

Etiological Assessment of Different Granulomatous Lesions: a Histopathological Study

Ragini Kumari¹, Ajit Kumar Chaudhary²

¹Tutor, Department of Pathology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

²Professor and HOD, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

Received: 10-06-2022 / Revised: 23-07-2022 / Accepted: 19-08-2022

Corresponding author: Dr. Ragini Kumari

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: To analyze different granulomatous lesions and to find the frequency and etiology of all granulomatous lesions.

Materials and Methods: The present observational study was carried out in the Department of Pathology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Darbhanga, Bihar, India from June 2019 to may 2020. We include 100 cases of skin biopsies after histopathological confirmation of granulomatous lesions.

Results: Among 100 cases were studied in which male predominance was noted with 60(60%) cases and females constituted 40(40%) case providing M :F ratio of 3:2. Most of the patients were noted in age group of 20 to 30 years i.e 36(36%) cases followed by 21(21%) case in 30 to 40 years. 83% of cases were seen below 50 years of age in our study. Infectious granulomatous dermatoses were very common, only 1 cases of sarcoidosis was found. Most cases of infectious dermatoses were noted in 20 to 30 years comprising 36(36%) cases. Leprosy remained the significant causative reason for infectious granulomatous dermatoses succeeded by tuberculosis of skin. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy was found to be predominant, constituting 27 (27%) cases followed by indeterminate and lepromatous leprosy both had 22(22%) cases, tuberculoid leprosy 18(18%) case and 8(8%) of borderline lepromatous. Lupus vulgaris constituted 1 cases (1%) and only 1 (1%) case of sarcoidosis was found.

Conclusion: The granulomatous lesion is common in third decade of life with male predominance. Leprosy was the most common cause of cutaneous granuloma followed by Tuberculosis, fungal infection and foreign body reaction.

Keywords: Granuloma, histopathology, special stains, etiology.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Granulomatous inflammation is a distinctive pattern of chronic inflammation that is encountered in a limited number of infectious and non-infectious conditions. Granuloma is a focus of chronic

inflammation consisting of microscopic aggregation of macrophages that are transformed into epithelial like cells, surrounded by a collar of lymphocytes and occasionally plasma cells. [1] The

granulomatous inflammatory response is a manifestation of many infective, toxic, allergic, autoimmune, neoplasm and conditions of unknown aetiology. A knowledge of the basic pathophysiology of this distinctive tissue reaction is therefore of fundamental importance in the understanding many disease processes. [2] The provocative agents of granulomatous inflammation appear to be non-degradable by both neutrophils and non-active macrophages. The actions of polymorphonuclear leucocytes, non-activated macrophages and chemical mediators which are associated with the tissue injury are insufficient to completely digest and eradicate the offending agents. For such degradation, the action of transformed macrophages which are formed with the help of the CD4+T cells is required. The CD4+T cells secrete various mediators such as IL2, IF γ , TNF and lymphotoxin for the transformation of the macrophages into epithelioid cells and giant cells, which are the components of granulomas. [2] Etiological classification of granulomas based on the aetiology: 1. Bacterial 2. Metal induced 3. Fungal 4. Viral / Chlamydial a. Cat scratch fever b. Lymphogranuloma venereum 5. Helminthic 6. Foreign body type 7. Unknown cause.[3] Classification based on the morphologic criteria: 1. Epithelioid 2. Histiocytic 3. Foreign body 4 Necrobiotic / Palisading Mixed inflammatory. [4] Recognition of the granulomatous pattern in a biopsy specimen is important because of the limited number of possible conditions that cause it and the significance of the diagnosis associated with it. Granulomatous inflammations are a common and intriguing problem. The arrival at a proper diagnosis is mandatory, so that the appropriate treatment can be meted out. Histopathology is a tool which can be used for establishing a correct diagnosis like in many other diseases, pertaining to the various organ systems of the body. [5] Good clinical history, a close histological examination and a

clinicopathological correlation is essential in making a final diagnosis. By combining all the available information, one should be able to arrive at a reasonable differential diagnosis on which to proceed. However in a minority of the cases, it will not be possible to make a definitive diagnosis, even with all the clinical information being available. A rational histological diagnostic approach to granulomatous inflammation is also not present without its problems. Special stains may also be required to reach a diagnosis. In a small percentage of cases, no definitive diagnosis can be given, other than that of granulomatous inflammation. [5] The morphologic pattern in the various granulomatous diseases may be sufficiently different to allow reasonable accurate diagnosis by the pathologist. Hence the present study was undertaken to find the frequency and etiology of granulomatous lesions and to compare with other studies.

Material and Methods

The present Observational study was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Darbhanga, Bihar, India from June 2019 to may 2020

Total 100 cutaneous lesion biopsies showing granuloma formation and Skin lesions having granuloma formation histopathologically were include in the study. Cases without any granuloma formation and inadequate biopsies were excluded from the study.

Methodology

All the granulomatous lesions on tissue biopsy sent for histopathological examination were included in the study. Detailed clinical data was obtained and noted in a structured proforma. This was to obtain information on age, sex, religion, site and distribution of lesion, duration of disease, presence of systemic illness, immunosuppressed status and whether prior FNAC was done or not. The

specimen received was fixed in 10% formalin for at least 24 hours and then subjected to histopathological processing and examination. The tissues were placed in tissue teks and processed in the histokinette after processing, the sections were embedded in paraffin and blocks were made. 5 micrometer sections were cut from the blocks and put into albuminized slides. The sections were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain and microscopic examination was done. The slides were reviewed by pathologist and diagnosis was made.

Results

Among 100 cases were studied in which male predominance was noted with 60(60%) cases and females constituted 40(40%) case providing M :F ratio of 3:2. Most of the patients were noted in age

group of 20 to 30 years i.e 36(36%) cases followed by 21(21%) case in 30 to 40 years. 83% of cases were seen below 50 years of age in our study. Infectious granulomatous dermatoses were very common, only 1 cases of sarcoidosis was found. Most cases of infectious dermatoses were noted in 20 to 30 years comprising 36(36%) cases. Leprosy remained the significant causative reason for infectious granulomatous dermatoses succeeded by tuberculosis of skin. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy was found to be predominant, constituting 27 (27%) cases followed by indeterminate and lepromatous leprosy both had 22(22%) cases, tuberculoid leprosy 18(18%) case and 8(8%) of borderline lepromatous. Lupus vulgaris constituted 1 cases (1%) and only 1 (1%) case of sarcoidosis was found.

Table 1 Sex distribution of patients

Sex	N=100	Percentage
Male	60	60
Female	40	40

Table 2: Age distribution of patients

Age (years)	Number of cases	Percentage
Below 20	12	12
20 -30	36	36
30-40	21	21
40-50	14	14
50-60	8	8
60-70	6	6
Above 70	3	3

Table 3: Etiology of granulomatous skin lesion

Disease	Number of cases	Percentage
Indeterminate	22	22
Tuberculoid Leprosy	18	18
Borderline Tuberculoid	27	27
Borderline Lepromatous	8	8
Lepromatous Leprosy	20	20
Fungal granuloma	2	2
Lupus Vulgaris	1	1
Sarcoidosis	1	1

Discussion

Granulomas are the commonest lesions that the pathologists come across in routine practice. In order to treat these lesions, definitive diagnosis by the demonstration of the aetiological agent is essential, which will bear an impact on the patient management and outcome. [6] Fully developed granulomas with sheets of epithelioid histiocytes and giant cells are easily recognized, but more subtle lesions containing a few epithelioid histiocytes still qualify as granulomatous. It is difficult to present a completely satisfactory classification of the granulomatous reaction. Many conditions classified as granulomatous lesions may show only non-specific changes in the early evolution of the inflammatory process and in a late or resolving stage show fibrosis and non-specific changes without granulomas. [7]

Granuloma formation is due to type IV hypersensitivity reaction elicited by infectious and non-infectious antigen. Granulomatous dermatoses are common in North India with overlapping clinical presentations. So, it becomes important to catch the definitive etiological diagnosis for their treatment. [8] Histopathology plays a pivotal role for confirmatory diagnosis like in several diseases of other system of the body. [9] The distribution of granulomatous dermatoses varies widely according to geographic location. Very less number of studies done on the infectious granulomatous dermatoses, showing broad statistical variation for several lesions. This study is comparable to Gautam et al, [10] Pawale et al, [11] and Dhar et al [12] in finding of predominance of male in granulomatous skin lesion showing male (60%), female (40%) with M:F ratio of 3:2. Infectious granulomatous dermatoses were commonest in this study which is similar with the study by Bal et al. [13,14] Commonest site of the skin lesions was upper extremity which is comparable with the study done by

Gautam et al 7 but not with Zafar et al [15] in which most lesion were found in head and neck region. Present study shows Tuberculoid Leprosy as the commonest etiological diagnosis 18(18%) cases. Mh El Khalwary et al [8] concluded 40.8% cases showing cutaneous tuberculosis followed by 31.7% case of leprosy. Rubina Qureshi et al [14] concluded cutaneous leishmaniasis 56.7% as the leading cause of granulomatous dermatoses followed by 13.5% case of lupus vulgaris. Bal et al [13] and Potekar et al [16] concluded leprosy as a leading cause of cutaneous granulomatous disease. The observations in this study are similar with the findings of studies by Bal et al [13] and Potekar et al [16] done in India. In our study the commonest subtype of leprosy was found to be borderline tuberculoid 27(27%) cases which is comparable with the findings of Gautam et al [10] 46.7% cases, Bal et al [13] 55.2% cases and Chakrabarti [17] et al 57.94% cases. On Morphology non-caseating granulomas were found in all the tuberculoid as well as in borderline tuberculoid leprosy which were same as granulomas in tuberculosis and sarcoidosis. Strong positivity noted in all cases for lepromatous leprosy on Fite Faraco stain. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy show positivity in 2 cases for Fite Faraco stain but none in tuberculoid leprosy. Granulomatous infiltration of nerve bundle, arrector pili muscle and adnexa along with proper clinical findings were helpful in the diagnosis of tuberculoid and borderline tuberculoid leprosy. Cutaneous tuberculosis was the second commonest granulomatous dermatoses in this study, 1(1%) cases were diagnosed as lupus vulgaris were found to be negative on Ziehl Neelsen stain. Bal et al [12] found 5% positivity Z-N staining in cases of Lupus vulgaris. Z-N staining is specific for acid fast bacilli, still its positivity is low and varies with different studies. The present study did not revealed any case of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Rubina et al [14] found 56.7% cases in

Pakistan. In this study 1 cases was reported as cutaneous sarcoidosis based on epithelioid cell granuloma without caseation and presence of inflammatory cells or Langhans giant cells. In this study there was 1 (1%) case of sarcoidosis somewhat similar to reported by Gautam et al 1.88%. In the present study 2(2%) cases of fungal granuloma was noted similar to Potekar et al.[16] Different studies reported fungal cutaneous granuloma in span of 2.7%to 3.3%. [17,18,19]

Conclusion:

Leprosy was the most common cause of cutaneous granuloma followed by Tuberculosis, fungal infection and foreign body reaction. Among the cases of leprosy, borderline tuberculoid leprosy and tuberculoid leprosy were the commonest subtype.

Reference

- Geraint. T. Williams, Jones. W. Williams. Granulomatous inflammation - a review. *J Clin Pathol* 1983; 36:7 23-33.
- Adams D O. The granulomatous inflammatory response. *American Journal of Pathology* 1976; 84:164-187.
- Hirsh B C, Johnson W C. Concepts of granulomatous inflammation. *International journal of dermatol* 1984; 24: 90-100.
- Dhar S. Histopathological features of granulomatous skin diseases, analysis of 22 skin biopsies. *Indian journal of dermatol* 2002; 47:88- 90.
- Blessing K. Mini-Symposium: Inflammatory skin Pathology: cutaneous granulomatous inflammation. *Current Diagnostic Pathology* 200 5; 11: 219-235
- National tuberculosis centre Annual report of national tuberculosis control programme. Ministry of Health, Kathmandu, Nepal 2015/2016. (Cited on 2nd March 2018)
- Golden MP, Vikram HR. Extrapulmonary tuberculosis: an overview. *Am FAM Physician* 2005; 72:1761-8
- Mohammed EK, Ibrahim M, Bayoumi E, Hussein HEN. Clinicopathological Features & The Practice of Diagnosing Infectious Cutaneous Granulomas in Egypt. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2011; 15:620–626.
- Amanjit B, Harsh M, Dhama GP. Infectious Granulomatous Dermatitis. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2006;51(3):217–220.
- Gautam K, Pai RR, Bhat S. Granulomatous Lesions of Skin. *J Pathol Nepal.* 2011;1(2):81–86
- Pawale J, Belagatti SL, Naidu V, Kulkarni MH, Puranik R. Histopathological study of cutaneous granuloma. *Ind J Public Health Res Develop.* 2011;2(2):74–79.
- Dhar S, Dhar S. Histopathological features of granulomatous skin diseases: an analysis of 22 skin biopsies. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2002;47 (2):88–90.
- Bal A, Mohan H, Dhama GP. Infectious granulomatous dermatitis: a clinic-pathological study. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2002;47(2):88–90.
- Rubina Q, Riyaz AS, Anwar HU. Chronic Granulomatous Inflammatory Disorders of Skin at A Tertiary Care Hospital in Islamabad. *Int J Pathol.* 2004;2(1):31–34.
- Zafar M, Sadiq S, Menon MA. Morphological study of different granulomatous lesions of the skin. *J Pak Asso Dermatol.* 2008;18(1):21–28.
- Ratnakar M, Potekar AP, Javalgi LD, Rodrigues R, Dwarampudi S. Histopathological Study of Infectious Granulomatous Skin Lesions. *Ann Pathol Lab Med.* 2018;5(7).
- Stephenson TJ. *Inflammation: General & Systemic Pathology.* 5th Edition. 2009; 216–235.
- Sebastian L, Klaus S, Eckart H. *Bacterial Diseases, Protozoan Diseases*

- & Parasitic Infestations in Livers
Histopathology of Skin 10th Edition.
Lippincott Willimas & Wilkins. 2009;
550–572.
19. Bakhuraysah M. M., Alsalmi S. A.,
Alfadli, S. N., Alotaibi S. A.,
Althomali D. S., Gharib A. F.,
Alrehaili A. A., & Alhuthali H. M.
Assessing the knowledge and
awareness of self-management among
diabetic patients in Saudi Arabia.
Journal of Medical Research and
Health Sciences, 2022; 5(7): 2091–
2104.