Available online on <u>www.ijpcr.com</u>

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2023; 15(10); 1495-1499

Original Research Article

Comparison of Intralesional Infiltration of Dexamethasone plus Hyaluronidase in Management of Patients with OSMF

Md. Barkat Ali¹, R. P. Thakur², Sana Ahmed Rao³

¹Junior Resident-2, Department of ENT, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India ²Associate Professor & HOD, Department of ENT, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India

³Junior Resident-2, Department of ENT, Anugrah Narayan Magadh Medical College, Gaya, Bihar, India

Received: 25-07-2023 / Revised: 28-08-2023 / Accepted: 30-09-2023 Corresponding Author: Md. Barkat Ali Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:

Background: The purpose of this investigation was to compare the efficacy of intralesional dexamethasone and hyaluronidase therapy with and without ultrasound therapy in the treatment of OSMF patients.

Methods: The present investigation included 30 OSMF patients of both genders based on their medical history and clinical manifestations. Patients of all ages, gender, and stages of OSMF were randomly divided into two groups using a single-blind randomization procedure. Each cohort had 15 individuals.

Results: In group A, the mean age was 35.4 years, while in group B, it was 32.8 years. 14 males and 6 females were enlisted in the study out of a total of 30 participants. All patients in group A experienced a complete reduction in burning sensation, while two patients in group B experience a moderate persistence of burning sensation. All patients demonstrated a highly significant increase in mouth opening, although group A demonstrated a marginally greater degree of improvement than group B.

Conclusion: Future directions for the management of OSMF should therefore include the development of treatment regimens that combine multiple medications or employ sequential therapy.

Keywords: Dexamethasone, Hyaluronidase, OSMF, Ultrasound Therapy, Intralesion.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSF) is a chronic disease that occurs almost exclusively in Indians and to a lesser degree in other Asians [1]. Dentists in many developed nations will encounter this disease in the near future [1] due to the increase in immigration from the Indian subcontinent. Numerous treatments. including medication management, surgical therapy, and physiotherapy, have been tried with varying degrees of success, but none have been able to cure this disease [2]. This is primarily due to the lack of a complete understanding of the disease's aetiology and its progressive nature. The idiopathic nature of this condition suggests new avenues for its management [3], as opposed to continuing the limited available modalities of therapy.

Several factors play a role in the etiopathogenesis of OSMF, and current evidence suggests that arecoline in the areca nut is the causal agent [4-6]. The practise of chewing betel quid is prevalent in India and Southeast Asia. And it is widespread among adolescents and young adults [7]. The buccal mucosa, faucial column, and soft palate are primarily affected. Additionally, underlying and masticatory muscles may be implicated. The mucosa in affected areas progressively turns white, followed by progressive stiffening of the subepithelial tissues [8]. In OSMF patients, the incidence of malignancy is approximately 8% higher than in the affected Indian population as a whole [8].

Numerous studies on the effects of various pharmaceuticals and physical therapies for the treatment of OSMF [4] have been conducted. However, physical therapy, such as ultrasound therapy, which has been found to be beneficial in musculoskeletal disorders such as fibromyalgia, acute periarticular inflammatory conditions, and osteoarthritis, has been less studied in OSMF [5]. Ultrasonic waves penetrate the epidermis to cause vibrations in tendons and soft tissues, resulting in gentle deep tissue heating that reduces pain and inflammation and accelerates the healing process in OSMF patients [6]. The purpose of this study is to objectively compare the efficacy of oral pentoxifylline and conventional intralesional steroid therapy with dexamethasone and

hyaluronidase in the treatment of osteochondritis dissecans.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation included 30 patients of both sexes with OSMF based on their habitual history and clinical findings, which included the presence of a burning sensation in the mouth, blanching and loss of oral mucosal stiffness, presence of fibrous bands, and restricted mouth opening. Then, patients who met the following inclusion and exclusion criteria were chosen. After the final selection, patients of all ages, gender, and stages of OSMF were randomly assigned to one of two groups in a single-blind fashion.

Group A: Pentoxifylline group

Group B: Dexamethasone group

Inclusion Criteria

- Patient with age group of 20 to 60 years, both Gender.
- Patients who satisfy the characteristic clinical features of OSMF
- Patients who are not taking any medication for their disease condition.

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with chronic systemic diseases like cardiac, cerebrovascular, Respiratory, Renal, Hepatic, Gastrointestinal complications, collagen disorders, infectious diseases, bleeding disorders and diabetes mellitus.
- Patients with severe restricted mouth opening (< 15mm).
- Pregnancy and lactation.
- Patient intolerance to caffeine and theophylline.
- Patients not willing to participate in the study.

Data Collection

In the study evaluating the effectiveness of the medications, burning sensation and mouth opening were included as clinical parameters. The USG parameters submucosal thickness and echogenicity were included.

Using a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) ranging from 0 to 10 with 10 mm division, the intensity of burning sensation was measured, with 0 representing no burning sensation and 10 representing the worst conceivable burning sensation. The patients were instructed to place a mark on the VAS that best reflected their level of symptoms. At each successive visit following the administration of the drug therapy, the score was recorded.

The interincisal mouth opening was measured and recorded in centimetres using a divider and scale from the mesio-incisal angle of the upper central incisor to the mesio-incisal angle of the lower central incisor. If the corresponding teeth are absent, contralateral or adjacent teeth will be taken into account. Different intraoral sites were examined for mucosal blanching, consistency, fibrous bands, and the presence of other lesions.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using version 18 of the SPSS computer software. The percentage distribution of age groups and gender within each group was calculated, as was the aggregate distribution. The overall distribution of OSMF stages in the sample population was determined. In relation to stages, the distribution of searing sensation, mouth opening, and submucosal thickness was also calculated. In each study group, the arithmetic mean and standard deviation were estimated for various variables.

A test based on independent samples was used to analyse the association between staging and the variables used in this study. Using a paired sample test, variables within each group were analysed. The independent t test was used to compare group variables. The Pearson Co-relation test was used to determine if there was a correlation between mandible opening and submucosal thickness. In this investigation, p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The study included 30 patients ranging in age from 23 to 54 years, with a mean age of 35.4 years in group A and 32.8 years in group B (Table 1). The maximum number of participants was between the ages of 26 and 30, and the minimum was between 46 and 55. Table 1 displays that 14 of the 30 study participants were male and 6 were female. Male preference was observed.

| Table 1: | Demographic | Distribution |
|----------|-------------|--------------|
|----------|-------------|--------------|

| | | Group A | Group B |
|----------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Age (years) | Mean age | 35.4 | 32.8 |
| | Range | 23-54 | 23-43 |
| $S_{av}(n=20)$ | Male | 12 | 12 |
| Sex(n=50) | Female | 3 | 3 |

In the present study, all patients in group A experienced a complete reduction in burning sensation, while two patients in group B experienced a moderate persistence of burning sensation. In contrast, both groups demonstrated a highly significant decrease (p<0.001) in searing sensation (Table 2).

| Table 2. Comparison of burning sensation between groups | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| | Pre-Ope | erative | Post-Op | | |
| Group | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | p value |
| Group A | 6.66 | ±2.58 | 0.00 | ± 0.00 | 0.000^{**} |
| Group B | 6.53 | ±2.26 | 0.13 | ±0.35 | 0.000** |
| | | | | | |

Table 2: Comparison of burning sensation between groups

In the present study, all patients in both groups demonstrated a highly significant increase in mouth opening (p<0.001), but group A demonstrated a slightly greater degree of improvement (mean- 4.53mm) than group B (mean- 2.83mm) (Table 3). In group A, the maximum increase in mouth aperture was 7mm and the minimum was 3mm, whereas in group B, the respective values were 4mm and 2mm (Table 3).

| | Group A | <u>.</u> | Group B | | |
|------------|---------|---------------|---------|----------|--|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| Before | 25.66 | ± 5.32738 | 27.20 | ±5.69712 | |
| After | 30.20 | ±6.02613 | 29.93 | ±5.79984 | |
| Difference | 4.53 | ±1.18723 | 2.73 | ±0.70373 | |

Table 3: Mouth Opening in Groups A and B

In this study, the aggregate mean pre-operative submucosal thickness of buccal mucosa ranges from 0.43mm to 1.12mm, with a mean of 0.722 mm. The mean preoperative submucosal thickness of buccal mucosa in group A ranges from 0.46 mm to 1.12 mm and is approximately 0.715 \pm 0.166 mm. For the right side, it is approximately 0.698 \pm 0.157 mm and for the left side, it is approximately 0.731 \pm 0.157 mm. The overall mean post-operative submucosal thickness of buccal mucosa in group A is approximately 0.4943 \pm 0.14628 mm with a range of 0.26 mm to 0.84 mm (Table 4). The corresponding values for the right side are approx-

imately 0.482 ± 0.134 mm and the left side is approximately 0.506 ± 0.161 mm, both of which are highly significant. In group B, the mean submucosal thickness ranges from 0.43 mm to 1.08 mm and is approximately 0.729 ± 0.188 mm, whereas the right side is approximately 0.748 ± 0.197 mm, and the left side is approximately 0.709 ± 0.183 mm. The overall improvement is approximately 0.598 ± 0.181 mm, ranging from 0.43 mm to 1.08 mm, while the improvement on the right side is approximately 0.6207 ± 0.18786 mm and the improvement on the left side is approximately 0.5753 ± 0.17948 mm (Table 4).

| | Group A | | | Group B | | | | |
|------------|---------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Right Side | 0.69 | ±0.15 | 0.48 | ±0.13 | 0.74 | ±0.19 | 0.62 | ±0.18 |
| Left Side | 0.69 | ±0.15 | 0.48 | ±0.13 | 0.70 | ±0.18 | 0.57 | ±0.17 |
| Both Sides | 0.7150 | ± 0.16604 | 0.4943 | ± 0.14628 | 0.7290 | 0.18830 | 0.5980 | 0.18199 |

Table 4: Submucosal Thickness in groups A and B

Discussion

In our study, patients in group A received 400 mg of pentoxifylline thrice daily for three months, while patients in group B received 1 ml of dexamethasone with 1500 I.U. of hyaluronidase in 0.5 ml of local anaesthesia biweekly for six weeks. The recommended adult dosage of oral pentoxifylline for hemorrheologic indications is 400 mg three times daily with meals, which is also prescribed for the majority of other clinical applications [9]. Few clinical trials on the efficacy of pentoxifylline in the treatment of OSMF have been reported as of yet. Anjum et al. conducted a study in which 400 mg of pentoxifylline was administered twice or thrice daily for three months [10]. This study demonstrated significant clinical improvement with minimal adverse effects. Therefore, we determined

on a three-month regimen of thrice-daily administration.

The study included 30 patients ranging in age from 23 to 54 years, with the mean age in group A being 35.4 years and in group B being 32.8 years. This study's age distribution correlates with that reported by other authors [11]. 24 males and 6 females were enlisted in the study out of a total of 30 participants. Male preference was observed. All 30 participants in the study had a propensity of pan chewing. In another study from Chennai, only one patient out of 185 did not have a history of consuming areca nuts [12].

Common symptoms include a searing sensation in the mouth, reduced mouth opening, decreased salivation, and an altered sense of taste. Burning sensation may be caused by an atrophic epithelium, but morphologic evidence of increased cell mortality was not observed in OSMF epithelium when compared to adjacent healthy oral mucosa [13]. Stomatitis caused by nutrient deficiency may also result in a searing sensation. Several researchers have documented nutritional deficiencies in OSMF patients, including anaemia, vitamin, iron, and protein deficiencies [14]. The sensation of burning may also be exacerbated by xerostomia, which is observed in the advanced phases of the disease.

The most debilitating characteristic of OSMF is its restricted oral opening. As the disease progresses, the submucosal layer of the oral soft tissues develops dense fibrous bands. This progressive oral fibrosis is typically bilateral and produces a tightening of the mouth. In our investigation, the mouth opening ranged from 16 to 36 millimetres. 76% of patients in a study conducted in Chennai had a mouth aperture between 20 and 45mm [15]. All 30 participants in the study demonstrated a significant increase in mandible opening. However, the pentoxifylline group demonstrated a marginally higher percentage than the dexamethasone group (10.04%).

In the study by Anjum et al. [10], the dexamethasone group performed better than the pentoxifylline group. In contrast, all of the parameters in their study were clinical, including burning sensation, mouth opening, and facial flexibility. In addition, they prescribed pentoxifylline twice daily for the first four weeks, which may have affected the outcome of their study.

Conclusion

In this study, both pentoxifylline and dexamethasone significantly improved mandible opening and decreased burning sensation. It appears that pentoxifylline is well tolerated. Two patients experienced adverse effects, but neither had cause to discontinue treatment. Therefore, pentoxifylline can be a suitable alternative in the management of OSMF for patients who cannot make frequent visits or who wish to avoid injection-related pain and for whom intralesional steroids or hyaluronidase are contraindicated. And most importantly, pentoxifylline is more patient-friendly and cost-effective. Future directions for the management of OSMF should therefore include the development of treatment regimens that combine multiple medications or employ sequential therapy. Despite the fact that the individual response to pentoxifylline treatment showed significant improvement, additional research is necessary to establish the role of pentoxifylline in the observed therapeutic effects. There is an urgent need for high-quality randomised controlled trials and increased global awareness of the disease in order to increase the flow of research data and investigate potential treatment approaches. Lastly, OSMF is preventable; simple public health

education regarding the detrimental effects of chewing areca and other products could go a long way towards combating this debilitating disease.

References

- 1. Schwartz J. Atrophia Idiopathica Mucosae Oris. In: Demonstrated at the 11th Int Dent Congress;1952.
- 2. Joshi SG. Fibrosis of the palate and pillars. Indian J Otolaryngol 1953;4(1).
- 3. Paymaster JC. Cancer of the buccal mucosa.A clinical study of 650 cases in Indian patients. Cancer 1956;9(3):431-435.
- Pindborg JJ, Sirsat SM. Oral submucous fibrosis. Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. 1966; 22(6):764-79.
- Pindborg JJ, Mehta FS, Gupta PC, Daftary DK. Prevalence of oral submucous fibrosis among 50,915 Indian villagers. Br. J. Cancer 1968;22(4):646-54.
- Pindborg JJ. Oral submucous fibrosis: A review. Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore 1989; 18(5): 603-607.
- Murti PR, Bhonsle RB, Gupta PC, Daftary DK, Pindborg JJ, Mehta FS. Etiology of oral submucous fibrosis with special reference to the role of areca nut chewing. J. Oral Pathol. Med. 1995;24(4):145-52.
- Murti PR, Bhonsle RB, Pindborg JJ, Daftary DK, Gupta PC, Mehta FS. Malignant transformation rate in oral submucous fibrosis over a 17-year period. Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol. 1985;13(6):340-1.
- Tai YS, Liu BY, Wang JT, Sun A, Kwan HW, Chiang CP. Oral administration of milk from cows immunized with human intestinal bacteria leads to significant improvements of symptoms and signs in patients with oral submucous fibrosis. J. Oral Pathol. Med. 2001;30(10): 618-25.
- Anjum Aara, Satishkumar GP, C Vani, Venkat Reddy M, Sreekanth K, Ibrahim M D. Comparative Study of Intralesional Dexamethasone, Hyaluronidase and Oral Pentoxifylline in Patients with Oral Submucous Fibrosis. Glob. J. Med. Res. 2012;12(7):1-14.
- 11. Canniff JP, Harvey W, Harris M. Oral submucous fibrosis: its pathogenesis and management. Br. Dent. J. 1986;160(12):429-34.
- Ranganathan K, Devi MU, Joshua E, Kirankumar K, Saraswathi TR. Oral submucous fibrosis: a case-control study in Chennai, South India. J. Oral Pathol. Med. 2004;33(5):274-7.
- Buddemeyer EU. The physics of diagnostic ultrasound. Radiol. Clin. North Am. 1975;13 (3):391-402.
- 14. Wilson IR, Crocker EF, McKellar G, Rengaswamy V. An evaluation of the clinical applications of diagnostic ultrasonography in

oral surgery. Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. 1989;67(3):242-8.

15. Rajendran R, Rani V, Shaikh S. Pentoxifylline therapy: a new adjunct in the treatment of oral

submucous fibrosis. Indian J. Dent. Res. 17 (4):190-8.