

Hospital Based Prospective Observational Assessment of Complications of Functional Endoscopic Surgery (FESS) at Darbhanga Medical College

Raj Kumar Pathak¹, Nishant Kumar²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of ENT, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

²Senior Resident, Department of ENT, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital, Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

Received: 27-09-2022 / Revised: 13-10-2022 / Accepted: 18-11-2022

Corresponding author: Dr. Raj Kumar Pathak

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to assess complications of functional endoscopic surgery (FESS) at a tertiary hospital.

Methods: The Present study was single-center, prospective, observational study, conducted in Department of ENT, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India. From June 2019 to May 2020. Study was approved by institutional ethical committee. During study period 100 patients satisfying study criteria were considered for present study.

Results: Majority of patients were from 19-30 years age group (45 %) followed by 31-40 years age group (30 %). Male patients (65 %) were more as compared to female (35 %). In patients undergoing FESS, multiple surgeries were performed simultaneously such as uncinctomy (100 %), middle meatal antrostomy (100 %), anterior ethmoidectomy (100 %), posterior ethmoidectomy (75 %), sphenoidectomy (30 %), frontal recess surgery (25 %) and reduction of the middle turbinate (1 %). In present study we noted only 1 major complication as CSF leak (1 %) which was effectively managed by endoscopy. Few minor complications as synechiae requiring treatment (4 %), periorbital emphysema (2%), epistaxis requiring packing (2%), periorbital ecchymosis (1%) and sinus infection (1%), all were managed conservatively and successfully.

Conclusion: FESS is one of the most commonly performed operations in otorhinolaryngology and is generally a safe procedure, with a low rate of complications but as with any surgical intervention, it carries risks and major complications may occur.

Keywords: Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS), Chronic Rhinosinusitis (CRS), Nasal Polyps, Complications.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The nasal endoscope has revolutionized the diagnosis and treatment of diseases of the nose and paranasal sinuses. The use of nasal endoscope for the identification of sinus and nasal pathology within the

narrow spaces and recesses of the nose and delicate management of the disease has benefited the patient by providing more accurate surgery, preservation of function, and faster healing. [1] From its

introduction, the concepts of endoscopic sinus surgery continue to evolve because of increased understanding of the anatomy, improved endoscopes and video equipment, newer instrumentation, and improved technology. [2]

Endoscopic surgery aims at maintaining the physiological function and anatomic structure. The extent of the operation is individualized according to each patient. It is focused on the osteomeatal complex in the middle meatus and the ethmoidal cells. The term functional endoscopic sinus surgery is used to draw attention to the potential for re-establishing sinus drainage and mucosal recovery. [3]

Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS) is a set of minimally invasive surgical techniques which allow direct visual examination and opening of the sinuses for the treatment of Chronic Rhinosinusitis (CRS) which has not responded to medical treatment. FESS is the gold standard for treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS), with or without nasal polyposis and allergic fungal sinusitis refractory to optimal medical treatment. [4] FESS confers the advantage of being minimally invasive and allows for sinus air cells and sinus ostia to be opened under direct visualization. [5-7] The primary goal of FESS is to return the mucociliary drainage of the sinuses to normal function. FESS is a complex procedure, due to the sinuses' location near the cranium and orbit as well as its propensity for bleeding, this is a delicate procedure that requires skill and precision. [8] As with any invasive treatment modality an extensive list of complications has been reported in the literature so far. The major complications associated with FESS include severe hemorrhage, leakage of cerebral spinal fluid, and visual disturbances. Examples of minor complications are mild hemorrhage, periorbital hematoma and cellulitis, subcutaneous emphysema, and epiphora. [5,7]

The aim of the present study was to assess complications of functional endoscopic surgery (FESS) at a tertiary hospital.

Materials and Methods

The Present study was single-center, prospective, observational study, conducted in Department of ENT, Darbhanga Medical College and Hospital Laheriasarai, Darbhanga, Bihar, India From June 2019 to May 2020. During study period 100 patients satisfying study criteria were considered for present study.

Inclusion criteria: patients 18-60 years, either gender, with CRS with or without polyps and patients with mucocoeles posted and operated for FESS.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with diagnosed benign and malignant tumours. Patients with pathologies like lesions of the pituitary, orbit, lacrimal apparatus, intracranial complications of sinusitis. Patients with gross septal deviation, patients with bleeding diathesis and other general conditions like diabetes and hypertension.

Study was explained to patients and a written informed consent was taken. All the patients were subjected to detailed history of wide spectrum of presenting symptoms viz. facial pain, headache, nasal discharge, nasal obstruction and nasal mass. A thorough ENT examination with special emphasis on anterior and posterior rhinoscopy and elicit sinus tenderness was done. The diagnosis of CRS was made in accordance with history and objective findings. Routine laboratory investigations, nasal endoscopy, radiological assessment (X-ray of the paranasal sinuses Water's view and sometimes lateral view including nasopharynx) and CT Scan PNS were done whenever required. All patients underwent Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery, in supine position with head elevated to 30 degree and slightly turned to right, under General anaesthesia. The 'Messer linger Technique' of FESS was

followed in all the patients, this is an anterior to posterior approach. the surgical procedure consist of septoplasty, polypectomy uncinectomy, anterior ethmoidectomy, middle meatal antrostomy, posterior ethmoidectomy, partial middle turbinectomy. The surgery was performed by two senior surgeons. (experienced more than 5 years). After the surgery the middle meatus was packed with removable gauze packing for 7days.

Data was collected and compiled using Microsoft Excel, analysed using SPSS

23.0 version. Frequency, percentage, means and standard deviations (SD) was calculated for the continuous variables, while ratios and proportions were calculated for the categorical variables. Difference of proportions between qualitative variables was tested using chi-square test or Fisher exact test as applicable. P value less than 0.5 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Table 1: Age and gender distribution

Characteristics	N	%
Gender		
Male	65	65
Female	35	35
Age in years		
19-30	45	45
31-40	30	30
41-50	17	17
51- 60	8	8

Majority of patients were from 19-30 years age group (45 %) followed by 31-40 years age group (30 %). Male patients (65 %) were more as compared to female (35 %).

Table 2: Surgical procedures performed

Surgery	N	%
Uncinectomy	100	100
Middle meatal antrostomy	100	100
Anterior ethmoidectomy	100	100
Posterior ethmoidectomy	75	75
Sphenoidectomy	30	30
Frontal recess surgery	25	25
Reduction of the middle turbinate	1	1

In patients undergoing FESS, multiple surgeries were performed simultaneously such as uncinectomy (100 %), middle meatal antrostomy (100 %), anterior ethmoidectomy (100 %), posterior ethmoidectomy (75 %), sphenoidectomy (30 %), frontal recess surgery (25 %) and reduction of the middle turbinate (1 %).

Table 3: Complications

Complications	N	%
Major		
CSF leak	1	1
Minor		
Adhesions requiring treatment	4	4
Periorbital emphysema	2	2
Epistaxis requiring packing	2	2
Periorbital ecchymosis	1	1
Sinus infection	1	1

In present study we noted only 1 major complication as CSF leak (1 %) which was effectively managed by endoscopy. Few minor complications as synechiae requiring treatment (4 %), periorbital emphysema (2%), epistaxis requiring packing (2%), periorbital ecchymosis (1%) and sinus infection (1%), all were managed conservatively and successfully. We did not noted any major complications such as Orbital haematoma (post septal), Loss of vision, Diplopia, Meningitis, Brain abscess, Focal brain damage, Haemorrhage requiring transfusion, Carotid artery injury, Epiphora, Blindness, CNS deficits Or any Minor complications such as Dental or lip pain or numbness, Bronchospasm, Dental or lip pain or numbness or anosmia. No mortality was observed.

Discussion

Because of highly variable individual anatomy and the intimate relationships to the orbit, anterior cranial fossa and vascular structures, sinus surgery has many potential complications. Excellent visualization by recent advances in endoscopic technology and detailed preoperative and intra operative analysis of complex anatomy by improved radiographic technology of computed tomography scan, magnetic resonance imaging scan and image guidance navigation systems help in reducing the potential complications.

Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) also manifest nasal polyposis. Various studies have shown that 80% of polyp arise from middle meatal mucosa, uncinate process and infundibulum. [5,6] Multiple factors including infection, allergy, trauma, chemicals, metabolic disease and psychogenic factor have all been implicated as possible etiology of nasal polyposis. [7] Medical therapy forms the mainstay of management in CRS, but when this fails to improve symptoms or in the presence of actual or impending complications, surgery is usually

considered. FESS complications are usually classified as major (CSF leak; orbital complications including orbital ecchymosis, diplopia or reduction of visual acuity; significant intraoperative or immediate post-operative hemorrhage) or minor (adhesions, infection, minor bleeding and postoperative pain). [9]

Scott et al., [10] in their study on 315 patients documented a complication rate of 2.5% (epistaxis, infection and swallowed nasal pack) also reported possible additional complications includes pain, vasovagal attack and swallowed nasal pack which terminated the procedures. The National Sino nasal Audit of 3128 patients reported a total adverse event rate of 6.6 %, most of which was related to minor bleeding. 0.4 % had major complications, 0.2 % were orbital complications. Five patients had a peri-orbital haematoma and 2 had periorbital emphysema. None had a reduction in visual acuity or extra-ocular movements. 0.06 % had a CSF leak, which were addressed intraoperatively and a further two returned to theatre because of major post-operative hemorrhage. After multivariate analysis, there was a statistically significant increase in complication rates with increasing SNOT-22 and Lund-Mackay CT scores, and extent of polyposis. [11] Suzuki et al., [12] found an overall incidence of surgical complications after FESS at 0.5%, with the corresponding rates for cerebrospinal fluid leak 0.09%, orbital injury 0.09%, and hemorrhage requiring surgery 0.1%. James G. Krings et al., [9] conducted a retrospective cohort analysis of 78,944 primary FESS cases, 288 major complications were identified representing a complication rate of 0.36% (95% CI 0.32%–0.40%).

In a retrospective study of 1658 patients who underwent FESS for chronic rhinosinusitis with or without polyps or mucocoele, Seredyka-Burduk M et al. [13], 32.68% of the patients required revision

surgery and only 10.1% had been previously operated in same Department. Overall complications occurred in 11 patients (0.66%). Minor complications were observed in 5 patients (0.3%) with the most frequent being periorbital ecchymosis with or without emphysema. Major complications were observed in one patient (0.06%) and were related to a lacrimal duct injury. The orbit and its content is at risk during ESS because the lamina papyracea is very thin or may be incomplete. This site is the most potential risk area, especially when we do not have a good quality of vision or using powered instrumentation. The minor complications are referred to lamina papyracea injury mostly during maxillary antrostomy or ethmoidectomy. These complications are mostly seen with hypoplastic maxillary sinus or Silent Sinus Syndrome (SSS). [14-16] In cases of Outpatient FESS, surgery itself presents an independent risk factor for an unanticipated overnight hospital admission, and for early hospital readmission due to nasal bleeding, pain, or intolerance of nasal packing or dressing. [17,18]

From its introduction, the concepts of endoscopic sinus surgery continue to evolve because of increased understanding of the anatomy, improved endoscopes and video equipment, newer instrumentation, and improved technology. Preoperative imaging of the patient to understand the extent of the disease and anatomical variations, thorough knowledge of anatomy, identification of key landmarks, preservation of normal sinus mucosa, meticulous intra operative tissue handling, periodic saline irrigation, proper hemostasis and using technologically advanced instruments are the major factors, which can definitely reduce the occurrence of complications and improve the patient outcome. [19] The use of FESS allows for a much less invasive and traumatic procedure, resulting in shorter surgery and healing times, less

postoperative discomfort, and fewer surgical complications. [20]

Conclusion

FESS is one of the most commonly performed operations in otorhinolaryngology and is generally a safe procedure, with a low rate of complications but as with any surgical intervention, it carries risks and major complications may occur.

References

1. Messerklinger W. Endoscopy of the nose. Baltimore: Urban and Schwarzenberg; 1978;1(1)100-1.
2. Stammberger H. Endoscopic endonasal surgery – concepts in treatment of recurring rhinosinusitis. Part II. Surgical technique. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1986;94(2):147–56.
3. Kennedy DW. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery, technique. Arch otolaryngol. 1985; 111:643-9.
4. Flávia Machado Alves Basílio, Murilo Carlini et al., Efficacy of Endoscopic Sinus Surgery in the Treatment of Chronic Rhinosinusitis. Intl. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol., São Paulo - Brazil, 2010 Oct- Dec;14(4):433-437.
5. Bhattacharyya N. Influence of polyps on outcomes after endoscopic sinus surgery. Laryngoscope. 2007 Oct; 117(10):1834-8.
6. Nair Satish. Endoscopic Sinus Surgery in Chronic Rhinosinosis and Nasal Polyposis: A Comparative Study. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011 Jan;63(1):50-5.
7. Deshmukh KA, Deshmukh PK, Singi Y, Patil VS, Patil SS. Role of endoscopic surgery in management of nasal polyps. Al Ameen J Med Sci 2013; 6(4):364- 368.
8. Kinsella JB, Calhoun KH, Bradfield JJ, Hokanson JA, Bailey BJ. Complications of endoscopic sinus surgery in a residency training program. Laryngoscope. 1995; 105:1029–1032.

9. Krings JG, Kallogjeri D, Wineland A, Nepple KG, Piccirillo JF, Getz AE. Complications of primary and revision functional endoscopic sinus surgery for chronic rhinosinusitis. *The laryngo - scope*. 2014 Apr;124(4):838-45.
10. Scott JR, Sowerby LJ, Rotenberg BW. Office-based rhinologic surgery: A modern experience with operative techniques under local anesthetic. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. 2017;31(2): 135–138.
11. Hopkins C, Browne JP, Slack R, Lund VJ, Topham J, Reeves BC, Copley LP, Brown P, van der Meulen JH. Complications of surgery for nasal polyposis and chronic rhinosinusitis: the results of a national audit in England and Wales. *The Laryngoscope*. 2006 Aug;116(8):1494-9.
12. Suzuki S, Yasunaga H, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Kondo K, Yamasoba T. Complication rates after functional endoscopic sinus surgery: analysis of 50,734 J apanese patients. *The laryngoscope*. 2015 Aug;125(8):1785-91.
13. Seredyka-Burduk M, Burduk PK, Wierzchowska M, Kaluzny B, Malukiewicz G. Ophthalmic complications of endoscopic sinus surgery☆. *Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*. 2017 May; 83:318-23.
14. McMains KC. Safety in endoscopic sinus surgery. *Curr OpinOtolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2008; 16:247-51.
15. Bhatti MT, Stankiewicz JA. Ophthalmic complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2003; 48:389---402.
16. Rene C, Rose GE, Lenthall R, Moseley I. Major orbital complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. *Br J Ophthalmol*.2001;85:598---603.
17. Gengler I, Carpentier L, Pasquesoone X, Chevalier D, Mortuaire G. Predictors of unanticipated admission within 30 days of outpatient sinonasal surgery. *Rhinology*. 2017 Sep 1;55(3):274-80.
18. Tewfik MA, Frenkiel S, Gasparrini R, Zeitouni A, Daniel SJ, Dolev Y, Kost K, Samaha M, Sweet R, Tewfik TL. Factors affecting unanticipated hospital admission following otolaryngologic day surgery. *Journal of otolaryngology*. 2006 Aug 1;35(4).
19. Shyras JAD, Karthikeyan MS. A comprehensive study on complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. *Int J Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2017; 3:472-7.
20. Namukwambi R. N., Tuhadeleni O., & Van Neel R. The Knowledge and Practices of Handwashing Among Street Food Vendors in the Keetmanshoop Municipal Area: none. *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*, 2022; 5(4): 1860–1865.