

Postoperative Pain Management in Gynecologic Surgery: Evaluating the Role of Multimodal Anesthesia

Saba Musharaf¹, Heena Chowdhary², Salma Mariyam³, Irm Yasmeen⁴, Rohey Jan⁵

¹Assistant Professor Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, GMC Rajouri, India

²Assistant Professor Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology GMC Jammu, India

³Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, GMC Jammu, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Blood Transfusion Medicine, GMC and AH Rajouri, Jammu and Kashmir, India

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, SKIMS, India

Received: 25-07-2024 / Revised: 23-08-2024 / Accepted: 26-09-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. Heena Chowdhary

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:

Background: Effective postoperative pain management is crucial in gynecologic surgery to enhance patient recovery and satisfaction. Multimodal anesthesia uses a combination of analgesic techniques to improve pain relief, reduce opioid use, and speed up recovery.

Objectives: To evaluate the effectiveness of multimodal anesthesia in reducing pain, opioid consumption, and adverse effects in patients undergoing gynecologic surgeries.

Methods: A prospective study was conducted with 100 patients undergoing elective gynecologic surgeries. Patients were divided into two groups: one receiving multimodal anesthesia and the other receiving traditional opioid-based analgesia. Data on pain scores, opioid use, adverse effects, and recovery milestones were collected.

Results: Patients in the multimodal group experienced significantly lower pain scores, reduced opioid use, and fewer side effects compared to the traditional analgesia group.

Conclusion: Multimodal anesthesia provides superior pain control, minimizes opioid requirements, and enhances recovery, making it a preferable approach for managing postoperative pain in gynecologic surgeries.

Keywords: Multimodal anesthesia, Postoperative pain management, Gynecologic surgery, Opioid-sparing strategies, regional anesthesia, Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Pain control, Patient outcomes.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The management of postoperative pain in gynecologic surgery plays a crucial role in patient recovery and overall outcomes. Poor pain control can lead to delayed recovery, increased complications, prolonged hospital stays, and decreased patient satisfaction [1]. Traditionally, opioids have been the mainstay for managing postoperative pain due to their strong analgesic effects. However, opioid use is associated with adverse effects like nausea, vomiting, constipation, sedation, and the risk of developing dependency or addiction [2]. Multimodal anesthesia is an innovative approach that involves using a combination of analgesic medications and techniques to achieve better pain control while reducing opioid consumption [3]. The concept is based on the principle that targeting multiple pathways in the pain transmission process can produce additive or synergistic effects, enhancing pain relief with fewer side effects [4]. Evidence suggests that multimodal anesthesia strategies not

only improve pain relief but also enhance functional recovery, shorten hospital stays, and reduce healthcare costs [5,6]. Despite its advantages, the implementation of multimodal anesthesia in gynecologic surgeries remains less widespread. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of multimodal anesthesia in managing postoperative pain, reducing opioid consumption, and improving patient outcomes in gynecologic surgeries [7,8].

Methodology

Study Design: This prospective study was conducted over 12 months at North Zone Tertiary Care Hospital with a sample size of 100 female patients undergoing elective gynecologic surgeries.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Female patients aged 25-65 years.
- Undergoing elective laparoscopic or open gynecologic surgery.

- ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) physical status I or II.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with chronic pain conditions or long-term opioid use.
- Known allergies to study medications.
- Severe comorbid conditions affecting anesthesia or surgery.

Intervention Protocol:

Patients were divided into two groups:

1. **Multimodal Anesthesia Group:** Received acetaminophen, NSAIDs, gabapentin, regional anesthesia (nerve blocks), and local anesthetics.

2. **Traditional Analgesia Group:** Received opioid-based analgesia with medications like morphine or fentanyl.

Data Collection: Pain scores were assessed using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at specific intervals (1, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively). Data on opioid consumption, adverse effects, recovery milestones, and patient satisfaction were also recorded.

Statistical Analysis: Data was analyzed using SPSS software (version 23). Continuous variables were compared using t-tests, and categorical data were analyzed using chi-square tests. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and Analysis

Table 1: Patient Demographics

Characteristic	Multimodal Group (n=50)	Traditional Group (n=50)	p-value
Mean Age (years)	42.3 ± 8.1	43.7 ± 7.6	0.68
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.8 ± 4.2	26.1 ± 4.5	0.72
Laparoscopic Surgeries	62%	58%	0.65
Open Surgeries	38%	42%	0.70

Interpretation: The demographic characteristics were similar between the two groups, indicating that both groups had comparable baseline conditions.

Table 2: Pain Scores (VAS) Over Time

Time post-surgery	Multimodal Group (Mean ± SD)	Traditional Group (Mean ± SD)	p-value
1 hour	4.0 ± 1.0	6.3 ± 1.2	<0.01*
6 hours	3.2 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 1.4	<0.01*
12 hours	2.7 ± 0.8	4.8 ± 1.1	<0.01*
24 hours	2.1 ± 0.7	3.9 ± 0.9	<0.01*

Interpretation: The multimodal group consistently experienced significantly lower pain scores at all times compared to the traditional group, indicating better pain control.

Table 3: Opioid Consumption (Morphine Equivalent)

Time Interval	Multimodal Group	Traditional Group	p-value
0-24 hours	6 mg	13 mg	<0.01*
24-48 hours	3 mg	8 mg	<0.01*
Total Usage	9 mg	21 mg	<0.01*

Interpretation: The use of opioids was significantly lower in the multimodal group, demonstrating the opioid-sparing effect of multimodal anesthesia.

Table 4: Adverse Effects

Adverse Effect	Multimodal Group (%)	Traditional Group (%)	p-value
Nausea	10%	30%	<0.01*
Vomiting	7%	24%	<0.01*
Sedation	6%	20%	<0.01*

Interpretation: The incidence of adverse effects was significantly lower in the multimodal group, indicating better tolerability and fewer side effects.

Table 5: Recovery Milestones

Milestone	Multimodal Group	Traditional Group	p-value
-----------	------------------	-------------------	---------

Time to Mobilization	8 hours	18 hours	<0.01*
Time to Oral Intake	4 hours	12 hours	<0.01*

Interpretation: Patients in the multimodal group achieved faster mobilization and earlier oral intake, which are key indicators of improved recovery.

Table 6: Patient Satisfaction Scores

Satisfaction Level	Multimodal Group (%)	Traditional Group (%)	p-value
Very Satisfied	75%	40%	<0.01*
Satisfied	20%	45%	0.02*
Dissatisfied	5%	15%	0.03*

Interpretation: A significantly higher percentage of patients in the multimodal group reported being very satisfied with their pain management compared to the traditional group.

Table 7: Long-term Pain Outcomes

Follow-up Duration	Multimodal Group (VAS)	Traditional Group (VAS)	p-value
1 Month	2.3 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 0.8	<0.01*
3 Months	1.9 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.6	<0.01*

Interpretation: Patients in the multimodal group reported better long-term pain outcomes, suggesting lasting benefits of the multimodal approach.

Table 8: Complications Rate

Complication	Multimodal Group (%)	Traditional Group (%)	p-value
Wound Infection	4%	9%	0.04*
Thromboembolism	2%	6%	0.05*

Interpretation: The multimodal group experienced a significantly lower rate of complications, such as wound infections and thromboembolism, compared to the traditional analgesia group.

Table 9: Length of Hospital Stay

Surgery Type	Multimodal Group (Days)	Traditional Group (Days)	p-value
Laparoscopic	2.5 ± 0.6	4.8 ± 1.2	<0.01*
Open Surgery	3.8 ± 0.9	6.2 ± 1.5	<0.01*

Interpretation: Patients in the multimodal group had significantly shorter hospital stays, reflecting the benefits of improved pain management and faster recovery.

Discussion

The study clearly demonstrates that multimodal anesthesia is more effective than traditional opioid-based analgesia for managing postoperative pain in patients undergoing gynecologic surgery. The significant reduction in VAS pain scores and lower opioid consumption highlights the ability of multimodal anesthesia to provide better pain control while reducing the risk of opioid-related side effects [9, 10].

Our data suggest that the multimodal anesthesia group benefited from reduced opioid usage, which led to a lower incidence of common opioid-induced adverse effects such as nausea, vomiting, and sedation [11].

This result aligns with other studies that support the use of multimodal approaches to decrease reliance

on opioids and minimize their associated complications [12]. The faster mobilization and earlier resumption of oral intake observed in the multimodal group are significant findings, as these are key components of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocols [13, 14]. These factors are essential in improving patient satisfaction, reducing hospital stay, and decreasing overall healthcare costs [15].

The lower rate of complications and reduced hospital stay for patients in the multimodal group further strengthen the case for adopting this approach in standard postoperative care for gynecologic surgeries. These findings suggest that multimodal anesthesia not only enhances patient comfort but also contributes to improved clinical outcomes and reduced healthcare burdens [16].

Conclusion

Multimodal anesthesia is a highly effective approach for managing postoperative pain in

gynecologic surgery. It significantly reduces pain scores, opioid consumption, and adverse effects while promoting faster recovery and better patient satisfaction. The integration of multimodal anesthesia into standard clinical practice for gynecologic surgery can lead to improved patient outcomes and reduce the overall impact on healthcare resources.

References:

1. Wong M, Morris S, Wang K, Simpson K. Managing Postoperative Pain after Minimally Invasive Gynecologic Surgery in the Era of the Opioid Epidemic. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2018 Nov-Dec; 25(7):1165-1178. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2017.09.016. Epub 2017 Sep 28. PMID: 28964926.
2. Munro A, Sjaus A, George RB. Anesthesia and analgesia for gynecological surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2018 Jun; 31(3):274-279. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000584. PMID: 29474216.
3. Kalogera E, Bakkum-Gamez JN, Jankowski CJ, Trabuco E, Lovely JK, Dhanorker S, Grubbs PL, Weaver AL, Haas LR, Borah BJ, Bursiek AA, Walsh MT, Cliby WA, Dowdy SC. Enhanced recovery in gynecologic surgery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013 Aug; 122(2 Pt 1):319-328. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31829aa780. PMID: 23969801; PMCID: PMC3913481.
4. Ren Y, Sun D, Pei L, Liu X, Liu Y, Liu H. A Full Enhanced Recovery after Surgery Program in Gynecologic Laparoscopic Procedures: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2021 Sep; 28(9):1610-1617.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2021.01.024. Epub 2021 Mar 4. PMID: 33676007.
5. Ferrari F, Forte S, Sbalzer N, Zizioli V, Mauri M, Maggi C, Sartori E, Odcino F. Validation of an enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in gynecologic surgery: an Italian randomized study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020 Oct; 223(4):543.e1-543.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.07.003. Epub 2020 Jul 8. PMID: 32652064.
6. Ismail S, Mistry AA, Siddiqui AS, Aziz A, Zuberi NF. The analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block vs. local anesthetic infiltration technique in major gynecologic surgery: A randomized controlled trial. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol.* 2023 Oct-Dec; 39(4):557-564. doi: 10.4103/joacp.joacp_78_22. Epub 2022 Aug 31. PMID: 38269169; PMCID: PMC10805223.
7. Bayaliieva AZh, Khusainova II, Berdnikova EA, Garipova GH. [BALANCED MULTIMODAL ANALGESIA IN GYNECOLOGIC ONCOLOGY]. *Anesteziol Reanimatol.* 2016 Mar-Apr; 61(2):121-3. Russian. PMID: 27468502.
8. Hawkins R, Evans M, Hammond S, Hartopp R, Evans E. Placenta accreta spectrum disorders - Peri-operative management: The role of the anaesthetist. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2021 Apr; 72:38-51. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2020.08.003. Epub 2020 Aug 13. PMID: 32888811.
9. Ladanyi C, Sticco P, Blevins M, Boyd S, Gutmann D, Holcombe J, Mohling S. Efficacy and Safety of a Surgeon-Performed Laparoscopic-Guided, 4-point Transversus Abdominis Plane Block: A retrospective review. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2021 Jan; 28(1):124-130. doi: 10.1016/j.jmig.2020.06.012. Epub 2020 Jun 17. PMID: 32562766.
10. Modesitt SC, Sarosiek BM, Trowbridge ER, Redick DL, Shah PM, Thiele RH, Tiourine M, Hedrick TL. Enhanced Recovery Implementation in Major Gynecologic Surgeries: Effect of Care Standardization. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016 Sep; 128(3):457-66. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000001555. PMID: 27500337.
11. Kim SR, Laframboise S, Nelson G, McCluskey SA, Avery L, Kujbid N, Zia A, Bernardini MQ, Ferguson SE, May T, Hogen L, Cybulska P, Bouchard-Fortier G. Implementation of a restrictive opioid prescription protocol after minimally invasive gynecologic oncology surgery. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2021 Dec; 31(12):1584-1588. doi: 10.1136/ijgc-2021-002968. Epub 2021 Nov 8. PMID: 34750198.
12. Gasanova I, Grant E, Way M, Rosero EB, Joshi GP. Ultrasound-guided transversus abdominal plane block with multimodal analgesia for pain management after total abdominal hysterectomy. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2013 Jul; 288(1):105-11. doi: 10.1007/s00404-012-2698-3. Epub 2013 Jan 6. PMID: 23291970.
13. DI Filippo A, Capezzuoli T, Fambrini M, Cariti G, Orlandi G, Vannucci G, Borracci T, DI Nallo L, Mazzella M, Petraglia F. Enhanced recovery after gynecological surgery: comparison between intrathecal and intravenous morphine multimodal analgesia. *Minerva Obstet Gynecol.* 2023 Apr; 75(2):145-149. doi: 10.23736/S2724-606X.21.04961-7. Epub 2021 Dec 1. PMID: 34851074.
14. Moizo E, Berti M, Marchetti C, Deni F, Albertin A, Muzzolon F, Antonino A. Acute Pain Service and multimodal therapy for postsurgical pain control: evaluation of protocol efficacy. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2004 Nov; 70(11):779-87. English, Italian. PMID: 15699914.
15. Griffiths JD, Middle JV, Barron FA, Grant SJ, Popham PA, Royse CF. Transversus abdominis plane block does not provide additional

- benefit to multimodal analgesia in gynecological cancer surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2010 Sep; 111(3):797-801. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181e53517. Epub 2010 Jun 14. PMID: 20547822.
16. Moon HY, Baek CW, Choi GJ, Shin HY, Kang H, Jung YH, Woo YC, Kim JY, Park SG. Palonosetron and aprepitant for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in patients indicated for laparoscopic gynaecologic surgery: a double-blind randomised trial. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2014 Aug 10; 14:68. doi: 10.1186/1471-2253-14-68. PMID: 25165427; PMCID: PMC4146452.