

Prevalence and its Antibacterial Susceptibility Pattern of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnancy

Smriti Sinha¹, Pritee Kumari², Shashi Bala Prasad³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Madhubani Medical College and Hospital, Madhubani, Bihar.

²Postgraduate Student (Final Year), Department of Microbiology, Narayana Medical College, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Bihar.

³Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Madhubani Medical College and Hospital, Madhubani, Bihar.

Received: 16-09-2023 / Revised: 17-10-2023 / Accepted: 11-11-2023

Corresponding Author: Dr. Pritee Kumari

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Pregnant women often have asymptomatic and symptomatic bacteriuria (ASB). The transition from asymptomatic to symptomatic bacteriuria is accelerated during pregnancy. If managed, this can result in acute pyelonephritis as well as other unfavorable consequences such as preterm, postpartum, hypertensive disease, anemia, urinary tract infections, and increased chances of fetal mortality. The purpose of this study was to determine the most frequent bacteria and their susceptibility to antibiotics in pregnant women, as well as to assess the prevalence and risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria.

Methods: From February 2023 to September 2023, 230 pregnant women in good health who made their first appointment at the antenatal outpatient department at MMCH, Madhubani, Bihar, had their bacteriuria assessed.

Results: Ten percent of pregnant women had asymptomatic bacteriuria. Except for those living in rural areas, demographic and obstetric factors had no discernible impact on the frequency of asymptomatic bacteriuria ($\chi^2=4.454$, $p=0.0348$). 52.17% of the microorganisms were *Escherichia coli*. Amoxicillin, cotrimoxazole, ampicillin, nalidixic acid, and aminoglycosides all cause less sensitivity in uropathogens than imipenem and aminoglycosides.

Conclusion: In the study, pregnant women had a significant rate of asymptomatic bacteriuria. Demographic and obstetric characteristics did not significantly affect the risk of ASB, with the exception of living in a rural area. Thus, in our setting, routine asymptomatic bacteriuria screening for expectant mothers is advised.

Keywords: Pregnancy, asymptomatic bacteriuria, urine culture, antibiotic sensitivity.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

In patients who do not exhibit overt urine symptoms, asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB or Asymptomatic Significant bacteriuria) is characterized as the presence of actively proliferating bacteria in the urinary system, excluding the distal urethra [1]. The prevalence ranges from 4% to 7% and is comparable to that of non-pregnant women. [2,3] Lower socioeconomic strata, a history of asymptomatic UTIs, high parity, and ages are associated with an increased prevalence of ASB. [4,5] A specific quantitative number of bacteria isolated from a urine specimen is the basis for the microbiological diagnostic known as ASB. Urine culture is therefore the gold standard for ASB screening. Nearly 60%–90% of cases include isolated *Escherichia coli*.

Proteus mirabilis, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus*, Group B beta-haemolytic streptococci, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, and

other common agents are also present. [6, 7] variable regions may have variable rates of isolated infections and patterns of antibiotic susceptibility. The most prevalent pathogens in a given area should be identified, and the population should be informed about those organisms' patterns of antibiotic susceptibility. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to look at the antibacterial susceptibilities of the isolated microorganisms, the common microorganisms identified, and the prevalence and risk factors of ASB among pregnant women who visited the tertiary care center.

Material and Methods

From February to September of 2023, a cross-sectional study was conducted in the departments of obstetrics & gynecology and microbiology at Madhubani Medical College and Hospital in Madhubani, Bihar. Every pregnant woman who

participated gave her informed consent. Women who visited the antenatal clinic for the first time, regardless of gestational age, were included in the study. However, women who had previously received antibiotics within the past seven days, had a history of urinary tract symptoms (dysuria, frequency, urgency, etc.), had pyrexia of unknown origin, or had recurrent UTIs were not.

Data were presented as numbers and percentages in tables. Chi square or Fisher's exact tests were used to test for associations. Significant association was presumed if $P < 0.05$.

Results

A 10% prevalence of severe bacteriuria was found in 23 out of the 230 pregnant women who had ASB examination. Table 1 displays the impact of the participants' age, parity, location, educational attainment, and gestational age on ASB. The age group of 20–30 years old had the highest rate of

10.40%, while the group of those over 30 years old had the lowest rate of 7.69%. The prevalence connection between the age group and chi square value (0.1488, $df=2$, $p=0.9283$) is not statistically significant. Among women with substantial bacteriuria positivity, nulliparous women had the highest prevalence (11.81%), whereas women with one or two children had the lowest prevalence. On the other hand, parity and prevalence did not significantly correlate ($\chi^2 = 1.038$, $df=2$, $p=0.5950$). There was a statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in the likelihood of ASB among pregnant women who lived in rural areas. But there was no meaningful correlation found between ASB and educational attainment. According to trimester, the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria was as follows: first trimester: 3 (8.11%), second trimester: 9 (10.98%), and third trimester: 11 (9.91%). However, there was no discernible relationship between ASB and pregnant trimester ($\chi^2 = 0.2349$, $df=2$, $p=0.8892$).

Table 1: Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women

Variables	Significant bacteriuria N (%)	No significant bacteriuria N (%)	Total number of cases (%)	χ^2	Df	p-value
Age in years						
• <20	4(9.09%)	40(90.91%)	44(100%)	0.1488	2	0.9283
• 20-30	18(10.40%)	155(89.60%)	173(100%)			
• >30	1(7.69%)	12(92.31%)	13(100%)			
Parity						
• 0	15(11.81%)	112(88.19%)	127(100%)	1.038	2	0.5950
• 1-2	7(7.69%)	84(92.31%)	91(100%)			
• >3	1(8.33%)	11(91.67%)	12(100%)			
Locality						
• Rural	21(13.04%)	140(86.96%)	161(100%)	4.454	1	0.0348*
• Urban	2(2.89%)	67(67.11%)	69(100%)			
Literacy						
• Illiterate	3(9.09%)	30(90.91%)	33(100%)	0.2397	3	0.9709
• Primary	10(10.64%)	84(89.36%)	94(100%)			
• High school	8(10.39%)	69(89.61%)	77(100%)			
• Higher education	2(7.69%)	24(92.31%)	26(100%)			
Gestational Age						
• 1 st trimester	3(8.11%)	34(91.89%)	37(100%)	0.2349	2	0.8892
• 2 nd trimester	9(10.98%)	73(89.02%)	82(100%)			
• 3 rd trimester	11(9.91%)	100(90.09%)	111(100%)			

* $P < 0.05$ – statistically significant, χ^2 – chi-square test, df - degrees of freedom

Table 2 displays the bacterial isolates. E. Coli (52.17%) was the most common bacteria, followed by Enterococcus faecalis (8.69%), Staphylococcus aureus (17.39%), and Klebsiella pneumoniae (21.73%). Table 3 lists the isolates' susceptibilities to antibiotics.

Table 2 : Bacterial isolates among pregnant women with significant bacteriuria

Bacterial isolates	No. of women	Isolate percentage
Escherichia coli	12	52.17%
Staphylococcus aureus	4	17.39%
Enterococcus faecalis	2	8.69%
Klebsiella pneumoniae	5	21.73%
Total	23	100%

Table 3: Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern

Drugs	E. coli N (%)	S. aureus N (%)	E. faecalis N (%)	Klebsiella N (%)
Ciprofloxacin	9(75%)	4(100%)	0	4(80%)
Nitrofurantoin	10(83.33%)	ND	1(50%)	4(80%)
Nalidoxic acid	6(50%)	ND	ND	3(60%)
Cefepime	11(91.67%)	3(75%)	ND	5(100%)
Amikacin	11(91.67%)	3(75%)	2(100%)	5(100%)
Ampicillin	7(58.33%)	ND	1(50%)	3(60%)
Amoxicillin	8(66.67%)	2(50%)	2(100%)	4(80%)
Cotrimoxazole	7(41.67%)	3(75%)	1(50%)	3(60%)
Doxycyclin	9(75%)	2(50%)	2(100%)	4(80%)
Azithromycin	ND	4(100%)	2(100%)	ND
Gentamicin	10(83.33%)	ND	2(100%)	5(100%)
Tobramycin	ND	ND	ND	5(100%)
Vancomycin	ND	4(100%)	2(100%)	ND
Imipenem	12(100%)	4(100%)	ND	5(100%)

The most prevalent isolate, *E. coli*, was shown to have a 41.67% sensitivity to cotrimoxazole and a 50% sensitivity to nalidoxic acid. A sensitivity of 75% was noted for ciprofloxacin and doxycycline. 83.33% of the population was sensitive to nitrofurantoin and gentamicin, and 91.67% was sensitive to cefepime and amikacin. For imipenem, a sensitivity of 100% was discovered.

The second most common isolate, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, had a 60% sensitivity to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, and nalidoxic acid. Sensitivity to cefepime, amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, and imipenem was 100%, while that to amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, and doxycyclin was 80%.

Three (75%) of the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were responsive to cefepime, amikacin, and cotrimoxazole, while two (50%) were sensitive to amoxicillin and doxycycline. All of the isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, vancomycin, and imipenem. Amoxicillin, amikacin, doxycyclin, gentamicin, azithromycin, and vancomycin were all effective treatments for the *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates; ciprofloxacin, ampicillin, nitrofurantoin, and cotrimoxazole did not work on any of the isolates.

Discussion

10% of pregnant women in this study had asymptomatic bacteriuria, which was nearly identical to the 9.5% reported from a study conducted in Kumasi, Ghana [8- 12]. It is less than the 13.5% that Mangalore, Karnataka, reported. [13] 17% reported in Nellore, India; 26% in Chitwan, Nepal; and so on [14-15]. This is greater than the 7.3% found in the Kanpur, India study [16].

The age group of 20 to 30 years old had the highest prevalence (10.40%), while the age group of those over 30 years old had the lowest percentage (7.69%).

Regarding age group, there was no discernible variation in the prevalence of ASB ($P = 0.6597$). In a study conducted by Imade PE et al. (2017), 1228 pregnant women were assessed, and the largest prevalence was noted in the 20–30 age range, which is similar to the current findings. In contrast to our findings, a study conducted in Ghana that involved 220 pregnant women found that the age group of >35 years old had the highest prevalence of ASB¹⁸. When it came to parity, the prevalence of nulliparous women was 11.81%, compared to 7.69% for those with parity of 1 or 2. This study parity distribution didn't seem to have a big impact on ASB. This study is comparable to earlier findings from Ghana [12] and Nigeria [16-19]. This, however, was not the case in another study where ASB during pregnancy was linked to an increase in parity [20, 21].

The study conclusions show that there was a substantially greater prevalence of ASB in rural than in urban areas ($\chi^2 = 4.454$, $df=1$, $p=0.0348$). A similar result was noted in Onu FA et al. investigation. [22] There was no discernible correlation between asymptomatic bacteriuria and educational status, which is measured by socioeconomic position. This result was similar to the Labi et al. study. [23] The results, however, were inconsistent with those from southeast Nigeria, where ASB was primarily observed in women with the lowest levels of education. [24] Similar to the findings of Nath et al. study, a higher prevalence of ASB was observed in the second trimester of pregnancy in this investigation. [25]

The study predominant organism was *Escherichia coli*, which was followed by *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were the other species that were isolated. The results of earlier research by Umamageswari [26], Chandel [27], and Gayathree [28,29] are comparable to this.

The highest levels of antibiotic sensitivity demonstrated by the uropathogens in this investigation were as follows: 100% of *E. Coli*, 100% of *S. Aureus*, 100% of *K. pneumoniae*, 100% of imipenem, 100% of tobramycin, 100% of gentamicin, 100% of amikacin, and 100% of cefepime; 100% of *E. faecalis*, 100% of vancomycin, 100% of azithromycin, 100% of gentamicin, doxycyclin, 100% of amikacin, and 100% of amoxicillin. Amoxicillin, cotrimoxazole, ampicillin, and nalidixic acid showed the least sensitivity against the uropathogens. Drug availability, cheap cost, self-medication, and antibiotic abuse are possible causes of resistance to these. Despite the fact that the indiscriminate use of antibiotics causes differences in the sensitivity and resistance pattern of antibiotics between communities and hospitals, our study is consistent with other studies 16, 29 that have found that various uropathogens remain highly sensitive to imipenem and aminoglycosides.

Conclusion

Ten percent of the pregnant women in this study had asymptomatic bacteriuria. Demographic and obstetric factors did not significantly affect the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria, with the exception of living in a rural area. The most common organisms are *E. Coli*, and the majority of isolates are imipenem and aminoglycoside sensitive. Rather than offering empirical therapy, it is crucial to educate doctors on the proper use of antibiotics in light of the evolving patterns of bacterial resistance to conventional medications.

References

- Grewal M, Biswas MK. Cardiac, hematologic, pulmonary, renal and urinary tract disorders in pregnancy. In: A De Cherney AH, Nathan L, editors. *Current Obstetric and Gynecologic Diagnosis and Treatment*. 9th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2003:387–427.
- Arias F, Daftary SN, Bhide AG. Abnormalities of the urinary system during pregnancy. In: Arias F, Daftary SN, Bhide AG, editors. *Practical Guide to High-Risk Pregnancy and Delivery: A South Asian Perspective*. 3rd ed. New Delhi: Elsevier; 2008:489–505.
- Smaill F, Vazquez JC. Antibiotics for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007 Apr 18;(2): CD00 0490.
- Nicolle LE. Screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. *Canadian Guide on preventive health care*, Ottawa Health, Canada. 1994; 100-106.
- Patterson TF, Andriole VT. Detection, significance and therapy of bacteriuria in pregnancy: update in the managed health care era. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 1997;11(3):593–608.
- Latham RH, Runing K, Stamm WE. Urinary tract infections in young adult women caused by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*. *JAMA*. 1983; 250: 3063–66.
- Wing DA, Hendershott CM, Debuque L, et al. Outpatient treatment of acute pyelonephritis in pregnancy after 24 weeks. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999; 94: 683–8.
- Jain V, Das V, Agarwal A, Pandey A. Asymptomatic bacteriuria & obstetric outcome following treatment in early versus late pregnancy in north Indian women. *Indian J Med Res*. 2013; 137: 753-58.
- Collee JG, Duguid JP, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A. 12. Laboratory strategy in the diagnosis of infective syndrome. In: Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A, editors. *Practical medical microbiology*. Singapore: Churchill Livingstone Publishers, Longman; 2003. p. 53-94
- Gerber GS, Brendler CB. Evaluation of the urologic patient: history, physical examination, and urinalysis. In: Walsh PC, Retik AB, Vaughan ED Jr, et al, editors. *Campbell's urology*. 8th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2002. p. 109.
- Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disc Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standard-Eleventh Edition M02-A11. Vol.32, No-1. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA,USA; 2012.
- Obirikorang C, Quaye L. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic at the University Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana. *Journal of Medical and Biomedical Sciences*. 2012; 1(1): 38-44
- Rajanatham A, Baby NM, Kuruvilla TS, Machado S. Diagnosis of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria and Associated Risk Factors Among Pregnant Women in Mangalore, Karnataka, India. *J Clin Diagn Resv*. 8(9); 2014: OC23–OC25.
- Prasanna B, Naimisha M, Swathi K, Shalk MV. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnant Women, Isolates and their Culture Sensitivity Pattern. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App. Sci*. 2015; 4(8): 28-35
- Neupane MS, Dhakal KS, Neupane HC, Adhikari S, Aryal B. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women attending the Outpatient Clinics of Chitwan Medical College teaching hospital in Chitwan, Nepal. *IRJP*. 2012; 3(11): 78–80
- Sujatha R, Nawani M. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and its antibacterial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic at Kanpur, India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2014; 8(4): DC01-DC03.
- Imade PE, Izeke PE, Eghafona NO, Enabulele OI, Ophori E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among

- pregnant women. *N Am J Med Sci.* 2010; 2(6): 263–66.
18. Turpin CA, Minkah B, Danso KA, Frimpong EH. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinic at Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana. *Ghana Med J.* 2007; 41(1): 26–9.
 19. Awonuga DA, Fawole AO, Dada-Adegbola HA, Olola FA, Awonuga OM. Predictors of asymptomatic bacteriuria among obstetric population in Ibadan. *Niger J Med.* 2010; 19: 188–93.
 20. Omole A, Nwokedi E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy in Kano, Northern Nigeria. *Nigerian hospital practice.* 2008; 2: 76-9.
 21. Aminu KY, Allyu UU. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women in the antenatal booking clinic at Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Kano, Nigeria. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.* 2015; 5: 286-97.
 22. Onu FA, Ajah LO, Ezeonu PO, Ugochukwu O, Umeora J, Ibekwe PC, Ajah MI. Profile and microbiological isolates of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women in Abakaliki, Nigeria. *Infection and Drug Resistance.* 2015; 8: 232-35.
 23. Labi AK, Yawson AE, Ganyaglow GY, Newman MJ. Prevalence and associated risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria in ante-natal clients in a large teaching hospital in Ghana. *Ghana Medical Journal.* 2015; 49(3): 154-58.
 24. Oli A, Okafor C, Ibezim E, Akujiobi C, Onwunzp M. The prevalence and bacteriology of asymptomatic bacteriuria among antenatal patients in Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital Nnewi; South Eastern Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Pr.* 2010; 13(4): 409–12.
 25. Nath G, Chaudhary M, Prakash J, Pandey LK, Singh TB. Urinary tract infection during pregnancy and foetal outcome. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 1996; 14: 158-60.
 26. Umamageswari SS, Swarnapriya MS, Banu AS. A Study of Pregnancy Associated Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *International Journal of Scientific Research.* 2015; 4(7): 573-75.
 27. Chandel LR, Kanga A, Thakur K, Mokta KK, Sood A, Chauhan S. Prevalence of pregnancy associated asymptomatic bacteriuria: A study done in a tertiary care hospital. *J Obstet Gynaecol India.* 2012; 62(5): 511-14.
 28. Gayathree L, Shetty S, Deshpande SR and Venkatesha DJ. Screening for asymptomatic Bacteriuria in pregnancy: an evaluation of various screening tests at the Hasan district hospital, India. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research.* 2010; (4): 2702- 6.
 29. Khan S, Rashmi, Singh P, Siddiqui, Ansari M. Pregnancy associated asymptomatic bacteriuria and drug resistance. *Journal of Taibah university medical sciences.* 2015; 10(3): 340-45.