hyperoxaluria, of these any hypercalciuria, raised PTH, hypercalcemia, hyperuricosuria, hypomagnesuria or hyperphosphaturia) were found in 94% patients (Confidence Interval 95%: 87.2–99.8%). Almost a quarter (26% [CI95%: 16.0-36.8%]) only had one metabolic abnormality, and patients CI95%: 56.9-79.4%) had 68% multiple metabolic abnormalities. Hypercalciuria was the most commonly observed metabolic abnormality and was found in 54% (CI95%: 43.5-67.6%) of patients. Other significant metabolic abnormalities were hyperoxaluria (34% [CI95%: 22.4–49.8%]), hyperuricosuria 21.9-44.7%]) (32% [CI95%: hypomagnesuria (30% [CI95%: 21.3– 44.2%]), as shown in Table 2. As for other urinary parameters, the median of the 24-hour urinary volume was 1680 ml, with 64% of patients presenting a 24-hour urinary volume be- low 2000 ml. The majority of urinary samples had a pH between 5.5 and 6.5 almost a third (30%) of patients with a urinary pH less than 5.5 and 18% higher than 6.5. Approximately one-sixth (16%) of patients presented a positive bacteriologic urinary exam, Escherichia coli as the most frequent isolated agent (5 in 9).

## Comparison between clinical and metabolicabnormalities

Several sociodemographic and clinical variables with the most frequent metabolic abnormalities found in our study (hypercalciuria, hyperoxaluria, hyperuricosuria and hypomagnesuria). Patients with hypercalciuria were older (55.8 ±12.9 years vs. 48.8 ±6.8 years,

p = 0.022), family history of stone disease was significantly more frequent among patients with hyperoxaluria (70% vs. 30%, p = 0.011) and there was a higher prevalence of present and former smokers among patients with hyperoxaluria (p = 0.012). Urinary volume was higher in patients with hypercalciuria (1879.4  $\pm 637.9$  ml vs. 1457.3  $\pm 716.1$  ml, p = 0.014) and hyperuricosuria (1956.2  $\pm 685.2$  ml vs. 1561.8  $\pm 719.7$ , p = 0.041).

urinary calcium and urinary pH. There was a positive linear relationship between BMI and urinary calcium (r = 0.251, p = 0.44) and a negative linear relationship between BMI and urinary pH (r = -0.251, p = 0.041). There was also a positive linear relationship between urinary calcium compared with urinary sodium (r = 0.519, p < 0.0001), uricosuria (r = 0.524, p < 0.0001) and phosphaturia (r = 0.659, p < 0.0001).

Body mass index was associated with

Table 1. Sociodemographic and clinical characterization

Parameter	Number	%
Age	50 (41-58)	
Gender		
Male	20	40
Female	30	60
Smoker (including former-smoker)*		
Below 10 pack years	27	54
11-20 pack years	7	14
Above 20 pack years	16	32
Alcohol consumer (Yes)*	21	42
Physical exercise		
NO	25	50
1-3 days per week	15	30
4-5 days per week	4	8
6-7 days per week	6	12
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		
Underweight	1	2
Normal	19	38
Overweight	21	42
Obesity	9	18
Hypertension (yes)	12	24
Diabetes mellitus (yes)	7	14
Hyperlipidemia (yes)	14	28
Personal history of lithiasis (yes)	20	40
Past lithiasic intervention		
None	40	80
SWL	4	8
URS	3	6
SWL + URS	1	2
SWL + PNL	1	2
URS+PNL	1	2

Table 2. Blood and urine parameters

Metabolic abnormality	Number (%)	CI 95% (%)
Hypercalciuria (>5 mmol/day) *	27(54)	43.5–67.6
Hyperoxaluria (oxalate >0.5 mmol/day)	17(34)	22.4–49.8
Hyperuricosuria (>4 mmol/day in women and >5 mmol/day in men)	16(32)	21.9–44.7
Hypomagnesuria (<3 mmol/day)	15(30)	21.3-44.2
Hyperphosphaturia (phosphate level >1.3 g/dl)	9(18)	7.8–28.4
Elevated PTH (>65 pg/ml)	8(16)	5.8–24.3
Hypercalcemia (ionised calcium>1.32 mmol/L)	4(8)	1.4–16.3

#### **Discussion**

In our series, the most striking finding the very high prevalence of abnormalities metabolic (94%),resembling the findings of several other studies, which considered metabolic abnormalities as one of the most important factors for stone formation. [14-16] Nevertheless, in some studies, a predominance of other abnormalities hyperoxaluria. such as [14] hypomagnesuria was found. disparate results may be explained by climate, seasonal, dietary or life-style variations. which highlight importance of studying the prevalence of different metabolic changes in each population. This matter assumes a preponderant role, given the ability to modify these metabolic abnormalities preventive (metaphylatic) measures, including diet and lifestyle changes along. Hypercalciuria (detected in 54% of patients) was the most frequent metabolic abnormality, followed by hyperolaxuria (34%) and hyperuricosuria (32%), a finding also observed in larger studies, most of them with patients with recurrent stone formation history. [14-18] We included 17 patients with first self-reported episode of stone disease interestingly, all of them presented at least one metabolic abnormality. It is known that obesity and weight gain increase the risk of stone formation. In our study, the majority (60%) of patients were overweight or obese and the increase of BMI was associated with a

higher urinary calcium excretion. These patients may benefit from nutritional counseling for weight loss, aiming for the reduction of stone recurrence and decreasing cardiovascular risk. We also observed that BMI was inversely related urinary pH, explained by the hypothesis that obesity may induce excessive production of acidic urine secondary to insulin resistance. [19, 20] Almost a third (28%) of patients presented a urinary pH less than 5.5, a documented risk for uric nephrolithiasis. Patients with persistently low urinary pH benefit from urine alkalization.2019]. With the introduction of some pharmacological options (i.e. allopurinol, citrate or thiazides) that might prevent urinary stone formation. [14] There is great variability in the literature regarding the prevalence of urolithiasis according to gen- der. Our sample revealed a predominance of women (1.5:1), the same ratio pointed by Amaro et al. [15], but different from other studies, with a gender ratio male/female of 1.5–2.5 across the world. The gender ratio discussion of this study is precluded by the methods of patients' referral which influences our outpatient clinic representativeness of the general population. Patients with hypercalciuria were older than those without this metabolic abnormality, with a median age around 50 years. Otto et al. also observed that middle-aged patients are more likely to be hypercalciuric. [21] The higher prevalence of present and former smokers among patients with

hyperoxaluria sustains the studies of Hamano et al. The authors suggested interplay between cigarette smoking and arginine vasopressin, resulting in a further decrease of urinary output and enhancing stone formation. There- fore, smoking cessation can be effective in reducing calcium stone recurrence. [22] According to the European Association of Urology Guidelines for Urolithiasis [13], one preventative risk for stone formation relies on a higher fluid intake, 2.5-3.0 l/day, in order to maintain a urinary volume between 2 and 2.5 l/day. We observed that 62.7% of patients presented a lower 24-hour urine output (<2 1 in 24 h) and recommending an increased fluid intake should be considered the first option as a general treatment of these patients. Despite our findings, in clinical practice implementation of an extensive metabolic evaluation is still controversial. In our opinion, given the prevalence of abnormalities in our population, it seems to be worth performing an extended metabolic evaluation in all patients admitted to the urolithiasis clinics in a tertiary hospital. In fact, this work-up has prompted the prescription of preventive measures and drugs in a significant number of patients. We believe that this work-up is also cost effective, with a reduction of new stonerelated events, such as admission to the Emergency Department (ER) possible need for urgent surgical follow-up consultations intervention, and future non- invasive or minimally invasive procedures. Whether or not this proactive attitude should be extended to primary health care level remains to be elucidated. Despite being an important ionic molecular inhibitor, our laboratory was unable to measure urinary citrate which is an important limitation of this study. Also, some urine samples did not present oxalate measurement due to unexpected laboratory technical problems. We decided to perform just one 24-hour urine collection for the sake

the patient's convenience adherence, and in fact the need for one versus two 24-hour urine collections during the initial metabolic evaluation is still an unsolved issue. [23] Although this is a cross-sectional descriptive study, the present patient database represents a cohort of patients that will allow further investigation. Ongoing evaluate studies intend to initial metabolic profiles as predictors success therapeutic and recurrence identification outcomes. The metabolic abnormalities and implementation of specific diet changes and pharmacological treatment, when indicated. clinically should complemented with a close follow-up of all patients in order to estimate future recurrence rates, clinical outcomes for ER admission and the need for surgical procedures. [24]

#### **Conclusion**

Given the significant burden of urinary stone disease in our clinical practice along with the significantly high prevalence of metabolic abnormalities, we support the metabolic evaluation, irrespective of the presence or absence of recurrence, as an invaluable step in the clinical work-up of these patients. This immediate metabolic evaluation is the key for a better and individualized management, guiding the selection of proper pharmacological and dietary measures to prevent recurrent stone formation and to relieve all clinical and economic burden behind this condition.

#### References

- 1. Pak, C.Y. Kidney stones. Lancet. 1998; 351:1797.
- 2. Preminger, G.M. Renal calculi: pathogenesis, diagnosis, and medical therapy. Semin. Nephrol. 1992; 12: 200.
- 3. Sierakowski, R., Finlayson, B., Landes, R.R., et al.The frequency of urolithiasis in hospital discharge diagnoses in the United States. Invest. Urol. 1978; 15:438.
- 4. Clark, J.Y., Thompson, I.M., and

- Optenberg, S.A. Economic impact of urolithiasis in the United States. J. Urol. 1995; 154:2020.
- 5. Jungers, P., Joly, D., Barbey, F., et al. ESRD caused by nephrolithiasis: prevalence, mechanisms, and prevention. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 2004; 44:799.
- 6. Krepinsky, J., Ingram, A.J., and Churchill, D.N. Metabolic investigation of recurrent nephrolithiasis: compliance with recommendations. Urology. 2000;56: 915.
- 7. Glowacki, L.S., Beecroft, M.L., Cook, R.J., et al. The natural history of asymptomatic urolithiasis. J. Urol. 1992; 147:319.
- 8. Shekarriz, B. and Stoller, M.L. Uric acid nephrolithiasis: current concepts and controversies. J. Urol. 2002;168: 1307.
- 9. Grampsas, S.A., Moore, M., Chandhoke, P.S. 10-year experience with extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy in the state of Colorado. J. Endourol. 2000; 14:711.
- 10. Tiselius, H.G. Possibilities for preventing recurrent calcium stone formation: principles for the metabolic evaluation of patients with calcium stone disease. BJU Int. 2001; 88:158.
- 11. Consensus conference. Prevention and treatment of kidney stones. JAMA. 1988; 260:977.
- 12. Osther, P.J., Grenabo, L., Haraldsson, G., et al. Metabolic evaluation and medical management of upper urinary tract stone disease. Guidelines from the Scandinavian Cooperative Group for Urinary Stones. Scand. J. Urol. Nephrol. 1999; 33:372.
- 13. Türk C, Neisius A, Petrik A, et al. EAU Guidelines on Urolithiasis 2017.ISBN 978-90-79754-91-5. EAU Guidelines Office, Arnhem, The Netherlands. 2017
- 14. Ahmad I, Pansota MS, Tariq M, Tabassum SA. Frequency of metabolic abnormalities in urinary stones patients. Pak J Med Sci. 2013; 29: 1363-1369
- 15. Amaro CR, Goldberg J, Damasio PC, et

- al. An update on metabolic assessment in patients with urinary lithiasis. WorldJ Urol. 2015; 33: 125-129.
- 16. Parvin M, Shakhssalim N, Basiri A, et al. The most important metabolic risk factors in recurrent urinary stone formers. Urol J. 2011; 8: 99-106.
- 17. Karabacak OR, Ipek B, Ozturk U, Demirel F, Saltas H, Altug U. Metabolic evaluation in stone disease metabolic differences between the pediatric and adult patients with stone disease. Urology. 2010; 76: 238-241.
- 18. Shah S, Calle JC. Dietary and medical management of recurrent nephrolithiasis. Cleve Clin J Med. 2016; 83: 463-471.
- 19. Abdel Goad EH, Bereczky ZB. Metabolic risk factors in patients with renal stones in KwaZulu Natal: an interracial study (Asian and Whites). BJU Int. 2004; 93: 120-123.
- 20. Maalouf NM, Sakhaee K, Parks JH, Coe FL, Adams-Huet B, Pak CYC. Association of urinary pH with body weight in nephrolithiasis. Kidney Int. 2004;65: 1422-1425.
- 21. Otto BJ, Bozorgmehri S, Kuo J, Canales M, Bird V, Canales BK. Age, BMI, and Gender Predict 24 Hour Urine Parameters in Recurrent Idiopathic Calcium Oxalate Stone Formers. J Endourol. 2017: 31: 1335-1341.
- 22. Hamano S, Nakatsu H, Suzuki N, Tomioka S, Tanaka M, Murakami S. Kidney stone disease and risk factors for coronary heart disease. Int J Urol. 2005; 12:859-863.
- 23. Alruwaily AF, Dauw CA, Bierlein MJ, et al. How Much Information is Lost When You Only Collect One 24-Hour Urine Sample during the Initial Metabolic Evaluation? J Urol. 2016; 196: 1143-1148
- 24. Khan, A., & Tidman, D. M. M. Causes of Medication Error in Nursing. Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2022;5(1):1753–1764.