

Pattern and Culture and Sensitivity of Microbes in Patients with Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media at a Tertiary Care Center

Jyotirmaya Nayak¹, D.V Ravi Kumar², Sridhar Panda³, Debabrata Barik⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, SCB Medical College, Cuttack

²Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, SCB Medical College, Cuttack

³Assistant Professor, Department of General Medicine, SCB Medical College, Cuttack

⁴Senior Resident, Department of Microbiology, Dharanidhar Medical College, Keonjhar

Received: 19-08-2023 / Revised: 26-09-2023 / Accepted: 28-10-2023

Corresponding Author: Dr. Jyotirmaya Nayak

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: The use of antibiotics has reduced the number of complications associated with chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM). However, irrational usage may lead to antibiotic resistance.

Antibiotic indiscriminate usage has resulted in variance in the antibiogram of organisms causing CSOM. The goal of this research is to look at the pattern and sensitivity of microorganisms in CSOM patients.

Methods: A hospital-based cross-sectional research was conducted on 70 CSOM patients. A detailed history, general examination, and ear examination were performed. A sample of pus was extracted and forwarded to the Microbiology section for culture and sensitivity testing. Reports were gathered and entered.

Results: Growth was seen in 85.7% of the 70 ear swabs tested. Only bacterial growth was seen in 57% of the cases, whereas only fungal growth was observed in 8.5%. Bacteria and fungus were found in 20% of the samples. *Staphylococcus aureus* was found in 40.7% of the 54 bacterial isolates, followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 27.7%. *Aspergillus* was found in 60% of the 20 fungal isolates and *Candida* in 40%. Eight of the 22 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains tested positive for amoxycylav. Seven of the 15 instances of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were susceptible to ceftazidime. Ceftazidime was effective in 50% of the *Klebsiella* patients. Half of the four *E. coli* infections tested positive for azithromycin.

Conclusion: *Staphylococcus* species is the most common pathogen in CSOM, and Amoxycylav was determined to be the most effective antibiotic, followed by Gentamicin. Because organisms are growing more resistant to popular antibiotics, a swab from the discharged ear for culture and antibiotic sensitivity is critical in order to pick the proper antibiotic to avoid resistance.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

In chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM), there may be inflammation in the mastoid cavity and middle ear, as well as discharge from the ear due to tympanic membrane rupture. [1] It is more prevalent in poor nations than in the industrialised world. It is more widespread among persons from lower socioeconomic groups in emerging nations as well. Lack of cleanliness, frequent bouts of upper respiratory tract infection, overcrowding, hunger, and other factors all contribute to the development of CSOM. It is more frequent in rural settings than in metropolitan ones. [2]

The tubotympanic form of CSOM occurs when the pars tensa of the tympanic membrane is damaged. It is safe since there are no difficulties. If the pars flaccida is damaged, the CSOM becomes attic-antral. It is risky since it may occasionally be fatal and has been linked to problems. [3] If not treated appropriately, the infection may spread to the facial

nerve, the lateral sinus, and other critical tissues, causing difficulties. [4] Hearing loss may develop in a few chronic instances that are ignored. [5] The use of antibiotics has reduced the number of CSOM complications. However, irrational usage may lead to antibiotic resistance. As a result, effective, reasonable, and prudent antibiotic administration in CSOM is necessary to avoid multi-drug resistance and clinical consequences. [6] In practise, indiscriminate antibiotic usage has resulted in variance in the antibiogram of microbes causing CSOM from time to time and location to location. As a result, in instances with CSOM, the microbiological pattern must be reported indefinitely. As a result, the current research was conducted to investigate the pattern, culture, and sensitivity of microorganisms in patients with chronic suppurative otitis media.

Methods and Materials

Hospital-based cross-sectional research was conducted at Department of Microbiology, MKCG Medical college and Hospital, Berhampur. The research was conducted over a six-month period, from January 2021 to June 2022. According to prior research, the culture in patients with CSOM was positive in 90% of the cases. Based on this, a sample size of 45 was calculated using a percentage of 90%, a 95% confidence level, and a 10% allowed error. However, in this research, we were able to incorporate a total of 70 instances. Permission was received from the Institutional Ethics Committee. All eligible research participants provided written informed permission. The current investigation comprised patients with CSOM signs and symptoms and a verified diagnosis. Those who

had taken antibiotics during the previous 15 days were eliminated. The pre-designed, pre-tested, semi-structured research questionnaire included a full history. The normal procedures were followed for the detailed general checkup and ENT examination. The pus sample was taken with prior notification to the patients and forwarded to the Microbiology department for culture and sensitivity testing. The reports were gathered and incorporated into the research questionnaire. The information was imported into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and analysed using SPSS statistical software version 19. The information was presented in the form of proportions.

Results

Table 1: Results of ear swabs

Results of ear swabs	Number	%
Only bacteria	40	57
Only fungal	6	8.5
Bacteria and fungi	14	20
No growth	10	14.3
Total	70	100

Growth was seen in 85.7% of the 70 ear swabs tested. Only bacterial growth was seen in 57% of the samples, whereas only fungal growth was observed in 8.5% of the instances. In 20% of the instances, both bacteria and fungus were found. (Table 1)

Table 2: Bacterial isolates

Bacterial isolated	Number	%
Staphylococcus aureus	22	40.7%
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	15	27.7%
Klebsiella pneumoniae	6	11.5%
Escherichia coli	4	7.4%
streptococcus	2	3.7%
Proteus	2	3.7%
Total	54	100%

Staphylococcus aureus was the most prevalent bacterial isolate in 40.7% of the cases, followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa in 27.7% of the cases. (Table 2)

Table 3: Fungal isolates

Fungi isolated	Number	%
Aspergillus species	12	60%
Candida species	8	40%
Total	20	100%

Table 4: Results of culture and sensitivity

Organism	Amoxi-clav	Azithromycin	Ceftazidime	Piperacillin	Ciprofloxacin	Gentamicin
Staphylococcus aureus (N=22)	8	5	2	0	2	5
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (N=15)	0	0	7	5	3	0
Klebsiella pneumonia (N=6)	0	0	3	2	1	0
Escherichia coli (N=4)	1	2	0	0	0	1
Streptococcus (N=2)	1	0	1	0	0	0
Proteus (N=2)	0	0	1	0	1	0

Eight staphylococcus aureus were responsive to amoxyclav, whereas five were sensitive to

azithromycin and Gentamicin. Seven of the 15 instances of pseudomonas aeruginosa were

susceptible to ceftazidime. Ceftazidime was effective in 50% of the klebsiella patients. Half of the four *E. coli* infections tested positive for azithromycin. One of the two streptococcus cases was responsive to amoxycylav and the other to ceftazidime. One of the two proteus instances was sensitive to ceftazidime. (Table 4)

Discussion

Growth was seen in 85.7% of the 70 ear swabs tested. Only bacterial growth was seen in 57% of the samples, whereas only fungal growth was observed in 8.5% of the instances. In 20% of the instances, both bacteria and fungus were found. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most prevalent bacterial isolate in 40.7% of the cases, followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 27.7% of the cases. Among the 20 fungal isolates, *Aspergillus* species were found in 60% of the cases and *Candida* species in the remaining 40%. Eight *staphylococcus aureus* were responsive to amoxycylav, whereas five were sensitive to azithromycin and Gentamicin. Seven of the 15 instances of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* were susceptible to ceftazidime. Ceftazidime was effective in 50% of the klebsiella patients. Half of the four *E. coli* infections tested positive for azithromycin. One of the two streptococcus cases was responsive to amoxycylav and the other to ceftazidime. One of the two proteus instances was sensitive to ceftazidime. Prakash R et al [7] investigated 204 CSOM patients for microbiology. They discovered that *staphylococcus aureus* was the most often isolated bacterium in 48.7% of the cases, followed by *pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 19.9% of the cases. These results are comparable to those of the current investigation. Anaerobes were found in 29.4% of the patients in their investigation. In the current investigation, fungus accounted for 12.3% of the cases and 28.6% of the fungal isolates. They discovered that amikacin was sensitive in 95.5% of the instances, ceftriaxone was sensitive in 83.4% of the cases, and Gentamicin was sensitive in 82.7% of the cases. Khatun MR et al [8] discovered that the culture positive rate was 70.8%, but the current research revealed it to be 85.7%. In their investigation, 55% of the organisms were gramme negative, whereas 45% were gramme positive. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most frequent pathogen in 37% of the cases, followed by *pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 31.5%. We also discovered that *staphylococcus aureus* was isolated in 40.7% of the cases, followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 27.7% of the cases. They discovered that gram-positive organisms were completely sensitive to linezolid, vancomycin, and imipenem. Ipenem was largely effective against gram-negative bacteria. The scientists also reported worrying multidrug resistance to third generation cephalosporins, amoxicillin/clavulanate.

Borligegowda V et al [9] discovered that fungus were identified in 28% of the cases. We also discovered that in the current investigation, fungi were identified in 28.6% of the cases. Only bacteria were found in 62% of the cases, with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* being the most frequent in 37.2% of the cases and *staphylococcus aureus* being the second most prevalent in 29.9% of the instances. However, in the current investigation, we discovered that *staphylococcus aureus* was more prevalent than *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The majority of the organisms tested positive for amikacin, according to the researchers. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was discovered to be more frequent than *Staphylococcus aureus* by Deb T et al [10]. However, in the current investigation, we discovered that *staphylococcus aureus* was more prevalent than *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Ciprofloxacin was shown to be sensitive in 26 isolates. The author came to the conclusion that *pseudomonas* was the most prevalent cause of CSOM. Agrawal A et al [11] stated that *Staphylococcus* species was seen in 37.6% of the patients, with *Staphylococcus* species predominating in 37.6% of the cases. We discovered similar findings. Moxifloxacin, levofloxacin, and doxycycline were particularly effective against *Staphylococcus* species. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was completely resistant to colistin, polymyxin B, and carbapenems.

Conclusion

Amoxycylav, followed by Gentamicin, was shown to be the most efficient antibiotic against *Staphylococcus* species in CSOM. Because organisms are growing more resistant to popular antibiotics, a swab from the discharged ear for culture and antibiotic sensitivity is critical in order to pick the proper antibiotic to avoid resistance.

References

1. Acuin J. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. Global burden of disease due to chronic suppurative otitis media: Disease, deafness, deaths and DALYs Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media–Burden of Illness and Management Options; pp. 9–23. (Accessed on: January 2023. Available from: http://www.who.int/pbd/deafness/activities/hearing_care/otitis_media.pdf.
2. Ologe FE, Nwawolo CC. Chronic suppurative otitis media in school pupils in Nigeria. *East Afr Med J*. 2003; 80:130–4.
3. Rout MR, Mohanty D, Vijaylaxmi Y, Kamalesh B, Chakradhar M. Prevalence of cholesteatoma in chronic suppurative otitis media with central perforation. *Indian J Otol*. 2012; 18:7–10.

4. Wiwanitkit S, Wiwanitkit V. Pyogenic brain abscess in Thailand. *N Am J Med Sci.* 2012;4:245–8.
5. Morris PS, Leach AJ. Prevention and management of chronic suppurative otitis media in aboriginal children: A practical approach. *Comm Ear Hearing H.* 2007;4:22–5.
6. Adoga A, Nimkur T, Silas O. Chronic suppurative otitis media: Socio-economic implications in a tertiary hospital in Northern Nigeria. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2010;4:3.
7. Prakash R, Juyal D, Negi V, Pal S, Adekhandi S, Sharma M, Sharma N. Microbiology of chronic suppurative otitis media in a tertiary care setup of Uttarakhand state, India. *N Am J Med Sci.* 2013 Apr;5(4):282-7.
8. Khatun MR, Alam KMF, Naznin M, Salam MA. Microbiology of Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media: An update from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangladesh. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2021 May-Jun;37(3):821-826.
9. Borligegowda V, Balan S. Microbiology of chronic suppurative otitis media: a prospective study in a tertiary care hospital. *J Otolaryngol ENT Res.* 2017;9(1):568–570.
10. Deb T, Ray D. A study of the bacteriological profile of chronic suppurative otitis media in agartala. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2012 Dec;64(4):326-9.
11. Agrawal A, Kumar D, Goyal A, Goyal S, Singh N, Khandelwal G. Microbiological profile and their antimicrobial sensitivity pattern in patients of otitis media with ear discharge. *Indian J Otol* 2013; 19(1): p 5-8.