

To Evaluate Efficacy and Safety of Latanoprost and Timolol in Patients of Primary Open Angle Glaucoma in a Tertiary Care Hospital of North India.Sanjay Kumar Verma¹, Neetu Gupta², Akanksha Suman³¹Associate Professor, Department Of Pharmacology, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar²Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar³Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar

Received: 19-06-2023 / Revised: 18-07-2023 / Accepted: 20-08-2023

Corresponding author: Neetu Gupta

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:**Background:** Glaucoma is a progressive disorder of eye characterized by raised intraocular pressure (IOP) leading to optic nerve damage and blindness. There is rapid shift from traditional use of beta-blockers to PG analogues for the treatment of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) as first line of drug therapy. In this study we compared the efficacy and safety of latanoprost (PGA) with Timolol (beta-blocker).**Materials and Methods:** A total of 70 newly diagnosed patients POAG who fulfilled the inclusion/exclusion criteria were enrolled and randomized into two groups. The first group (L-Group) was prescribed topical latanoprost 0.005% eye drop once daily, whereas the second group (T-Group) was prescribed topical timolol 0.5% eye drop twice a day. IOP was recorded at baseline, at the end of 1st week, 4th week and 12th week in both the groups and assessment of any adverse effects was done.**Results:** The IOP lowering efficacy of latanoprost was found to be superior to timolol. In the latanoprost group, the mean reduction in IOP from baseline to final visit was 10.13±0.13 mmHg, whereas only 5.84±0.03 mmHg in the timolol group which was statistically significant (p-value <0.001). Predominant adverse effect in L-group was conjunctival hyperemia and in T-group it was dry eye.**Conclusion:** Latanoprost was found to be having better efficacy and safety as compared to Timolol.**Keywords:** Intraocular Pressure; Latanoprost; Timolol; Open Angle Glaucoma.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness affecting approximately 65 million people worldwide, causing blindness in 10% of affected population. [1] Glaucoma is a chronic, progressive optic neuropathy occurring due to a group of ocular conditions, which leads to raised intraocular pressure (IOP) leading to optic nerve damage and visual function loss. Elevated IOP is the major risk factor that aggravates the course of the disease. Increase IOP results either due to increased formation of aqueous humour or its decreased outflow. IOP may also be raised due to increased pressure in the episcleral veins. [2] Other mechanisms such as neurotoxicity or impaired blood circulation may contribute to the damage. In glaucoma the only risk factor amenable to therapeutic intervention today is the IOP.

There are various classes of ocular hypotensives, which include β -blockers, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, α -2-adrenergics, and prostaglandin analogues (PGA). Treatment is usually initiated

either with a topical beta-adrenergic antagonist or a topical (PGA). [3,4,5]

Timolol is a beta-adrenergic blocking agent. It reduces IOP by decreasing aqueous humor production by acting on ciliary epithelium. [6] Maximum IOP reducing effect of timolol is seen at 2 h after initiation and lasts for 24 h. Approximately 80% of topically administered drug is reported to drain through nasolacrimal duct and absorbed systemically. This systemically absorbed timolol can cause adverse effects such as bradycardia, hypotension, bronchospasm, and respiratory failure. [7,8] Hence, it is contraindicated in patients who have a history of cardiac disease or asthma. [9]

Prostaglandin analogues are the latest therapeutic agents in glaucoma medication. [10] Latanoprost reduces IOP by stimulating aqueous humor drainage primarily through the uveoscleral outflow pathway but significant effects on trabecular

outflow have also been reported. [11,12] Prostaglandin analogues have been shown to be more effective in lowering IOP than timolol.

As new antiglaucoma drugs are being continuously added to the pharmaceutical armamentarium, the ophthalmologists are in a dilemma for selecting the best drug from the vast array of available options. The European Glaucoma Society and the Asia-Pacific Glaucoma Society guidelines and various other guidelines recommend PGA as the first line of drug in the management of glaucoma because of their efficacy, low risk of systemic side effects, and convenient once-daily dosing. [13,14,15] This article aims to compare the efficacy and safety of latanoprost and timolol in primary open angle glaucoma.

Materials and Methods

It was an open-labelled, prospective, interventional, simple randomized clinical study conducted in patients of POAG in the Department of Pharmacology in collaboration with Department of Ophthalmology in a tertiary care hospital of north India. Institutional Ethical Committee clearance was sought before initiation of the study. Study duration was 12 months.

Newly diagnosed patients of primary open angle glaucoma belonging to both genders and age above 40 years were included. A written informed consent was taken from every patient at the time of enrolment. Pregnant, lactating women and patients with any comorbidity were excluded from study.

A total of 70 patients were enrolled for the study. Demographic data (Age, Sex, Education and

Locality) was recorded for each patient. Patients underwent Tonometry, Gonioscopy, Perimetry and Fundus examination at the time of enrolment. The patients were divided into two groups using simple random technique. First group the latanoprost group (L-group) and the second group timolol group (T-group). L-Group was prescribed topical Latanoprost 0.005% eye drop once daily and T-Group was prescribed topical Timolol 0.5% eye drop twice a day.

Applanation tonometer was used to record IOP. IOP reading will be taken at baseline (at time of enrolment), end of 1st week, 4th week and 12th week. The patients were also monitored for other changes in the eyes and adverse effects during these visits.

Data collected in the study was analysed using SPSS version 23. Demographic data is presented as mean and frequency. One way ANOVA was used to compare intragroup data. Intergroup data was analysed using independent student t-test. Adverse effects recorded during the study have been presented as frequency and percentage.

Results and Observations

A total of 80 patients were enrolled in the study and divided into two equal groups (40 each). 4 patients in L-group and 6 patients in T-group were lost to follow up. Total of 70 patients completed the study. Demographic profile of the enrolled patients is presented in Table 1. Patients in both groups were similar in characteristics. Mean IOP in both groups was comparable (statistically non-significant) at the start of the study.

Table 1: Demographic profile of the participants

Variables	Latanoprost	Timolol
AGE (In Years) Mean \pm S.D.	52.95 \pm 9.43	51.05 \pm 9.78
SEX		
Male	22	19
Female	14	15
EDUCATION		
Illiterate	12	16
Literate	24	18
LOCALITY		
Urban	23	25
Rural	13	9
Mean Baseline IOP	23.60 \pm 1.10	23.78 \pm 0.89

Table 2: Reveals a progressive decrease in mean IOP with Latanoprost therapy when the patients were compared at every subsequent follow up with the IOP of previous follow up findings. This reduction in IOP was statistically significant by one-way ANOVA. ($p < 0.001$)

Table 2: Comparison of mean IOP in Latanoprost group by one- way ANOVA.

Visits	Mean	S.D.	Minimum	Maximum	F-value	P-value
Baseline	23.60	1.10	22	25	851.42	< 0.001*
1 st follow up	19.31	0.83	18	21		
2 nd follow up	16.30	0.70	15	18		
3 rd follow up	13.47	0.97	12	15		

Table 3: Shows a decreasing trend in the mean values of IOP from first to third follow up with Timolol eye drop. This reduction in IOP was statistically significant by one-way ANOVA ($p < 0.001$).

Table 3: Comparison of mean IOP in Timolol group by one-way ANOVA.

Follow-up	Mean	S. D	Minimum	Maximum	F-value	P-value
Base line	23.78	0.89	22	25	235.36	< 0.001*
1 st follow up	21.38	1.07	20	23		
2 nd follow up	19.38	1.14	17	21		
3 rd follow up	17.94	0.92	16	20		

Table 4: Latanoprost produced a greater reduction in mean IOP at each follow-up as compared to Timolol ($P < 0.001$)

Table 4: Comparison of mean IOP reduction by latanoprost and timolol group by student independent t-test

Visits	Latanoprost	Timolol	t-Value	P-Value
	Mean± S. D	Mean± S. D		
Baseline	23.60±1.10	23.78±0.89	0.780	0.438#
1 st follow up	19.31±0.83	21.38±1.07	9.588	< 0.001*
2 nd follow up	16.30±0.70	19.38±1.14	14.009	< 0.001*
3 rd follow up	13.47±0.97	17.94±0.92	19.75	< 0.001*

Table 5: Adverse effects of Latanoprost and Timolol

Adverse Effects	Latanoprost number of Patients (%)	Timolol number of patients (%)
Blurred vision	1(4.1)	3(12.5)
Burning	2(8.3)	2(8.3)
Dry Eye	1(4.1)	4(16.6)
Headache	2(8.3)	3(12.5)
Conjunctival hyperemia	4(16.6)	1(4.1)

Discussion

Glaucoma is an ocular disease having multiple causes, often insidious in onset and gradually progressive, resulting in permanent visual loss, hence, it is also called as the “silent thief of sight”. Raised IOP is a significant and modifiable risk factor in the development and progression of glaucoma.[16,17]. Many randomized clinical trials have shown that reducing IOP slows the onset and progression of glaucoma. [18, 19]

Increasing age is a major risk factor for POAG. In this study, most of the patients attending the ophthalmology outpatient department were in the mean age in L- group was 52.95 ± 9.43 and in T-group was 51.05 ± 9.78 with male preponderance, males 41 (59%) and females 29 (41%). The study results were in concordance with a number of other epidemiological studies which showed that prevalence of glaucoma increases dramatically with age, especially after the age of 40 years, [20,21,22,23] whereas a study done by Sharma et al.⁽²⁴⁾ showed that the highest number of patients belonged to >60 years of age group (34%). It may be due to a decline in retinal ganglion cell number and reduced neural capacity with advancing age.

Studies of gender influence on glaucoma prevalence have been conflicting. Our study results were similar with other observations documented by Agarwal et al. [23] Das et al. [25] Mehani et al.

[26] and Parrish et al. [27] and results were in contrast to a study done by Soumya et al. [28] who had more females (32) as compared to males (28) in their study subjects.

In latanoprost group there was decline in mean IOP at every follow up visit as compare to baseline. There was progressive decline in mean IOP at every follow up visit from baseline in timolol group also. When comparison between mean decline in IOP was done between Latanoprost and timolol group, it was found that latanoprost is superior to timolol at every follow up. The final reduction in the mean IOP in latanoprost group was 10.13 ± 0.13 mmHg as compare to Timolol group which was 5.84 ± 0.03 mmHg. The difference in IOP reduction from baseline to final follow up visit was 4.29 ± 0.16 mmHg. This difference in IOP was also statistically significant. The study results were in agreement with other studies done by Soumya et al [28] Rao and Narayanan [29], Gulati et al. [30], and Harasyamowycz et al. [31] A meta-analysis done by Zhang et al. [32] showed that once-daily administration of Latanoprost produces a consistent reduction in IOP and stabilizes the IOP diurnal curve as well, whereas timolol has no additional benefit of stabilization of IOP compared to latanoprost. This fact again reinforces the superior efficacy of latanoprost over timolol.

In this study the adverse effect seen were local adverse effects, Conjunctival hyperemia was seen in more number of patients who received Latanoprost compared to patients who received Timolol. This result was similar to study done by Lou H. et al. [33] where Latanoprost caused conjunctival hyperemia in more patients than timolol. Dry eye, Blurred vision were mostly reported in Timolol group. There were no systemic side effects observed in both the treatment group during the study.

Conclusion

Although both Timolol and Latanoprost reduced the IOP in glaucomatous patients but Latanoprost showed higher efficacy in reducing the IOP as compared to Timolol. Once a day dosing of Latanoprost plays a major role in its better compliance and its round the clock control of IOP, added action on outflow tract and probable safer systemic side effects profile make it more preferable over Timolol eye drop.

According to the Indian scenario, management of glaucoma should be initiated with Timolol as first line drug as it is effective and affordable to the patients and Latanoprost can be used as an add on therapy in patients who show lesser response or as an alternative treatment in case of any contraindication to use of Timolol.

References:

1. Tham YC, Li X, Wong TY, Quigley HA, Aung T, Cheng CY. Global prevalence of glaucoma and projections of glaucoma burden through 2040: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2014; 121:2081-90.
2. Quigley HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. *British journal of ophthalmology*. 2006;90(3):262-7.
3. Katsanos A, Riva I, Bozkurt B, Holló G, Quaranta L, Oddone F, et al. A new look at the safety and tolerability of prostaglandin analogue eyedrops in glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2022; 21:525-39
4. Li T, Lindsley K, Rouse B, Hong H, Shi Q, Friedman DS, et al. Comparative effectiveness of first-line medications for primary open-angle glaucoma: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123: 129-40
5. Lin L, Zhao YJ, Chew PT, Sng CC, Wong HT, Yip LW, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of topical prostaglandin analogues for primary open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *Ann Pharmacother* 2014; 48:1585-93
6. Boger WP 3rd, Puliafito CA, Steinert RF, Langston DP. Longterm experience with timolol ophthalmic solution in patients with open-angle glaucoma. *Ophthalmology* 1978; 85:259-67.
7. Shell JW. Pharmacokinetics of topically applied ophthalmic drugs. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1982; 26:207-18
7. Shell JW. Pharmacokinetics of topically applied ophthalmic drugs. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1982; 26:207-18
8. Zimmerman TJ, Baumann JD, Hetherington J Jr. Side effects of timolol. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1983;28 Suppl:243-51.
9. Nieminen T, Lehtimäki T, Mäenpää J, Ropo A, Uusitalo H, Kähönen M. Ophthalmic timolol: Plasma concentration and systemic cardiopulmonary effects. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2007; 67:237-45.
10. Hoyng PF, van Beek LM. Pharmacological therapy for glaucoma: A review. *Drugs* 2000; 59:411-34.
11. Toris CB, Camras CB, Yablonski ME, Brubaker RF. Effects of exogenous prostaglandins on aqueous humor dynamics and blood-aqueous barrier function. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1997;41 Suppl 2: S69-75
12. Weinreb RN, Toris CB, Gabelt BT, Lindsey JD, Kaufman PL. Effects of prostaglandins on the aqueous humor outflow pathways. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2002;47 Suppl 1: S53-64.
13. 2020 European Glaucoma Society Terminology and Guidelines for Glaucoma. 5th ed. 2020 Available from: https://www.eugs.org/eng/egs_guidelines_reg.asp
14. Asia-Pacific Glaucoma Society (APGS). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Kugler Publications 2016 Available from: [https:// pdf4pro.com/view/asia-pacific-glaucoma-guidelines-1f2de5.html](https://pdf4pro.com/view/asia-pacific-glaucoma-guidelines-1f2de5.html)
15. Gedde SJ, Vinod K, Wright MM, Muir KW, Lind JT, Chen PP, et al. Primary open-angle glaucoma preferred practice pattern®. *Ophthalmology* 2021;128: P71-150
16. Lee AJ, McCluskey P. Clinical utility and differential effects of prostaglandin analogs in the management of raised intraocular pressure and ocular hypertension. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2010;4: 741-64
17. Hazin R, Hendrick AM, Kahook MY. Primary open-angle glaucoma: Diagnostic approaches and management. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2009; 101:46-50
18. Leske MC, Connell AM, Schachat AP, Hyman L. The Barbados eye study. Prevalence of open angle glaucoma. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1994; 112: 821-9
19. The Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS): 7. The Shaifali et al. Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of latanoprost and timolol 2020 | Vol 10 | Issue 05 *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 404 relationship between control of intraocular

- pressure and visual field deterioration. The AGIS investigators. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2000; 130:429-40.
20. . Le A, Mukesh BN, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Risk factors associated with the incidence of open-angle glaucoma: The visual impairment project. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2003; 44: 3783-9.
 21. Weih LM, Nanjan M, McCarty CA, Taylor HR. Prevalence and predictors of open-angle glaucoma: Results from the visual impairment project. *Ophthalmology.* 2001; 108:1966-72.
 22. . Russo A, Riva I, Pizzolante T, Noto F, Quaranta L. Latanoprost ophthalmic solution in the treatment of open angle glaucoma or raised intraocular pressure: A review. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2008; 2:897-905.
 23. . Agarwal S, Shamshad MA, Goel D, Ansari M. Distribution of glaucoma in the major religious communities of a North Indian town: A hospital survey. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013; 7:499-502.
 24. Sharma S, Gupta K, Kaur P, Kaur I, Kulshrestha MR, Aggarwal A. Clinical profile and subtypes of glaucoma in Northern India. *Sch Acad J Biosci* 2015; 3:766-73.
 25. Das J, Bhomaj S, Chaudhuri Z, Sharma P, Negi A, Dasgupta A. Profile of glaucoma in a major eye hospital in North India. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2001; 49:25-30.
 26. Mehani R, Gupta S, Yadav MV, Shukla SD. A comparative study on safety and efficacy of travoprost and brimonidine/ timolol fixed combination in patients of primary open-angle glaucoma. *Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol.* 2015; 4:976-80.
 27. . Parrish RK, Palmberg P, Sheu WP, XLT Study Group. A comparison of latanoprost, bimatoprost, and travoprost in patients with elevated intraocular pressure: A 12-week, randomized, masked-evaluator multicenter study. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2003; 135:688-703.
 28. . Soumya R, Jayanthi CR, Sujatha BL. Efficacy and safety of timolol and latanoprost in the treatment of primary open-angle glaucoma. *Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol.* 2017; 7:844.
 29. Rao S, Narayanan PV. A randomised open label comparative clinical trial on the efficacy of latanoprost and timolol in primary open angle glaucoma. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016; 10: FC13-5.
 30. Gulati V, Fan S, Zhao M, Maslonka MA, Gangahar C, Toris CB. Diurnal and nocturnal variations in aqueous humor dynamics of patients with ocular hypertension undergoing medical therapy. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2012; 130:677-84.
 31. Peeters A, Schouten JS, Severens JL, Hendrikse F, Prins MH, Webers CA. Latanoprost versus timolol as first choice therapy in patients with ocular hypertension. A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2012; 90:146-54.
 32. Zhang WY, Po AL, Dua HS, Azuara-Blanco A. Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials comparing latanoprost with timolol in the treatment of patients with open angle glaucoma or ocular hypertension. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2001; 85:983-90.
 33. Lou H, Zong Y, Ge YR, Cheng JW, Wei RL. Efficacy and tolerability of latanoprost compared with timolol in the treatment of patients with chronic angle-closure glaucoma. *Current medical research and opinion.* 2014 Jul 1;30 (7):1367-73.