

## A Cross -Sectional Study of the Factors Influencing Satisfaction of the Quality of Antenatal Care Services in Health Facilities

Rashmi Singh<sup>1</sup>, Sujit Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Shailesh Kumar Pankaj<sup>3</sup>, Rashmi Singh<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tutor, Department of Community Medicine, PMCH, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Tutor, Department of Physiology, NMCH, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>3</sup>Tutor, Department of Pathology, NMCH, Patna, Bihar, India

<sup>4</sup>Professor & Head Of Department of Community Medicine, PMCH, Patna Bihar, India

Received: 25-11-2022 / Revised: 09-01-2023 / Accepted: 28-01-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Sujit Kumar

Conflict of interest: Nil

### Abstract

**Aim:** The objective of this study was to determine factors influencing the quality of ANC services in health facilities in Patna.

**Methods:** This was a cross-sectional study conducted in Patna. Random sampling was used for sampling procedure. All households of mothers having the youngest child of 3 years and below were visited. All respondents who did not consent or unwilling to participate; aged below 18 years; incapable of answering the questionnaires were excluded from the study. A total of 1000 women gave their consent for participation.

**Results:** The mean (SD) age was 28.3 (6.1) years ranging from 18 to 49 years. 55% of females were in the age group 20-29 followed by 55% in the age group 30-39. 76% of them were housewives and the rest were engaged in other jobs. The majority of the respondents made more than nine antenatal visit during their last pregnancy (73%) followed by 5–8 times (20%) and only 7 % had 1–4 times antenatal visits. 60% of them booked antenatal visit before the third month followed by 32% who booked between 3 and 5 months of gestation. 39% were attended by both doctor and nurse. On an average 18 min were required to attend the clinic. It was reported that 19% did not have any out of pocket expenses.

**Conclusion:** The study concludes that ANC services provision has an influence on the expectant mothers' satisfaction.

**Keywords:** Antenatal Care, Expectant Mothers, Service Satisfaction.

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

### Introduction

Antenatal care (ANC) is a care provided to pregnant women by healthcare professionals to uphold and maintain optimal health of women through pregnancy, labor, and puerperium period. [1] ANC helps to provide basic preventive and therapeutic care, raise awareness on maternal danger signs, orient to birth

preparedness, and improve health-seeking behavior of women. [2-4]

Quality of health service is crucial at any time while the quality of ANC is important to increase utilization of other maternal health services. [5-8] Good quality ANC service could increase client satisfaction. [9,10] Similarly, it has a role to achieve health service goal that aimed at dropping

maternal death [11] as evidences show that maternal death is high in countries where ANC coverage is low and where it has poor quality. [10,12-15] Delivery of good quality ANC service necessitates the presence of structures such as infrastructures, adequately trained health professionals, infection control facilities, diagnostic equipment, supplies and essential drugs, and appropriate utilization of guidelines. [5-7]

Research shows that physical infrastructures such as generator, waiting area, private examination room, couch in the ANC room, clean toilet, and water are either not available or non-functional. Furthermore, shortage and low qualification of health care providers, scarcity of equipment such as fetoscope, stethoscope, blood pressure apparatus, weight scale, ANC guideline, and thermometer are also not sufficiently available. [16,17] In general, scarcity of the infrastructures leads ANC seeking women to be referred to private clinic.16 Additionally, gaps in structural readiness of health facilities, inadequacy of key essential supplies, unguaranteed properly equipped and staffed facilities leads to low quality of ANC services. [18,19]

Since the 1990s, remarkable strides have been made globally in lessening maternal related deaths, however, a significant number of pregnant mothers and their new-borns have continued to die from avoidable pregnancy and/or birth related complications with the situation being worse. The quality of ANC and patient satisfaction, greatly affects the image of the hospital from the customer's point of

view as opposed to the quantity in terms of visits. [20,21]

The objective of this study was to determine factors influencing the quality of ANC services in health facilities in Patna district.

### Materials and Methods

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in in Patna district Random sampling was used for sampling procedure. All households of the villages with mothers having the youngest child of 3 years and below were visited. All respondents who did not consent or unwilling to participate; aged below 18 years; incapable of answering the questionnaires were excluded from the study. A total of 1000 women gave their consent for participation.

Data collection was done using an interviewer-administered questionnaire. For each maternity care services, patient satisfaction questions were asked regarding the services received. The level of satisfaction with antenatal care was determined by seven domains of satisfaction. Each domain has Likert's scale questions. After summation of all domains score, it was checked for normality. The satisfaction score was divided into quartiles. The lowest quartile as poor and middle two quartiles as average and the last quartile categorized as highly satisfied with antenatal care

### Data analysis

SPSS 16.0 software package was used to determine the structure of the relationship between the variables.

### Results

**Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents**

Characteristics	Frequency %	95 % CI	
		Lower bound	Upper bound
<b>Age in years</b>			
>20	60 (6)	4.9	7.6
20-29	550 (55)	51.1	56.9
30-39	350 (35)	32.6	38.1

40-49	40 (4)	3.3	5.5
Mean (SD) years	28.3 (6.1)	28.0	28.7
<b>Marital status</b>			
Single	100 (10)	9.5	13.8
Married	700 (70)	67.0	72.0
Divorced	110 (11)	8.8	16.8
Widowed	90 (9)	7.9	12.8
<b>Level of education</b>			
Primary	160 (16)	1.3	2.8
Secondary	320 (32)	27.1	34.1
College	280 (28)	19.6	33.2
University	240 (24)	22.3	26.6
<b>Occupation</b>			
Housewife	760 (76)	74.4	79.2
Government	110 (11)	8.9	12.5
Private	90 (9)	7.3	10.4
Self employed	40 (4)	3.5	7.5

The mean (SD) age was 28.3 (6.1) years ranging from 18 to 49 years. 55% of females were in the age group 20-29 . 70% females were married and 32% females had secondary level of education. The 76% of them were housewives

**Table 2: Percentage distribution of respondents by the number of antenatal visits, gestational age at booking, place of booking and out of pocket expenses**

Characteristics	Frequency %	95 % CI	
		Lower bound	Upper bound
<b>No. of antenatal visits</b>			
1-4	70 (7)	5.7	8.4
5-8	200 (20)	18.4	23.0
≥9	730 (73)	69.8	74.8
Mean (SD)	9.7 (3.6)	9.51	9.90
<b>Gestational age at booking (months)</b>			
>3	600 (60)	58.3	63.8
3-5	320 (32)	29.8	35.0
≥6	80 (8)	5.3	8.2
<b>Antenatal attendant</b>			
Doctor	110 (11)	9.2	12.6
Nurse	500 (50)	48.2	53.8
Both	390 (39)	35.5	40.7
<b>Time required to nearest MCH Clinic (min)</b>			
<15	580 (58)	54.8	60.3
15-29	350 (35)	31.9	37.1
≥30	70 (7)	6.2	9.4
Mean (SD) min	18.05 min		
<b>Expenses per antenatal visit (MYR)</b>			
None	190 (19)	16.7	21.1
1-10	200 (20)	19.6	24.3
11-50	480 (48)	46.0	51.6
≥51	130 (13)	8.9	12.4

The 73% of the respondents made more than nine antenatal visit during their last pregnancy . 60% of them booked antenatal visit before the third month followed by 32% who booked between 3 and 5 months

of gestation. 39% were attended by both doctor and nurse. On an average 18 min were required to attend the clinic. About 58% were attended to within 15 min. 19% did not have any out of pocket expenses.

**Table 3: Factors affecting satisfaction on antenatal care: multinomial logistic regression analysis**

Characteristics	Average Satisfaction				Highly Satisfied			
	$\beta$	Adj. OR	95 % CI		$\beta$	Adj. OR	95 % CI	
			Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper
<b>Level of education</b>								
Primary	-0.484	0.616	0.327	1.161	-0.984	0.374	0.182	0.769
Secondary	-0.788	0.455	0.158	1.31	-1.029	0.357	0.106	1.204
College	-0.334	0.716	0.441	1.164	-0.701	0.496	0.291	0.845
University (RC)		1				1		
<b>Occupation</b>								
Housewife	0.088	1.091	0.476	2.503	0.085	1.089	0.428	2.772
Government	-0.127	0.881	0.338	2.293	-0.251	0.778	0.265	2.282
Private	-0.845	0.43	0.17	1.087	-0.539	0.583	0.205	1.658
Self-employed (RC)		1				1		

A multinomial logistic regression was done to examine the factors affecting the level of satisfaction with antenatal care in which the satisfaction score was divided into three groups based on quartile score (poor, average and highly satisfied). It was found that 24.6 % were poorly satisfied and considered as reference category and 51 % had the average satisfaction and another 24.6 % were highly satisfied with antenatal care. Initially, all the explanatory variables were analyzed with the level of satisfaction using Chi square test of independence. The variables that were statistically significant in Chi square test. Similarly, respondents with secondary level of education 29.9 % were less likely to be highly satisfied, whereas, respondents having primary level of education, 1.6 % were less likely to be highly satisfied.

### Discussion

The socio-demographics; age, marital status, education attainment and employment status were found to have

some influence on women to access the ANC service. For example, women of high-income bracket owing to their steady flow of income received the services from private health facilities due to that, they could easily afford to pay for the service. Affordability of the services is regarded as the major reason for ANC health facility choice. Studies in Nigeria have shown that employment increases the family income appropriated to health care thereby increasing access of ANC and satisfaction. [22]

Furthermore, most of the beneficiaries of ANC were married implying that the larger proportion of participants have their partners encouraging and motivating them to seek for the service as it is regarded as beneficial to the couple. This is consistent with the study carried out in Kenya, Malawi, and Nigeria which revealed that expectant women's level of education has an effect on the quality of antenatal care accessed and satisfaction thereof. [23]

The maternal age in this study was highest in the age range of 20–29 years old, with the mean age of 28.3 years, showing a younger age group. A similar result was found in a study in Kuala Lumpur with a median of 29 years. [24] The characteristics of antenatal care received during last pregnancy revealed that the majority of them visited, at least, the state-recommended total of eight visits per pregnancy, which was similar to a high number of antenatal visits in developed countries such as in the United Kingdom and Sweden. [25,26] Two-thirds of the women visited the MCH clinic before 3 months of gestational age, which was also similar to Vietnam [27] and United Kingdom. [28]

### Conclusion

The study concludes that ANC services provision has an influence on the expectant mothers' satisfaction. Different dimensions of antenatal care services influence satisfaction differently and a combination of several dimensions posts increased satisfaction but the cost of ANC services, negatively influenced the satisfaction.

### References

1. Organization WH. Health Services Coverage Statistics. Antenatal care coverage (percentage). Geneva: WHO; 2013.
2. Organization WH. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.
3. Lori JR, Ofosu-Darkwah H, Boyd CJ, Banerjee T, Adanu RM. Improving health literacy through group antenatal care: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(1):1-9.
4. Ejigu Tafere T, Afework MF, Yalew AW. Antenatal care service quality increases the odds of utilizing institutional delivery in bahir Dar city administration, northwestern Ethiopia: a prospective follow up study. *PLoS one*. 2018;13(2):e0192428.
5. Joshi C, Torvaldsen S, Hodgson R, Hayen A. Factors associated with the use and quality of antenatal care in Nepal: a population-based study using the demographic and health survey data. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14(1):94.
6. Organization WH. WHO antenatal care randomized trial: Manual for the implementation of the new model. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2002.
7. Nyamtema AS, Bartsch-de Jong A, Urassa DP, Hagen JP, van Roosmalen J. The quality of antenatal care in rural Tanzania: what is behind the number of visits? *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2012;12(1):70.
8. Haruna U, Dandeebo G, Galaa SZ. Improving access and utilization of maternal healthcare services through focused antenatal care in rural Ghana: a qualitative study. *Adv Public Health*. 2019;2019.
9. Freeman FB. Assessment of client's satisfaction with quality of antenatal care at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital. University of Ghana; 2015.
10. Onyeajam DJ, Xirasagar S, Khan MM, Hardin JW, Odutolu O. Antenatal care satisfaction in a developing country: a cross-sectional study from Nigeria. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18(1):1-9.
11. Wolfe A. Institute of medicine report: crossing the quality chasm: a new health care system for the 21st century. *PPNP*. 2001;2(3):233-5.
12. Prathapan S, Lindmark G, Fonseka P, Lokubalasooriya A, Prathapan R. How good is the quality of antenatal care in the Colombo district of Sri Lanka in diagnosing and treating anaemia? *Qual Prim Care*. 2011;19(4).
13. Atinga R A, Baku A A. Determinants of antenatal care quality in Ghana. *Int J Soc Econ*. 2013;40(10):852-65.
14. Organization WH. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by

- WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. 2019.
15. Soliman FE-S. Satisfaction of rural pregnant women as quality indicator of provided antenatal care. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publication*. 2015;5(3).
  16. Tadesse Berehe T, Modibia LM. Assessment of quality of antenatal care services and Its determinant factors in public health facilities of hossana town, hadiya zone, southern Ethiopia: a longitudinal study. *Adv Public Health*. 2020;2020.
  17. Abebe S, Alemayehu A, Gebremariam A, Dirar A. Quality of antenatal care service in public health facilities of chenchu district, gamo gofa zone, southern Ethiopia. *MOJ Womens Health*. 2017;4(3):00086.
  18. Defar A, Getachew T, Taye G, Tadele T, Getnet M, Shumet T, et al. Quality antenatal care services delivery at health facilities of Ethiopia, assessment of the structure/input of care setting. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2020; 20:1-9.
  19. Ejigu T, Woldie M, Kifle Y. Quality of antenatal care services at public health facilities of bahir-Dar special zone, northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2013;13(1):1-8.
  20. Oladapo O, Osiberu M. Do socio-demographic characteristics of pregnant women determine their perception of antenatal care quality? *Matern Child Health J*. 2008;3(4):505-11.
  21. Fannya P, Putra DH, Dewi DR. The relationship of patient satisfaction level with word of mouth on health services: systematic review. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2022;9(8):3293-6.
  22. Osamor PE, Grady C. Autonomy and couples' joint decision-making in healthcare. *BMC Medical Ethics*. 2018 Dec; 19:1-8.
  23. Babalola, S. Women's education level, antenatal visits and the quality of skilled antenatal care: a study of three African countries. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2014;25(1):161-79.
  24. Pitaloka DS, Rizal AM. Patient's satisfaction in antenatal clinic hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. *Jurnal Kesihatan Masyarakat (Malaysia)*. 2006;12(1):1-0.
  25. Redshaw M, Heikkilä K. Delivered with care. A national survey of women's experience of maternity care 2010.
  26. Hildingsson I, Waldenström U, Adestad I. Women's expectations on antenatal care as assessed in early pregnancy: number of visits, continuity of caregiver and general content. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2022; 81(2):118–125.
  27. Tran KT, Gottvall K, Nguyen HD, Ascher H, Petzold M (2012) Factors associated with antenatal care adequacy in rural and urban contexts-results from two health and demographic surveillance sites in Vietnam. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2012; 12(40):1–10.
  28. Espinosa M. F. M., Erazo E. W. V., Villada N. Z., Sánchez D. A. G., García J. S. R., Peña C. A. E., Mejía, A. O., Rey J. V., & Pertuz J. G. V. Treatment of Ventral Hernia Laparoscopic or Open Approach? *Journal of Medical Research and Health Sciences*. 2022; 5(4): 1876–1880.