e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN:2820-2643

Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2022; 14(9); 1172-1177

Original Research Article

Prospective Outcome Assessment of Arthroscopic Suture Pulls out Fixation of Displaced Tibial Spine Avulsion Fracture

Pawan Kumar[1], Niraj Narain Singh[2]

[1]Orthopaedic Consultant, Anupama Hospital, Khazanchi Road, Patna, Bihar, India
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Esic Medical College, Bihta, Patna, Bihar, India

Received: 06-08-2022 / Revised: 23-08-2022 / Accepted: 18-09-2022
Corresponding author: Dr. Niraj Narain Singh
Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The present study was conducted to assess the functional outcome of arthroscopic suture pull out fixation of displaced tibial spine avulsion fracture.

Methods: The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Anupama Hospital, Khazanchi Road, Patna, Bihar, India, over a period of 1 year with a sample size of 50.

Results: 50 patients with tibial spine avulsion were operated on with arthroscopic pull out suture technique. The majority of subjects were in the age group 18 to 30 years (60%). In the study, 80% were males and 20% were females.

Conclusion: The goal of the treatment should be an anatomic reduction to restore joint congruity. This technique of arthroscopic fixation with trans osseous sutures is very useful in treating these fractures. Approaching these injuries arthroscopically allows for complete inspection of the joint and dealing with associated injuries, early mobilization, fast rehabilitation, and decreased hospital stay.

Keywords: Arthroscopic Suture Pull Out Fixation, Tibial Spine Avulsion Fracture

Introduction

Anterior tibial spine fractures are relatively rare with an incidence of approximately 3/100,000/year. [1] Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) avulsed mostly from tibial attachment. According to Meyers and McKeevers classification [2] these injuries can be classified in to 3 types. Type 1: minimal or no displacement and is usually treated conservatively; Type 2: partially displaced, where posterior hinge attached to tibia and only anterior fragment avulsed and superiorly displaced, showing as beak in lateral X-ray. Type 3: complete fragment elevation anteriorly and posteriorly; it has two sub types Type 3a: involves small portion of eminence Type 3b: involves the majority of the eminence. Type 4: displaced, comminuted fracture and type 4 added by Zariczynj. [3]

As the tibial spine is the site of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) attachment, tibial spine avulsion may be associated with ACL insufficiency. Concomitant injury to a collateral ligament and menisci may also occur, and there is evidence that associated injuries are common in adults. [4,5]

A complication of such untreated and displaced type III and type IV avulsion

fracture include nonunion and malunion, which may lead to significant disability in the form of flexion deformity, loss of extension, instability. Hence it is important to reduce accurately fix type III and type IV and prevent complication. [6]

Interestingly, the outcome of arthroscopic fixation in children and adolescents is usually satisfactory, but the results in adults are less predictable. [7] Hunter and Willis [8] found that the younger the patient is, the better the outcome after arthroscopic fixation for tibial eminence fracture. In literature, the reported complication of tibial spine fracture includes anterior knee instability, extension loss, quadriceps weakness, and chondromalacia. [9]

Berg [10] reported 2 cases in which postoperative arthrofibrosis developed, and Montgomery et al. [11] reported that 9 of 17 patients (53%) had severe difficulty in regaining motion postoperatively. Osti et al. [12] studied 10 patients and found no extension deficit but reported a rate of laxity of 30% (3 of 10 patients) with fair or poor results.

The present study was conducted to assess the functional outcome of arthroscopic suture pull out fixation of displaced tibial spine avulsion fracture.

Methods

The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Anupama Hospital, Khazanchi Road, Patna, Bihar, India .over a period of 1 year with a sample size of 50. Patients who had pain and disability resulting from tibial spine avulsion inactive patient type II, type III and type IV, Age: < 60 years, the patient must be able to use crutches / walker, he patient should have sufficient muscle strength and motivation to carry out a rehabilitation program, closed injuries were included in the study. Patients with Type I tibial spine avulsion according to Meyers and McKeever classification, associated with Proximal tibia fracture, associated with Multiple ligaments injuries, present or past history of inflammatory arthritis, open injuries, previous operated or infected knee for any reason were excluded from the study. Diagnostic arthroscopy was performed under spinal or general anesthesia through the standard anterolateral portal. The joint and fracture bed was cleared of hematoma using continuous irrigation. Then, the standard anteromedial portal was established. Chondral and meniscal injuries were assessed and managed as per established guidelines. The tibial spine avulsion was identified, and the type of fracture was confirmed by probing. Next, 1-inch-long skin incision was made parallel and medial to the tibial tuberosity.

The remaining dissection was done with care to arrive up to the periosteum protecting the pes anserinus tendons and underlying medial collateral ligament. The tip of the ACL tibial guide was subsequently placed via an anteromedial (AM) portal on the medial-most edge and at the equator of the avulsion crater. Next, a tibial tunnel was drilled using a 1.8 mm K-wire.

Once the K-wire tip was visualized emerging out at the crater edge, the tibial guide was disengaged, and the K-wire was left in situ. A similar step was performed for the lateral edge of the crater with another K-wire keeping 1 cm of the bone bridge intact between two tunnels over the tibia. Once the needle tip was visualized on the lateral side of ACL, the PDS suture was advanced through the lateral PDS loop. The advanced end of the PDS was pulled out of the joint via AM portal using an arthroscopic grasper. Frequently, the suture grasper was used to pull the PDS out of the lateral loop in a case where it did not enter into the lateral loop. A similar step was repeated by taking a bite through the anterior third of the ACL substance, and PDS was pulled out via AM portal. Next, the shuttling technique

replaced the two PDS sutures by ethibond. Then, the needle and PDS loops were pulled out of the tunnel, which further pulls the ethibond sutures out of the joint through the tibial tunnels. Ethibond sutures were tied one by one over the bone bridge or suture button keeping the knee in 30-degree flexion.

Results

Table 1: Age and gender distribution of subjects

Age group(years)	**N(%)**
18-30	30 (60%)
31-40	12 (24%)
>40 years	8 (16%)
Total	50 (100)
Gender	**N(%)**
Male	40 (80%)
Female	10 (20%)
Total	50 (100)

50 patients with tibial spine avulsion were operated on with arthroscopic pull out suture technique. The majority of subjects were in the age group 18 to 30 years (60%).In the study, 80% were males and 20% were females.

Table 2: Mode of trauma distribution

Mode of trauma distribution	**N(%)**
Fall from Cycle	5 (10%)
Fall from Motorbike	30 (60%)
Fall While playing	15 (30%)
Total	50 (100)

10% had fall from the cycle, 60% had fallen from motorbike and 30% had fall while playing.

Table 3: Status of Physis distribution

Status of Physis distribution	**N(%)**
Closed	32 (64%)
Open	18 (36%)
Total	50 (100)

Status of Physis in 64% was closed and opens in 36%.

Table 4: Meyers and McKeever's classification distribution

Meyers and McKeever's classification distribution	**N(%)**
Type III	35 (70%)
Type IV	15 (30%)
Total	50 (100)

In the study 70% had Type III and 30% had Type IV Meyers and McKeever's classification.

Table 5: Post op Lysholm score distribution

Post op Lysholm score	**Mean±SD**	**p-value**
3 months	86.14±1.46	<0.001
6 months	96.84±2.05	<0.001
9 months	96.14±1.36	<0.001

At 3 months, the mean Post op Lysholm score was86.14±1.46, at 6 months was 96.84±2.05 and at 12 months 96.14±1.36. There was a significant increase in Post op Lysholm score at 6 months and 12 months. At 12 months when compared to 6 months Post op Lysholm score, there was no significant increase in Post op Lysholm score.

Table 6: Complication distribution

Complication	N(%)
Nil	46 (92%)
Post Op Knee Stiffness	4 (8%)
Total	50 (100)

8% subjects had Post Op Knee Stiffness.

Discussion

Tibial eminence fractures commonly occur in children and adolescents and are less common in skeletally mature individuals. [13,14] In adults the treatment option for displaced tibial eminence fractures are, suture or hardware fixation of the avulsed fragment and ACL reconstruction. Native ACL should be retained so as to maintain the proprioceptive function and neuromuscular control provided by the presence of mechanoreceptors in ACL. [15] Both sutures and hardware fixation techniques have been studied in cadavers.

Although variety of implants (screws, staples, wires, anchors, and sutures) have been used for arthroscopic fixation of tibial spine, but currently arthroscopic suture pull-out fixation seems to be the most preferred fixation method in all age groups. [16-18]

In the present study, The majority of subjects were in the age group 18 to 30 years (60%).In the study, 80% were males and 20% were females. 10% had fall from the cycle, 60% had fallen from motorbike and 30% had fall while playing. Status of Physis in 64% was closed and opens in 36%. In the study 70% had Type III and 30% had Type IV Meyers and McKeever's classification. At 3 months, the mean Post op Lysholm score was86.14±1.46, at 6 months was 96.84±2.05 and at 12 months 96.14±1.36. There was a significant increase in Post op Lysholm score at 6 months and 12 months. At 12 months when compared to 6 months Post op Lysholm score, there was no significant increase in Post op Lysholm score. 8% subjects had Post Op Knee Stiffness.

Tsukada et al studied that there was significant anterior translation with cyclic loading in fractures treated with pullout suture compared with screw fixation. [19] On the contrary, Bong et al, in their study of screw versus pull out suture fixation concluded that fibre wire fixation was stronger than screw fixation. [20]

Ballal MM et al found that fractures were united within 3 months after surgery in all 30 cases.

The Lysholm score was improved to 98(98.17 ± 1.599). In 2 patients, post-operative knee stiffness was noted and arthrolysis was done for the same. All patients returned to their preinjury physical activities at the last follow-up. [21]

Sapre V et al evaluated all patients at 18 months after the surgery. Radiographs showed that all fracture healed anatomically at an average duration of 3 months after surgery. At the final follow-up, all patients reported no symptoms of instability, such as giving – way episodes, clinical signs of anterior cruciate ligament deficiency were negative. The mean Lysholm score was 96.9 (range 91-100), mean IKDC score was 87.9 (range 83-93), and all patients achieved their preinjury Tegner activity levels. [22,23]

Conclusion

The goal of the treatment should be an anatomic reduction to restore joint congruity. This technique of arthroscopic

fixation with transosseous sutures is very useful in treating these fractures. Approaching these injuries arthroscopically allows for complete inspection of the joint and dealing with associated injuries, early mobilization, fast rehabilitation, and decreased hospital stay. Suture fixation has the advantages of being more versatile and biomechanically superior to screw fixation and has the ability to fix not only isolated large but also small and comminated fractures and to incorporate the ACL into the fixation structure. Furthermore, sutures allow for stable fixation and aggressive early rehabilitation. Arthroscopic suture fixation uniformly leads to excellent outcomes.

References

1. Hargrove R, Parsons S, Payne R. Anterior tibial spine fracture–an easy fracture to miss. Accident and emergency nursing. 2004 Jul 1;12(3): 173-5.
2. Meyers MH, McKeever FM. Fracture of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. JBJS. 1959 Mar 1;41(2):209-22.
3. Zaricznyj B. Avulsion fracture of the tibial eminence: treatment by open reduction and pinning. JBJS. 1977 Dec 1;59(8):1111-4.
4. Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E, Sasaki T, Toh S. Magnetic resonance imaging AIDS in detecting concomitant injuries in patients with tibial spine fractures. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®. 2005 May 1; 434:207-12.
5. Mclennan JG. The role of arthroscopic surgery in the treatment of fractures of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume. 1982 Aug;64(4):477-80.
6. Panni AS, Milano G, Tartarone M, Fabbriciani C. Arthroscopic treatment of malunited and nonunited avulsion fractures of the anterior tibial spine. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 1998 Apr 1;14(3):233-40.
7. Ahn JH, Yoo JC. Clinical outcome of arthroscopic reduction and suture for displaced acute and chronic tibial spine fractures. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy. 2005 Mar; 13(2):116-21.
8. Hunter RE, Willis JA. Arthroscopic fixation of avulsion fractures of the tibial eminence: technique and outcome. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2004 Feb 1;20(2):113-21.
9. Baxter MP, Wiley JJ. Fractures of the tibial spine in children. An evaluation of knee stability. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. British volume. 1988 Mar;70(2):228-30.
10. Berg EE. Comminuted tibial eminence anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fractures: failure of arthroscopic treatment. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 1993 Aug 1;9(4):446-50.
11. Montgomery KD, Cavanaugh J, Cohen S, Wickiewicz TL, Warren RF, Blevens F. Motion complications after arthroscopic repair of anterior cruciate ligament avulsion fractures in the adult. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2002 Feb 1;18(2):171-6.
12. Osti L, Merlo F, Liu SH, Bocchi L. A simple modified arthroscopic procedure for fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2000 May 1;16(4):379-82.
13. LaFrance RM, Giordano B, Goldblatt J, Voloshin I, Maloney M. Pediatric tibial eminence fractures: evaluation and management. JAAOS-Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2010 Jul 1;18(7):395-405.
14. Lubowitz JH, Elson WS, Guttmann D. Part II: arthroscopic treatment of tibial plateau fractures: intercondylar eminence avulsion fractures. Arthros copy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2005 Jan 1;21(1):86-92.

15. Schultz RA, Miller DC, Kerr CS, Micheli L. Mechanoreceptors in human cruciate ligaments. A histological study. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume. 1984 Sep 1;66(7):1072-6.
16. Huang TW, Hsu KY, Cheng CY, Chen LH, Wang CJ, Chan YS, Chen WJ. Arthroscopic suture fixation of tibial eminence avulsion fractures. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2008 Nov 1;24(11):1232-8.
17. Tudisco C, Giovarruscio R, Febo A, Savarese E, Bisicchia S. Intercondylar eminence avulsion fracture in children: long-term follow-up of 14 cases at the end of skeletal growth. J Pediatr Orthop B 2010;19(5):403–408
18. Wagih AM. Arthroscopic treatment of avulsed tibial spine fractures using a transosseous sutures technique. Acta Orthop Belg 2015;81(1):141–146
19. Tsukada H, Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E, Hiraga Y, Toh S. A biomechanical comparison of repair techniques for anterior cruciate ligament tibial avulsion fracture under cyclic loading. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2005 Oct 1;21(10):1197-201.
20. Bong MR, Romero A, Kubiak E, Iesaka K, Heywood CS, Kummer F, Rosen J, Jazrawi L. Suture versus screw fixation of displaced tibial eminence fractures: a biomechanical comparison. Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery. 2005 Oct 1;21(10):1172-6.
21. Ballal MM, Nithin SM, Prakashappa TH, Nagaraju H, Bharath MC, Ashwin S. Prospective study of functional outcome of arthroscopic suture pull out fixation of displaced tibial spine avulsion fracture. International Journal of Orthopaedics. 2022;8(2):41-6.
22. Sapre V, Dwidmuthe SC, Bagaria V, Yadav S. Functional outcome in tibial spine fracture treated with arthroscopic pull through suture technique. Journal of Orthopedics, Traumatology and Rehabilitation. 2015 Jan 1;8(1):6.
23. Anekpo C. C., & Modebe E. O. Pattern of Ear Nose and Throat (Ent) Diseases Seen by Otorhinolary nologist at Bishop Sanahan Specialist Hospital Outpatient Clinics, Nsukka Southeast Nigeria. Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences, 2022; 5(7): 2112–2118.