

A Retrospective Cohort Study Comparing the Results of Open and Laparoscopic Appendectomy

Nehal Sisodiya¹, Tanu Priya², Chetan Aehral³, Pateliya Bhavikkumar Ishvarbhai^{4*}

¹Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Dr. N. D. Desai Faculty of Medical, Science and Research, Nadiad, Gujarat, India

²Senior Resident, Department of General Surgery, Nalanda Medical College & Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

³Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Shantabaa Medical College, Amreli, Gujarat, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Dr. M.K. Shah Medical College and Research Centre, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

Received: 05-01-2024 / Revised: 27-01-2024 / Accepted: 05-02-2024

Corresponding Author: Dr Bhavikkumar Ishvarbhai Pateliya

Conflict of interest: Nil

Abstract:

Introduction: Appendectomy is the most common emergency surgical procedure worldwide. In Western countries, the lifetime incidence of appendicitis is approximately 8%. Across all age groups, appendicitis is the most frequent reason for abdominal surgery. For over a century, open appendectomy has been the preferred method of treating patients with acute appendicitis.

Aims and Objective: To compare the results of open and laparoscopic appendectomy.

Material and Method: The study focuses on a retrospective analysis of appendectomies. This study examines the results of laparoscopic appendectomy and open appendectomy (OA and LA), taking into account a range of preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative factors. With sample size of 250.

Result: There were 250 appendectomy cases in the study, with 39% female and 61% male. There was no discernible difference in the distribution of leukocyte counts between open and laparoscopic appendectomies. There was no peritonitis in either group. Alvarado Scores differed greatly, with fewer cases involving laparoscopic procedures. Variations in appendix conditions were found during surgical procedures. Significant differences were observed in postoperative characteristics, with laparoscopic appendectomies being preferred in terms of hospital stay duration, oral analgesic use, and parenteral analgesic use.

Conclusion: The overall positive results show that laparoscopy is a better option, providing an improved patient experience and possibly lowering healthcare costs, even though the longer operating time may initially raise concerns about its efficacy.

Keywords: Surgery, Appendicitis, Open Appendectomy, Laparoscopic Appendectomy.

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (<http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read>), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original work is properly credited.

Introduction

The inflammation of the vermiform appendix is known as appendicitis. [1] Acute appendicitis (AA), which affects about 7% of the population, is the most common cause of surgical acute abdomen globally and it is the most common cause of abdominal surgeries in all the age groups. [2]

The lifetime risk of appendicitis is 6.7% for women and 8.6% for men. [3,4] The age range at which it peaks is 10–14 years for girls and 15–19 years for boys. Treatment of choice is appendectomy. In addition to providing a conclusive diagnosis, it also dramatically lowers the possibility of consequences like perforation, sepsis, and even death. [4]

When the appendix becomes inflamed, usually because of an obstruction within the appendix,

bacterial overgrowth and infection ensue, resulting in appendicitis.

The appendix is a vestigial organ, [5] which means that evolution has stripped it of its original purpose. Although its precise function is still unknown, some theories speculate that it may have been involved in early humans' digestive systems. The appendix is no longer regarded as a necessary organ, and people can survive without it.

Appendicitis symptoms include, fever, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. [6] Appendectomy is the surgical procedure used to remove the inflamed appendix. [7] An appendectomy can be performed using either open surgery or laparoscopic surgery. To access the appendix directly during open surgery, the open approach to appendectomy was originally

described by McBurney, [8] a single, larger incision is made in the lower right abdomen. Smaller incisions are made during laparoscopic surgery, and specialized instruments and a camera are used for removal. [9]

An appendectomy is frequently regarded as a common and safe surgical procedure. [10] However, there are risks associated with any surgical procedure, including bleeding, anesthesia-related reactions, and infection. The degree of appendicitis and the patient's general health are two important considerations when deciding between open and laparoscopic surgery.

After an appendectomy, recovery is usually quick; many people can resume their regular activities in a few weeks. Long-term complications from the procedure are rare, and the removal of the appendix has little to no effect on digestive processes. [11]

Aims and Objective: To compare the Effectiveness of open and laparoscopic appendectomy.

Material and Method

The study focuses on a retrospective analysis of appendectomies. This study examines the results of laparoscopic appendectomy and open appendectomy (OA and LA), taking into account a range of preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative factors. The study adheres to STROBE guidelines for reporting observational studies.

Design and Setting: Conducted as a retrospective cohort study. The study took place at 450-bed tertiary care center. Retrospective analysis covered patients undergoing open or laparoscopic appendectomy (OA or LA).

Surgical Procedures: Open appendectomy involves a single incision in the lower right abdomen (Gridiron Incision). Laparoscopic appendectomy is a minimally invasive surgery with small ports in the abdomen. Patients in both groups received prophylactic single-dose IV antibiotics (Inj. Ceftriaxone 1 gm IV) before the initial incision. No postoperative antibiotics were administered. All specimens were sent for histopathology in both approaches.

Inclusion criteria: Patients diagnosed with acute appendicitis clinically (Alvarado score) and radiologically, undergoing either OA or LA.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with specific conditions (history of lump, abdominal trauma, previous lower abdominal operation, pregnancy, severe medical diseases, and conversion from laparoscopic to open surgery).

Limitations: Single institution-based study. Results may have limitations when compared with other institutions. Sample size is only 250.

Study Procedure: Analyzed a total of 250 patients meeting inclusion criteria (150 OA, 100 LA). Collected preoperative baseline characteristics, intraoperative parameters, and postoperative outcomes. Baseline characteristics included age, sex, duration of symptoms, ALVARADO score, evidence of peritonitis, and leukocyte count. Intraoperative parameters included operative time, surgical findings, and complications. Postoperative outcomes included pain levels (VAS), analgesic usage, and bowel movements.

Sample Size: 250 patients (150 OA, 100 LA).

Study Tool: Utilized a research proforma and questionnaire during follow-up.

Analytical Strategy: Retrospective data collection followed by cleaning, classification, and coding. Coded data entered and tabulated using SPSS version 25. Categorical variables presented as frequency and percentage, compared using Chi-square tests and Likelihood Ratio. Parametric and non-parametric variables presented as mean \pm standard deviation, compared using student's t-test and Mann-Whitney U tests, respectively. A p-value of <0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

During the time that our data was being collected, 250 appendectomies were performed; 150 of those procedures were open (group OA), and the remaining 100 were laparoscopic (group LA).

Table 1: Age of the respondents.

Gender of the respondents		
	Frequency	Percentage
Male	152	61%
Female	98	39%
Total	250	100%

The above table discusses the frequency and percentage of Gender of the respondents.

Table 2: Leukocyte count

Leukocyte count				
	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)	Pearson Values	
<11,000	32	23	$\chi^2=$ 0.330	p= 0.833
11,000–16,000	102	66		
>16,000	16	11		

The above table discusses the Leukocyte count. The two groups' leukocyte counts did not differ significantly ($\chi^2 = 0.330$; $p = 0.833$).

Table 3: Peritonitis in studied patients

Peritonitis	
Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)
0	0

The above table discusses the Peritonitis. There was no peritonitis in any of the cases.

Table 4: Alvarado Score

Alvarado Score			
	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)	Pearson Value
5	9	15	$\chi^2=$ 30.10 p= 0.004
6	21	0	
7	90	60	
8	15	12	
9	9	8	
10	6	5	

The above table discusses the Alvarado's scores. Alvarado's scores in the two groups significantly different With Pearson $\chi^2 = 30.10$ and $p = 0.004$.

Table 5: Operative characteristics.

Operative characteristics.			
	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)	p value
Operative time (Mins)	45.14 ± 14.04	59.8 ± 10.76	0.003

The above table discusses the Operative characteristics.

Open Appendectomy: With a standard deviation of 14.04 minutes, the mean operating time is 45.14 minutes. Laparoscopic Appendectomy: The mean operating time for this type of procedure is 59.8 minutes, with a standard deviation of 10.76 minutes. p value: 0.003, the difference in operative time was statistically significant.

Table 6: Surgical procedure

Surgical procedure		
	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)
SP findings		
Normal appendix	26	17
Acutely inflamed tip	111	78
Gangrenous appendix	8	5
Perforated appendix	5	0

The above table discusses the surgical procedure.
Normal Appendix: Open Appendectomy: 26 cases,
Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 17 cases
Acutely Inflamed Tip: Open Appendectomy: 111 cases,
Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 78 cases
Gangrenous

Appendix: Open Appendectomy: 8 cases,
Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 5 cases
Perforated Appendix: Open Appendectomy: 5 cases,
Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 0 cases

Table 7: Postoperative characteristics

Postoperative characteristics.			
	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendecto- mies (n = 100)	p value
Length of hospital stay (days)	1.15 ± 0.93	1.10 ± 0.22	0.001
Time to first bowel movement (days)	1.05 ± 0.39	1.04 ± 0.28	0.089
Oral analgesics (days)	3.45 ± 0.78	4.00	0.002
Parenteral analgesics (days)	1.00 ± 0.59	1.03 ± 0.29	0.006

The above table discusses the Postoperative characteristics.

Length of Hospital Stay: Open Appendectomy: 1.15 days (\pm 0.93), Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 1.10 days (\pm 0.22), p-value: 0.001 Time to First Bowel Movement: Open Appendectomy: 1.05 days (\pm 0.39), Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 1.04 days (\pm 0.28), p-value: 0.089 Oral Analgesics Duration: Open Appendectomy: 3.45 days (\pm 0.78),

Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 4.00 days, p-value: 0.002 Parenteral Analgesics Duration: Open Appendectomy: 1.00 day (\pm 0.59), Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 1.03 days (\pm 0.29)

p-value: 0.006. There was no significant difference in the incidence of intraoperative complications in the two groups.

Table 8: Intraoperative complications

	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)	p value
None	148	98	0.49
Ileal injury	1	2	
Limited colectomy	1	0	

The above table discusses the Intraoperative complications.

None: Open Appendectomy: 148 cases, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 98 cases

Ileal Injury: Open Appendectomy: 1 case, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 2 case

Limited Colectomy: Open Appendectomy: 1 case, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 0 cases, p-value: 0.49, There was no significant difference in the incidence of intraoperative complications in the two groups.

Table 9: Late complications

	Open appendectomy (n = 150)	Laparoscopic appendectomies (n = 100)	p value
None	141	96	0.12
Intrabdominal abscess	2	1	
ECF	1	0	
SSI	6	1	
STUMP appendix	0	2	

Above table shows; None: Open Appendectomy: 141 cases, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 96 cases Intrabdominal Abscess: Open Appendectomy: 2 cases, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 1 case Enterocutaneous Fistula (ECF): Open Appendectomy: 1 case, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 0 cases Surgical Site Infection (SSI): Open Appendectomy: 6 cases, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 1 case STUMP Appendix: Open Appendectomy: 0 cases, Laparoscopic Appendectomy: 2 cases

Discussion

The average age of the OA group and the LA group was determined to be comparable to certain research. [12] Several comparable studies have claimed that the average age in both groups is approximately in the 30s, which contradicts our findings. [13, 14, 15, 16]

The overall duration of the operation, consistent with the existing literature, was notably longer for laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) compared to open appendectomy (OA). [12, 10, 17] The extended duration of local anesthesia (LA) can be attributed to the heightened use of instruments, the inclusion of extra setup procedures, and the learning curve experienced by surgeons. Our study found that the

laparoscopic group had a much shorter hospital stay, consistent with several studies. [10, 12, 18, 19]

While the LA group saw an earlier average number of days for the first bowel movement, there was no significant statistical difference observed. Nevertheless, multiple studies have documented that the laparoscopic method leads to earlier bowel movement and decreased delay to oral intake after surgery. [10, 12, 13, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21]

Patients who had laparoscopic appendicitis in our study reported less pain than those who had open appendectomy. One major cause of postoperative pain is the reduced damage to the abdominal wall. Our result is consistent with other research that found that a laparoscopic procedure resulted in less pain following surgery. Additionally, the literature reports that the open group required significantly more parenteral analgesics, just as our study did. [12, 22, 23, 24]

Ileal damage and limited colectomy were noted intraoperative complications. Appendicular tumors, pelvic abscesses, and appendicular abscesses were not reported. The incidence of intraoperative complications did not, however, differ significantly between the two groups. The literature reports

similar findings. (19) Purulent peritonitis was found to be prevalent in OA groups by a study. [25]

With a laparoscopic procedure, there is less chance of early postoperative complications and less need for analgesics due to improved abdominal muscle mobility and early ambulation. [22] Late complications were noted, including stump appendix, enterocutaneous fistula, and intra-abdominal abscess. We did not observe any other complications in our study, including respiratory issues, venous thromboembolism, sepsis, or portal pyemia. Multiple studies have reported a higher incidence of late complications following an open appendectomy. [23,24,25] In previous research, an intra-abdominal abscess was the most frequent side effect of LA as opposed to OA; however, this was not the case in our investigation. [24, 26] However, extensive nationwide data from the United States has demonstrated that LA has lower overall morbidity, mortality, and shorter hospital stays than OA. [27]

Subsequent large-scale studies, spanning ten years in Sweden and Denmark, also reported a significant reduction in general complications, which included intra-abdominal abscesses. [28,29]

Conclusion

When laparoscopic and open appendectomy techniques were compared, the laparoscopic procedure demonstrated a statistically longer operative time. On the other hand, patients who underwent laparoscopy recovered more quickly and had less pain following surgery thanks to shorter parenteral anesthesia times. An open appendectomy led to a significantly longer hospital stay, which increased medical expenses and put more pressure on patients' recovery. On the other hand, laparoscopic appendectomy showed promising results with shorter hospital stays, indicating a possibly quicker and seamless recovery after surgery. The results show that laparoscopy is a better choice for treating uncomplicated appendicitis because of its advantages, which include shorter hospital stays, less pain after surgery, and a possible decreased risk of complications. This is true even though the procedure takes longer.

References

1. Di Saverio S, Birindelli A, Kelly MD, Catena F, Weber DG, Sartelli M, et al. WSES Jerusalem guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of acute appendicitis. *World J Emerg Surg* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 18;11(1):34.
2. Semm K. Endoscopic Appendectomy. *Endoscopy* [Internet]. 1983 Mar 17;15(02):59–64.
3. Schellekens DHSM, Hulsewé KWE, van Acker BAC, van Bijnen AA, de Jaegere TMH, Sastrowijoto SH, et al. Evaluation of the Diagnostic Accuracy of Plasma Markers for Early Diagnosis in Patients Suspected for Acute Appendicitis. *Lewis L, editor. Acad Emerg Med* [Internet]. 2013 Jul;20(7):703–10.
4. Sharma MK, Badal RK. A Comparative Study of Open and Laparoscopic Appendectomy. *J Adv Med Dent Sci Res*. 2019;7(9).
5. Sarkar A. A Glimpse Towards the Vestigiality and Fate of Human Vermiform Appendix-A Histomorphometric Study. *J Clin DIAGNOSTIC Res* [Internet]. 2015; Available from: http://www.jcdr.net/article_fulltext.asp?issn=0973-709x&year=2015&volume=9&issue=2&page=AC11&issn=0973-709x&id=5581
6. Dixon F, Singh A. Acute appendicitis. Elsevier Ltd [Internet]. 2023; Available from: <https://www.binasss.sa.cr/>
7. Nguyen A, Lotfollahzadeh. S. Appendectomy. 2023; Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>
8. Mcburney C. The Incision Made In The Abdominal Wall In Cases Of Appendicitis, With A Description Of A New Method Of Operating. *Ann Surg*. 1894 Jul;20:38–43.
9. Aydemir E. Appendectomy and Laparoscopic Appendectomy. *J Univers Surg*. 2022;10(6).
10. Dai L, Shuai J. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in adults and children: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *United Eur Gastroenterol J* [Internet]. 2017 Jun;5(4):542–53.
11. Whitlock J. What Is the Recovery Time for Appendicitis Surgery? 2023; Available from: <https://www.verywellhealth.com/>
12. Biondi A, Di Stefano C, Ferrara F, Bellia A, Vacante M, Piazza L. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: a retrospective cohort study assessing outcomes and cost-effectiveness. *World J Emerg Surg* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 30;11(1):44.
13. Shimoda M, Maruyama T, Nishida K, Suzuki K, Tago T, Shimazaki J, et al. Comparison of clinical outcome of laparoscopic versus open appendectomy, single center experience. *Heliyon*. 2018 May;4(5):e00635.
14. Blackmore C, Tanyingo D, Kaplan GG, Dixon E, MacLean AR, Ball CG. A comparison of outcomes between laparoscopic and open appendectomy in Canada. *Can J Surg*. 2015 Dec;58(6):431–2.
15. Wang C-C, Tu C-C, Wang P-C, Lin H-C, Wei P-L. Outcome Comparison between Laparoscopic and Open Appendectomy: Evidence from a Nationwide Population-Based Study. *Yukich J, editor. PLoS One*. 2013 Jul;8(7):e68662.
16. Poprom N, Wilasrusmee C, Attia J, McEvoy M, Thakkinstian A, Rattanasiri S. Comparison of postoperative complications between open and laparoscopic appendectomy: An umbrella

- review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2020 Oct ;89(4):813–20.
17. Jaschinski T, Mosch CG, Eikermann M, Neugebauer EA, Sauerland S. Laparoscopic versus open surgery for suspected appendicitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018 Nov; 2018 (11).
 18. Jaschinski T, Mosch CG, Eikermann M, Neugebauer EA, Sauerland S. Laparoscopic versus open surgery for suspected appendicitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* [Internet]. 2018 Nov 28;2018(11).
 19. Athanasiou C, Lockwood S, Markides GA. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Laparoscopic Versus Open Appendectomy in Adults with Complicated Appendicitis: an Update of the Literature. *World J Surg* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 17;41(12):3083–99.
 20. Blackmore C, Tanyingo D, Kaplan GG, Dixon E, MacLean AR, Ball CG. A comparison of outcomes between laparoscopic and open appendectomy in Canada. *Can J Surg* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 1;58(6):431–2.
 21. Wang C-C, Tu C-C, Wang P-C, Lin H-C, Wei P-L. Outcome Comparison between Laparoscopic and Open Appendectomy: Evidence from a Nationwide Population-Based Study. Yukich J, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2013 Jul 12;8(7):e68662.
 22. Shaikh AR, Sangrasi AK, Shaikh GA. Clinical Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Appendectomy. *JSLs J Soc Laparoendosc Surg* [Internet]. 2009;13(4):574–80.
 23. Kumar S, Jalan A, Patowary BN, Shrestha S. Laparoscopic appendectomy versus open appendectomy for acute appendicitis: A prospective comparative study. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*. 2016;
 24. Swank HA, Eshuis EJ, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Bemelman WA. Short- and Long-Term Results of Open Versus Laparoscopic Appendectomy. *World J Surg* [Internet]. 2011 Jun 7;35(6).
 25. Cipe G, Idiz O, Hasbahceci M, Bozkurt S, Kadioglu H, Coskun H, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: Where are we now ? *Chir*. 2014;
 26. Agresta F, De Simone P, Leone L, Arezzo A, Biondi A, Bottero L, et al. Laparoscopic Appendectomy in Italy: An Appraisal of 26,863 Cases. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* [Internet]. 2004 Feb;14(1):1–8.
 27. Okoroiwu HU, Uchendu KI, Essien RA. Causes of morbidity and mortality among patients admitted in a tertiary hospital in southern Nigeria: A 6 year evaluation. Lazzeri C, editor. *PLoS One* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 25; 15(8):e0237313.
 28. Brügger L, Rosella L, Candinas D, Güller U. Improving Outcomes After Laparoscopic Appendectomy. *Ann Surg* [Internet]. 2011 Feb;253(2):309–13.
 29. Bregendahl S, Nørgaard M, Laurberg S, Jepsen P. Risk of complications and 30-day mortality after laparoscopic and open appendectomy in a Danish region, 1998-2007; a population-based study of 18,426 patients. *Polish J Surg* [Internet]. 2013 Jan 1;85(7).