

A Sonographic Measurement of Spleen in Relation to Age and Gender

Rahul Kulhari¹, Dhiraj Saxena², Seema Gupta³

¹3rd Year Resident, Department of Anatomy, SMS Medical College, Jaipur

²Senior Professor, Department of Anatomy, SMS Medical College, Jaipur

³Senior Professor & HOD, Department of Anatomy, SMS Medical College, Jaipur

Received: 27-03-2023 / Revised: 30-04-2023 / Accepted: 25-05-2023

Corresponding author: Dr. Rahul Kulhari

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract

Methods: The cross-sectional study was conducted in Anatomy and Radiology Department SMS Medical College from June 2020 to 31 December 2022 on 130 subjects on morphometric analysis of spleen size and its correlation with age and sex. The mean and standard deviation of all parameters was calculated accordance to accepted statistical methods (Microsoft excel 2007). The difference in mean of various parameters were tested for significance using unpaired 't' test and Chi square test.

Results: In our study we found that mean spleen length in males was 9.644 ± 0.6978 whereas the mean spleen length was 9.181 ± 0.1509 in females i.e. the mean length of spleen was more in males as compared to female and application of t test showed that this difference was statistically significant.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that the basic knowledge of splenic dimensions by ultrasonography may be essential for providing the guideline and reference value to the radiologists, surgeons and clinicians for splenic diseases in Jaipur region.

Keywords: Spleen, Anatomy, Ultrasonography.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The Spleen is an organ found in virtually all vertebrate animals. In humans, the spleen is brownish color and is located in the left upper quadrant of the abdomen¹. The spleen is the largest lymphoid soft organ that lies in the left hypochondrium between the fundus of the stomach and the diaphragm Its long axis extends from 9th to 11th ribs on the left side with its long axis running parallel to the 10th rib. [1,2]

The shape of the spleen is ovoid-like pulpy mass about the size and shape of one's fist with a convex outer diaphragmatic surface and an indented inner visceral surface. The diaphragmatic surface of spleen is

convex and smooth to fit the concavity of the diaphragm, while the visceral surface is irregular and related to the stomach, left kidney, left suprarenal gland, and left colic flexure. The medial end (apex) lies in line with the spine of 10th thoracic vertebra about 4 cm from the midline, and the lateral end (base) does not descend beyond the midaxillary line [3,4,5].

The functions of the spleen are centred on the systemic circulation. It contains two functionally and morphologically distinct compartments: the red pulp and the white pulp. The red pulp functions as a blood filter that removes foreign material and

damaged erythrocytes, and the white pulp initiates immune responses to blood-borne antigens [6-7]

Material and methods

Place of study: Department of Anatomy, S.M.S. Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur Rajasthan.

Study Duration: From approval of institutional ethics committee till completion of work.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Study type: Descriptive observational study.

Inclusion criteria

- All apparently healthy individuals and age greater than 13 years

Exclusion criteria

1. Individuals with a recurrent clinical history of malaria
2. Recurrent history of typhoid fever
3. Individuals with a history of infections (infectious mononucleosis, kala-azar, endocarditis, sarcoidosis, toxoplasmosis).
4. Recent history of upper abdominal surgery
5. Individuals with any case finding on sonographic examinations (cirrhosis,

melanoma, lymphoma, metastasis, any cystic or solid massive lesions)

6. Individuals with Diabetic Mellitus (DM) cases.
7. Hypertensive individuals
8. Individuals with a history of heart disease
9. Individuals with a recent abdominal traumatic condition (within the previous 6 months)
10. Pregnant women \
11. History of sickle cell anemia.
12. Very old age

Method of data collection

The present study were conducted in radiology department SMS Medical College and Hospital where patients coming for sonography were examined for spleen measurement after applying the exclusion and inclusion criteria. All dimensions of spleen were measured and its relation with age and gender will be analyzed.

Statistical analysis

Collected data will be entered in Microsoft excel 2016 software. Quantitative data will be measured in terms of mean, median and standard deviation and qualitative data in terms of proportions.

Results

Table 1:

| Parameters | Male Age in Year Group | No. of cases | Mean in cm | Std. Deviation | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | P value (ANOVA) |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | |
| spleen length | 21-30 | 23 | 10.465 | 0.3446 | 10.316 | 10.614 | <0.001 |
| | 31-40 | 19 | 9.811 | 0.4458 | 9.596 | 10.025 | |
| | 41-50 | 20 | 9.175 | 0.1585 | 9.101 | 9.249 | |
| | 51-60 | 16 | 8.850 | 0.1414 | 8.775 | 8.925 | |
| | Total | 78 | 9.644 | 0.6978 | 9.486 | 9.801 | |
| spleen width | 21-30 | 23 | 4.526 | 0.1630 | 4.456 | 4.597 | <0.001 |
| | 31-40 | 19 | 4.442 | 0.1895 | 4.351 | 4.533 | |
| | 41-50 | 20 | 4.330 | 0.2003 | 4.236 | 4.424 | |
| | 51-60 | 16 | 4.000 | 0.3967 | 3.789 | 4.211 | |
| | Total | 78 | 4.347 | 0.3057 | 4.279 | 4.416 | |
| | 21-30 | 23 | 3.457 | 0.1754 | 3.381 | 3.532 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|----|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| spleen thickness | 31-40 | 19 | 3.405 | 0.1079 | 3.353 | 3.457 | 0.067 |
| | 41-50 | 20 | 3.395 | 0.1849 | 3.308 | 3.482 | |
| | 51-60 | 16 | 3.306 | 0.1982 | 3.201 | 3.412 | |
| | Total | 78 | 3.397 | 0.1743 | 3.358 | 3.437 | |

Table 2: Tukey post hoc test

| Parameters | Male Age in Year Group | Male Age Group | P value |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Spleen Length | 21-30 | 31-40 | <0.001 |
| | | 41-50 | <0.001 |
| | | 51-60 | <0.001 |
| | 31-40 | 21-30 | <0.001 |
| | | 41-50 | <0.001 |
| | | 51-60 | <0.001 |
| | 41-50 | 21-30 | <0.001 |
| | | 31-40 | <0.001 |
| | | 51-60 | .0012 |
| | 51-60 | 21-30 | <0.001 |
| | | 41-50 | <0.001 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.012 |
| Spleen Width | 21-30 | 31-40 | 0.680 |
| | | 41-50 | 0.048 |
| | | 51-60 | <0.001 |
| | 31-40 | 21-30 | 0.680 |
| | | 41-50 | 0.477 |
| | | 51-60 | <0.001 |
| | 41-50 | 21-30 | 0.048 |
| | | 31-40 | 0.477 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.001 |
| | 51-60 | 21-30 | <0.001 |
| | | 41-50 | <0.001 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.001 |
| Spleen Thickness | 21-30 | 31-40 | 0.764 |
| | | 41-50 | 0.637 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.039 |
| | 31-40 | 21-30 | 0.764 |
| | | 41-50 | 0.998 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.320 |
| | 41-50 | 21-30 | 0.637 |
| | | 31-40 | 0.998 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.407 |
| | 51-60 | 21-30 | 0.039 |
| | | 41-50 | 0.320 |
| | | 51-60 | 0.407 |

Table 3:

| Parameters | Female Age in Year Group | N | Mean in cm | Std. Deviation | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | P Value (ANOVA) |
|------------------|--------------------------|----|------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | |
| spleen length | 21-30 | 20 | 9.190 | 0.1071 | 9.140 | 9.240 | 0.002 |
| | 31-40 | 11 | 9.309 | 0.1578 | 9.203 | 9.415 | |
| | 41-50 | 8 | 9.125 | 0.1282 | 9.018 | 9.232 | |
| | 51-60 | 13 | 9.092 | 0.1498 | 9.002 | 9.183 | |
| | Total | 52 | 9.181 | 0.1509 | 9.139 | 9.223 | |
| spleenwidth | 21-30 | 20 | 4.060 | 0.1353 | 3.997 | 4.123 | <0.001 |
| | 31-40 | 11 | 4.209 | 0.1446 | 4.112 | 4.306 | |
| | 41-50 | 8 | 4.225 | 0.1488 | 4.101 | 4.349 | |
| | 51-60 | 13 | 3.800 | 0.2972 | 3.620 | 3.980 | |
| | Total | 52 | 4.052 | 0.2469 | 3.983 | 4.121 | |
| spleen thickness | 21-30 | 20 | 3.265 | 0.1531 | 3.193 | 3.337 | 0.099 |
| | 31-40 | 11 | 3.191 | 0.2119 | 3.049 | 3.333 | |
| | 41-50 | 8 | 3.088 | 0.1126 | 2.993 | 3.182 | |
| | 51-60 | 13 | 3.208 | 0.1706 | 3.105 | 3.311 | |
| | Total | 52 | 3.208 | 0.1725 | 3.160 | 3.256 | |

Discussion

Relatively few studies have been performed in past to formally assess the variation in the morphometry of spleen dimensions and compare the presence of a significant difference between sex and age with help of USG in our tertiary centre. The present study was conducted on subjects of different age attending OPD or admitted in SMS and attached hospitals, Jaipur. It was carried out on 130 subjects (78 males versus 52 females) whose morphometry of spleen dimensions was performed with help of USG.

The sonography assessment of spleen dimensions provides essential inputs for clinicians in daily clinical practice for the proper diagnosis of splenomegaly. The study provides estimates of spleen to help radiologist for the diagnosis of diseases related to splenomegaly and atrophy also used for hematologist and immunologist for the diagnosis of various gastrointestinal and hematological diseases in addition to forensic studies.

Spleen Length: In our study we found that mean spleen length in males was

9.644 ± 0.6978 whereas the mean spleen length was 9.181 ± 0.1509 in females i.e. the mean length of spleen was more in males as compared to female and application of t test showed that this difference was statistically significant.

Sharma et al [8] (2021) in their study found that that spleen length among males (9.74 ± 1.44 cm) were longer than those of females' splenic length (9.40 ± 1.31 cm) which is consistent to our results.

Capaccioli et al [9] in his study finds spleens of men being 0.5cm longer than those of women splenic length which is consistent to our results. The mean spleen length was lower among females than males. This is due to fewer red cell mass in female and other genetic factors.

The difference in mean spleen length in various studies could be explained on basis of difference in sample size and racial and genetic factors among subjects in various studies.

In the study done by Mittal et al. [10] in Rajasthan the splenic length in males and females measure 9.4cm and 9.34cm

respectively which is comparable to our results.

In contrast to our findings Ahmed OF et al. [11] study in Egypt found that splenic length infemales than males.

Conclusion

It can be concluded that the basic knowledge of splenic dimensions by ultrasonography may be essential for providing the guideline and reference value to the radiologists, surgeons and clinicians for splenic diseases in Jaipur region

References

1. Singh V. Textbook of Anatomy Abdomen and Lower Limb. Volume II. 2nd ed. India: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014; 93.
2. Sinnatamby CS. Last's Anatomy e-Book: Regional and Applied. 12th ed. India: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2011; 560.
3. Nayak BN, Buttar HS. Health benefits of tryptophan in children and adults. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology Management. 2015; 1:8-12.
4. Chaudhry SR, Panuganti K. Anatomy, Abdomen and Pelvis. Spleen StatPearls Treasure Island (FL): Stat Pearls Publishing Stat Pearls Publishing LLC; 2019.
5. Moore K, Dalley I. AF & Agur, AMR Clinically Oriented Anatomy. Philadelphia, Wolters Kluwer Health/ Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2014.
6. Haley PJ. The lymphoid system: A review of species differences. Journal of Toxicologic Pathology. 2017;30(2): 111-123.
7. Nolte MA, Hamann A, Kraal G, Mebius RE. The strict regulation of lymphocyte migration to splenic white pulp does not involve common homing receptors. Immunology. 2002;106(3): 299-307.
8. Sharma K, Lamichhane P, Sharma B, Sharma B. Sonographic measurement of spleen in relation to age: A prospective study among adult Nepalese people in Western Nepal. Journal of Gandaki Medical College Nepal. 2017;10(1):11-16.
9. Capaccioli L, Stecco A, Vanzi E, Brizzi E, Ultrasonographic study on the growth and dimensions of healthy children and adult's organs. Ital J Anat Embryol. 2000; 105: 1-50.
10. Alp Alper Safak, Enver Simsek, Talat Bahcebasi. Sonographic assessment of the normal limits and percentile curves of liver, spleen and kidney dimensions in healthy school aged children. J. ultrasound med 2005; 24; 1359 – 64.
11. Ahmed OF. Ahmed of assessment of normal hepatosplenic span using ultrasound in Egyptian population. Natural Sciences. 2017;15(8):215-219.