Available online on <u>www.ijpcr.com</u>

International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2024; 16(2); 1502-1508

Original Research Article

Effect of Structured Training Program on Knowledge Regarding Radiation Safety Practices among Technical and Supporting Staff Handling Portable X-Ray Machines at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Garhwal Region of Uttarakhand

Indira Yadav¹, Sanjay Singh Rai², Deepshikha Pandey³, Sunita D Singh⁴*, Daulat Singh⁵, Mukesh Shukla⁶

¹Associate Professor, Department of Radiotherapy & Clinical Oncology, Veer Chandra Singh Garhwali Government Institute of Medical Science & Research, Srinagar, Garhwal, Uttarakhand, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Oncology, Government ESIC Medical College, Faridabad, Harvana, India

³Senior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, King George's Medical University UP, Ambedkarnagar, Uttar Pradesh

^{*4}Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Government Doon Medical College, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

⁵Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology, Government Doon Medical College, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

⁶Associate Professor, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Raebareli, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received: 25-11-2023 / Revised: 23-12-2023 / Accepted: 26-01-2024 Corresponding Author: Dr. Sunita D Singh Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:

Context: Portable radiography devices are utilized routinely for radiological examinations who are hospitalized, necessitating healthcare workers to possess knowledge and follow radiation safety practices.

Aims: The study was conducted to determine the effect of structured training program on knowledge regarding radiation exposure and its preventive measures among technician and supporting staff while working on portable X-ray machines in indoor settings.

Subject and Methods: A hospital based cross-sectional study was conducted among radio-technicians, nursing officers and supporting staffs who were directly involved in the process of handling and operation of portable x -ray machine. A pre-tested semi structured questionnaire was administered to all healthcare workers who consented to participate. Radiation safety practices were assessed using observatory checklist. The knowledge and practices were reassessed after three months of structured training program.

Statistical analysis used: The difference in pre- and post-training knowledge and practices was assessed using paired t-test, and McNemar's test was used for paired categorical data analysis. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results: Of 60 subjects enrolled in study, 22 (36.6%) were radio technicians, 20 (33.3 %) nursing officers and 18 (30.0%) were supporting staffs. Baseline knowledge score of radiation hazard and radiation protection was found significantly higher among radio technicians (7.27 ± 2.45) as compared to nursing officer (6.28 ± 2.01) and the supporting staff (5.31 ± 1.20). Post-training knowledge scores were significantly higher among nursing officer and supporting staffs as compared to baseline. Favourable change in practices of supporting staff for use of thyroid shield and lead gloves was observed.

Conclusions: Portable radiological examinations did not expose healthcare providers to high doses of ionizing radiation. Nurses' radiation protection knowledge was limited and hence, they require in-service education programs.

Keywords: Radiation safety, practices, structured training program, healthcare worker, and portable radiological machines.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

Radiation exposure remains significant а occupational hazard in healthcare. Epidemiological studies on populations exposed to radiation showed a significant increase of cancer risk at doses above threshold of 100 mSv/yr. [1] Technical and supporting staff involved in operating portable Xray machines are particularly vulnerable because of their direct proximity to the radiation source. Many patients are admitted in units where they are typically linked to various medical devices, with numerous catheters and tubes in place. Consequently, transferring them to the radiology unit for radiological examinations is not feasible. Hence, radiological examinations in indoor settings are usually performed by using portable radiography devices. The use of these portable devices in isolation wards was evident in the recent concluded global COVID-19 pandemic. Portable radiological examinations dramatically increase healthcare worker's exposure to ionizing radiation. [2,3]

Despite the undeniable advantages of portable Xray machines in terms of mobility and convenience, it's crucial to emphasize the need for comprehensive knowledge and strict adherence to preventive measures due to the potential health risks associated with radiation exposure. The studies related to knowledge and practices regarding radiation protection while using portable devices are minimal in Indian context.

Even no single study could be retrieved in Indian scenario that highlighted the effect of radiation safety training on adaptation of preventive measures among healthcare workers, particularly those working with portable X-ray machines in indoor settings. Understanding their level of knowledge and awareness in this specific context is crucial for ensuring adequate radiation protection protocols and enhancing the overall safety culture. By addressing these gaps, we can strive towards a safer work environment and promote a culture of radiation safety in healthcare facilities. Therefore, the study was conducted to evaluate the effect of structured training program on knowledge regarding radiation exposure and its preventive measures among technical and supporting staff while working on portable X-ray machines in indoor settings.

Material and methods

Study Design: Hospital Based Cross-sectional study

Study Settings: HNB Base Teaching Hospital, Veer Chandra Singh Garhwali Government Medical Science and Research Institute, Srinagar

Study Duration: Duration of study was from July 2021 to June 2022

Study Population: Healthcare worker (Radio-

technicians, Nursing Officer and other supporting staff/ ward attendants)

Sample size & Sampling Method: Complete enumeration process was used for sampling. The healthcare workers (Radio-technicians, Nursing Officer and supporting staff) involved in the process of portable X-Ray machine handling and radiographic procedure were included in the study. The healthcare worker who refused to consent was excluded from the study.

Tool: A pre-tested semi-structured questionnaire was used for the study. It consisted of three section. First section was regarding demographics, household characteristics and baseline knowledge regarding radiation exposure. Second section consist of awareness of radiation hazard and radiation protection questionnaire having ten objective questions), information about dosimeters (2 questions), quality and material used for making individual protective devices (3 questions), organs effected (1 questions) by radiation and permissible doses of radiation (2 questions).

The section of questionnaire was prepared in consultation with expert and was pilot tested before use. Score of one was given to each correct response and total score was calculated. Third section was an observatory checklist for assessing the preventive measures practiced by the health workers. A minimum of three observations were made on each study subject at three instances both before and after training. The healthcare worker found not adhering to set standard protocol in any of the three observations, was considered non-compliance to radiation safety practices. However, it was always assured and intervention was immediately done for any unfavorable non-compliant practices before the x-ray machines start to avoid any type undue radiation exposure. A structured training program was conducted through lectures, job aids and onsite demonstration. The knowledge and practices were reassessed after three months of training using questionnaire and observatory checklist.

Data Analysis: Data were compiled and analysed using the statistical software. The significant difference between the baseline and post-training knowledge score among three categories of healthcare professional was assessed using ANO-VA (Analysis of Variance). Any significant changes in knowledge pertaining to various aspects of radiation safety related practices before and after structured training programme were assessed using paired t-test and McNemar's test. A p-value of \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Issues: Ethics approval was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee of the Veer Chandra

Singh Garhwali Govt. Medical Science and Research Institute, Srinagar India before conducting the study. Ascent/consent will be taken from participant before the interview.

Results

A total 60 study participants were enrolled in the study who were directly involved in the process of handling and operation of portable x -ray machine in which 22 (36.6%) were radio technician, 20 (33.3%) were the nursing officer and 18 (30.0%) were supporting staff including attendant. Around 56.4% of the study participants were males and 43.3% were females. About one-third (35.0%) of the study participants had experience of working with portable x-ray machines between 1-3 years. Almost half of the study participants received any formal training on radiation exposure hazards and prevention, among which 56.3% received training more than three years ago. [Table no. 1]

Knowledge about radiation protection and preventive measures was found to increase significantly after structured training program. Knowledge regarding highest permitted level of occupational radiation exposure, place for installing barrier to protect staff against radiation, methods enhancing radiation safety were found to increase significantly after structured training program among all staff including radio technician, nursing officers and supporting staff /attendant. However, no significant effect of structured training program was observed among radio technician regarding best material for manufacturing protective equipment, about safe distance from source of radiation while performing portable radiography. Also, no significant change was observed after training among nursing officer in respect to their knowledge regarding dose or quality of portable xray radiography and knowledge about best protective equipment in case of any environmental radiation exposure. The most favourable effect was observed among supporting staff whose knowledge increase significantly among all the domains of radiation safety and preventive measures. [Table no. 2] Baseline knowledge score of radiation hazard and radiation protection was found significantly higher among radio technicians (7.27 ± 2.45) as compared to nursing officer (6.28 ± 2.01) and the supporting staff (5.31 ± 1.20) .

However, no such difference was observed in posttraining program. Post-training knowledge scores were significantly higher among nursing officer and supporting staffs as compared to the baseline scores. [Table no. 3]

The most favourable finding of the study was that all (100%) of the study participants involved in operation of portable x-ray machine were at least wearing the lead aprons or used to stand behind screening wall at the time of procedure.

Also, for other domains of practices (wearing thyroid shield, lead gloves and use of TLD badges), almost all the radio technician and nursing officer were following satisfactory radiation protection practices.

Therefore, no significant change was observed after structured training program. However, change in proportional (in terms of number of individuals) increase was observed among paramedical staff for practices concerned with radiation safety measures.

On the other hand, structured training was found to have favourable effect on supporting staff for use of thyroid shield and lead gloves for radiation protection. [Table no. 4]

Table 1: Distribution of study population on the basis of sociodemographic characteristics and job profile
$(\mathbf{N} - 0)$

Sociodemographic Characteristics and Job profile	Number	Percentage (%)
Age-group (in years)		
20-30	23	38.3
31-40	21	35.0
More than 40 years	16	26.6
Gender		
Male	34	56.6
Female	26	43.3
Educational Qualification and designation		
General Nursing & Midwifery (GNM) /BSc Nursing (Nursing officer)	20	33.3
BSc Radio-technicians (X-ray technicians)	22	36.6
Intermediate and below (Supporting staff/attendants)	18	30.0
Total experience on working with portable x-ray machine (in years)		
<1	12	19.3
1-3	21	35.0
4-6	13	21.6
7-9	11	18.3
10 years and above	3	5.0

Received any formal training on radiation exposure hazards and prevention								
Yes	32	2	53.3					
No	28	3	46.6					
If yes how long before training was received (n	n=32)							
< 3 Years	14	4	43.7					
\geq 3 Years	18	3	56.3					

Table 2: Comparison of knowledge of healthcare worker before and after the structured training pro-

gram (N=60)												
Knowledge Radio Technicians			Nursing officer				Supporting staff/attendants					
about radiation (n=22)				(n=20)				(n=18)				
protectio	n	Post-training			Post-training				Post-training			
		Yes	No	[#] p		Yes	No	[#] p		Yes	No	[#] p
Highest permitted level of occupation radiation exposure												
Pre-	Yes	17	0	0.04*	Yes (n=	12	0		Yes	5	0	
training	(n=17)	(100)	(0.0)			(100)	(0.0)		(n=5)	(100)	(0.0)	0.001*
	No	4	1		No	6(75)	2(25)	0.01*	No	10	3	
	(n=5)	(80.0)	(20)		(n=8)	10			(n=13)	(76.9)	(23.0)	
Total211182153Best place for installing barriers to protect technical and supporting staff against radiation												
								against			0	
Pre-	Yes	12		0.002*	Yes (n=		1	0.02*	Yes	8	0	0.000*
training	(n=12)	(100.0)	(0.0)		NT	(92.3)	(7.6)	0.03*	(n=8)	(100)	(0.0)	0.008*
	No $(n=10)$	-	1 (10)		No $(n=7)$	7	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$		No (n=1	7 (70.0)	$\frac{3}{(20.0)}$	
Total	(n=10)	(90.0)	(10)		(n=7)	(100.0) 19	(0.0)			(70.0)	(30.0)	
			•••••		1.41	19	1			15	3	
Best mat	Yes	manufactu 18	ring prot	0.15	Yes (n=	1 12	0		Yes	6	0	
	(n=18)		0 (0.0)	0.15	r es (n=	(100)		0.01*	(n=6)	6 (100)	-	0.008*
training	<u>(n-18)</u> No	(100.0)	2		No	6	(0.0)	0.01	(n=0) No (n=1		(0.0)	0.000
	(n=4)	(50.0)	(50)		(n=8)	6 (75.0)	(25.0)		No (n-1	(58.3)	(41.6)	
Total	(11-4)	20	2		(11-0)	18	2			13	5	
	that only	ance radiat		.		10	2			15	5	
Pre-	Yes	12		<u>y</u> 0.008*	Yes (n=	1 12	0		Yes	7	0	
training	(n=12)	(100.0)	(0.0)	0.000	1 cs (II–	(100)	(0.0)	0.08*	(n=7)	(100)	(0.0)	0.02*
uannig	No	7	3		No	6	2	0.00	No (n=1	5	6	0.02
	(n=10)	(70.0)	(30.0)		(n=8)	(75.0)	(25.0)		no (n i	(45.4)	(54.5)	
Total	(11 10)	19	3		(11 0)	19	1			12	6	
	the qual	- /	-	ooranh	v comnar		her imagin	o nrac	edures	12	U	
Dose and the quality of portable radiography compared with other imaging procedures Pre- Yes 11 0 0.008 Yes (n=) 16 1 Yes 1 0												
training	(n=11)	(100.0)	(0.0)	0.000	1 0 5 (11	(94.1)	(5.88)		(n=1)	(100)	(0.0)	
8	()							0.56	. ,		, ,	0.0005**
	No	7	4		No	2	1(33.33)		No	12	5	
	(n=11)	(63.6)	(36.36)		(n=3)	(66.6)			(n=17)	(70.5)	(29.41)	
Total		18	4			18	2			13	5	
Best prot	tective eq	uipment fo	r technic	al and			ring porta	ble rad	iography			
Pre-	Yes	18	0	0.31	Yes (n=		0		Yes	6	0	
training	(n=18)	(100.0)	(0.0)			(100)	(0.0)		(n=6)	(100.)	(0.0)	
	No	1	2		No	3	2	0.08*	No (n=1	5	7	0.02*
	(n=4)	(25.0)	(50)		(n=5)	(60.0)	(40.0)			(41.6)	(58.3)	
Total	_	19	3	<u> </u>		18	2			13	7	
							rtable radi					
Pre-	Yes	18	0	0.15	Yes	12	1	0.01*	Yes	8	0	
training	(n=18)	(100.0)	(0.0)		(n=13)	(92.3)	(7.6)		(n=8)	(100.0)	(0.0)	0.01*
	No	2 (50.0)	2		No	5	(28.5)		No	6	4	0.01*
T 4 1	(n=4)	20	(50.0)		(n=7)	(71.4)	(28.5)		(n=10)	(60.0)	(40.0)	
Total 20 2 17 3 14 4 Best protective equipment in case of any environmental radiation exposure												
		-	1				-	e		0 (1 0 0)		
Pre-	Yes	14		0.004*	Yes (n=	1 12	0	0.15	Yes	9 (100)	0	
training	(n=14)	(100.0)	(0.0)		N	(100.0)	(0.0)	0.15	(n=9)	6	(0.0)	0.01*
	No	8(100.0)	0		No	2 (25.0)	6		No (rr=0)	6	3	0.01*
Tate1	(n=8)	22	(0.0)		(n=8)	(25.0)	(75.0)		(n=9)	(66.6)	(33.3)	
Total		22	0			14	6			15	3	

[#]McNemar's test, *p<0.05 (considered as significant)

and after structured training program										
Radiation hazard and	Radio Techni-	Nursing officer	Supporting	##p-value						
radiation protection	cians	(n=20)	staff/attendants							
score (Mean±SD)	(n=22)		(n=18)							
Pre-training	7.27±2.45	6.28±2.01	5.31±1.20	0.012*						
Post-training	8.40±1.32	8.12±2.20	7.22±2.11	0.139*						
[#] p-value	0.06	0.006*	0.002*							

 Table 3: Comparison of radiation hazard and radiation protection score of healthcare personnel before and after structured training program

[#]paired t-test,^{##}ANOVA, *p<0.05 (considered as significant)

Table 4: Comparison of radiation protection practices of healthcare worker before and after the structured training program (N=60)

tured training program (N=60)												
Radiation protec- Radio Technicians		S	Nursing officer				Supporting staff/atten			dants		
tion practices (n=22)			(n=20)				(n=18)					
		Post-training			Post-training				Post-training			
1		Yes	No	[#] p		Yes	No	[#] p		Yes	No	[#] p
Use of lead apron/standing behind screened wall [@]												
Pre-	Yes	22	0	NA	Yes	22	0		Yes	22	0	
training	(n=22)	(100)	(0.0)		(n=22)	(100.0)	(0.0)	NA	(n=22)	(100)	(0.0)	NA
	No(n=0)	0	0		No	0	0		No	0	0	
		(0.0)	(0.0)		(n=0)	(0.0)	(0.0)		(n=0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	
Total		22	0			22	0			22	0	
Using of t	thyroid shie	eld										
Pre-	Yes	21	0	0.31	Yes	18	0		Yes	12	0 (0.0)	
training	(n=21)	(100)	(0.0)		(n=18)	(100.0)	(0.0)	0.15	(n=12)	(100)		0.02*
-	No	1	0		No	2	0		No	5	1	
	(n=1)	(100)	(0.0)		(n=2)	(100.0)	(0.0)		(n=6)	(83.3)	(16.6)	
Total		22	0			20	0			17	1	
Using of l	lead gloves											
Pre-	Yes	20	0	0.15	Yes	18	0		Yes	12	0 (0.0)	
training	(n=20)	(100)	(0.0)		(n=18)	(100.0)	(0.0)	0.15	(n=12)	(100)		0.02*
-	No	2	0		No	2	0		No	5	1	
	(n=2)	(100)	(0.0)		(n=2)	(100.0)	(0.0)		(n=6)	(83.3)	(16.6)	
Total		22	0			20	0			17	1	
Using dosimeters (TLD devices)												
Pre-	Yes	20	0	0.31	Yes	19(100)	0(0)		Yes	15	0 (0.0)	
training	(n=20)	(100.0)	(0.0)		(n=19)	, ,		0.31	(n=15)	(100.0)		0.31
5	No(n=2)	1(50.0)	1(50.0)		No(n=1)	1(100.0)	0(0.0)		No(n=3)	1(33.3)	2(66.6)	
Total		21	1			20	0			16	2	

[#]McNemar's test,*p<0.05 (considered as significant), @ No change was observed

Discussion

The study primarily examined the baseline radiation protection knowledge and practices among paramedical and supporting staff involved in handling and usage of portable x-ray machines. Good knowledge of healthcare worker was observed in present study, which is quite comparable with the findings of the previous studies conducted in India [4, 5] and at global level [6,7]. In contradiction to that, when compared to the findings of few other studies which reported lack of knowledge in 60-80% of study subjects for various aspects (source, benefits, potential harm, and preventive measures) of radiation protection, knowledge of the healthcare worker in present study was found to be much higher. [2, 8, 9,10]. Dianati M., in their study conducted in Iran opined that this variation in radiation protection knowledge could be attributed to difference in institute-based and in-service educations about radiation safety and protection at different parts of world [2].

In the present study almost all the radio technician and nursing officer were found to have satisfactory radiation safety practices. This favourable behaviour might be attributed to their good knowledge. Similar findings were also reported in previous studies, where most of the paramedical staff were adhered to radiation protection strategies like using all protective equipment including Thermo luminescent dosimeter (TLD) devices. [11, 12]

Ideally the healthcare worker should be positioned as far as possible, away from the generator (Xray tube), to reduce the exposure. The procedure should be done in isolated designated settings, but the same situation couldn't be adhered always, specially using portable x-ray machines like in intensive care units or operation theatres. Through structured training and complying with the standard protocol, one can avoid exposure. Similar to the findings reported in previous studies, knowledge about the radiation hazards and protective measures were found to increase proportionately in the present study after formal structured training program. [13,14,15]

This reflects that, even a short exposure to educational programs enhances practices and behaviours related to radiation protection, drawing upon knowledge of ALARA (as low as reasonably their achievable) principles and practical application. [16] Alavi et al., and Luntsi G, et al. also concluded that providing healthcare workers with in-service training that aligns with their educational needs, using qualified and current materials, enhances their practices. [10, 17] The consultation initiated by the IAEA also underscores that embracing a comprehensive approach to education and training in radiation protection would result in the qualification and competence of healthcare professionals. This, in turn, enables the application of stringent standards for quality and safety in the medical utilization of ionizing radiation. [18]

In present study, apart from theoretical classes onsite demonstration were done in the practical settings to sensitize for radiation protection practices in healthcare. In concordance with the same, in a previous research work it was suggested that optimal workplace training occurs from personalization to the target audience rather than reliance on traditional, theory-based learning methods. [19] In present study, structured training was found to have favourable effect on supporting staff and attendants for use of thyroid shield and lead gloves for radiation protection. Also, almost all the radio technician and nursing officers were complying to satisfactory radiation safety practices. Jadhav VT., in their study opined that this was perhaps because of the fear of radiation motivating them either ignorantly or intentionally adopt good radiation protection practices. [4]

Limitations of study: The study had limited sample size and hence the finding should be interpreted in the light of fact that the healthcare workers included were those involved in portable X-Ray machine handling and radiographic procedure. Since the study was conducted in single institute, its generalizability is quite limited. No reliable literature could be retrieved that assessed the knowledge and practices regarding radiation safety practices among supporting staffs, an important stake holder at every hospital.

The non-availability of previous research in context to supporting staffs limits any type of comparison with findings in context to supporting staff.

Conclusions

The implementation of a structured training program could be a pivotal step towards elevating radiation safety practices among the supporting staff in hospitals. This paper has delved into the multifaceted benefits of such programs, emphasizing their role in imparting comprehensive knowledge, instilling a culture of safety, and fostering continuous learning among healthcare professionals.

References

- Nguyen PK, Wu JC. Radiation exposure from imaging tests: is there an increased cancer risk? Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2011; 9(2):177-83.
- Dianati M, Zaheri A, Talari HR, Deris F, Rezaei S. Intensive care nurses' knowledge of radiation safety and their behaviors towards portable radiological examinations. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2014; 3(4):e23354.
- Xie Z, Liao X, Kang Y, Zhang J, Jia L. Radiation Exposure to Staff in Intensive Care Unit with Portable CT Scanner. Biomed Res Int. 2016; 2016:5656480.
- Vinay T Jadhav, Verendra Kumar Sharma. Knowledge, Attitude and Awareness of Radiation Hazards among Paramedical Staff: A Hospital Based Study. Int J Med Res Prof. 2017; 3(6):446-49.
- 5. Indukuri S, Easwaramoorthy V. Awareness of Radiation Protection Safety and Hazards among Healthcare Workers and Paramedical Students. International Journal of Science and Research 2021; 10(4):491-515.
- Rassin M, Granat P, Berger M, and Silner E. Attitude and Knowledge Of Physicians and Nurses About Ionizing Radiation, Journal Of Radiology Nursing 2005; 24: 26-30.
- Shah AS, Begum N, Nasreen S, Khan A. Assessment of radiation protection awareness levels in medical radiation science technologists-a pilot survey. J Post Med Inst. 2011;21(3):416–22.
- 8. Alotaibe M, Saeed R. Radiology Nurses Awareness of Radiation, Journal of Radiology Nursing. 2006; 125: 7-12.
- Mojiri M, Moghimbeigi A.Awareness and attitude of radiographers towards radiation protection, Journal of Paramedical Sciences. 2008; 2: 4-5
- Alavi SS, Dabbagh ST, Abbasi M, Mehrdad R. Medical radiation workers' knowledge, attitude, and practice to protect themselves against ionizing radiation in Tehran Province, Iran. J Educ Health Promot. 2017; 5;6:58.
- Kumar V, Kumar Pal A, Ks S, Manikandan R, Dorairajan LN, Kalra S, Kandasamy S, Khan M. Effect of Structured Educational Program on Practices of Radiation Safety Measures Among Health Care Providers in Urology Operation Theater. Cureus. 2021 Jun 20; 13(6):e15765.

- Antunes-Raposo JA, França D, Lima A, Mendonça-Galaio L, Sacadura-Leite EM. Evaluation of personal protective equipment use in healthcare workers exposed to ionizing radiation in a Portuguese university hospital. Rev Bras Med Trab. 2022; 20(2):240-248.
- 13. Abuzaid MM, Elshami W, Tekin HO (2022) Infection control and radiation safety practices in the radiology department during the COVID-19 outbreak. PLoS ONE 17(12): e0279607.
- Soye JA, Paterson A. A survey of awareness of radiation dose among health professionals in Northern Ireland. Br J Radiol. 2008; 81:725– 729.
- 15. Friedman AA, Ghani KR, Peabody JO, Jackson A, Trinh QD, Elder JS. Radiation safety knowledge and practices among urology residents and fellows: results of a nationwide survey. J Surg Educ. 2013; 70:224–231.
- Quinn AD, Taylor CG, Sabharwal T, Sikdar T.Radiation protection awareness in nonradiologists. Br J Radiol. 1997; 70:102–106.

- Luntsi G, Ajikolo AB, Flaviuos NB, Nelson L, Nwobi C, et al., (2016) Assessment of Knowledge and Attitude of Nurses towards Ionizing Radiation during Theatre/Ward Radiography. J Nurs Care 5: 342.
- Vassileva J, Applegate K, Paulo G, Vano E, Holmberg O. Strengthening radiation protection education and training of health professionals: conclusions from an IAEA meeting. J Radiol Prot. 2022 Jan 17; 42(1).
- Badawy MK, Health M, Deb P (2017) Radiation protection education and training process from classroom to clinics. Conference: 6th International Conference on Education and Training in Radiological Protection at Valencia, Spain. Available from: https://www. researchgate.net/publication/337952300_Radiati on_Protection_Education_And_Training_Proc ess_From_ Classroom_To_Clinics. [Lat accessed on Nov4, 2023]