

**Efficacy of Hepatitis B Immunoglobulin and Hepatitis B Vaccine in Prevention of Perinatal Transmission of Hepatitis B****Jyoti Ranjan Behera<sup>1</sup>, Manas Ranjan Mallick<sup>2</sup>, Sanjaya Kumar Jena<sup>3</sup>, Rashmi Ranjan Barik<sup>4</sup>, Debashisha Roy<sup>5</sup>, Anil Kumar Mohanty<sup>6</sup>**<sup>1,3,4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, MKCG Medical College and Hospital Berhampur Ganjam Odisha, India - 760004<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, MKCG Medical College and Hospital Berhampur Ganjam Odisha, India - 760004<sup>5</sup>Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, SCB Medical College and Hospital Cuttack Odisha, India - 753007<sup>6</sup>Professor & HOD, Department of Pediatrics, SCB Medical College and Hospital Cuttack Odisha, India - 753007

Received: 25-08-2023 / Revised: 28-09-2023 / Accepted: 30-10-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Rashmi Ranjan Barik

Conflict of interest: Nil

**Abstract:**

**Introduction:** Hepatitis B is a major global health problem and is a most serious type of viral hepatitis, which puts the people at high risk of death from cirrhosis of the liver and liver cancer. Approximately 30% of the world's population, or about 2 billion persons, have serological evidence of either current or past infection with hepatitis B virus. Most people in China and India become infected with HBV during childhood. India has intermediate endemicity of Hepatitis B, with Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) prevalence between 2% and 7% among populations studied. It has been estimated that, in India of the 25 million infants born every year, over one million run the lifetime risk of developing chronic HBV infection. Every year over 100,000 Indians die due to illnesses related to HBV infection.

**Objectives:** To evaluate the efficacy of HBIG and HBV vaccine in infants born to HBsAg/HBeAg positive mothers by testing for Anti-HBsAg Antibody titres, 2 months after completing immunization. To find what percentage of infants are HBsAg positive even after completing the vaccination.

**Methodology:** Hospital based prospective cohort study of sample size 77 babies born from HBsAg/HBeAg positive mothers. Infants were given 0.5ml Hepatitis B Immunoglobulin and 10 µg recombinant DNA Hepatitis B vaccination at birth followed by 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> dose of Hepatitis B vaccination at 6 weeks and 6 months of life respectively. At the age of 8 months of life tested for HBsAg and Anti-HBs antibody. Depending on the antibody titres, infants will be classified as either responders ( $\geq 10$ miu/ml) or non-responders ( $< 10$  miu/ml). Data were processed using SPSS software version 20.0.

**Results:** In LBW infants comprises 45.4% of total study subjects. Maximum babies (79.22%) were delivered by LSCS method. 27.2% infants were delivered from mothers having positive for HBeAg and HBsAg. 3.89% of study subjects were found to be non-responders at the end of primary hepatitis B vaccine immunization. At the end of primary immunization, all the infants were found to be negative for HBsAg. All vaccine non-responders were delivered through vaginal route ( $p < 0.0001$ ) which is clinically significant. Out of total three non-responders all of them were LBW babies ( $p < 0.0001$ ) which is clinically significant. There is no correlation between sex of the infants and maternal HBeAg status.

**Conclusion:** This study indicate that hepatitis B vaccine in association with HBIG administered at birth provides immediate and long term protection against HB virus infection in infants born to hepatitis B carrier mothers. Birth weight of infants has co-relation with vaccine response, with low vaccine response in LBW subjects as compared to normal birth weight infants. Mode of delivery also contributed to vaccine response as babies born through vaginal route had low response to vaccine as compared to babies born through LSCS.

**Keywords:** Hepatitis B, Perinatal transmission, Hepatitis Vaccine.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

**Introduction**

Hepatitis B is a potentially life-threatening liver infection caused by the hepatitis B virus. It is a major global health problem and the most serious

type of viral hepatitis. It can cause chronic liver disease and puts people at high risk of death from cirrhosis of the liver and liver cancer.

Approximately 30% of the world's population, or about 2 billion persons, have serological evidence of either current or past infection with hepatitis B virus [1]. Of these, an estimated 350 million have chronic HBV infection and at least one million chronically infected persons die each year of chronic liver disease, including cirrhosis and liver cancer.

Hepatitis B is endemic in China and other parts of Asia including India. Most people in this region become infected with HBV during childhood. In these regions, 8% to 10% of the adult populations are chronically infected. Liver cancer caused by HBV is among the first three causes of death from cancer in men, and a major cause of cancer in women. High rates of chronic infections are also found in the Amazon and the southern parts of eastern and central Europe. In the Middle East and Indian sub-continent, an estimated 2% to 5% of the general population is chronically infected. Less than 1% of the population in Western Europe and North American is chronically infected.

Hepatitis B is a major health problem in India. Based on the prevalence of Hepatitis B carrier state in the general population, countries are classified as having high (8% or more), intermediate (2-7%), or low (less than 2%). India has intermediate endemicity of Hepatitis B, with Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) prevalence between 2% and 7% among populations studied [2]. The prevalence does not vary significantly by region in the country. The number of HBsAg carriers in India has been estimated to be over 40 million (4 crores). It has been estimated that, in India of the 25 million infants born every year, over one million run the lifetime risk of developing chronic HBV infection. Every year over 100,000 Indians die due to illnesses related to HBV infection.

#### Aims and Objectives of Study

1. To evaluate the efficacy of HBIG and HBV vaccine in infants born to HBsAg/HBeAg positive mothers by testing for Anti-HBsAg Antibody titres, 2 months after completing immunization.
2. To find what percentage of infants are HBsAg positive even after completing the vaccination.

#### Materials and Methods

**Study design:** Hospital based prospective cohort study was conducted in SNCU, New born ward and OPD of S.C.B.MCH and SVPPGIP during the period Feb.2013 to Oct, 2014. There were 93 enrolled cohort out of which in 77 subjects, the

study was performed as 16 subjects were lost to follow up

#### Inclusion criteria:

Infants born to HBsAg/HBeAg carrier mothers who have received HBIG and HBV vaccination (0, 6week, 6 month)

#### Exclusion criteria:

- Those who have not completed 3 doses of HBV vaccination
- Those who have lost for follow up.

#### Methodology:

The study was conducted by registering all affected HBsAg/HBeAg positive mothers in their last trimester of pregnancy who deliver at SCB Medical College, Cuttack. Their names, detailed address including phone number were recorded in a prescribed format. Their infants were given 0.5ml Hepatitis B Immunoglobulin and 10 µg recombinant DNA Hepatitis B vaccination at birth followed by 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> dose of Hepatitis B vaccination at 6weeks and 6months of life respectively. At the age of 8 months of life, 3 ml of venous blood was drawn from the infants who have completed their vaccination.(Antibody titre reaches peak approximately about 1 to 3 months after completing the full course of vaccination).

Serum was separated and transported to laboratory where it was stored at-80°C till analysis. Serum samples collected were tested for HBsAg and Anti-HBs antibody titre measured by enzyme linked immuno sorbent assays. Seroprotection for anti-HBs was defined as an anti-HBs level of  $\geq 10$ miu/ml. Infants two months after the end of primary vaccination (i.e. 8 months of age) tested positive for HBsAg will be excluded from the study. The remaining infants will then be tested for AntiHBsAg antibody titres.

Depending on the antibody titres, infants will be classified as either responders ( $\geq 10$ miu/ml) or non-responders ( $< 10$  miu/ml). Those infants who are non-responders were given one more booster dose of 10 µg Hepatitis B vaccination at 8 months of life and retested again after 2 months. Testing for HBsAg and Anti-HBs antibody titres was done at department of microbiology, SCB Medical College.

**Statistics:** The data were processed using SPSS software version 20.0. The dependency of seroprotective rate on the host factors was assessed by using  $\chi^2$  test. The mean level of anti-HBs was expressed as GMT (geometric mean titre).

#### Observation

**Table 1: Birth weight distribution (n=77)**

Weight in gram	cases	%
≤1499	1	1.3
1500-2499	35	45.45
≥2500	41	53.24

In this study normal birth weight babies were 53.24%, LBW comprises of 45.45% and rest 1.3% constitute ELBW.

**Table 2: Mode of delivery distribution (n=77)**

Mode	Cases	%
NVD	14	18.18
ASST.VD	2	2.59
LSCS	61	79.22

Majority of the cases (79.22) were delivered by LSCS followed by NVD (18.18). Only 2.89% babies were delivered by Asst.VD.

**Table 3: Maternal antigenic status in study group (n=77)**

Antigenic status	Cases	%
HBsAg + HBeAg	21	27.27
HBsAg	56	72.72

In this study mothers having HBsAg positive were chosen (n=77). Out of this 27.27% mothers were positive for both HBsAg and HBeAg.

**Table 4: Sex distribution (n=77)**

Sex	Cases	%
Male	45	58.44
Female	32	41.55

Maximum numbers (58.44%) of cases were male babies and rest (41.5%) was female.

**Table 5: Response to vaccination (n=77)**

Anti HBSAg titre	Cases	%
Responders(≥10 miu/ml)	74	96.1
Non-responders(<10 miu/ml)	3	3.89

Out of total enrolled cohort, infants having anti- HBs titre of <10 miu/ml (non-responders) constitute 3.89% and majority (96.1%) were having titre of ≥10 miu/ml (responders).

**Table 6: Anti-HBs antibody titre (n=77)**

Titre in miu/ml	Cases	%
<10	3	3.89
10-99	21	27.27
≥100	53	68.83

Of the total 74 responders group 27.27% infants were having borderline antibody titre (10-99 miu/ml) and 68.83% infants had adequate antibody titre of ≥100 miu/ml. Minimum GMT was 2.8 miu/ml and highest GMT was 610.7 miu/ml. The mean GMT was 156.9 miu/ml.

**Table 7: HBsAg status in infants after primary immunization (n=77)**

Status	Cases	%
Positive	0	0
Negative	77	100

Two months after completion of primary immunization all the infants were found to be negative for HBsAg.

**Table 8: Vaccination response in relation to Mode of delivery (n=77)**

	Normal VD	Assisted VD	LSCS	Total
Responder(≥10 miu/ml)	12	1	61	74
Non-responders (<10 miu/ml)	2	1	0	3
	14	2	61	77

P<0.0001. In this study all non-responders (n=3) were delivered by vaginal route (p<0.0001). Out of total non-responders 66.6 % (n=2) were delivered through normal vaginal route and 33.3% (n=1) through assisted.

**Table 9: Vaccination response in relation to birth weight (n=77)**

	≤1499	1500- 2499	≥ 2500	Total
Responder	0	33	41	74
Non-responder	1	2	0	3
	1	35	41	77

P: 0.0001. All the three non-responders were LBW infants ( $p < 0.0001$ ). In this study one (33.33% of non-responders) infant having ELBW was non-responders. Rest two (66.6%) infants were in 1500 gm and 2499 gm birth weight.

**Table 10: Vaccination response in relation to maternal HBe Antigen status (n=77)**

	HBe Ag positive	HBe Ag negative	Total
Responder	19	55	74
Non-responder	2	1	3
	21	56	77

P: 0.1181, OR: 0.17, C.I.:0.01480-2.016. In this study 66.6% (n=2) of total non-responders were delivered from mothers having both HBsAg and HBeAg positive and rest 33.3% (n=1) was delivered from mother having only HBsAg positive ( $p=0.1181$ ).

**Table 11: Vaccination response in relation to sex of the baby (n=77)**

	Male	Female	Total
Responder	43	31	74
Non-responder	2	1	3
	45	32	77

P: 0.7681, OR: 0.69, C.I.:0.0601-7.998. In this study 2.22% (n=2) of male infants and 3.1% (n=1) of female infants were non-responders ( $p=0.7681$ , OR=0.69, 95% C.I. =0.06-7.99).

**Table 12: Chi-square test of factors influencing anti-HBs titres after primary immunization (N=21)**

	Total	Anti-HBs titre(10-99 miu/ml) n %	RR(95%CI)
1. Sex of infant			
Male	43	10 23	0.65(0.28-0.87)
Female	31	11 35	
2. LBW			
Yes	33	13 39	1.9(1.01-2.89)
No	41	8 20	
3. Mother HBeAg-positive			
Yes	19	9 47	2.1(1.11-3.018)
No	55	12 22	

Out of 21 infants having borderline anti HBsAg titre (10-99 miu/ml), LBW infants were 1.9 times and infants born to HBeAg positive mothers were 2.1 times more vulnerable to fall in this group. Sex has no relation to vaccine response.

**Table 13: Sero protection rate in non-responders after HB vaccine booster dose (n=3)**

	Cases	%
Low responders(anti HBs 10 -99miu/ml)	2	66.66
Responders(≥100 miu/ml)	1	33.33

In this study after giving one booster dose, 66.66% of non-responders become low responders and 33.3% becomes responders.

## Discussion

Hepatitis B is a potentially life-threatening liver infection caused by hepatitis B virus. Infants born to HBsAg positive mother has 5-15% transmission rate and it can be as high as 90% if mother is also HBeAg or HBV DNA positive.

The present work was conducted in SNCU and new born ward of S.C.B. M.C.H and SVPPGIP, Cuttack from February 2013 to October 2014. The

observation were made and illustrated with tables as follows.

Subjects were categorised as responders (anti-HBsAg titre  $\geq 10$  miu/ml) and non-responders (anti HBsAg titre  $< 10$  miu/ml).

Table 1, showed out of total enrolled cohort (n=77), VLBW (wt $\leq 1499$  gm) comprises 1.3%, LBW (wt1500-2499gm) comprises 45.45%. Normal birth weight constitute the rest(53.2%).

Table 2, showed proportion of mode of delivery in study subjects and out of 77 study subjects, infants born through NVD comprises 18.18%, through assisted VD comprises of 2.5% and rest were delivered by LSCS constitute 79.22%.

Table 3, showed maternal antigenic status at the time of delivery and demonstrated that 27.2% of mothers (n=21) were positive for both HBsAg and HBeAg. Rest 72.7% were positive for only HBsAg which co-relate with other studies by Besley et al 1977 [13] in which 32%, Zhu et al 2010 in which 36.5%, in Elke et al 2009 [18] in which 29% of study subjects were positive for both HBsAg and HBeAg.

Table 4, showed out of total study subjects, 58.44% were male babies and rest were (41.5%) female which co-relate with study done by Han et al 2012 [30] where male infants constitute 55.45% female infants constitute the rest (45%).

Table 5, showed out of 77 subjects, 3.89% (n=3) were non-responders (anti-HBsAg <10 miu/ml) and 96.1% (n=74) were responders (anti-HBsAg ≥10 miu/ml) [p<0.0001] which co-relate with studies done by Zhu et al 2010 [6] in which 5%, Mele et al 2001 [28] in which 3.3% and Hank et al 2010 [30] where they found 3.1% were non-responder.

Table 6, showed at the end of primary immunization, 3.89% were having anti HBsAg titre <10 miu/ml, 68.83% (n=53) were having titre of ≥100 miu/ml and rest 27.27% (n=21) were in borderline with antibody titre in between 10-99 miu/ml. This study correlate with study done by Han K et al 2010 [30] in which non-responders were 3.1%, responders were 68%, low/borderline responders were 28.9% of study subjects.

In table 7, we have documented HBsAg status in infants after primary immunization and in this study all subjects were tested to be negative for HBsAg which correlate with studies done by Xu Zy et al 1985 [15] and Ding L et al 1993.

Beasley et al 1983 [13] observed 3% were carrier for HBsAg and in Elke et al 2009 [18] study, 4% of subjects and in Steven CE et al 1987 (29) study, 4.8% of subjects were positive for HBsAg.

This study differ from later three studies might be due to low enrolled cohort.

Table 8, showed that out of total delivery by vaginal route 18.7% (n=3) were non-responders (p<0.0001), which is clinically significant. Study done by Pan C.Q. et al 2013 [21] observed vaccine failure in 1.4% cases delivered by ECS and 3.6% cases delivered by vaginal route.

Wang J et al 2002 [3] shows 10.5% cases delivered by ECS and 28% cases delivered by vaginal route show vaccine failure. In a study conducted by Yang

J et al 2008 [36] observed no significant difference in vaccine response in relation to mode of delivery. This study differs from other study due to low study subjects.

In reference to table 9, all non-responders were LBW infants. 8.33% (n=3) of LBW subjects were non-responders (p<0.0001), which is clinically significant. This study correlate with studies done by Freitas et al 2002 [37] and Han K et al 2012 [30] where seroprotection rate of LBW neonates was lower than normal weight neonates.

Table 10, shows there is no correlation between maternal HBeAg status and vaccine response. Out of 21 study subjects who were delivered from mothers were positive for both HBsAg and HBeAg, 9.5% (n=2) were non-responders (p<0.1181). This study correlate with other studies done by Amani et al 1995, Han K et al 2012 and Lee C et al 2006 [3].

Table 11 showed there is no correlation between sex of infants and vaccine response.

In this study 4.44% (n=2) of male infants and 3.1% (n=1) of female infants were non-responders (p=0.7681). Similar results were found in studies done by Ding et al 1993, Hollinger et al 1989 [7], Han K et al 2012 [30].

In table 12, another sub group made as low – responders (anti-HBs titre 10-99 miu/ml), who had risk for HB infection/carrier. Sex of baby was not related to immunological response. LBW infants were 1.9 times more vulnerable to fall in low-responders group as compared to normal weight neonates. Both the above results were consistent with Han K et al 2012 [30] study.

Infants born to HBeAg positive mothers were 2.1 times more low- responders than infants born to only HBsAg positive mothers, which is consistent with Deng et al 2000 [8] and Tian C et al 2007 studies. Table 13, showed that all non-responders (n=3) were converted to responder group (anti-HBs titre ≥10 miu/ml) after one booster dose of hepatitis B vaccine.

Out of this 66.6% (n=2) became low responders (anti-HBs 10-99 miu/ml) and 33.3% (n=1) became adequate responders (anti-HBs titre ≥100 miu/ml). In Han K et al 2012 [30] study after revaccination 14.7% become low responders and 85.3% became responders.

## Conclusion

1. This study indicate that hepatitis B vaccine in association with HBIG administered at birth provides immediate and long term protection against HB virus infection in infants born to hepatitis B carrier mothers.
2. Birth weight of infants has co-relation with vaccine response, with low vaccine response in

LBW subjects as compared to normal birth weight infants.

3. Mode of delivery also contributed to vaccine response as babies born through vaginal route had low response to vaccine as compared to babies born through LSCS.

### Bibliography

1. Lavanchy D. Worldwide epidemiology of HBV infection, disease burden, and vaccine prevention. *J Clin Virol* 2005; 34:S1-3.
2. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B. *Hepatology* 2007; 45:507-39.
3. Lee C, Gong Y, Brok J, Boxall EH, Gluud C. Effect of hepatitis B immunisation in newborn infants of mothers positive for hepatitis B surface antigen: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2006; 332:328-36.
4. Dwivedi M, Misra SP, Misra V, Pandey A, Pant S, Singh R, et al. Seroprevalence of hepatitis B infection during pregnancy and risk of perinatal transmission. *Indian J Gastroenterol.* 2011;30:66-71
5. Sarin SK, Singhal AK. *Hepatitis B in India: Problems and Prevention*, New Delhi, CBS Publications. 1996:5-16.
6. Batayneh N, Bdour S. Risk of perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus in Jordan. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2002; 10:127-32.
7. Hollinger FB. Factors influencing the immune response to hepatitis B vaccine, booster dose guidelines and vaccine protocol recommendations. *Am J Med* 1989; 87(3A): 365-402.
8. Deng XQ, Xu ZY, Ouyang PY, et al. Relationship between titre of maternal serum hepatitis B surface antigen, e antigen and failure of neonatal hepatitis B immunization. *Chinese. J Infect Dis* 2000; 18:232-5.
9. Panda SK, Ramesh R, Rao KV, et al. Comparative evaluation of the immunogenicity of yeast-derived (recombinant) and plasma-derived hepatitis B vaccine in infants. *J Med Virol.* 1991; 35:297-302.
10. Gill HH, Majumdar PD, Dhunjibhoy KR, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis B e antigen in pregnant women and patients with liver disease. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 1995; 43:247-8.
11. Shenoy S, Baliga S, Parasnath HV. Prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) in pregnant women in south Kanara district, Karnataka State, India. *Trop Doct.* 2004; 34:98-9.
12. Alrowaily MA, Abolfotouh MA, Ferwanah MS. Hepatitis B virus seroprevalence among pregnant females in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Gastroenterol.* 2008;14:70-2
13. Beasley RP, Trepo C, Stevens CE, Szmuness W. The e antigen and vertical transmission of hepatitis B surface antigen. *Am J Epidemiol* 1977; 105: 94-98.
14. Lee CY, Huang LM, Chang MH, Hsu CY, et al. The protective efficacy of recombinant hepatitis B vaccine in newborn infants of hepatitis B e antigen-positive-hepatitis B surface antigen carrier mothers. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1991;10:299-303.
15. Xu ZY, Liu CB, Francis DP. Prevention of perinatal acquisition of hepatitis B virus carriage using vaccine: Preliminary report of a randomized, double blind placebo controlled and comparative trial. *Pediatrics* 1985; 76: 713-718.
16. Wong VCW, Ip HMM, Reesink HW, Lelie PN, Reerink-Brongers EE, Yeung CY, Ma HK. Prevention of the HBsAg carrier state in newborn infants of mothers who are chronic carriers of HBsAg and HBeAg by administration of hepatitis B vaccine and hepatitis B immunoglobulin: Double blind placebo controlled study. *Lancet* 1984; i: 921-926.
17. Li-Zhang Chen, Wen-Qi Zhou, Shu-Shan Zhao, Zhi-Yu Liu and Shi-Wu Wen. A nested case-control study of maternal-neonatal transmission of hepatitis B virus in a Chinese population. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2011 August 21; 17(31): 3640-3644.
18. Elke Wiseman, Melissa A Fraser, Sally Holden, Anne Glass, Bronwynne L Kidson, Leon G Heron, Michael W Maley, Anna Ayres, Stephen A Locarnini and Miriam T Levy. Perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus: an Australian experience. *Med J Aust* 2009; 190 (9): 489-492.
19. Sharma R, Malik A, Rattan A, Iraqi A, Maheshwari V, Dhawan R. Hepatitis B virus infection in pregnant women and its transmission to infants. *J Trop Pediatr.* 1996; 42:352-4.
20. N Joshi, A Kumar. Immunoprophylaxis of hepatitis B virus infection. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology.* 2001; 19: 172-183
21. Pan C.Q., Zou H.B., Chen Y., Zhang X., Zhang H., Li J., Duan Z. Cesarean section reduces perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus infection from hepatitis b surface antigen-positive women to their infants. 2013. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 11, 1349-1355.
22. Poovorawan Y, Sanpavat S, Pongpunlert W, et al. Comparison of a recombinant DNA hepatitis B vaccine alone or in combination with hepatitis B immune globulin for the prevention of perinatal acquisition of hepatitis B carriage. *Vaccine* 1990 (Suppl8):S56-9.
23. Yazigi Naza, Balisteri f. William: *Viral hepatitis*. In: Kleigman, Stanton, Behrman eds.

- Nelson text book of pediatrics, 19<sup>th</sup>ed:1397-1400.
24. Schleiss R. Mark, Patterson C. Jana: Hepatitis B. In Gleason, Devaskar eds: Avery's Diseases of the new born 9<sup>th</sup> ed: 498-501.
  25. Burchett K. Sandra; Viral infections. In: Cloherty, Eichenwald, Hansen, Stark eds. Manual of neonatal care.7<sup>th</sup>ed.Lippincott-Williams and Wilkin; 2012:610-13.
  26. WHO: Information sheet: Observed rate of vaccine reactions Hepatitis B vaccine June 2012.
  27. Maupas P, Barin F, Chiron J.P, Coursaget P, et al. Efficacy of Hepatitis B vaccine in prevention of early HBs antigen carrier state in children: Controlled trial in an endemic area (Senegal).Lancet 1981, 317:289-92.
  28. Mele A, Tancredi F, Romano L,et al. Effectiveness of Hepatitis B Vaccination in babies born to Hepatitis surface antigen-positive mothers in Italy. JID 2001;184:905-08.
  29. Stevens CE,Taylor PE, Tong JM,Nair PV et al. Yeast-recombinant Hepatitis B vaccine efficacy with Hepatitis B immunoglobulin in prevention of perinatal hepatitis B virus transmissions. JAMA.1987;257(19):2612-16.
  30. Han K, Shao X, Zheng H et al. Revaccination of non and low responders after a standard three dose hepatitis B vaccine schedule. Human vaccine & immunotherapeutics 2012;8:12,1845-49.
  31. Redeker AG, Mosley JW, Gocke DJ, et al. Hepatitis B immunoglobulin as a prophylactic measure for spouses exposed to acute type B hepatitis. N Engl J Med. 1975;293:1055-9.
  32. Seeff LB, Wright EC, Zimmerman HJ et al. Type B hepatitis after needle stick exposure: prevention with hepatitis B immune globulin. Final report of the Veterans Administration Cooperative Study. Ann Intern Med 1978; 88:285-93.
  33. Jack AD, Hall AJ, Maine N, Mendy M, Whittle HC. What level of hepatitis B antibody is protective? J Infect Dis 1999; 179:489-92.
  34. Hoffman F, Kralj N. Criteria for successful hepatitis B vaccination in adults: results of a case study. Infection 2009; 37:266-9.
  35. STIKO. Empfehlung der standikegen im pfkommission (STIKO) am Robert Koch-institut/Stand: July 2002 Epid Bull 2002; 28:227-42.
  36. Yang J, Zeng XM, Men YL, Zhao LS. Elective caesarean section versus vaginal delivery for preventing mother to child transmission of hepatitis B virus: A systematic review. Virol J. 2008; 5:100.
  37. Freitas da Motta MS, Mussi-Pinhata MM, Jorge SM, Tachibana Yoshida CF, Sandoval de Souza CB. Immunogenicity of hepatitis B vaccine in pre-term and full term infants vaccinated within the first week of life. Vaccine. 2002; 20:155762.