e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN:2820-2643

Available online on www.ijpcr.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2023; 15(4); 1130-1136

Original Research Article

Effect of Glycemic Control on Urinary Tract Infections in Type 2 Diabetic Mellitus

Ritesh Kumar¹, Ujwal Kumar², Sameer Trivedi³, Lalit Agarwal², Yashasvi Singh², Sridhar Reddy⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Professor, Department of Urology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

⁴Senior Resident, Department of Urology, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: 26-01-2023 / Revised: 20-02-2023 / Accepted: 30-03-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Ujwal Kumar

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is frequently associated with increased risk of Urinary Tract Infection (UTI). Bacterial UTI are common in diabetics and need aggressive treatment. In this study we aim to evaluate the effect of glycemic control on UTI in Type 2 diabetic patients.

Materials and Methods: This is a retrospective study that included patients reporting to the outdoor with type 2 diabetes mellitus and symptomatic UTI from January 2021 to October 2022. Patients were divided into two groups based on glycemic control, Group 1: good glycemic control (HbA1C <7%), Group 2: suboptimal glycemic control (HbA1C \geq 7%). Quantitative variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed using independent sample t-test. Qualitative variables were expressed as percentage and was analyzed using Fischer Exact test.

Results: We retrospectively collected and evaluated the data of 156 Type 2 DM patients with urinary tract infection. Prevalence of good glycemic control & suboptimal glycemic control was 33.7% 67.3 % respectively. Prevalence of Gram negative, Gram positive and Candida were 71.8, 19.9% 14.1% respectively. Acute pyelonephritis was significantly more in suboptimal glycemic control group in comparison to good glycemic control group [24.7% vs. 9.8%, p value 0.0325]. Cystitis was more common in good versus suboptimal glycemic control but was not statistically significant [78.4% vs 67.6%, p=0.19].

Conclusion: In type 2 diabetes mellitus, acute pyelonephritis was more common in suboptimal glycemic control group in comparison to good glycemic control. Age & WBC (White Blood Cell) count was significantly higher while Hemoglobin and GFR was significantly lower in suboptimal glycemic control group in comparison to good glycemic control group.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, urinary tract infection, glycemic control, acute pyelonephritis.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is frequently associated with increased risk of urinary tract infection [1-4]. Poor metabolic control in diabetes along with impaired immune system, microvascular disease in kidney and diabetic cytopathy contribute to it [5-8]. Severe form of urinary tract infections like emphysematous pyelonephritis is more frequent in diabetics [9]. Bacterial UTI are common in diabetics and needs aggressive treatment [10]. E. coli is the most common organism causing UTI, other pathogens that are highly prevalent in diabetics are Klebsiella, Enterococci, Pseudomonas, and Proteus mirabilis, group B Streptococci and fungal infections [11,12]. Improved glycemic control in diabetic cases helps in controlling UTI and proper and accurate screening for UTI in diabetics helps in avoiding complications There is limited [13]. information on glycemic control and UTI in India, therefore we aim to evaluate the effect of glycemic control on UTI in Type 2 Diabetes patients.

Materials and Methods

This is a retrospective study that included patients reporting to the Endocrinology and Urology Out Patient Department (OPD) with type 2 diabetes mellitus and symptomatic UTI from January 2021 to October 2022. Type 1 DM, pancreatic diabetes, steroid induced diabetes, and other types of diabetes were excluded. Further patients sterile on urine culture, pregnant female, patients with asymptomatic bacteriuria, patients onper urethral catheter and patients on maintenance hemodialysis were excluded. Information like patient's age, gender, relevant history, examination, laboratory report and imaging finding were collected from OPD record. Body mass index (BMI, Kg/M²) was calculated by height and weight measurement. The plasma glucose was measured by glucose oxidase method and the HbA1c was measured by Bio-Rad D-10

system using a high-performance liquid chromatography method. DM was diagnosed based on 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and/or glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) or based on record in OPD tickets for previously diagnosed diabetic cases [14]. Patients were divided into two groups based on glycemic control, Group 1: good glycemic control(HbA1C<7%), Group 2: suboptimal glycemic control (HbA1C ≥7%). (14) Midstream urine samples were collected after giving proper instructions. The urine samples were immediately transported to the microbiology laboratory. If the urine specimen was found to be contaminated repeat sample was collected on next day. Smears for Gram's staining, culture and biochemical tests for identifying the species of the pathogens were processed using the standard microbiological procedures. Diagnosis of UTI was made if cultures had >10⁵ colony forming units (CFUs)/mL of a single potential pathogen or two potential pathogens. The presence of yeast in any number was significant. **Quantitative** variables were expressed as mean±standard deviation and analyzed using independent sample t-test. Qualitative variables were expressed as percentage and was analyzed using Fischer Exact test. P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN: 2820-2643

Results

We retrospectively collected and evaluated the data of 156 patients having diagnosis of Type 2 DM with urinary tract infection. characteristics Baseline has been summarized in Table 1. Most common symptoms were dysuria (96.8%), frequency (94.2%) & urgency (84.6%) followed by sense of incomplete voiding (60.8%), fever straining (44.9%),(55.1%),to void abdominal (32.7%),urinary pain incontinence (14.1%) and hematuria (6.4%). Average duration of diabetes was 8.9 ±5.7 years & prevalence of newly diagnosed

e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN: 2820-2643

diabetes was 9.6%. Prevalence of Gram negative, Gram positive and Candida were 71.8, 19.9%& 14.1% respectively (Table 2). In Gram negative, *E. coli* (67.9%) was most common while in Gram positive, *Enterococcus fecalis* (70.9%) was most common organism (Table 2).

Prevalence of good glycemic control & suboptimal glycemic control was 33.7% & 67.3 % respectively. (Table 3). HbA1C [10.5±1.9% vs 6.1±0.6%, p=0.0001] and random plasma glucose 315±146.7 vs 142±52.6 mg/dL=0.0001] were significantly more in suboptimal versus good glycemic control. Age [56.2±10.3 vs 45.2±8.2 years, p=0.0001] & White Blood Cell (WBC) [16.8±8.5 vs 13.5±5.6 *10³/mm³, p=0.0128] were significantly more in suboptimal

glycemic control group versus good glycemic control group. Hemoglobin [9.26±1.9 vs. 10.5 ± 2.2 gm/dL, p=0.0004] and GFR (Glomerular Filtration Rate) [58±25.6vs. 72.2 ± 28.4 ml/minutes/1.73m², p=0.002l were significantly lower in suboptimal versus good glycemic control group. Acute pyelonephritis was significantly more in suboptimal glycemic control group as compared to good glycemic control group [24.7% vs. 9.8%, p=0.0325]. Cystitis was more common in good versus suboptimal glycemic control but not statistically significant [78.4% vs 67.6%, p=0.19]. Similarly, there was no significant difference in acute prostatitis and emphysematous pyelonephritis in good versus suboptimal glycemic control group (Table 3).

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study population

Total number of patients	n=156			
Age	$52 \pm 10.6 \text{ years}$			
Male/female	66(42.3%)/90(57.7%)			
Duration of diabetes	8.9±5.7 years			
Newly diagnosed diabetes	15(9.6%)			
Clinical presentation				
Urgency	132(84.6%)			
Frequency	147(94.2%)			
Dysuria	151(96.8%)			
Straining to void	70(44.9%)			
Sense of incomplete voiding	95(60.8%)			
Abdominal pain	51(32.7%)			
Fever	86(55.1%)			
Urinary incontinence	22(14.1%)			
Hematuria	10(6.4%)			
Body mass index	$26.8\pm5.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$			
Systolic blood pressure	$133.6 \pm 20.1 \text{ mm of mercury}$			
Diastolic blood pressure	81±8.8mm of mercury			
Comorbidities				
Hypertension	40.7%			
Coronary artery disease	10.8%			
Diabetic retinopathy	40.2%			
Renal calculi	10%			
Primary hypothyroidism	5.6%			
Treatment for diabetes				
Metformin	140(89.7%)			

Sulfonylurea	136(87.2%)
DPP 4 inhibitor	96(61.5%)
GLP1-analogue	2(1.3%)
SGLT 2 inhibitor	69(44.2%)
Insulin	24(15.4%)
Voglibose	16(10.3%)
Pioglitazones	6(3.8%)

Table 2: Microbial pathogen in urine culture of study population

Gram negative		Gram positive		Yeast
n=112(71.8%)		N=31(19.9%)		
Escherichia coli	76(67.9%)	Staphylococcus epidermidis	7(22.6%)	Candida
Klebsiella pneumonia	18(16.1%)	Staphylococcus aureus	1(3.2%)	albicans
Psuedomonas	7(6.3%)	Enterococcus fecalis	22(70.9%)	22(14.1%)
aeruginosa				
Proteus mirabilis	4(3.6%)	Beta hemolytic streptococcus	1(3.2%)	
Proteus aeruginosa	3(2.7%)			
Citrobacter bummanni	4(3.6%)			

Table 3: Comparison between good glycemic control versus suboptimal glycemic control groups

Variables	Good glycemic	Suboptimal glycemic control	P value
	control =51(32.7%)	n=105(67.3%)	
Cystitis	40(78.4%)	71(67.6%)	0.1900
Acute pyelonephritis	5(9.8%)	26(24.7%)	0.0325
Acute prostatitis	4(7.8%)	4(3.8%)	0.4395
Emphysematous	2(3.9%)	4(3.8%)	1.000
pyelonephritis			
HbA1C (%)	6.1±0.6%	10.5±1.9%	0.0001
Random plasma glucose	142±52.6	315±146.7	0.0001
(mg/dL)			
Age(years)	45.2±8.2	56.2±10.3	0.0001
WBC(10 ³ /mm ³)	13.5±5.6	16.8±8.5	0.0128
GFR(ml/minutes/1.73m ²)	72.2 ±28.4	58±25.6	0.002
Hemoglobin(gm/dL)	10.5±2.2	9.26±1.9	0.0004

Discussion

In our study we found increase incidence of UTI in suboptimal glycemic control diabetics as compared to good control diabetics. Previous study in the past have found diabetic women more predisposed to UTI as compared to those not having diabetes [15]. In this study we found *E. coli* (67.9%) to be

the most common Gram-negative organism followed by *K. pneumoniae* (16.1%) and *Psuedomonas aeruginosa* (6.3%). *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* was found to be responsible for about three fourth of gram-negative cases of UTI in Kuwait [13]. Another study found the prevalence of E. *coli*, *K. pneumoniae* and

e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN: 2820-2643

P. aeruginosa in 71.3%, 13.5% and 8.8% respectively in type 2 diabetic patients in south India [16]. In Gram positive, we found Enterococcus faecalis was most prevalent Staphylococcus (71%)followed by epidermidis in 22.6 % like another study from south India [16]. We found good glycemic control in one third of the cases and suboptimal glycemic control in two third of cases. Prevalence of good glycemic control has been reported between 13.7 % 2 to 44.8% in different studies in urinary tract infection with diabetic patients [13,16-18].

In our study we found cystitis in 78.4% of cases with good glycemic control as compared to 67.6% of cases of suboptimal glycemic control. Acute prostatitis and emphysematous pyelonephritis were found in 7.8% and 3.9% respectively in cases of good glycemic control and 3.8% and 3.8% respectively in those having poor glycemic control.

Acute pyelonephritis was found in 9.8% of patients with good glycemic control as compared to 24.7% in those with poor glycemic control (p-value 0.0325). In a study Washington State Health pyelonephritis was 4.1 times more common in premenopausal diabetic women than in non-diabetic women [19]. Another study reported patients with diabetes mellitus were 3 times more prone to hospitalization for pyelonephritis as compared to those without diabetes [20]. A Canadian study found 6-15 times more hospitalization for diabetic women as compared to non-diabetics and diabetic men needed 3.4-17 times more hospitalization as compared to non-diabetic men [21]. Risk of acute bacterial prostatitis, prostatic abscess has been found to increase in patients of diabetes mellitus [22,23].

In our study we found random blood glucose, HbA1C, age & WBC counts to be significantly higher while hemoglobin and GFR were significantly lower in suboptimal glycemic control group in comparison to good glycemic control group (p-value <0.05). Studies have shown increased blood glucose to be related to higher chances of UTI and bacteriuria [24,25]. On contrary to this, one meta-analysis and systemic review showed that increased blood glucose level was not a significant factor for UTI in diabetic patients [26].

e-ISSN: 0975-1556, p-ISSN: 2820-2643

A study done in Type 2 diabetes mellitus in females found age more than 40 years is an important risk factor for UTI [27]. Higher HbA1C has been shown to be strongly associated with risk of CKD [28]. The limitations of our study are retrospective nature, single centre study, small sample size, confounding factor like sex was not analyzed, follow up was not included.

Conclusion

type 2 diabetes mellitus. pyelonephritis was more common suboptimal glycemic control group comparison to good glycemic control. E. coli and Enterococcus fecalis was most common organism in Gram negative and Grampositive bacteria respectively. Age & WBC counts were significantly higher while Hemoglobin and GFR were significantly lower in suboptimal glycemic control group in comparison to good glycemic control group.

References

- 1. Patterson JE, Andriole VT. Bacterial urinary tract infections in diabetes. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 1997 Sep;11(3):735–50.
- 2. Joshi N, Caputo GM, Weitekamp MR, Karchmer AW. Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 1999 Dec 16;341(25):1906–12.
- 3. Boyko EJ, Fihn SD, Scholes D, Abraham L, Monsey B. Risk of urinary tract infection and asymptomatic bacteriuria among diabetic and nondiabetic

- postmenopausal women. Am J Epidemiol. 2005 Mar 15;161(6):557–64.
- 4. Shah BR, Hux JE. Quantifying the risk of infectious diseases for people with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2003 Feb;26(2):510–3.
- 5. Valerius NH, Eff C, Hansen NE, Karle H, Nerup J, Søeberg B, *et al.* Neutrophil and lymphocyte function in patients with diabetes mellitus. Acta Med Scand. 1982;211(6):463–7.
- 6. Geerlings SE, Stolk RP, Camps MJ, Netten PM, Hoekstra JB, Bouter PK, *et al.* Asymptomatic bacteriuria can be considered a diabetic complication in women with diabetes mellitus. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2000;485:309–14.
- 7. Truzzi JCI, Almeida FMR, Nunes EC, Sadi MV. Residual urinary volume and urinary tract infection--when are they linked? J Urol. 2008 Jul;180(1):182–5.
- 8. Hosking DJ, Bennett T, Hampton JR. Diabetic autonomic neuropathy. Diabetes. 1978 Oct;27(10):1043–55.
- 9. Ankel F, Wolfson AB, Stapczynski JS. Emphysematous cystitis: a complication of urinary tract infection occurring predominantly in diabetic women. Ann Emerg Med. 1990 Apr;19(4):404–6.
- 10. Meiland R, Geerlings SE, Hoepelman AIM. Management of bacterial urinary tract infections in adult patients with diabetes mellitus. Drugs. 2002;62(13):1859–68.
- 11. Ronald A. The etiology of urinary tract infection: traditional and emerging pathogens. Am J Med. 2002 Jul 8;113 Suppl 1A:14S-19S.
- 12. Aswani SM, Chandrashekar U, Shivashankara K, Pruthvi B. Clinical profile of urinary tract infections in diabetics and non-diabetics. Australas Med J. 2014;7(1):29–34.
- 13. Sewify M, Nair S, Warsame S, Murad M, Alhubail A, Behbehani K, *et al*. Prevalence of Urinary Tract Infection and

- Antimicrobial Susceptibility among Diabetic Patients with Controlled and Uncontrolled Glycemia in Kuwait. J Diabetes Res. 2016;2016:6573215.
- 14. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes— 2020 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. Clin Diabetes. 2020 Jan 1;38(1):10–38.
- 15. Patterson JE, Andriole VT. Bacterial urinary tract infections in diabetes. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 1995 Mar;9(1):25–51.
- 16. Janifer J, Geethalakshmi S, Satyavani K, Viswanathan V. Prevalence of lower urinary tract infection in South Indian type 2 diabetic subjects. Indian J Nephrol. 2009 Jul;19(3):107–11.
- 17. Tebit KE, Nkume F, Chongsi M, Francis J, Tanjeko A. Glycemic Control and Urinary Tract Infection in Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross Sectional Study. Res Rev J Med Health Sci. 2014 Jan 1;3:83–8
- 18. Ahmad S, Hussain A, Khan MSA, Shakireen N, Ali I. Diabetes mellitus and urinary tract infection: Causative uropathogens, their antibiotic susceptibility pattern and the effects of glycemic status. Pak J Med Sci. 2020;36(7):1550–7.
- 19. Scholes D, Hooton TM, Roberts PL, Gupta K, Stapleton AE, Stamm WE. Risk factors associated with acute pyelonephritis in healthy women. Ann Intern Med. 2005 Jan 4;142(1):20–7.
- 20. Benfield T, Jensen JS, Nordestgaard BG. Influence of diabetes and hyperglycaemia on infectious disease hospitalisation and outcome. Diabetologia. 2007 Mar; 50(3): 549–54.
- 21. Nicolle LE, Friesen D, Harding GK, Roos LL. Hospitalization for acute pyelonephritis in Manitoba, Canada, during the period from 1989 to 1992; impact of diabetes, pregnancy, and

- aboriginal origin. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am. 1996 Jun; 22(6): 1051–6.
- 22. Bilo HJG. [Susceptibility to infection in patients with diabetes mellitus]. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2006 Mar 11; 150(10):533–4.
- 23. Wen SC, Juan YS, Wang CJ, Chang K, Shih MCP, Shen JT, *et al*. Emphysematous prostatic abscess: case series study and review. Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis. 2012 May;16(5):e344-349.
- 24. Alemu M, Belete MA, Gebreselassie S, Belay A, Gebretsadik D. Bacterial Profiles and Their Associated Factors of Urinary Tract Infection and Detection of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Producing Gram-Negative Uropathogens Among Patients with Diabetes Mellitus at Dessie Referral Hospital, Northeastern Ethiopia. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther. 2020;13:2935–48.
- 25. Mama M, Manilal A, Gezmu T, Kidanewold A, Gosa F, Gebresilasie A. Prevalence and associated factors of

- urinary tract infections among diabetic patients in Arba Minch Hospital, Arba Minch province, South Ethiopia. Turk J Urol. 2019 Nov;45(1):56–62.
- 26. Tegegne KD, Wagaw GB, Gebeyehu NA, Yirdaw LT, Shewangashaw NE, Kassaw MW. Prevalence of urinary tract infections and risk factors among diabetic patients in Ethiopia, a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS One. 2023; 18(1):e0278028.
- 27. Aamir AH, Raja UY, Asghar A, Mahar SA, Ghaffar T, Ahmed I, *et al*. Asymptomatic urinary tract infections and associated risk factors in Pakistani Muslim type 2 diabetic patients. BMC Infect Dis. 2021 Apr 26;21(1):388.
- 28. Bash LD, Selvin E, Steffes M, Coresh J, Astor BC. Poor Glycemic Control in Diabetes and The Risk of Incident Chronic Kidney Disease Even in The Absence of Albuminuria and Retinopathy: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (Aric) Study. Arch Intern Med. 2008 Dec 8;168(22):2440–7.